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Abstract

Aims and Method
The aim of this study was to develop a self-report questionnaire to measure mental health recovery from the service user viewpoint. Literature searches and scoping exercises indicated that psychological, social and spiritual issues should be included. The resultant provisional scale was completed by 107 service users.

Result
The provisional scale was shortened as a result of factor analysis. The finalised version was highly reliable (Cronbach’s alpha .911) and valid, correlating significantly with an already established recovery scale. It contained 9 recognisable subscales, the first two describing existential and religious well-being. Separate well-being and ill-being factors were also identified.

Clinical Implications
An inclusive tool for service users’ assessment of their own recovery, the Service User Recovery Evaluation scale (SeRvE), has been validated. This can be used both as a research tool and clinically to monitor interventions. The importance of spiritual care for service users is highlighted.
Introduction

There is plenty of evidence that clinicians and their patients often have a different view of recovery from mental illness\(^1\). Traditionally, clinicians tend to focus on specific symptom reduction\(^2\), while patients focus more generally on the psychological, the social and the spiritual\(^1\). Even clinicians’ rating of symptoms can be very different from that of the service user\(^3\).

There are well-established methods by which clinicians can make assessments of the recovery of their patients. Symptom severity is often recorded using the HoNOS tool\(^4\). However there is a shortage of scales for service users to rate their own recovery. For service users, recovery can occur even alongside psychosis and does not necessarily mean cure\(^5\); some even see their symptoms as meaningful creative opportunity\(^6,7\).

The present study compiles a scale for service users to assess their own view of their recovery. It is concerned with psychological, social and spiritual aspects of recovery without making any attempt to directly measure symptom severity.

The psychological and social dimensions of recovery have been frequently written about\(^8\)\textsuperscript{15} and there are already some scales in the literature to measure them, though none inclusive of all the issues raised,\(^16\)\textsuperscript{23}. To date the spiritual component of recovery has been rather neglected, despite its proven importance to many service users\(^24\). Spirituality is that which gives meaning, purpose and hope, whether or not it includes a formal religious faith\(^25\). In his paper of 2004, Cook,\(^26\) attempts a working definition:

“Spirituality is a distinctive, potentially creative and universal dimension of human experience arising from both within the inner subjective awareness of individuals and within communities, social groups and traditions. It may be experienced as relationship with that which is intimately inner, immanent and personal, within the self or others and/or as relationship with that which is wholly other, transcendent and beyond the self. It is experienced as being of fundamental or ultimate importance and is thus concerned with matters of meaning and purpose in life, truth and values.”

Spiritual well-being occurs when this experience of spirituality adds to overall well-being. It is seen as crucial by many service users for their recovery\(^27\), thus it is important that this dimension is included in our new scale.

Despite the existence of many measures of spiritual well-being\(^28\), there is not one specifically for mental health service users. The Spiritual Well-Being Scale of Ellison, (SWBS), has been used for this purpose in some studies\(^29,30\). It has 2 subscales, religious and existential. In a previous pilot study we found the SWBS to be unsuitable here in Birmingham UK due to the religious subscale being expressed in evangelical, Christian language. Understandably, this was largely meaningless in the context of a multi-cultural, multi-faith population. As purely religious issues are important for many service users\(^31,32\), it is necessary to include a measure of religious well-being in our scale but the questions need to be more appropriate. The existential subscale of the SWBS is more easily understood by our users, being concerned with experience and meaning of life in general. This subscale overlaps in subject matter with scales of psychological well-being\(^14\).

It was decided that it is impossible to separate manifestations of existential well-being and psychological well-being, and that it was suitable to combine the psycho-social and existential dimensions, with a measure of religious well-being, into one inclusive scale of recovery from the service user’s viewpoint. It has been named the Service-user Recovery Evaluation scale, (SeRvE).
Method

The Team

The team had multi-disciplinary input, led by a long-term service user (JB), supported by a Research Assistant (MP), and a Consultant Psychiatrist who is also a theologian (CC). A medical statistician (HP) was recruited to the team during the project to provide specialist support.

Design of the SeRvE scale

Concerning psycho-social issues, a literature search revealed a wide range of topics valued by service users for recovery. This included hope, self-esteem, empowerment, good relationships, positive and stable affect, stigma and shame, identity, meaning, purpose and satisfaction with life. From this a comprehensive list of questions about psycho-social recovery was compiled. This list had been tested with 37 service users in an unpublished pilot study by the same research team and found to be reliable and valid.

Concerning spiritual issues, a new list of spiritual well-being questions was drawn up, based on broader definitions of spirituality and existing scales. This included existential as well as purely religious issues. The existential questions overlapped with the psycho-social as described above. The questions on religious matters were designed to be accessible, encouraging participants to insert their own word for God or higher power according to their particular religion or belief systems. Questions were added concerning specific religious problems that some service users report. A scoping day at the University of Birmingham was then held, in which 30 mental health service users gave their views on spirituality and its importance for their mental health. Many people had a religious faith of some sort that was important and helpful to them. For some the concept of connectedness was important for their spiritual well-being. Many people derived spiritual inspiration from the arts, music or nature. Some new questions were added accordingly. Each question from the list was then rated by the participants from 1 to 3 for both ease of understanding and relevance to spiritual well-being. Questions were discarded if their mean score for either was less than 2.

This list of existential and religious questions was then combined with the list of psycho-social questions to make a provisional inclusive scale of service user recovery. Feedback was received from the service users in research forum at University of Birmingham, Suresearch. The resultant scale, (provisional SeRvE), contained 67 questions answered on a 5-point Likert scale, numbered from 1-5, and was set out in subject headings for ease of completion (how you feel about your life, your emotions, your relationships and your religious well-being). Each part contained a mixture of positively and negatively worded questions. For the faith-based questions, the option of answering “not applicable”, (n/a) was given, for people to whom these particular questions meant nothing.

Other Scales Used

Two other scales were used in this study. The first was the Mental Health Recovery Measure, (MHRM), an established scale of service user recovery. The second was the Spiritual Well-Being Questionnaire, (SWBQ), an established scale of spiritual well-being. These scales were chosen to be appropriate to look for correlations with SeRvE for purposes of validation.
Sample

A convenience sample of 107 working age, adult mental health service users was recruited, half from in-patient and half from out-patient units from 4 wards, 4 day centres and one out-patient clinic, all in Birmingham and Solihull Mental Health NHS Foundation Trust. Units were visited in turn and clinical staff suggested patients who might be agreeable to participate. At each visit, all available patients were invited. However, we acknowledge that our sample is likely to be biased in favour of the patients who were less acutely unwell, these being more able and willing to participate.

Exclusion criteria were children under 18, people with known organic brain disease and those with concurrent acute physical illness. People over 65 were also excluded because of the increased possibility of them having early stage organic brain dysfunction.

Study Design

This study was approved by the Black Country Research Ethics Committee, on 3rd August 2010, REC reference number 10/H1202/53

Potential participants were approached by a member of the clinical staff. If they were agreeable, the research assistant gave them the information sheet to read. If they gave fully informed consent, they were given the 3 questionnaires, (provisional SeRvE, MHRM and SWBQ), to complete. Assistance in reading the questions, from a staff member or one of the research team, was available if requested. The completed questionnaires with signed consent forms were then returned to the research assistant. Also recorded were; date of birth, gender, unit they were in, the nature of their religious faith, if applicable, and the importance to them of their spirituality. Participants were asked if they would consent to their medical notes being accessed by the research team to retrieve diagnosis; only 50% gave such consent.

Data analysis

Results from all the questionnaires were entered manually onto a computer and analysed with SPSS software (version 19, IBM). All negative questions were scored negatively, eg a high score for agitation would be entered as the appropriate low score. Factor Analysis, (FA) was performed to look for meaningful categories within the provisional SeRvE scale. For this, any n/a responses from faith-based questions were encoded as zeros to distinguish them from random missing values. Firstly, the data was checked for correlations via Bartlett's test of sphericity which found that the variables were correlated with each other (p<0.01). The Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measure of sampling adequacy was calculated at 0.739. The data is therefore suitable for principal component analysis (PCA). A PCA using varimax orthogonal rotation was used to maximise the differences between factors. Item communalities were all found to be greater than 0.5, hence all item variances are well represented in the model. Factors were only retained if they had an Eigenvalue of greater than one. Items were each checked to see if they loaded onto a single factor with a correlation of 0.45 or greater. Any items which loaded onto multiple factors, or did not load onto any retained factors, were removed. Hence, a revised, shorter version of the provisional scale was constructed and assumptions re-checked. The variables were still correlated (Bartlett’s test of sphericity p<0.001), and the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measure of sampling adequacy increased to 0.763. The smallest item communality found was 0.549.

To compensate for faith-based questions which were scored n/a by respondents, the final total SeRvE score for each respondent was calculated as a percentage of the total number of questions
answered. This ensures that the total score of each respondent is directly comparable, and is the method of choice for use of the scale both clinically and in research.

Pearson’s correlations of SeRvE with MHRM and SWBQ were then calculated, including all participants with fully completed MHRM (n=100) and SWBQ (n=98).

The reliability index, Cronbach’s alpha, was calculated for the total finalised SeRvE scale and the 9 subscales.

Results

Our sample

A wide variety of diagnoses was represented in the sample, however it was only possible to trace exact diagnoses for 42 individuals. Of these, 15 had bipolar disorder, 11 schizophrenia, 12 depression, 2 had an unspecified mental illness, and 2 personality disorder. There were 51 inpatients, 24 day patients and 32 outpatients. There was a wide spread of religious or belief affiliation as described by the participants, including Christian, Muslim, Hindu, Sikh, Jewish, Buddhist, Wiccan, Atheist and Humanist. Table 1 shows that a religious belief of some sort is important to the majority of users, and that most have a significant sense of spirituality. There is also a significant association between the two variables (Pearson’s $X^2 = 48.36, p<0.01$), with respondents giving a similar response to both importance of spirituality and belief in a Higher Power. However, there are a few respondents who place a high importance on Spirituality who have little belief in a higher power.

### Table 1: Importance of religious faith and spirituality to service users

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Importance of Spirituality</th>
<th>Not at all</th>
<th>A little</th>
<th>Quite a Lot</th>
<th>Very much so</th>
<th>Don’t know</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not at all</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A little</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quite a bit</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very much so</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reliability of Total Finalised SeRvE

Cronbach’s alpha for the total finalised scale was found to be 0.911, indicating high internal reliability and consistency. For each item, “Cronbach’s alpha if item deleted” was computed. No items had an undue influence on the rest of the scale.
Correlations

Despite the difference in subject matter between the 3 scales, the finalised SeRvE, MHRM and SWBQ correlated highly with each other, (Table 2). The correlation between SeRvE and MHRM confirms the validity of SeRvE as a scale of recovery from mental illness. The high correlation of SeRvE with SWBQ indicates that including spiritual well-being in a scale of recovery from mental illness is important. However, the SeRvE scale itself remains unique in including psycho-social and spiritual issues in one scale specifically for mental health service users.

Table 2: Correlations between SeRvE, SWBQ and MHRM. **Denotes Pearson’s correlation coefficient significant at the 0.001 level, 2 tailed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SeRvE</th>
<th>MHRM</th>
<th>SWBQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SeRvE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.882*</td>
<td>.731*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHRM</td>
<td>.882*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.739*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWBQ</td>
<td>.731*</td>
<td>.739*</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Factor analysis of finalised SeRvE

Table 3 shows the factor analysis of the finalised scale, with the questions that loaded onto each factor summarised, forming 9 meaningful subscales, (see Appendix for list of full questions). There were no other factors with Eigenvalues of more than 1. The largest factor, Factor 1, consisted of 9 questions regarding the respondents’ existential well-being and the second largest factor, Factor 2 comprises of 7 questions about religious well-being. The reverse coded questions exploring religious and existential ill-being loaded separately on Factors 7 and 8 respectively. Factor 3 shows the respondent’s emotional state and Factor 9 illustrates stigma and shame. Factors 4 and 5 show the social-well being and social ill-being and Factor 6 measures lack of connectedness, the importance of which had emerged in our scoping day. Cronbach’s alpha for each subscale suggests that each one is reliable in its own right.

Table 3: Subscales of finalised SeRvE scale revealed by Factor Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor number</th>
<th>Factor name</th>
<th>Questions summarised See Appendix for full questions</th>
<th>Eigen Value</th>
<th>% variance</th>
<th>Cumulative variance</th>
<th>Cronbach’s alpha</th>
<th>Mean raw data (1-5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Existential Well-being</td>
<td>• Meaning and purpose • Love self • Thankful for life • Inspired by nature • Confident can cope • Inspired by arts • Hope for future • Believe in own ability • Do satisfying things</td>
<td>10.708</td>
<td>26.771</td>
<td>26.771</td>
<td>.900</td>
<td>3.734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor number</td>
<td>Factor name</td>
<td>Questions summarised</td>
<td>Eigen Value</td>
<td>% variance</td>
<td>Cumulative variance</td>
<td>Cronbach’s alpha</td>
<td>Mean raw data (1-5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 2             | Religious Well-being | • Loved by higher power  
• Faith helpful  
• Higher power within me  
• Perform religious rituals  
• Purpose from higher power  
• Pray  
• Find beauty | 5.007        | 12.518                                               | 39.289          | .882                  | 3.084              |
| 3             | Emotional State   | • Happy  
• Agitated  
• Contented  
• Peaceful  
• Joyful | 3.231        | 8.078                                               | 47.366          | .861                  | 2.879              |
| 4             | Social Well-being | • Meaningful relationships  
• Love some others  
• Loved by some others | 2.161        | 5.403                                               | 52.770          | .859                  | 3.944              |
| 5             | Social Ill-being  | • Destructive thoughts to others  
• Others against  
• Suspicious of others  
• Angry | 1.530       | 3.826                                               | 56.596          | .757                  | 3.320              |
| 6             | Connectedness     | • Isolated  
• Cut off from world  
• Want to isolate self | 1.416       | 3.540                                               | 60.135          | .733                  | 2.969              |
| 7             | Religious Ill-being | • Faith gives difficult thoughts  
• Higher power angry  
• Guilty  
• Spiritual powers controlling | 1.216       | 3.039                                               | 63.174          | .745                  | 3.446              |
| 8             | Existential Ill-Being | • Loss of identity  
• Life pointless  
• Lack of motivation | 1.112       | 2.780                                               | 65.954          | .702                  | 3.431              |
| 9             | Stigma and Shame | • Upset by stigma  
• Ashamed | 1.031       | 2.578                                               | 68.533          | .676                  | 2.972              |

**Mean of finalised SeRvE scale**

The mean of the complete finalised scale calculated from the raw data, (1-5), is 3.342. Scored as a percentage of number of questions answered, it is 68.7% (standard deviation of 13.98%). All the subscales have mean raw data scores of around 3.00 points, which gives scope for sensitivity to change. There was no significant difference in the percentage total means of inpatients and outpatients (Welch’s t-test, t = 0.994, p = 0.323). This could be because even our inpatient sample contained few people who were acutely unwell.

**Discussion**

**The Service-user Recovery Evaluation scale, (SeRvE)**

This scale has been shown to be a reliable and valid measure of holistic recovery from mental illness. It is an inclusive questionnaire for service users to assess their own recovery and the only one to
address spiritual and religious issues. The fact that meaningful subscales could be identified added further validity. There are 2 points of particular interest:

- Despite negative scoring for negative questions, the well-being and ill-being factors for existential, social and religious issues do not cluster together, making well-being and ill-being to be separate concepts for each issue. This means that not only is it crucial to deal with the ill-being but just as important to help people find positive well-being. These may be two quite different tasks.

- Existential well-being was the largest factor in our analysis. Since the questions in this subscale are mainly concerned with meaning and experience of life, this reflects spiritual well-being in its broadest sense. Religious well-being, the specific formal and communal aspect of spiritual well-being, was the second most important factor. The relevance of these 2 subscales points to the importance of helping people explore their own spirituality/religion in a positive way. More specialised help is also required for the minority of users who experience religious ill-being. Fulfilling spiritual needs in these ways is the task of spiritual care. The results show the importance of this for all mental health service users.

**Use of the SeRvE in practice**

The SeRvE is suitable for mental health service users of all religions and none, and thus could be used in a wide variety of cultural contexts, certainly over the UK, in primary and secondary care.

It can be used as a research tool to evaluate new interventions from the service user viewpoint. Results of the different subscales could help define how an intervention is working and which service users are most likely to be helped by it.

It also has potential in clinical practice:
1. To be used as a new structured approach to taking a complete, person-centred history
2. To monitor the effectiveness of a particular treatment from the service user viewpoint.
3. Comparing scores from the subscales for different service users could assist in identifying interventions specifically targeted to the individual service user.

**Limitations of study**

The SeRvE scale needs to be tested in its finalised format, in a larger sample of service users including those with acute mental illness.

Sensitivity to change and test-retest reliability for the SeRvE need to be established. Comparisons of clinician assessments of recovery from mental illness, for example HoNOS, with results of the SeRvE would be of further interest.

The SeRvE scale may be considered too long to be administered to service users routinely in clinical practice, thus the formation of a shortened form is planned in a further study.

**Conclusion**

The Service-user Recovery Evaluation scale, (SeRvE), has wide potential for evaluating interventions in mental health, both in research and in clinical practice. It is a self-report, user designed scale to monitor recovery from mental illness from the service user’s viewpoint.
The scale includes measures of spiritual well-being and ill-being, both existential and religious. Factor analysis highlights the importance of these issues for service users. It points to the potential value of increased spiritual care for our users.
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**SERVICE-USER RECOVERY EVALUATION SCALE, (SeRvE)**

Please answer each question by circling the number, depending on how you have felt **this last week**

How you have felt about yourself and your life **during the last week**

*Disagree strongly (1), disagree somewhat (2), don’t know (3), agree somewhat (4), agree strongly (5)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I feel thankful for my life</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I feel a sense of meaning and purpose in my life</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am confident I can cope with most things in life</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I feel ashamed of having a mental health problem</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I can find or create something beautiful in life</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I feel my life is pointless</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have hope for the future</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I can love myself</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have lost my identity/sense of who I am</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I believe I have the ability to overcome my problems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am upset by the stigma of having a mental health problem</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I can do satisfying things despite my problems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am positively inspired by the beauty of nature</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have lost inner motivation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am positively inspired by music/the arts or literature</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How you have felt emotionally **during the last week**

*None of the time (1), sometimes (2), don’t know (3), quite a bit (4), most of the time (5)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emotion</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Happy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agitated or fearful</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At peace</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guilty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joyful</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Content</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How you have related to other people **during the last week?**

*Disagree strongly (1), disagree somewhat (2), don’t know (3), agree somewhat (4), agree strongly (5)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>n/a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I feel other people are against me</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have some meaningful and close relationships</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I feel loved by some others</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I feel cut off from the rest of the world</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I feel suspicious of most people and find it hard to trust</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My problems make me isolated from other people</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I love some other people</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I feel I need to isolate myself from other people</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have destructive thoughts towards some other people</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Your personal religious beliefs and practices **during the last week**

If you believe in a God, higher power, divine spirit, force for good or anything similar, even if only a little, please write your preferred word in here: ____________________________

*Please substitute your word for X in the following questions, or circle “n/a”, (not applicable) if you think the question is not relevant to you*

*Disagree strongly (1), disagree somewhat (2), don’t know (3), agree somewhat (4), agree strongly (5)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>n/a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I feel I am loved by X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I feel that there is a part of X within me</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My faith/spiritual belief is helpful to me</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I feel anger towards me from X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I find it helpful to pray to X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I feel spiritual power/forces are controlling me or others</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I find it helpful to attend religious services/rituals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I feel that X has a purpose for my life</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My faith/spiritual belief gives me difficult thoughts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thank you for completing this questionnaire