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Storage and Control of Optical Photons Using Rydberg Polaritons
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We use a microwave field to control the quantum state of optical photons stored in a cold atomic cloud. The photons are stored in highly excited collective states (Rydberg polaritons) enabling both fast qubit rotations and control of photon-photon interactions. Through the collective read-out of these pseudospin rotations it is shown that the microwave field modifies the long-range interactions between polaritons. This technique provides a powerful interface between the microwave and optical domains, with applications in quantum simulations of spin liquids, quantum metrology and quantum networks.
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The future success of quantum technologies will depend on the ability to integrate components of different systems. Strongly interacting systems, such as ions [1,2] or superconductors [3] are ideal for processing, large ensembles for memory [4], and optical photons for communication [5]. However, interfacing these components remains a challenge. For example, although cavity QED in the microwave domain, using Rydberg atoms [6] or superconducting circuits [7], provides efficient coupling between photons and static qubits, microwave photons are not ideal for quantum communication due to the blackbody background. For this reason, quantum interfaces that combine different functions of a network are desirable.

Here we demonstrate a system that allows processing of optical photons using microwave fields [8]. We store optical photons in highly excited collective states (Rydberg polaritons) of a cold atomic ensemble using electromagnetically induced transparency (EIT) [9,10]. Because of the strong dipole-dipole interaction between Rydberg excitations only one excitation is allowed within a volume known as the blockade sphere. Consequently, an ensemble smaller than the blockade sphere produces an efficient single photon source [11]. Similarly, Rydberg EIT [12] gives rise to giant optical nonlinearities [13,14] that can be exploited to modify light at the single photon level [15]. Here we exploit Rydberg EIT to write a bounded number of photons into a cold atomic ensemble. Subsequently, we perform quantum state control of the stored photons using a microwave field resonant with a close-lying Rydberg state. We show that the microwave field modifies the long-range interactions between the stored photons providing a key step toward the realization of an all-optical analog of neutral atom quantum gates based on dipole blockade [16,17].

A schematic of the experiment is shown in Fig. 1. Further details are given in the Supplemental Material [18]. An optical dipole trap confines an ellipsoidal atomic cloud containing up to 100 atoms. The approximate axial and radial dimensions of the atomic cloud are $w_z = 30 \, \mu m$ and $w_r = 2.8 \, \mu m$, where $w$ denotes the standard deviation of the density distribution. The signal photons, resonant with the $5s^2S_{1/2}(F = 2) \rightarrow 5p^2P_{3/2}(F = 3)$ transition in $^{87}\text{Rb}$ at 780.2 nm [see Fig. 1(a)], propagate along $z$. The signal beam is focused to a $1/e^2$ radius of $1.2 \pm 0.1 \, \mu m$ at the centre of the atomic ensemble. A counterpropagating control beam with wavelength 480 nm couples the signal transition to a highly excited Rydberg state with principal quantum number $n = 60$. The control beam is focused to a $1/e^2$ radius of $17.9 \pm 0.3 \, \mu m$. The peak value of the control and signal beam Rabi frequencies are $\Omega_r/2\pi = 3 \, \text{MHz}$ and $\Omega_s/2\pi = 1.2 \, \text{MHz}$, respectively.

In Fig. 2(a) we illustrate the photon storage and retrieval process. The signal pulse is stored by reducing the intensity of the control field over a time of 100 ns. At this time optical photons from the signal field are stored as Rydberg polaritons. A microwave pulse then couples the initial Rydberg state to a neighboring Rydberg state [see Fig. 1(a)]. After the desired storage time, the control field is turned back on to read out the polariton field. This cycle is repeated every 6 $\mu s$. The retrieved signal is typically around 200 ns long, which is determined by the control field switching time. The corresponding bandwidth of the storage process, $\Delta_x$, is $1.34 \pm 0.04 \, \text{MHz}$ (FWHM). We note that the storage efficiency is far from optimized. Efficiencies approaching 100% are in principle feasible by mode-matching to the time-reversed single-photon emission process [19]. The signal pulse contains approximately 10 photons on average. There is a peak probability of roughly 4% of retrieving a photon per store-and-retrieve experiment. This value has been corrected for the detection efficiency which is approximately 18%. It is not possible for us to distinguish between the storage efficiency and retrieval efficiency, although the storage efficiency is probably limited by the optical depth of the atomic cloud which is typically around 1.
Let us first consider the situation where no microwave field is applied during the storage interval. Dipole blockade limits the number of excitations that can be written into the sample. The dipole-dipole interaction between Rydberg atoms requires that the polaritons are separated by a distance \( R \approx R_o = (C_6 / \hbar \Delta_{\text{EIT}})^{1/6} \) [20], known as the “blockade radius” for optical excitation, where \( C_6 \) is the van der Waals coefficient that scales as \( n^{11} \) and \( \Delta_{\text{EIT}} \) is the EIT linewidth. For our experimental parameters, the EIT width is \( \Delta_{\text{EIT}} = 2 / C_25 \approx 1 \text{ MHz} \) and the blockade radius is \( R_o = 7 \text{ 
\mu m} \). Dipole blockade leads to antibunching in the read out pulse as demonstrated in Ref. [11]. To observe this photon blockade effect, we perform a Hanbury Brown–Twiss coincidence measurement on the retrieved photons [see Fig. 1(b)]. The photon coincidences characterized by the second-order correlation \( g^{(2)}(\tau) \) is plotted in Fig. 2(b). There is a peak every 6 \( \mu s \) corresponding to the repetition rate of the experiment. In the absence of photon interactions, the height of the peaks is expected to be unity indicating no bunching or antibunching (in practice,
variation in storage efficiency throughout an experimental run leads to a level $1.088 \pm 0.003$). In contrast, the probability of coincidences within each pulse gives $g^{(2)}(0) = 0.68 \pm 0.04$. This partial suppression of $g^{(2)}(0)$ is consistent with a sample that is longer than the blockade radius as shown in Fig. 2(b). The nonzero background signal apparent in Fig. 2(a) degrades the measured contrast of $g^{(2)}$ [21], suggesting that $g^{(2)}(0)$ is about 0.06 lower than observed. Each photon is stored in the collective polariton state

$$|s\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} e^{i\phi_j} |s_j\rangle,$$

where $|s_j\rangle = |0_1 0_2 \cdots s_j \cdots 0_N\rangle$, and $N$ is the number of atoms per blockade sphere [20]. The phase factors are given by $\phi_j = \vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}_j$, where $\vec{k}$ is the effective wave vector of the spin wave, and $\vec{r}_j$ is the position of atom $j$. The phase of each term in the superposition ensures that the read-out emits a photon into the same spatial mode as the input. The lifetime of this phase-matched polariton is limited to roughly $2 \mu s$ by motional dephasing [11]. If atomic motion were reduced by additional cooling, the decoherence time would be ultimately limited by Rydberg lifetime which scales as $n^3$. For $60s_{1/2}$ the Rydberg lifetime is of order $100 \mu s$.

We now consider the case where a microwave field is applied during the storage interval [see Fig. 2(a)]. Coherent control of the stored photon is performed using a resonant microwave field to couple the initial collective state $|s\rangle$ to a collective state

$$|p\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} e^{ip_j} |p_j\rangle,$$

where $p$ denotes an $np$ Rydberg excitation. The states $|s\rangle$ and $|p\rangle$ form a two-level basis for collective encoding of the stored photon [19]. As the dipole moment for the $ns \rightarrow np$ transition scales as $n^2$, the figure of merit for single qubit rotations (Rabi frequency × dephasing time) scales as $n^3$. For $n = 60$, of order $1000$ qubit rotations within the decoherence time are possible. In this collective basis, both the Rabi oscillation frequency and the dephasing rate are independent of the atom number $N$ (in contrast to the transition from the ground state $|0_1 \cdots 0_N\rangle$ to the collective state $|s\rangle$, where the Rabi frequency scales as $\sqrt{N}$ [22]). This is important, as it allows us to observe the high contrast oscillations over many cycles even for a non-deterministically loaded sample.

We study Rabi oscillations for $n = 60$ polaritons coupled to a microwave field resonant with the $60s_{1/2} \rightarrow 59p_{3/2}$ transition at 18.5 GHz. As the read out state is $|s\rangle$, the retrieved signal oscillates between a maximum when the polariton state is $|s\rangle$ and a minimum when the polariton state is $|p\rangle$. In Fig. 3 we plot the retrieved photon signal as a function of the rotation angle, $\Theta = \Omega \Delta t$. The microwave pulse duration is fixed so the microwave Rabi frequency $\Omega_\mu$ increases from left to right in Fig. 3. Counterintuitively, the Rabi oscillations revive for large $\Theta$.

To understand these unusual dynamics, consider the pairwise dipole–dipole interaction between the cycling Rydberg polaritons [18]. The microwave coupling between

FIG. 3 (color online). Controlling the interaction between Rydberg polaritons. (a) The retrieved signal, normalized to the case where no microwave coupling is applied, is plotted as a function of the microwave Rabi frequency, $\Omega_\mu$. The microwave pulse duration is fixed at $300 \mu s$. The dynamics depend on the ratio between the Rabi coupling and the dipole–dipole interaction $\Omega_\mu / V_{dd}$—the condition $\Omega_\mu = V_{dd}$ is indicated by the dashed line. For $\Omega_\mu < V_{dd}$ (left-hand side), resonant energy exchange between polaritons dominates over Rabi oscillations. For $\Omega_\mu > V_{dd}$, Rabi oscillations dominate and the exchange process is suppressed as the strong driving lifts the degeneracy between the dipole–dipole coupled states. The solid line is a phenomenological fit using the characteristic form for $N$-particle Rabi oscillations coupled to a single optical read out mode, $P = [\cos(\Omega_\mu t/2)]^N$. This function is combined with a tanh envelope, and an exponential decay at low microwave Rabi frequencies. From the fit we obtain $N = 2.70 \pm 0.16$. Inset: Spin model of the dynamics. The dipole–dipole interaction (circles between atoms) favors excitation exchange between out-of-phase atomic spins (straight arrows) whereas strong microwave driving (circles around atoms) favors in-phase oscillations and suppresses the exchange process. (b) Higher resolution data of Rabi oscillations in the strong driving regime. The line is a similar fit to Fig. 3(a), with $N = 3.0 \pm 0.2$. The microwave pulse duration is $150 \mu s$. 
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\( |s \rangle \) and \( |p \rangle \) induces resonant dipole-dipole interactions between polariton modes with an interaction energy \( V_{dd} = d^2/(4 \pi \epsilon_0 R_o^3) \), where \( R_o \) is the correlation length associated with the 60s blockade process. The microwave field thus introduces a second blockade scale [23,24] with a characteristic size \( R = (C_3/\hbar \Omega_\mu)^{1/3} \), where \( C_3 \) is the resonant dipole-dipole interaction coefficient and \( \Omega_\mu \) is the Rabi frequency of the microwave transition. By varying \( \Omega_\mu \) we can tune the ratio \( R_\mu / R_o \). For the range of Rabi frequencies shown in Fig. 3(a) we change between a regime where \( \Omega_\mu < V_{dd} \) on the left-hand side and \( \Omega_\mu > V_{dd} \) on the right-hand side.

For \( \Omega_\mu < V_{dd} \), the resonant dipole-dipole interaction associated with the microwave transition dominates. In this case, the blockade sphere associated with the microwave transition is larger than the blockade sphere associated with the formation of the 60s polaritons, \( R_\mu > R_o \). As the resonant dipole-dipole interaction is an exchange process [25,26], this regime is dominated by excitations hopping, leading to loss or dephasing of the polariton read-out [27]. Consequently, the retrieved photon signal is suppressed and fits to an exponential decay. In this dephasing regime one may expect only a single excitation to survive and hence strong antibunching in the retrieved photon signal [27]. This effect was not observable in the current experiment, as the background signal apparent in Fig. 2(a) contributes a larger fraction of the retrieved signal in the dephasing regime. The data in Fig. 3 was also acquired under less well-optimized conditions, where the background signal was up to 50% of the signal corresponding to the peaks of the suppressed Rabi oscillations.

For \( \Omega_\mu > V_{dd} \) strong driving forces the dipoles to oscillate in phase, which suppresses the out-of-phase exchange interaction. In this case, where the microwave blockade radius is smaller than the optical blockade, \( R_\mu < R_o \), the exchange or hopping term is reduced to \( V_{dd}/\Omega_\mu \). Consequently, the spin wave dephasing is reduced and the Rabi oscillations reappear. This recovery in the Rabi oscillations is a direct signature of the spatial correlations between Rydberg polaritons, and occurs when the microwave Rabi frequency is sufficient to overcome the polariton-polariton blockade. We note in passing that Lamor dephasing of the spin wave [28] is not expected to have a significant effect on the dynamics of the system, since \( \Omega_\mu \) is in general much larger than the Lamor frequency.

Significantly, \( N \)-particle correlations in the read out give rise to enhanced sensitivity to the rotation angle \( \Theta \), which could be exploited in quantum metrology applications [29]. The retrieval probability,

\[
P = \left[ \cos^2 \left( \frac{\Theta}{2} \right) \right]^N,
\]

is given by applying a Wigner rotation matrix to the collective Dicke state of \( N \) spins [18]. This many-body character of the collective read-out is clearly visible in Fig. 3(b). If we fit to \( \left[ \cos^2 (\Omega_\mu t/2) \right]^N \) allowing \( N \) to float we obtain \( N = 3.0 \pm 0.2 \). This is consistent with the number of blockade spheres in our ensemble, given the 60s blockade radius and the geometry of the atomic cloud [see Fig. 1(b)].

In conclusion, we have demonstrated control over the quantum state of Rydberg polaritons using a microwave field. By tuning the strength of the microwave field we have shown that the interaction between neighboring polaritons can be varied. This effect was observed in Rabi oscillations of the polariton state, which exhibit a many-body character consistent with \( N = 3 \) Rydberg excitations. The ability to control the quantum state of Rydberg polaritons opens some interesting prospects for advances in quantum information and quantum simulation of strongly correlated systems. For example, the competition between resonant energy exchange (hopping) and localisation is reminiscent of the Jaynes-Cummings-Hubbard model [18,30]. In addition, Rydberg polaritons provide a powerful platform for studying strongly coupled atom–light interactions without a cavity, quantum simulation of spin liquids [31], and quantum metrology using Dicke states [29]. The ability to control the interactions between polaritons using microwave fields allows a second blockade scale to be established. This provides a viable route towards fully deterministic photonic phase gates using single photons [15], or to generate nonclassical states of light from classical input fields [32]. It is also an ideal system to study resonant energy transfer [25]. Finally, Rydberg polaritons provide a convenient interface between quantum systems that operate in the microwave and optical domains, such as circuit QED [7] and atomic ensembles, respectively. Rydberg polaritons act as a source of quantum light, that can be coupled to on-chip [33] microwave resonators which in turn interface to solid state qubits [7,8], forming a complete architecture for transmitting, storing and processing quantum information.
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