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We consider a dilute homogeneous mixture of bosons and spin-polarized fermions at zero temperature. We first construct the formal scheme for carrying out systematic perturbation theory in terms of single particle Green’s functions. We especially focus on the description of the boson-fermion interaction. To do so we need to introduce the renormalized boson-fermion \( T \) matrix, which we determine to second order in the boson-fermion \( s \)-wave scattering length. We also discuss how to incorporate the usual boson-boson \( T \) matrix in mean field approximation to obtain the total ground-state properties of the system. The next-order term beyond mean field stems from the boson-fermion interaction and is proportional to \( a_{BF} k_F \). The total ground-state energy density to this order is the sum of the kinetic energy of the free fermions, the boson-boson mean-field interaction, the usual mean-field contribution to the boson-fermion interaction energy, and the first boson-fermion correction beyond mean field. We also compute the bosonic and the fermionic chemical potentials, the compressibilities, and the modification to the induced fermion-fermion interaction. We discuss the behavior of the total ground-state energy and the importance of the correction beyond mean field for various parameter regimes, in particular considering mixtures of \(^4\)Li and \(^3\)Li and of \(^3\)He and \(^4\)He. Moreover, we determine the modification of the induced fermion-fermion interaction due to the effects beyond mean field. We show that there is no effect on the depletion of the Bose condensate to first order in the boson-fermion scattering length \( a_{BF} \).
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I. INTRODUCTION

Following the spectacular success in achieving Bose-Einstein condensation in trapped, dilute atomic gases in 1995 [1–3], there has been an explosion of experimental and theoretical activity on this newly accessible state of matter (for recent reviews focusing on different experimental and theoretical aspects, see, for instance, Refs. [4–8]). More recently, there has been increasing interest and experimental activity also in quantum-degenerate ultracold Fermi gases [9–14], in particular because of the possibility of observing a BCS type transition in a dilute atomic gas [15,16]. Dilute mixtures of ultracold gases of bosonic and fermionic atoms are also receiving increased attention, in particular because sympathetic cooling of the fermions by the bosons is an important means of their achieving quantum degeneracy [11–14], and also because bosons can mediate an induced (attractive) fermion-fermion interaction [17]. Moreover, mixtures of atomic \(^3\)He and \(^4\)He have become interesting in their own right after the recent achievement of Bose-Einstein condensation in metastable \(^4\)He [18,19], as they could represent a bridge toward the understanding of superfluidity in helium.

Current analyses of dilute mixtures of ultracold atomic boson and fermion vapors are based on mean-field approximations. They include, for example, the work on stability considerations for homogeneous systems by Viverit et al. [17], and the calculation of density distributions and phase separation of trapped mixtures by Nygaard and Mølmer [20]. Some interesting effects have been studied by Bijlsma et al. [21], where an effective modification of the fermion-fermion scattering length, mediated by boson-fermion scattering processes, was determined. Pu et al. have determined the phonon spectrum of the Bose condensate in a boson-fermion mixture at zero temperature [22].

Mean-field approaches have proved to be extremely useful in the theoretical and experimental study of Bose-Einstein condensed dilute atomic gases, and are likely to prove similarly useful for quantum-degenerate mixed boson-fermion systems. It is nevertheless desirable to consider effects beyond mean field, and under what circumstances they are likely to be most relevant. For pure (unpolarized) fermion [23–25] and pure boson [24–31] systems, expansions of the ground-state energy, in terms of the small parameters \( k_F a_{FF} \) and \( \sqrt{n_B a_{BB}} \) (\( k_F \) is the Fermi wave number, \( n_B \) the boson density, and \( a_{FF} \) and \( a_{BB} \) the fermion-fermion and boson-boson scattering lengths), are well established. These expansions go beyond mean-field approximations while still depending only on the \( s \)-wave scattering lengths. Although determined for homogeneous systems, the use of beyond mean-field corrections arising from consideration of such expansions may be readily extended to the experimentally relevant case of inhomogeneous trapped gases by application of the local density approximation. In general, the corrections beyond mean field for the bosons are smaller than for the fermions, since the exponent of the small dimensionless parameter \( n^{1/3} a \) (\( n \) is the density parameter and \( a \) the scattering length) is 1 in the fermion case but 3/2 in the boson case.

Important work on realistic treatments of strongly inter-
acting $^4\text{He}-^3\text{He}$ mixtures has been carried out by exploiting correlated basis function theory. In this framework the ground-state energy density can be written as an integral over the interaction potential and the correlation functions [32,33]. A thorough variational theory of fermion-boson mixtures has then been developed in terms of extended Jastrow-Feenberg wave functions that include both pair and triplet correlation functions. On the other hand, the application of field theoretic and functional methods to mixtures of bosons and fermions has been so far almost unexplored. In the case of dilute fermions immersed in a Bose gas an expansion of the ground-state energy in terms of the small parameters $\sqrt{\alpha_{BB}n_B}$ and $n_F/n_B$, where $n_F$ is the fermion density, was performed by Saam [34]. This was motivated by considering quantum-degenerate dilute gases as a model for the behavior of superfluid helium, where the assumption of $n_F/n_B$ as a small parameter is justified by the much greater natural occurrence of bosonic $^4\text{He}$ compared to that of the fermionic $^3\text{He}$ isotope. Considering a regime of low fermion concentration relative to the boson concentration, Saam neglected corrections of the order of the Fermi wave number to the mean-field interaction, while treating the bosons in the Bogoliubov approximation; in this way, he obtained the corrections to mean field that are proportional to the bosonic gas parameter. Considering a regime of high fermion concentration, we instead assume the bosons in the ideal gas approximation (thus neglecting the corrections proportional to the bosonic gas parameter), while treating the boson-fermion interaction to second order, thus obtaining the corrections to mean field that are proportional to the Fermi wave number. We will show in the following that in the case of comparable bosonic and fermionic densities, these latter corrections are larger than those obtained by Saam. In particular, we develop a systematic treatment of the boson-fermion interaction, by determining the renormalized boson-fermion $T$ matrix to second order in the boson-fermion scattering length. In this way we compute the lowest order correction beyond mean field to the ground-state energy density due to the boson-fermion gas parameter. This correction is obviously absent in Saam’s treatment, and it is of order $7/3$ in the overall power of the combined bosonic and fermionic densities. On the other hand, the lowest Saam’s correction due to the renormalized boson-boson interaction is of order $5/2$ in the overall power of the combined bosonic and fermionic densities. The correction due to the renormalized boson-fermion interaction is then the larger one, and thus the one of greatest relevance for the description of dilute Bose-Fermi mixtures beyond mean field, when both densities are of comparable magnitude, or there are vastly more fermions than bosons. We can in principle combine the effects of the renormalization of the boson-fermion and boson-boson couplings to compute all the corrections to order $5/2$ (which will include the ones computed by Saam by taking into account only the renormalized boson-boson interaction). Work is in progress on the determination of the higher-order corrections, and will be reported elsewhere.

We point out that our results are valid for any ratio of the fermionic and bosonic densities. Systems where there are vastly more bosons than fermions are certainly experimentally achievable in dilute atomic gases, and it can in fact be advantageous to have an excess of bosons in order to enhance sympathetic cooling [11]. However, there is in principle no a priori reason to confine theoretical analyses to such systems. In fact, in recent experiments [13,14] the numbers of fermions and bosons are comparable. Thus motivated, in the present paper, as already anticipated, we derive a systematic perturbative expansion for the ground-state energy and other related relevant physical quantities for dilute Bose-Fermi mixtures at zero temperature and for arbitrary ratios of the boson and fermion densities. In this way we determine the lowest-order correction to the mean field in the case of weakly interacting bosons and spin-polarized fermions in terms of the Bose-Fermi gas parameter $k_F a_{BF}$, where $a_{BF}$ is the boson-fermion $s$-wave scattering length. The ground-state energy thus derived can then be implemented, in local density approximation, as the energy functional for the study of the experimentally relevant case of trapped mixtures, in complete analogy with the pure bosonic and pure fermionic cases.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Sec. II we introduce the basic Hamiltonian for a system of interacting bosons and spin-polarized fermions, expressed in its grandcanonical form after performing the Bogoliubov replacement. In Sec. III we define the one-particle Green’s functions needed for a systematic field-theoretical analysis of the boson-boson and boson-fermion interactions, and we determine the associated Feynman rules. In Sec. IV we implement the perturbative expansion by introducing the boson-fermion self-energy and the renormalized boson-fermion $T$ matrix in the ladder approximation and by solving the corresponding Bethe-Salpeter equation to second order in $k_F a_{BF}$. In Sec. V we exploit the results obtained in the previous sections to compute some relevant physical quantities. In particular, we provide the expression for the ground-state energy density to second order in the gas parameter, the bosonic and fermionic chemical potentials, the compressibilities, and the induced fermion-fermion interaction. We then compare the results thus obtained with actual and foreseeable experimental situations to assess the relative importance of higher-order corrections with respect to the mean-field results. In Sec. VI conclusions are drawn and some possible future developments are discussed.

II. SYSTEM

A. Hamiltonian and ground-state energy

1. Many-body Hamiltonian

We consider a homogeneous mixture of interacting bosons and fermions, imposing periodic boundary conditions on a volume $V$. In complete generality there are thus boson-boson, boson-fermion, and fermion-fermion interactions to consider. However, for spin-polarized fermions, there is no $s$-wave scattering contribution to the fermion-fermion interaction [35]. The first nonvanishing contribution is due to $p$-wave scattering, which can generally be neglected when compared to the boson-boson and boson-fermion interactions due to $s$-wave scattering. We thus take into account $s$-wave scattering between bosons, and between bosons and fermions only.
In second-quantized form, the Hamiltonian describing this situation is
\[ \hat{H} = \hat{T}_B + \hat{T}_F + \hat{U} + \hat{V}, \]
where
\[ \hat{T}_B = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_B} \int d^3x \nabla \hat{\Phi}^\dagger(x) \cdot \nabla \hat{\Phi}(x), \]
\[ \hat{T}_F = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_F} \int d^3x \nabla \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(x) \cdot \nabla \hat{\Psi}(x), \]
\[ \hat{U} = \int \int d^3x d^3x' \hat{\Phi}^\dagger(x) \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(x') U(|x-x'|) \hat{\Psi}(x') \hat{\Phi}(x), \]
\[ \hat{V} = \frac{1}{2} \int \int d^3x d^3x' \hat{\Phi}^\dagger(x) \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(x') V(|x-x'|) \hat{\Psi}(x') \hat{\Phi}(x), \]
and where \( \hat{\Phi}(x) \) is a bosonic field operator, \( \hat{\Psi}(x) \) is a fermionic field operator, and \( m_B \) and \( m_F \) are the respective masses of the bosons and fermions. For later reference we also define
\[ \hat{H}_0 = \hat{T}_B + \hat{T}_F, \]
\[ \hat{W} = \hat{U} + \hat{V}. \]

2. Mean-field theory

It is straightforward to determine a zero-temperature mean-field theory for \( \hat{H} \) [20]. Employing the well-known Thomas-Fermi approximation, the mean-field ground-state energy density is
\[ \frac{E}{V} = \frac{3 \hbar^2 k_F^2}{5 \pi^2 m} n_F + \frac{2 \pi \hbar^2 a_{BF}}{m} n_B n_F + \frac{2 \pi \hbar^2 a_{BB}}{m_B} n_B^2, \]
where \( m = m_F m_B / (m_F + m_B) \) is the reduced mass, and \( k_F = (6 \pi^2 n_F)^{1/3} \) is the Fermi wave number [36]. In the case of a pure (unpolarized) fermionic system, the corrections to the ground-state energy density beyond the mean field are given by [23]
\[ \frac{E_F}{V} = \frac{3 \hbar^2 k_F^2}{5 m_F} n_F \left[ 1 + \frac{128}{15} k_F a_F + (k_F a_F)^2 + \cdots \right]. \]
For pure bosons corrections to the ground state have been calculated by, e.g., Hugenholtz and Pines [28] and by Wu [29]. These corrections are obtained via a perturbative expansion in terms of the bosonic gas parameter \( \sqrt{n_B a_{BB}} \). As already mentioned, this parameter is in general smaller than the fermionic gas parameter (see also Sec. V B). Our goal is thus to determine a general expression equivalent to Eq. (9), taking into account boson-fermion interactions, while neglecting corrections proportional to higher powers of the bosonic gas parameter.

B. Bogoliubov replacement and grand-canonical Hamiltonian

In order to determine the energy functional to higher order than in Eq. (8), we will adopt a perturbative approach using one-particle Green’s functions, in a way essentially equivalent to the field-theoretical treatment of pure bosonic and fermionic systems [24,25]. We thus first carry out the Bogoliubov replacement [37], where the condensate bosons are treated as a \( c \)-number field:
\[ \hat{\Phi}(x) = \sqrt{n_0} + \hat{\phi}(x), \]
where \( n_0 = N_0 / V \) is the condensate density, and \( N_0 \) is the number of (condensate) atoms in the \( k=0 \) mode. This prescription breaks particle number conservation (see [38–41] for alternative Bogoliubov replacements that preserve particle number conservation); average particle number conservation is assured by introducing the grand-canonical Hamiltonian
\[ \hat{K} = \hat{H} - \mu_B \hat{N}_B, \]
where \( \mu_B \) is a Lagrange multiplier, to be identified with the boson chemical potential [25]. Substituting Eq. (10) into Eq. (11), the grand-canonical Hamiltonian reads
\[ \hat{K} = \hat{K}_0 + \hat{U}_1 + \hat{U}_2 + \hat{U}_3 + \hat{V}_1 + \hat{V}_2 + \hat{V}_3 + \hat{V}_4 + \hat{V}_5 + \hat{V}_6 + \hat{V}_7, \]
where
\[ \hat{K}_0 = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_B} \int d^3x \nabla \hat{\phi}^\dagger(x) \cdot \nabla \hat{\phi}(x) \]
\[ + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_F} \int d^3x \nabla \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(x) \cdot \nabla \hat{\Psi}(x) - \mu_B \int d^3x \hat{\phi}^\dagger(x) \hat{\phi}(x), \]
\[ \hat{U}_1 = n_0 \int d^3x d^3x' \hat{\Phi}^\dagger(x) \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(x') U(|x-x'|) \hat{\Psi}(x') \hat{\Phi}(x), \]
\[ \hat{U}_2 = \sqrt{n_0} \int d^3x d^3x' \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(x) \hat{\Psi}(x) \]
\[ + H.c., \]
\[ \hat{U}_3 = \int d^3x d^3x' \hat{\phi}^\dagger(x) \hat{\phi}(x) \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(x') U(|x-x'|) \hat{\Psi}(x') \hat{\phi}(x), \]
\[ \hat{V}_1 = \frac{1}{2} n_0 \int d^3x d^3x' V(|x-x'|), \]
\[ \hat{V}_2 = n_0 \sqrt{n_0} \int d^3x d^3x' V(|x-x'|) \hat{\phi}(x) + H.c., \]
\[ \hat{V}_3 = \frac{1}{2} n_0 \int d^3x d^3x' V(|x-x'|) \hat{\phi}(x) \hat{\phi}(x) + H.c., \]
\[ \hat{V}_4 = n_0 \int d^3x d^3x' \phi_i^\dagger(x) V(|x - x'|) \phi_i(x), \]

\[ \hat{V}_5 = n_0 \int d^3x d^3x' \phi_i^\dagger(x) V(|x - x'|) \phi_i(x), \]

\[ \hat{V}_6 = \sqrt{n_0} \int d^3x d^3x' \phi_i^\dagger(x) V(|x - x'|) \phi_i(x) + \text{H.c.,} \]

\[ \hat{V}_7 = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x d^3x' \phi_i^\dagger(x) \phi_i^\dagger(x') V(|x - x'|) \phi_i(x') \phi_i(x). \]

III. SYSTEMATIC PERTURBATION THEORY WITH GREEN'S FUNCTIONS

A. Green's functions: Definitions

The boson (B) and fermion (F) Green's functions for the boson-fermion system are defined as

\[ iG_B^{(n)}(x,t,x',t') = \langle \xi_0 | T[\phi_1(t)\phi_1^\dagger(x',t')] | \xi_0 \rangle, \]

\[ iG_F^{(n)}(x,t,x',t') = \langle \xi_0 | T[\phi_1(t)\phi_1^\dagger(x',t')] | \xi_0 \rangle, \]

where the time argument in \( \hat{\Phi}(x,t) \) and \( \hat{\Psi}(x,t) \) means they evolve according to Heisenberg's equations of motion, \( T \) denotes the time ordered product, and \( | \xi_0 \rangle \) is the ground state of \( \hat{K} \) (we similarly define \( | \xi_0 \rangle \) to be the ground state of \( \hat{K}_0 \)). We use the Bogoliubov replacement to write

\[ iG_B^{(n)}(x,t,x',t') = n_0 + iG_B^{(n)}(x,t,x',t'), \]

where

\[ iG_B^{(n)}(x,t,x',t') = \langle \xi_0 | T[\phi_1(t)\phi_1^\dagger(x',t')] | \xi_0 \rangle, \]

is the propagator for the noncondensate bosons.

B. Perturbative expansion

The Green's functions can be evaluated in perturbation theory [25], where \( \hat{W} \) is the perturbation to \( \hat{K}_0 \). Thus

\[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} iG_B^{(n)}(x,t,x',t') = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} iG_B^{(n)}(x,t,x',t'), \]

\[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} iG_F^{(n)}(x,t,x',t') = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} iG_F^{(n)}(x,t,x',t'), \]

\[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} | \xi_0 \rangle \langle S^{(n)} | \xi_0 \rangle, \]

\[ iG_B^{(n)}(x,t,x',t') = \langle \xi_0 | T[S^{(n)}(x,t)\phi_i(x',t')] | \xi_0 \rangle, \]

\[ iG_F^{(n)}(x,t,x',t') = \langle \xi_0 | T[S^{(n)}(x,t)\phi_i(x',t')] | \xi_0 \rangle, \]

\[ \frac{1}{n!} \int dt_1 \cdots \int dt_n T[\hat{W}(t_1) \cdots \hat{W}(t_n)]. \]

Operators with a tilde are defined to be in the interaction picture, i.e., \( \tilde{O}(t) = \text{exp}(i\hat{N}_0\hbar)O \text{exp}(-i\hat{N}_0\hbar) \). In the limit of a noninteracting system \( \hat{W} \rightarrow 0 \) the Green's functions reduce to the zeroth order terms in the expansions, so that

\[ iG_B^{(0)}(x,t,x',t') = iG_B^{(0)}(x,t,x',t') \]

\[ = \langle \xi_0 | T[\phi_1(t)\phi_1^\dagger(x',t')] | \xi_0 \rangle, \]

\[ iG_F^{(0)}(x,t,x',t') = iG_F^{(0)}(x,t,x',t') \]

\[ = \langle \xi_0 | T[\phi_1(t)\phi_1^\dagger(x',t')] | \xi_0 \rangle. \]

C. Evaluation of terms using Wick's theorem

Equations (30), (31), and (32) can be evaluated by Wick's theorem, which states that the vacuum (noninteracting ground-state) expectation values of time ordered products of operators can be expressed as the sum of all products of contractions of pairs of operators in the time-ordered product [42]. The contraction of two operators is defined as

\[ \tilde{O}(t)^{(i)} \tilde{O}(t)^{(i)} = T[\tilde{O}(t)\tilde{O}(t')] - :\tilde{O}(t)\tilde{O}(t'):, \]

where :\( \tilde{O}(t)\tilde{O}(t') : \) is the normal ordered product. In particular,

\[ \tilde{\phi}(x,t)^{(i)} \tilde{\phi}(x',t')^{(i)} = \tilde{\phi}(x',t')^{(i)} \tilde{\phi}(x,t)^{(i)} = iG_B^{(0)}(x,t,x',t'), \]

\[ \tilde{\Psi}(x,t)^{(i)} \tilde{\Psi}(x',t')^{(i)} = -\tilde{\Psi}(x',t')^{(i)} \tilde{\Psi}(x,t)^{(i)} = iG_B^{(0)}(x,t,x',t'), \]

and all other contractions of pairs of operators in \( \{\tilde{\phi}(x,t), \tilde{\phi}(x',t'), \tilde{\Psi}(x',t'), \tilde{\Psi}(x,t)\} \) vanish (see also Appendix A). Substituting Eqs. (36) and (37) into Eqs. (30), (31), and (32), the first order terms can be determined to be

\[ iG_B^{(1)}(x^\mu,y^\mu) = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \int d^3x d^3y \left\{ U(x^\mu - y^\mu) \times \left[ -n_0 iG_B^{(0)}(y^\mu,y^\mu)iG_B^{(0)}(x^\mu,y^\mu) - iG_B^{(0)}(x^\mu,y^\mu)iG_B^{(0)}(x^\mu,x^\mu) + V(x^\mu - y^\mu) \frac{n_0}{2} iG_B^{(0)}(x^\mu,y^\mu) + n_0 iG_B^{(0)}(x^\mu,x^\mu) iG_B^{(0)}(x^\mu,x^\mu) \right] \right\}, \]
Noting that each connected graph essentially appears as posing such graphs we integrate over all internal variables times, with simple permutations on the labeling, when compared to loops, and the number of interaction lines, \(N\) is the number of interaction lines, \(F\) is the number of closed fermion loops, and \(C\) is the number of dashed boson lines.

**D. Feynman rules**

For homogeneous systems it is convenient to Fourier transform to energy-momentum space, so that

\[
G_B^0(p^\mu) = \frac{1}{p_0^2 - p^2/m_B^2 + \mu_B i\hbar + i\nu},
\]

\[
G_F^0(p^\mu) = \frac{1}{p_0^2 - p^2/2m_F + i\sigma(p-k_F)\nu},
\]

where \(\sigma(k) = 1\) for \(k > 0\) and \(-1\) for \(k < 0\) (we write \(p\) for \([p]\)). The appropriate Feynman rules for the boson (fermion) Green’s function in this representation are then as follows.

1. Draw all topologically distinct connected diagrams with one outgoing external wiggly boson (fermion) line and one incoming external wiggly boson (fermion) line, no external fermion (boson) lines and no internal dashed boson lines, \(n\) zigzag interaction lines, each of which is attached at one vertex to an incoming and an outgoing boson line (either wiggly or dashed), and at the other vertex either to an incoming and an outgoing boson line, or to an incoming and an outgoing (not necessarily distinct) fermion line. Each vertex must be attached to exactly one zigzag interaction line.

2. All wiggly boson lines must run in the same direction and there are no closed boson loops.

3. Each dashed boson line corresponds to a factor of \(\sqrt{n_0}\), each wiggly boson line to a factor of \(G_B^0(k^\mu)\), each fermion line to a factor of \(G_F^0(k^\mu)\), each boson-fermion interaction line to a factor of \(U(k^\mu) = U(k)\), and each boson-fermion interaction line to a factor of \(V(k^\mu) = V(k)\).

4. Assign a direction to each interaction line; associate a directed four-momentum with each line and conserve four-momentum at each vertex. Each dashed boson line carries four-momentum 0 and each wiggly boson line has four-momentum not equal to 0.

5. Integrate over the \(n\) independent four-momenta.

6. Affix a factor of \((i\hbar)^{n}(2\pi)^{-4}\sigma(-1)^{F}(-i)^{C}\), where \(F\) is the number of closed fermion loops and \(C\) is the number of dashed boson lines.

**IV. DETERMINATION OF THE BOSON-FERMION T MATRIX AND SELF-ENERGIES IN LADDER APPROXIMATION**

**A. The Hugenholtz-Pines theorem**

According to the Hugenholtz-Pines theorem \([28,44]\), the bosonic chemical potential \(\mu_B\), defined as

\[
\frac{\partial E/V}{\partial n_B} = \mu_B,
\]

is given by

\[
\mu_B = \hbar \Sigma_B(0) - \hbar \Sigma_{12}(0),
\]

where \(\Sigma_B(0)\) and \(\Sigma_{12}(0)\) are the proper self-energies for the bosons due to their interaction with both bosons and fermions.
ons, evaluated at $p^\mu = 0$ (in what follows we call them the bosonic self-energies). The self-energies are in general related to the Green’s functions by the Dyson equations. The Dyson equation for the bosons is given by

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
G_B^0(p^\mu) & G_{12}(-p^\mu) \\
G_{21}(p^\mu) & G_B^0(-p^\mu)
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
G_B^0(p^\mu) & 0 \\
0 & G_B^0(-p^\mu)
\end{pmatrix}
+ \begin{pmatrix}
G_B^0(p^\mu) & 0 \\
0 & G_B^0(-p^\mu)
\end{pmatrix}
\times
\begin{pmatrix}
\Sigma_B(p^\mu) & \Sigma_{12}(p^\mu) \\
\Sigma_{21}(p^\mu) & \Sigma_B(-p^\mu)
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
G_B^0(p^\mu) & G_{12}(p^\mu) \\
G_{21}(p^\mu) & G_B^0(-p^\mu)
\end{pmatrix},
$$

(47)

where we have introduced the anomalous boson Green’s functions $G_{12}(p^\mu)$ and $G_{21}(p^\mu)$ (defined as the Fourier transforms of $G_{12}(x^\mu, y^\mu) = \langle \xi|T[\hat{\phi}(x^\mu)\hat{\phi}(y^\mu)]\xi \rangle$ and $G_{21}(x^\mu, y^\mu) = \langle \xi|T[\hat{\phi}^\dagger(x^\mu)\hat{\phi}^\dagger(y^\mu)]\xi \rangle$, respectively). The Dyson equation for the fermions takes the much simpler scalar form

$$
G_F(p^\mu) = G_F^0(p^\mu) + G_F^0(p^\mu)\Sigma_F(p^\mu)G_F(p^\mu),
$$

(48)

where $\Sigma_F(p^\mu)$ is the proper self-energy for the fermions due to the interaction with the bosons (the fermionic self-energy).

### B. The self-energies in the ladder approximation

As we are considering a dilute system, in terms of Feynman diagrams only diagrams with interaction lines between two systems of connected propagators are important [24,25] (the ladder approximation). This is expressed in terms of the boson-fermion and boson-boson $T$ matrices in Fig. 2, where the boson-fermion $T$ matrix $T_{BF}$ in the ladder approximation is defined in Fig. 3, the boson-boson $T$ matrix $T_{BB}$ (also in the ladder approximation) is well known from studies of dilute pure Bose systems, and the normal (diagonal) bosonic proper self-energy is given by

$$
\Sigma_B(p^\mu) = \Sigma_{BF}(p^\mu) + \Sigma_{BB}(p^\mu).
$$

(49)

The proper self-energies can thus be determined by adding the proper self-energies of a system of interacting bosons to those of a hypothetical mixed system where there are boson-fermion interactions only [45]. This result arises from our use of the ladder approximation, and is not in general true (there also exist, for example, inseparable three-legged “ladders” consisting of a boson-boson and a boson-fermion ladder joined by a common boson leg, but these clearly describe higher-order processes). For such a hypothetical mixed system, the only self-energies we need to consider and to evaluate are $\Sigma_{BF}(p^\mu)$ and $\Sigma_{BF}(p^\mu)$, which can be written algebraically as

$$
\hbar \Sigma_{BF}(p^\mu) = - \frac{i}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^4k^\mu T_{BF}(p^\mu, k^\mu, p^\mu, k^\mu) G_F^0(k^\mu),
$$

(50)

FIG. 2. The self-energies in the ladder approximation, expressed in terms of the $T$ matrices.

$$
\hbar \Sigma_{BF}(p^\mu) = T_{BF}(0, p^\mu, 0, p^\mu)n_0.
$$

(51)

### C. Bethe-Salpeter equation for $T_{BF}$

The boson-fermion $T$ matrix $T_{BF}$ can also be represented recursively, as shown in Fig. 4. If we now transform to center-of-mass coordinates,

$$
P^\mu = p^\mu_1 + p^\mu_2 = p^\mu_k + p^\mu_1,
$$

(52)

$$
\begin{align*}
k_1^\mu &= (p_1^\mu - p_2^\mu)/2, \\
k_2^\mu &= (p_2^\mu - p_1^\mu)/2,
\end{align*}
$$

the algebraic form of the equation represented in Fig. 4 reads

$$
T_{BF}(k_1^\mu, k_2^\mu, P^\mu) = U(k_1 - k_2) + \frac{i}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^4k U(k_1 - k)
$$

$$
\times \int dk^0 G_B^0(p^\mu/2 + k^\mu)
$$

$$
\times G_F^0(p^\mu/2 - k^\mu) T_{BF}(k_1^\mu, k_2^\mu, P^\mu).
$$

(53)

This is a kind of Bethe-Salpeter integral equation, which we will now solve recursively for low momenta, stopping at order $a_{BF}^2$. As the interactions are instantaneous, the only frequency dependence in $T_{BF}(k_1^\mu, k_2^\mu, P^\mu)$ is in $P^\mu$ [24,25]. Thus, a contour integration over $k^0$ in Eq. (53) yields
We now express Eq. (54) in terms of the free scattering amplitude $f(k_1,k_2)$, by first formally inverting (see Ref. [24])

$$
\frac{2 \pi \hbar^2}{m} f(k_1,k_2) = U(k_2-k_1) + \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^3} \int d^3k \frac{U(k_1-k)T_{BF}(k,k_2,P') \theta(|P/2-k|-k_F)}{\hbar^2 P_0 - \hbar^2 (P/2+k)^2/2m_B - \hbar^2 (P/2-k)^2/2m_B + \mu + i \nu},
$$

and then exploiting the resulting expression to rewrite Eq. (54) as

$$
T_{BF}(k_1,k_2,P') = \frac{2 \pi \hbar^2}{m} f(k_2,k_1) + \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^3} \int d^3k \frac{2 \pi \hbar^2}{m} f(k,k_1) T_{BF}(k,k_2,P') \theta(|P/2-k|-k_F) \left[ \frac{\theta(|P/2-k|-k_F)}{\hbar^2 P_0 - \hbar^2 (P/2+k)^2/2m_B - \hbar^2 (P/2-k)^2/2m_B + \mu + i \nu} - \frac{1}{\hbar^2 k_f^2/2m - \hbar^2 k_f^2/2m + i \nu} \right].
$$

For low momenta the vacuum scattering amplitude $f(k_1,k_2)$ can be expanded to second order in the scattering length $a_{BF}$ (see Ref. [25]):

$$
f(k_1,k_2) \approx a_{BF} - i a_{BF}^2 k,
$$

where $k = k_1 = k_2 \to 0$. We insert this into Eq. (56), iteratively substituting Eq. (56) into itself, and consistently keeping terms up to quadratic order in $a_{BF}$ only. This produces

$$
T_{BF}(k_1,k_2,P') \approx \frac{2 \pi \hbar^2}{m} [a_{BF} - i a_{BF}^2 k_1] + \frac{4 \pi^2 \hbar^4 a_{BF}^2}{(2 \pi)^3 m^2} \int d^3k \left[ \frac{\theta(|P/2-k|-k_F)}{\hbar^2 P_0 - \hbar^2 (P/2+k)^2/2m_B - \hbar^2 (P/2-k)^2/2m_B + \mu + i \nu} - \frac{1}{\hbar^2 k_f^2/2m - \hbar^2 k_f^2/2m + i \nu} \right],
$$

FIG. 3. The boson-fermion $T$ matrix.

FIG. 4. The integral equation for $T_{BF}$.
the renormalized second order expansion of the boson-fermion $T$ matrix. The integral can be evaluated (see Appendix B) to give

$$T_{BF}(k_1,k_2,P^\mu) \approx \frac{2\pi\hbar^2}{m}a_{BF} + \frac{2\hbar^2a_{BF}^2k_F}{m} + \frac{a_{BF}^2k_F^2}{2m^2} \left( \frac{m_B^2 - m B - 2m\sqrt{D - m B D}}{P} \right) \ln \frac{k_F - m P/m_B + \sqrt{D + i\nu/2a_{BF}\sqrt{D}}}{k_F - m P/m_B - \sqrt{D - i\nu/2a_{BF}\sqrt{D}}}$$

$$- \frac{a_{BF}^2\hbar^2}{2m^2} \left( \frac{m_B^2}{P} - \frac{m^2P - 2m\sqrt{D - m B D}}{P} \right) \ln \frac{k_F - m P/m_B + \sqrt{D + i\nu/2a_{BF}\sqrt{D}}}{k_F - m P/m_B - \sqrt{D - i\nu/2a_{BF}\sqrt{D}}}.$$  (59)

where

$$D = -\frac{m}{m_B + m_F} P^2 + \frac{2m P^0}{\hbar} + \frac{2m\mu}{\hbar^2}.$$  (60)

V. PHYSICAL QUANTITIES

A. Bosonic chemical potential

Substituting Eq. (44) into Eq. (50) the equation for $\Sigma_{BF}(p^\mu)$ can be rewritten as

$$\hbar \Sigma_{BF}(p^\mu) = -\frac{i}{2\pi^3} \int d^4q^\mu$$

$$\times T_{BF}(p - q/2, (p - q)/2, p^\mu + q^\mu)$$

$$q^0 - \hbar q^2/2m_F + i \text{sgn}(q - k_F)\nu.$$  (61)

To evaluate this, we substitute Eq. (58) into Eq. (61), and first carry out the frequency integral. As the pole in the complex $q^0$ plane of the integrand in Eq. (58) is below the real axis, in order to get a nonvanishing result the pole of $[q^0 - \hbar q^2/2m_F + i \text{sgn}(q - k_F)\nu]^{-1}$ must be above the real axis ($q<k_F$). The frequency integral is thus readily solved by contour integration. The $k$ integration in Eq. (58) is then very similar to that leading to Eq. (59). The resulting expression for $\hbar \Sigma_{BF}(p^\mu)$ is then

$$\hbar \Sigma_{BF}(p^\mu) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3q \theta(k_F - q)$$

$$\times T_{BF} \left( \frac{p - q}{2} - \frac{q - p}{2}, \left( p^0 + \frac{\hbar q^2}{2m_F} + p^\mu \right) \right).$$  (62)

We wish to similarly solve this integral to second order in $a_{BF}$. In Eq. (59), all terms that depend on $D$ have a prefactor $a_{BF}^2$. Thus, in order to get a result for Eq. (62) that is correct to second order in $a_{BF}$, it is sufficient to use the zeroth order expression for $D$. Specializing to the case where $p^\mu = 0$ this can be written as

$$D^0 = \frac{m_B^2}{(m_B + m_F)^2} q^2.$$  (63)

We now substitute $D^0$ for $D$ in Eq. (59), and, after a straightforward (if lengthy) integration over $q$, arrive at

$$\hbar \Sigma_{BF}(0) = \frac{2\pi\hbar^2 a_{BF}}{m} n_F \left[ 1 + \frac{a_{BF} k_F}{\pi} f(\delta) \right],$$  (64)

where

$$f(\delta) = 1 - \frac{3 + \delta + \frac{3(1 + \delta)^2}{8}\ln \frac{1 + \delta}{1 - \delta}}{4\delta^2}.$$  (65)

Thus, using the expression for $\Sigma_{BF}(0)$ in Eq. (64), and the results from Ref. [27] for $\Sigma_{BF}(0)$ and $\Sigma_{B2}(0)$ (neglecting corrections of the order of the boson gas parameter),

$$\mu_B = \hbar \Sigma_{BF}(0) + \hbar \Sigma_{BB}(0) - \hbar \Sigma_{B2}(0).$$  (66)

This is exactly equivalent to adding $\hbar \Sigma_{BF}(0)$ to the standard mean-field result for the bosonic chemical potential for a pure, self-interacting bosonic system.

B. Ground-state energy density

To obtain the ground-state energy we simply integrate Eq. (45):

$$E = \int_0^{n_B} \frac{1}{\mu(n_F)} dn_F + C(n_F),$$  (68)

where $C(n_F)$ is a quantity that can depend on the fermion density $n_F$ only. Considering the limit $a_{BF} \to 0$, we see that $C(n_F)$ can only be the kinetic energy for free fermions (the Fermi energy density $\epsilon_F$) [25], that is,
where $\delta = (m_B - m_f)/(m_B + m_f)$, proportional to the correction to second order in $\alpha = k_A n_B$ to the energy density functional [Eq. (69)]. The relevant values of $f(\delta)$ for mixtures of $^3$He and $^1$H, $^6$Li and $^7$Li, $^3$He and $^4$He, and $^{40}$K and $^{87}$Rb are indicated. Quantities are dimensionless.

$C(n_F) = \epsilon_F = \frac{3}{5} \frac{\hbar^2 k_F^2}{2m_F} n_F$. (69)

Substituting this and Eq. (67) into Eq. (68), and then integrating, gives, finally,

$$E = \epsilon_F + \epsilon_B + \frac{2\pi \hbar^2 a_{BF}}{m} n_F n_B \left[ 1 + \frac{a_{BF} K_F}{\pi} f(\delta) \right]. (70)$$

where $\epsilon_B = 2 \frac{\pi \hbar^2 a_{BB} n_B^2}{m_B}$ is the bosonic mean-field energy density. Equation (70) is the main result of this paper, being the desired extension of the mean-field result Eq. (8).

It is illuminating to describe Eq. (70) in terms of the dimensionless gas parameters and the dimensionless ratio of the boson and fermion densities:

$$\alpha = a_{BF} K_F,$$  \hspace{1cm} (71)

$$\beta = \sqrt{n_B a_{BB}^3},$$  \hspace{1cm} (72)

$$\eta = \frac{n_B}{n_F},$$  \hspace{1cm} (73)

so that

$$E = \epsilon_F \left( 1 + \frac{20\pi \eta}{1 + \delta} \left( \frac{\eta \beta}{6 \pi^2} \right)^{2/3} + \frac{\alpha}{3 \pi} \left[ 1 + \frac{\alpha}{\pi} f(\delta) \right] \right). (74)$$

The corrective term to second order in $\alpha$ is proportional to the rather complicated function $f(\delta)$, defined in Eq. (65), of the relative mass ratio $\delta$; the value of this function will thus vary considerably depending on the masses of the atomic species used in any given experiment. In Fig. 5 the values for mixtures of $^6$Li and $^7$Li, and $^{40}$K and $^{87}$Rb, corresponding to real experimental configurations currently under investigation, are plotted, as well as that for a mixture of $^3$He and $^4$He, also a likely candidate for future investigation in ultracold dilute gas experiments. The value for a hypothetical mixture of $^3$He and $^1$H is also shown, as it is almost exactly the maximum possible. The function $f(\delta)$ is always positive in the total range $[-1, 1]$ of variations of $\delta$. Note that in the limit $m_B/m_F \to \infty$, one has $\delta \to 1$ and $f(\delta) \to 0$. Thus the second-order correction to the boson-fermion interaction energy and the total boson-boson interaction energy disappear. This is because if the bosons are infinitely massive (compared to a fixed, finite fermion mass), then it is impossible for them to be scattered out of the condensate, and only the boson-fermion mean field interaction remains, since all the bosons can be treated as the (condensate) mean field. In the opposite limit of $m_B/m_F \to 0$, the situation is different, because of the Pauli exclusion principle.

In Fig. 6 we compare the mean-field contributions (a) and second-order correction (b) to the energy functional for a $^6$Li,$^7$Li mixture, for a range of values of $\eta$. The plots correspond to a situation where the scattering lengths $a_{BB}$ = 0.2 nm, $a_{BF}$ = 2.7 nm and the fermion density $n_F$ = 5.1 x $10^{10}$ cm$^{-3}$ are fixed, and compatible with the experiments described in Ref. [14], while the boson density is varied. Note that for any reasonable boson density, the boson gas parameter $\beta$ is indeed very small compared to $\alpha$. In Fig. 7 we do the same for a $^3$He,$^4$He mixture. In this case the interspecies scattering length is unknown; however, we conjecture it to be of the same order of magnitude as the boson-boson scattering length. The plots correspond to a situation where $a_{BB} = a_{BF}$ = 16 nm, and $n_F$ = 3.1 x $10^{13}$ cm$^{-3}$. These values are compatible with current experiments on meta-
stable triplet \(^{4}\text{He}\) condensates [18,19], and are particularly interesting in that the corrections beyond mean field are quite large (of the order of 10\%). The true significance of the boson-fermion interaction energy correction will of course depend on the actual value of the interspecies scattering length. We notice that if the latter turns out to be about one order of magnitude larger than in the pure fermionic and bosonic cases (this is, for instance, what happens for lithium mixtures), then the effect of the correction can be as large as 50\% of the mean-field prediction. Then, of course, corrections proportional to the boson gas parameter also have to be taken into account.

C. Other physical quantities, Bose condensate depletion, and induced fermion-fermion interaction

From Eq. (70) we can readily determine the chemical potential for the fermions \(\mu_{F}\), defined as

\[
\mu_{F} = \left( \frac{\partial E}{\partial n_{F}} \right)_{N_{B},V},
\]

(75)
to be

\[
\mu_{F} = \frac{\hbar^{2}k_{F}^{2}}{2m_{F}} + \frac{2\pi\hbar^{2}a_{BF}}{m}n_{B}\left[1 + \frac{4a_{BF}k_{F}}{3\pi}f(\delta)\right].
\]

(76)
The pressure reads

\[
P = -\left( \frac{\partial E}{\partial V} \right)_{N_{B},n_{F}} = \frac{\hbar^{2}k_{F}^{2}}{5} - \frac{2\pi n_{F}a_{BB}\hbar^{2}}{m_{B}} + \frac{2\pi\hbar^{2}a_{BF}}{m}n_{B}\left[1 + \frac{4a_{BF}k_{F}}{3\pi}f(\delta)\right].
\]

(77)
We then obtain the compressibilities, respectively, for the bosons,

\[
\kappa_{B} = \frac{1}{m_{B}} \left( \frac{\partial P}{\partial n_{B}} \right)_{N_{B},V} = \frac{4\pi n_{F}a_{BB}h^{2}}{m_{B}^{2}} + \frac{2\pi\hbar^{2}a_{BF}}{m_{B}m} n_{F} \times \left[1 + \frac{4a_{BF}k_{F}}{3\pi}f(\delta)\right],
\]

(78)
and for the fermions,

\[
\kappa_{F} = \frac{1}{m_{F}} \left( \frac{\partial P}{\partial n_{F}} \right)_{N_{B},V} = \frac{2\hbar^{2}k_{F}^{2}}{3} + \frac{2\pi\hbar^{2}a_{BF}}{m_{F}m} n_{B} \times \left[1 + \frac{16a_{BF}k_{F}}{9\pi}f(\delta)\right].
\]

(79)
We notice that the possible instabilities induced by the mean-field boson-fermion interaction term in the case of a negative value of \(a_{BF}\) are countered by the beyond mean-field correction, since the latter is always positive.

Concerning the structure of the Bose condensate fraction, in addition to the known depletion due to the boson-boson interaction, we expect in principle a further contribution to depletion due to the interaction of the bosons with the fermions. The depletion is computed in a standard way by integrating the boson propagator for the noncondensed particles \(G_{B}(p^{\mu})\) over the four-momentum. To obtain the boson propagator we have to solve the Dyson equation (47) for \(G_{B}(p^{\mu})\). This yields

\[
G_{B}(p^{\mu}) = \left[ p^{0} + \frac{\hbar p^{2}}{2m_{B}} + \frac{\Sigma_{B}(-p^{\mu}) - \Sigma_{B}(0)}{2} + \Sigma_{12}(0) \right]^{-1},
\]

(80)
where we have made use of the Hugenholtz-Pines relation. The total diagonal bosonic self-energy \(\Sigma_{B}(p^{\mu})\) picks up a boson-boson and a boson-fermion contribution [see Eq. (49)]. It can be easily checked that to first order in \(a_{BB}\) and in \(a_{BF}\), the total diagonal bosonic self-energy does not depend on the four-momentum. Therefore the diagonal self-energy terms in the boson propagator Eq. (80) cancel, \(G_{B}(p^{\mu})\) is independent of \(a_{BF}\), and there is no depletion of the Bose condensate due to the fermions to this order, since the contribution of the fermions to the off-diagonal self-energies...
vanishes anyway in the ladder approximation. The situation will be different to next order in $\alpha_{\text{BF}}$, as in this case the total diagonal bosonic self-energy will depend on the four-momentum. However, the calculation of $\Sigma_{\text{g}}(p^\mu)$ to second order in the boson-fermion scattering length at nonzero four-momentum involves the evaluation of integrals that cannot be carried out analytically in a straightforward way. In conclusion, in the present situation, we will consider the depletion due to the bosons only, which is well known [24,25]:

$$n_B^{-n_0} = \frac{8}{3} \sqrt{\frac{n_B}{\pi}} - n_B. \quad (81)$$

We now turn to a discussion of the fermion-fermion interaction induced by the presence of the bosons. Subtracting the bosonic contribution from the energy density, we get

$$E - \epsilon_B = \epsilon_F + \frac{20 \eta}{3 \pi (1 + \delta)} \alpha + \frac{20 \eta f(\delta)}{3 \pi (1 + \delta) \alpha^2}. \quad (82)$$

This describes the first three terms of a power expansion in $\alpha$, of exactly the same form as that of an imperfect (unpolarized) Fermi gas, although clearly with different coefficients. There is thus, as expected, an induced fermion-fermion interaction, which can now be computed by exploiting the expressions that we have derived for both the bosonic and the fermionic chemical potentials. This will yield a modification of the known induced fermion-fermion interaction previously discussed in the mean-field approximation [17]. The expression for the induced interaction at zero energy-momentum transfer $U_{\text{ind}}(q^\mu = 0)$ is

$$U_{\text{ind}}(q^\mu = 0) = \frac{\partial \mu_F}{\partial n_F} \bigg|_{\mu_B} - \frac{\partial \mu_F}{\partial n_F} - \left( \frac{\partial \mu_F}{\partial n_B} \right) \frac{\partial n_B}{\partial \mu_B}, \quad (83)$$

which in our case reads

$$U_{\text{ind}}(q^\mu = 0) = -\frac{4 \pi \hbar^4 (1 - \delta^2)}{m_F k_F (6 \pi^2)^{1/3} \beta^{2/3}} \left( 1 + 4 f(\delta) \alpha / 3 \pi \right). \quad (84)$$

The extension to finite momentum transfer is achieved by introducing the boson density-density response function $\chi(q^\mu)$, and is represented diagrammatically in Fig. 8, where two fermions interact by exchanging a boson density fluctuation wave. This is the simplest diagram by which two fermions can interact via the exchange of a bosonic excitation.

The density-density response function $\chi(q^\mu)$ is independent of $\alpha_{\text{BF}}$ to first order. This can be easily verified following the same line of reasoning described previously in the analysis of the bosonic propagator. Thus its expression is the same as in the pure bosonic case (to this order):

$$\chi(q^\mu) = \frac{\hbar n_0 q^2 / m_B}{(q^0)^2 - (\hbar q^2 / 2m_B)^2 - 4 \pi \hbar^3 n_0 a_{\text{BB}} q^2 / m_B^2}. \quad (85)$$

The vertex $g_{\text{FF}}$ can be determined by considering the limiting expression for $\chi(q^\mu)$ as $q^\mu \to 0$. In this case the expression for the diagram given in Fig. 8 must reduce to the expression given in Eq. (84). It follows that

$$g_{\text{FF}} = \frac{2 \sqrt{\pi \hbar^2 a_{\text{BF}}}}{m} [1 + 4 f(\delta) a_{\text{BF}} k_F / 3 \pi]. \quad (86)$$

Then the induced interaction potential in the static case ($q^0 = 0$) reads

$$U_{\text{ind}}(0, q) = -\frac{4 \pi \hbar^4 a_{\text{BF}}^2 [1 + 4 f(\delta) a_{\text{BF}} k_F / 3 \pi]^2}{m^2} \times \frac{n_0}{\hbar q^2 / 4 m_B + 4 \pi \hbar^2 n_0 a_{\text{BB}} / m_B}. \quad (87)$$

In real space this is

$$U_{\text{ind}}(0, q) = \frac{4 \hbar^2 m_B n_0 a_{\text{BF}}^2 [1 + 4 f(\delta) a_{\text{BF}} k_F / 3 \pi]^2}{m^2} \times \frac{e^{\xi r}}{r}, \quad (88)$$

where

$$\xi^2 = \frac{1}{8 \pi n_0 a_{\text{BF}}}. \quad (89)$$

We observe that, compared to the mean-field result [17], while there are quantitative modifications in the prefactors, there is no qualitative change in the form of the induced interaction, i.e., we still have an attractive Yukawa potential. Modifications in the analytic form of the induced fermion-fermion interaction potential will appear only once second order effects in the boson-fermion scattering length $a_{\text{BF}}$ to the depletion of the Bose condensate are included.

VI. DISCUSSION AND OUTLOOK

In summary, we have determined ground-state properties of a homogeneous system of bosons mixed with spin-polarized fermions at zero temperature. We have calculated the boson-fermion $T$ matrix and the corresponding self-energies. We have then shown how to incorporate the effects
of the boson-boson interaction and derived some relevant physical quantities of the system, in particular the ground-state energy. The importance of the corrections beyond mean field has been discussed in several different instances of experimental interest. For mixtures of bosonic and fermionic helium we have shown that the terms beyond mean field may yield significant corrections (up to 50% of the mean-field result). We have provided partial results also on two very significant physical quantities, namely, the Bose condensate fraction and the induced fermion-fermion interaction. To provide more quantitative predictions for these quantities, as well as for the BCS transition temperature, we will need to compute in detail the corrections to second order in the boson-fermion scattering length. Results of this analysis, which go beyond the scope of the present paper, will appear in a forthcoming work, together with a detailed numerical analysis of the conditions for stability and for phase separation. Collective modes, effective fermion mass, and excitation spectra fully evaluated to second order in the boson-boson interaction and derived some relevant properties like the parameters describing the shape of the interaction potentials will become important and will have to be taken properly into account, as has been recently done in the pure bosonic case \cite{31,47}.
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APPENDIX A: NORMAL ORDERED PRODUCTS AND THE VACUUM STATE

If we expand the field operators in terms of momentum eigenstates we get

\[
\hat{\Phi}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{e}^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \hat{a}_0 + \hat{\phi}(\mathbf{x}),
\]

\[
\hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{e}^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} = \hat{\psi}_1(\mathbf{x}) + \hat{\psi}_2(\mathbf{x}),
\]

with

\[
\hat{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{|\mathbf{k}| > 0} \hat{a}_\mathbf{k} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}},
\]

\[
\hat{\psi}_1(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{|\mathbf{k}| > k_F} \hat{b}_\mathbf{k} e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}},
\]

\[
\hat{\psi}_2(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{|\mathbf{k}| < k_F} \hat{b}_\mathbf{k} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}}.
\]

In terms of the bosonic and fermionic occupation number operators \(\hat{N}_B(\mathbf{k}) = \hat{a}_\mathbf{k} \hat{a}_\mathbf{k}^\dagger\) and \(\hat{N}_F(\mathbf{k}) = \hat{b}_\mathbf{k} \hat{b}_\mathbf{k}^\dagger\) the ground state of the noninteracting system \(|\xi_0\rangle\) can be characterized by

\[
\hat{N}_B(\mathbf{0}) \cdot |\xi_0\rangle = N_B |\xi_0\rangle, \quad (A6)
\]

\[
\hat{N}_B(\mathbf{k}) \cdot |\xi_0\rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } |\mathbf{k}| > 0, \quad (A7)
\]

\[
\hat{N}_F(\mathbf{k}) \cdot |\xi_0\rangle = |\xi_0\rangle \quad \text{for } |\mathbf{k}| < k_F, \quad (A8)
\]

\[
\hat{N}_F(\mathbf{k}) \cdot |\xi_0\rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } |\mathbf{k}| > k_F, \quad (A9)
\]

where \(N_B\) is the total number of bosons, which in this case coincides with the number of zero-momentum bosons \(N_0\) (Bose-Einstein condensate). In occupation number representation we thus have

\[
|\xi_0\rangle = |N_{B,0,0,\ldots} \otimes |1,1,\ldots,1,0,0,\ldots\rangle_F \quad (A10)
\]

where the subscript \(B\) refers to the boson Hilbert space and \(F\) to the fermion Hilbert space. The change from \(1\) to \(0\) in the fermion state happens at \(k_F\). Additionally

\[
\hat{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot |\xi_0\rangle = \hat{\psi}_1(\mathbf{x}) \cdot |\xi_0\rangle = \hat{\psi}_2(\mathbf{x}) \cdot |\xi_0\rangle = 0. \quad (A11)
\]
In this sense the ground state can be regarded as the vacuum state with respect to fermions excited above the Fermi sea, the fermion holes below the Fermi sea, and the noncondensate bosons.

The normal product is defined on pairs of creation and destruction operators:

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\phi}(x,t) \tilde{\phi}^\dagger(x',t') &= \tilde{\phi}^\dagger(x',t') \tilde{\phi}(x,t), \\
\tilde{\psi}_j(x,t) \tilde{\psi}_k^\dagger(x',t') &= -\tilde{\psi}_k^\dagger(x',t') \tilde{\psi}_j(x,t), \\
\tilde{\phi}(x,t) \tilde{\psi}_j^\dagger(x',t') &= \tilde{\phi}^\dagger(x',t') \tilde{\phi}(x,t), \\
\tilde{\psi}_j(x,t) \tilde{\phi}^\dagger(x',t') &= \tilde{\phi}^\dagger(x',t') \tilde{\psi}_j(x,t),
\end{align*}
\]  

(A12)

for \( j, k \in \{1, 2\} \). For all other pairs of creation and destruction operators the normal product is the same as the ordinary operator product. It can also be readily determined that

\[
[I] = \int d^3k \frac{\theta(|\mathbf{P}/2 - \mathbf{k}| - k_F)}{\hbar^2 P^0 - \hbar^2 (\mathbf{P}/2 + \mathbf{k})^2/2m_B - \hbar^2 (\mathbf{P}/2 - \mathbf{k})^2/2m_F + \mu + i\nu}.
\]  

(B1)

Transforming the integration variables to \( \mathbf{P}/2 - \mathbf{k} \) gives

\[
[I] = \int d^3k \frac{\theta(|\mathbf{k}| - k_F)}{\hbar^2 k^2/2m - \hbar^2 \mathbf{P}. \mathbf{k}/m_B - \hbar^2 P^0 + \hbar^2 P^2/2m_B - \mu - i\nu}.
\]  

(B2)

Setting \( a = \hbar^2/2m \), \( b = \hbar^2 P/m_B \), and \( E = -\hbar P^0 + \hbar^2 P^2/2m_B - \mu \) and transforming to spherical coordinates, we get

\[
\begin{align*}
[I] &= 2\pi \int_{k_F}^{k_c} dkk^2 \int_0^\pi \frac{d\phi}{\sin \phi} \frac{1}{ak^2 - bk \cos \phi + E - i\nu} \\
&= 2\pi \int_{k_F}^{k_c} \frac{d\phi}{\sin \phi} \frac{1}{ak^2 - bk + E - i\nu},
\end{align*}
\]  

(B3)

where we will ultimately consider the limit \( k_c \rightarrow \infty \). Using \( D = (b/2a)^2 - E/a \) we can approximate for small \( \nu \) (if \( D \neq 0 \); the case \( D = 0 \) can be treated similarly and gives the same answer as taking the limit \( D \rightarrow 0 \) at the very end):

\[
[I] = -\frac{2\pi m_B}{\hbar^2 P} \int_{k_F}^{k_c} \frac{d\phi}{P} \ln \left( \frac{k + mP/m_B + \sqrt{D + i\nu}2a\sqrt{D}}{k - mP/m_B - \sqrt{D - i\nu}2a\sqrt{D}} \right) \\
+ \ln \left( \frac{k + mP/m_B - \sqrt{D - i\nu}2a\sqrt{D}}{k - mP/m_B + \sqrt{D + i\nu}2a\sqrt{D}} \right).
\]  

(B4)

The integral can be solved [46] to give

\[
[\tilde{\phi}(x,t), \tilde{\phi}^\dagger(x',t')] = \langle \xi_0 | \tilde{\phi}(x,t) \tilde{\phi}^\dagger(x',t') | \xi_0 \rangle,
\]

\[
\{ \tilde{\psi}_j(x',t'), \tilde{\psi}_j^\dagger(x,t) \} = \langle \xi_0 | \tilde{\Psi}^\dagger(x',t') \tilde{\Psi}(x,t) | \xi_0 \rangle,
\]

(A13)

and all other (anti)commutators are zero. With Eqs. (A12) and (A13), the contractions of Eq. (37) can be readily evaluated.

APPENDIX B: EVALUATION OF THE T MATRIX AND COUPLING CONSTANT RENORMALIZATION

1. The first integral \( I \)

We define

\[
\lim_{k_c \rightarrow \infty} I = -8\pi mk_c \frac{4\pi mk_F}{\hbar^2} + \frac{\pi}{\hbar^2} \left( \frac{m_B k_F^2}{P} - m_F^2 P/m_B \right) - 2m\sqrt{D} \\
- \frac{m_B D}{P} \ln \left( \frac{k_F + mP/m_B + \sqrt{D + i\nu}2a\sqrt{D}}{k_F - mP/m_B - \sqrt{D - i\nu}2a\sqrt{D}} \right) \\
- \frac{\pi}{\hbar^2} \left( \frac{m_B k_F^2}{P} - m_F^2 P/m_B \right) + 2m\sqrt{D} - \frac{m_B D}{P} \right) \ln \left( \frac{k_F + mP/m_B + \sqrt{D + i\nu}2a\sqrt{D}}{k_F - mP/m_B - \sqrt{D - i\nu}2a\sqrt{D}} \right).
\]  

(B5)

where outside the logarithms we have taken the limit \( \nu \rightarrow 0 \) (simply setting \( \nu = 0 \)), and we have made use of the identity

\[
\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^2 \ln \frac{1 + a/x}{1 - a/x} = 2a x,
\]  

(B6)

for the limit \( k_c \rightarrow \infty \). There remains an ultraviolet divergent term; the boson-fermion \( T \) matrix [Eq. (58)] is, however, ultimately renormalized by the second integral.

The real part of \( I \) is readily evaluated in the limit \( \nu \rightarrow 0 \) by setting \( \nu = 0 \) and using the absolute values inside the logarithms:
Using the identity (easily evaluated by polar decomposition)

\[
\lim_{v \to 0} \text{Im} \ln \frac{a + iv}{b - iv} = \begin{cases} 
0, & \text{sgn}(a) = \text{sgn}(b), \\
\pi, & \text{sgn}(a) \neq \text{sgn}(b),
\end{cases}
\]

the imaginary part of \( \mathcal{I} \) in the limit \( v \to 0 \) can be evaluated to be

\[
\lim_{v \to 0} \text{Im} \mathcal{I} = -\frac{\pi^2}{h^2} \left( \frac{m_B k_F^2}{P} - \frac{m^2 P}{m_B} - 2m \sqrt{D} - \frac{m_B D}{P} \right) \quad (B7)
\]

if \( D > 0 \) and \( k_F < |m P / m_B - \sqrt{D}| \);

\[
\lim_{v \to 0} \text{Im} \mathcal{I} = -\frac{4\pi^2 m \sqrt{D}}{h^2} \quad (B10)
\]

if \( D > 0 \) and \( |m P / m_B - \sqrt{D}| < k_F < m P / m_B + \sqrt{D} \); and

\[
\lim_{v \to 0} \text{Im} \mathcal{I} = 0 \quad (B11)
\]

if \( D \leq 0 \) or \( k_F > m P / m_B + \sqrt{D} \).

---

[35] A. Galindo and P. Pascual, Quantum Mechanics (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990), Vol. II.
[36] This is only true for spin-polarized fermions. In general $k_F = [6 \pi^2 n_s/(2s+1)]^{1/3}$, where $s$ is the spin degeneracy.
[43] Considering Eq. (7.12) of Ref. [25], we take $V(x,x')_{\alpha'\alpha \beta'\beta} = U(x,x')\delta_{\alpha\alpha'}\delta_{\beta\beta'}\delta_{\alpha\alpha'}\delta_{\beta\beta'} + V(x,x')\delta_{\alpha\alpha'}\delta_{\beta\beta'}\delta_{\alpha\alpha'}\delta_{\beta\beta'}$, and replace $\hat{\phi}_{1/2}(x)$ by $\hat{\phi}(x)$ and $\hat{\phi}_{-1/2}(x)$ by $\hat{\Psi}(x)$. The derivation is then the same, apart from some sign factors.
[44] The proof of the validity of the Hugenholtz-Pines theorem can be adopted literally from the pure boson case, since it is based on how to replace condensate lines by noncondensate propagators; a procedure which is unchanged in the present situation.