Ca isotope stratigraphy across the Cenomanian–Turonian OAE 2: Links between volcanism, seawater geochemistry, and the carbonate fractionation factor

Alice D.C. Du Vivier, Andrew D. Jacobson, Gregory O. Lehn, David Selby, Matthew T. Hurtgen, Bradley B. Sageman

A R T I C L E   I N F O

Article history:
Received 15 August 2014
Received in revised form 28 January 2015
Accepted 1 February 2015
Available online 21 February 2015

Editor: J. Lynch-Stieglitz

Keywords:
OAE 2
Ca isotopes
ocean acidification
volcanism
isotope fractionation
carbonate burial

A B S T R A C T

The Ca isotope composition of marine carbonate rocks offers potential to reconstruct drivers of environmental change in the geologic past. This study reports new, high-precision Ca isotope records ($\delta^{44/40}\text{Ca}$; $2\sigma_{\text{SD}} = 0.04\%$) for three sections spanning a major perturbation to the Cretaceous ocean–climate system known as Ocean Anoxic Event 2 (OAE 2): central Colorado, USA (Portland #1 core), southeastern France (Pont d’Isole), and Hokkaido, Japan (Oyuhari, Yezo Group). In addition, we generated new data for selected samples from Eastbourne, England (English Chalk), where a previous Ca isotope study was completed using different methodology (Blättler et al., 2011). Strata of the Yezo Group contain little carbonate (~1 wt.% on average) and accordingly did not yield a clear $\delta^{44/40}\text{Ca}$ signal. The Portland core and the Pont d’Isole section display comparable $\delta^{44/40}\text{Ca}$ values, which increase by ~0.10~0.15\% at the onset of OAE 2 and then decrease to near-initial values across the event. The Eastbourne $\delta^{44/40}\text{Ca}$ values are higher than previously reported. They are also higher than the $\delta^{44/40}\text{Ca}$ values for the Portland core and the Pont d’Isole section but define a similar pattern. According to a numerical model of the marine Ca cycle, elevated hydrothermal inputs have little impact on seawater $\delta^{44/40}\text{Ca}$ values. Elevated riverine (chemical weathering) inputs produce a transient negative isotope excursion, which significantly differs from the positive isotope excursions observed in the Portland, Pont d’Isole, and Eastbourne records. A decrease in the magnitude of the carbonate fractionation factor provides the best explanation for a positive shift in $\delta^{44/40}\text{Ca}$ values, especially given the rapid nature of the excursion. Because a decrease in the fractionation factor corresponds to an increase in the Ca/CO$_3^2-$ ratio of seawater, we tentatively attribute the positive Ca isotope excursion to transient ocean acidification, i.e., a reduction in the concentration of CO$_2^-$ during CO$_2$ uptake. Recent studies utilizing a variety of isotope proxies, e.g., Nd, Os, and Pb, implicate eruption of the Caribbean Large Igneous Province as a likely source of increased CO$_2$. Moreover, integration of C, Ca, and Os isotope data reveals new information about the timing of events during the onset of OAE 2.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Several geologic and geochemical phenomena cycle Ca within and between the Earth’s lithosphere, biosphere, and hydrosphere. The Ca and C cycles intersect through chemical weathering, carbonate precipitation, and other processes that influence global climate (e.g., Urey, 1952; Walker et al., 1981; Berner et al., 1983). The isotopic analysis of Ca ($\delta^{44/40}\text{Ca}$) in marine carbonate rocks offers potential to reconstruct changes in the Ca cycle and thus inform our understanding of the C cycle and climate across a variety of time scales. This study presents marine carbonate $\delta^{44/40}\text{Ca}$ values across a major perturbation to the ocean–climate system known as Oceanic Anoxic Event (OAE) 2 (Schlanger and Jenkyns, 1976).

Oceanic Anoxic Events were first defined based on recognition of coeval black shale horizons in epeiric and deep sea sites of Mesozoic age (Schlanger and Jenkyns, 1976). The onset of such events has been commonly defined by significant shifts in the stable carbon isotope signature of both carbonate and organic
matter (δ^{13}C_{\text{carb}} and δ^{13}C_{\text{org}}). These shifts are interpreted to reflect major increases in the benthic flux of organic carbon from primary production in surface waters and the widespread prevalence of sub-oxic to anoxic or euxinic conditions in deep waters (Arthur and Sageman, 1994; Jenkyns, 2010 and references therein). Among various Cretaceous OAEs, the Cenomanian–Turonian OAE 2 may represent the most significant perturbation in the ocean-climate system; it is certainly one of the most widespread and extensively studied events. Based on recent development of integrated radioisotopic and astrochronologic time scales for the OAE 2 study interval in the Western Interior U.S., the event had a duration of ~600 kyr and spanned the Cenomanian–Turonian stage boundary (CTB), which is constrained to 93.90 Ma in the Late Cretaceous (Sageman et al., 2006; Meyers et al., 2012). Similar duration estimates have now been established for key reference sections in Europe, such as Wunstorf (e.g., Voigt et al., 2008; Du Vivier et al., 2014) and a Pacific section (Du Vivier et al., in press).

The driving mechanism for ocean anoxia has long been debated, and many models have been proposed (e.g., Arthur and Sageman, 1994; Jenkyns, 2010 and references therein). In recent years, consensus has settled on enhanced primary production as the key factor (e.g., Higgins et al., 2012) rather than reduced mechanisms involving “preservation,” such as sluggish ocean circulation (Demaison and Moore, 1980). A number of studies have invoked elevated hydrothermal activity, perhaps related to higher sea floor spreading rates and large igneous province (LIP) eruptions (Larson and Erba, 1999), leading to several potential impacts, including CO₂ degassing, transient warming, acceleration of the hydrological cycle, massive input of reduced compounds to the oceans, and higher weathering rates on land (e.g., Sinton and Duncan, 1997; Kerr, 1998; Jones and Jenkyns, 2001). The last effect, which could increase nutrient fluxes to the marine realm, provides a possible driver for higher primary production and is supported by evidence for increased weathering inputs and higher phosphorus availability associated with the onset of OAE 2 (Mort et al., 2007a, 2007b; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2013; Du Vivier et al., 2014). Some authors have also argued for benthic marine sources of recycled phosphorus (e.g., Mort et al., 2007a, 2007b; Adams et al., 2010).

Given this background, it is clear why isotope proxies sensitive to changes in hydrothermal and weathering fluxes, as well as redox processes, might find useful application in OAE studies. In fact, distinctive signals in Li, Nd, Os, Pb, Sr, and U isotopes have all been identified in association with the OAE 2 carbon isotope excursion (Schlanger et al., 1987; Clarke and Jenkyns, 1999; McArthur et al., 2004; Frijia and Parente, 2008; MacLeod et al., 2008; Turgeon and Creaser, 2008; Montoya-Pino et al., 2010; Kuroda et al., 2011; Martin et al., 2012; Pogge von Strandmann et al., 2013; Zheng et al., 2013; Du Vivier et al., 2014). The collective value of these various isotope systems stems from differences in their oceanic residence times, as well as differences in their specific sources and sinks. For example, the long-term shift toward lower Sr isotope ratios spanning the Cenomanian to Turonian appears consistent with large-scale submarine volcanism and has been related to both the Ontong-Java Plateau and the Caribbean Large Igneous Province (CLIP) (Leckie et al., 2002; Snow et al., 2005). Recent Nd (Zheng et al., 2013) and Ar (Tegner et al., 2011) isotope studies have indicated that the High Arctic LIP was also contemporaneous with the CTB. Similarly, the comparatively short residence time of Os in seawater has allowed the short-lived (~300 kyr) abrupt shift to unradiogenic Os at the onset of OAE 2 (Turgeon and Creaser, 2008), identified at numerous globally distributed localities (Du Vivier et al., 2014), to be interpreted as a distinctive signal of LIP emplacement that coincides with OAE 2.

Calcium provides an additional isotope system that is more directly linked to the carbon cycle. Many studies have addressed controls on the Ca isotope evolution of seawater (De la Rocha and DePaolo, 2000; Gussone et al., 2003, 2005, 2006; Böhm et al., 2006, 2009; Sime et al., 2007; Farkaš et al., 2007a, 2007b; Griffith et al., 2008; Fantle, 2010; Blättler et al., 2012; Holmden et al., 2012; Fantle and Tipper, 2014). Interpretations of marine Ca isotope data are challenging because riverine and hydrothermal inputs exhibit limited isotopic contrast, and the main output (carbonate sedimentation) also elicits a relatively large mass-dependent isotope fractionation, which itself is variable and subject to several biophysical and physical forcing mechanisms (Gussone et al., 2003, 2005, 2006; Farkaš et al., 2007b; Fantle, 2010; Nielsen et al., 2012). Although Ca has a relatively long residence time in the modern ocean (~0.5–1 Ma; Schmitz et al., 2003; Farkaš et al., 2007a; Holmden et al., 2012; Fantle and Tipper, 2014), geologically instantaneous changes to the weathering and hydrothermal inputs could manifest in marine Ca isotope records, if the changes are sufficiently large (Blättler et al., 2011).

In a previous study of OAE 2, Blättler et al. (2011) analyzed the Ca isotope composition of two chalk-limestone sections from Eastbourne and South Ferriby, UK. The authors identified a negative isotope excursion, which they attributed to enhanced chemical weathering and delivery of terrestrial Ca to the oceans. While other isotope proxies, such as Nd and Os, have been interpreted to indicate massive submarine hydrothermal inputs directly related to LIPs (MacLeod et al., 2008; Martin et al., 2012; Zheng et al., 2013; Du Vivier et al., 2014, in press), such results are complementary rather than contradictory. Different elements and their isotopes have different properties and behaviors, and it is reasonable to assume that massive increases in volcanism could lead to increased weathering rates. However, the timescales over which changes in weathering affect marine biogeochemical cycles are generally long, whereas most OAEs are characterized by the rapid onset of organic carbon burial, which in some cases, appears synchronous with evidence for increased volcanism.

To better understand how the marine Ca isotope cycle behaved across OAE 2, we employed a new high-precision MC-TIMS method (Lehn et al., 2013) to measure δ^{44/40}Ca values from three globally representative OAE 2 sites: Portland #1 core, USA (Western Interior Seaway), the Pont d’Issole section, SE France (European pelagic shelf), and the Oyubari site, Yezo Group, Japan (Pacific Ocean) (Fig. 1). Carbonate rocks sampled in the Portland core and Pont d’Issole sections range from calcareous shales to limestones. The Oyubari samples include mainly sandstone and mudstone lithofacies. In addition, we analyzed a subset of the same chalk samples from the Eastbourne section previously studied by Blättler et al. (2011).

2. Methods

2.1. Localities and geological background

Site descriptions and correlation methodology are presented in a supplementary information file. Two of the sections (Portland and Pont d’Issole) represent shallow basin hemipelagic settings with interbedded limestone and calcareous mudstone lithofacies. Paleowater depths for both were most likely within 100–300 m at maximum highstand (Arthur and Sageman, 1994; Jarvis et al., 2011). A third section (Eastbourne) represents a shallow carbonate platform with predominantly chalk lithofacies and thin marl seams, although the OAE 2 interval has much thicker marls (lower carbonate content). Water depths were likely similar or more shallow based on evidence of wave base interaction (Jarvis et al., 2006).
Fig. 1. Palaeogeographic map of the late Cretaceous. Four study sections are representative of global paleo-basins. P – Portland #1 core, USA (Western Interior Seaway, WIS); Pdi – Pont d’Isole, SE France (North Atlantic); E – Eastbourne, UK (North Atlantic); OY – Yezo Group, Oyubari, Japan (Pacific Ocean). The High Arctic and Caribbean Large Igneous Provinces are marked on the map as HALIP and CLIP.

Fig. 2. Section stratigraphy. Stratigraphy for the USGS #1 Portland core, USA (redrawn from Sageman et al., 2006), the Pont d’Isole section in SE France, the Eastbourne, UK section (redrawn from Jarvis et al., 2011), and the Yezo Group section in Oyubari, Japan (redrawn from Takashima et al., 2011). First and last occurrences of selected index taxa compiled from Kennedy et al. (2005), Caron et al. (2006), Jarvis et al. (2011), and Takashima et al. (2011) are marked, and a numbering scheme is applied for reference in subsequent text and supplemental figures. Although this figure employs the last occurrence of R. cushmani as a correlation datum, subsequent figures are hung on the A datum defined by the onset of the C isotope excursion. The stratigraphic thickness of the OAE 2 interval, which is shaded for each section, reflects a variation of the chemostratigraphic approach defining widely recognized elements of the C isotope excursion (A, B and C datums; see supplementary information for explanation).

The final section (Oyubari) is a shallow marine siliciclastic succession with abundant terrestrial organic matter. Sedimentologic observations suggest shallow marine depths for the C–T boundary interval (Takashima et al., 2011). A stratigraphic issue of particular relevance to this study concerns the relative conformity of the sections and their correlation. There are minor hiatuses associated with the onset of OAE 2 in the Portland and Eastbourne sections (see Figs. 2, 3), which are discussed below in more detail.
2.2. Sample preparation

Aliquots of powdered samples from the Portland core and Pont d’Issole section were taken from previous Os isotope studies (Du Vivier et al., 2014, in press). All samples were collected from core or outcrop and cleaned to remove any core drill marks or weathered material. Samples were dried overnight, and 30 g of bulk rock was crushed and homogenized in an agate dish (Kendall et al., 2009). Eastbourne samples were prepared as described in Blättler et al. (2011).

2.3. Ca isotope ratios and CaCO₃ contents

Calcium isotope ratios (44Ca/40Ca) were measured using an optimized 44Ca-43Ca double-spike MC-TIMS technique recently introduced by Lehn et al. (2013). Calcium concentrations per gram of bulk sample were determined by isotope dilution. These data were converted to wt. % carbonate assuming stoichiometric CaCO₃. All analyses were made in the Radioisotopic Isotope Clean Laboratory at Northwestern University using a Thermo Fisher Triton MC-TIMS. Approximately 5 mg of powdered sample was loaded into acid-cleaned Teflon vials and dissolved in 10 mL 5% HNO₃ overnight at room temperature. Solutions were passed through acid-cleaned 0.45 μm polypropylene filters to remove insoluble residue. Calcium concentrations in the filtered samples were measured using a Thermo Scientific iCAP 6500 ICP-OES, and aliquots containing 50 μg of Ca were weighed into acid-cleaned Teflon vials and spiked. The vials were capped and gently heated at ~60 °C overnight to ensure complete sample-spike equilibration. The mixtures were eluted through Teflon columns packed with Bio-Rad AG MP-50 cation exchange resin to isolate Ca from matrix elements. After drying the purified fractions, two drops of 35% H₂O₂ were added to oxidize organic compounds, and two drops of concentrated 16 N HNO₃ were added to convert Ca to nitrate form. Approximately 10–16 μg of Ca was loaded onto single filament assemblies containing degassed Ta ribbon, and 0.5 mg of 10% H₃PO₄ was added before drying at 3.5 amps. Ultrapure reagents were used for all steps and procedural blanks were negligible. Sample to blank ratios were ~500:1 or better. In the mass spectrometer, a 20 V 40Ca ion beam was attained after a 0.5 h warm-up, and 40Ca/42Ca, 43Ca/42Ca, and 43Ca/44Ca ratios were measured with a three-hop collector cup configuration for a total of 90 duty cycles requiring an additional 2.5 h. The 41K beam was monitored during the first hop to ensure that 40K did not isobarically interfere with 40Ca. No corrections were required. Runs were carefully monitored to ensure the absence of significant filament reservoir effects, which can manifest as reverse fractionation in the uncorrected ratios and/or trends in the corrected ratios, after processing through the double-spike data reduction equations. All sample (SMP) 44Ca/40Ca ratios are reported in delta notation relative to OSIL Atlantic Seawater (SW), where δ44/40Ca = [(44Ca/40Ca)SMP/(44Ca/40Ca)SW − 1] × 1000. The internal precision of the measurements is ±0.02–0.03‰ (2σSEM). Long-term accuracy for the method is continuously monitored by repeated analyses of the following standards, which are interspersed among samples during an analytical session: OSIL SW [δ44/40Ca = 0.000 ± 0.003‰ (2σSEM, n = 159)], NIST SRM915a [δ44/40Ca = −1.862 ± 0.006‰ (2σSEM, n = 55)], and NIST SRM915b [δ44/40Ca = −1.132 ± 0.004‰ (2σSEM, n = 104)]. These data correspond to a global long-term, external reproducibility of ±0.04‰ (2σsp) (Lehn et al., 2013), which is the uncertainty adopted for the present study. A recent review paper (Fantle and Tipper, 2014) has argued that the standard error of the mean, based on multiple analyses of samples, better represents external reproducibility because standards often have simple matrices that obviate the need for column chemistry and real samples have more complex matrices that can translate into outlier measurements. We process OSIL SW (a matrix-rich standard) through column chemistry following the same protocol as samples (50 μg of Ca at a time) and observed no outliers for the 159 analyses reported above. Nor have we observed outliers for the 114 additional OSIL SW measurements made since collecting the present dataset. Although the Lehn et al. (2013) method consistently yields excellent results for OSIL SW, we analyzed 20 replicates, mostly from the Portland core, to confirm that the uncertainty determined from the repeated analysis of standards can be used as a proxy for the uncertainty assigned to samples. As shown in the supplementary data tables, the repli-
cate analyses reveal no cause for concern. For a 2σ₀ uncertainty of ±0.04‰, results could in theory differ by 0.08‰. One duplicate yielded a maximum difference of 0.07‰, but on average, the difference is only 0.03‰.

3. Results

The δ⁴⁴/⁴⁰Ca values and wt.% CaCO₃ data are presented in supplementary material Tables S1–S4. The δ⁴⁴/⁴⁰Ca values are plotted in Fig. 4 as a function of stratigraphic height for the Portland (n = 52), Pont d’Issole (n = 13), Eastbourne (n = 11), and Oyubari (n = 25) localities. The supplementary material also includes additional cross-plots of δ⁴⁴/⁴⁰Ca versus δ¹³C values, initial ¹⁸⁷Os/¹⁸⁸Os ratios (Os₁), and wt.% CaCO₃ (Figs. S1, S2, and S3).

3.1. Portland #1 core, USA

The Portland core was sampled at a relatively high resolution (1 sample per 0.25 meter). Prior to the onset of OAE 2, δ⁴⁴/⁴⁰Ca values vary between −1.55 to −1.50‰. At the level where δ¹³C values mark a positive shift, generally accepted as the onset of OAE 2, δ⁴⁴/⁴⁰Ca values increase by about 0.10 to 0.15‰ to approximately −1.40‰. This shift corresponds to the location of a small (~20–40 kyr) hiatus in the Portland core (see discussion below). Slightly above this shift, three samples from highly burrowed micritic limestone beds, which have anomalously negative δ¹³C values suggesting diagenetic alteration (Sageman et al., 2006), display higher δ⁴⁴/⁴⁰Ca values closer to −1.30‰. Subsequently, δ⁴⁴/⁴⁰Ca values return to relatively lower levels, which are similar to, or slightly lower than, the pre-excursion values. With the exception of the three samples from limestone beds showing comparatively high δ⁴⁴/⁴⁰Ca values, there is no systematic relationship between δ⁴⁴/⁴⁰Ca values and carbonate content. Weight percent CaCO₃ values range from ~40 to 50% for most samples below datum A and ~60 to 70% for those above datum A. The shift to higher CaCO₃ contents at the onset of OAE 2 reflects a lithological change from the predominance of shale to interbedded calcareous shale and marlstone with limestone. Although CaCO₃ contents remain relatively elevated in the upper part of the section, δ⁴⁴/⁴⁰Ca values decrease.

3.2. Pont d’Issole

The Pont d’Issole section was sampled at lower resolution compared to the Portland core (~1 sample per meter). Although data are not available immediately below datum A, samples taken from slightly lower in the section suggest that δ⁴⁴/⁴⁰Ca values prior to the onset of OAE 2 were as low as −1.45‰. Just below the onset of the positive shift in δ¹³C values marked by datum A, δ⁴⁴/⁴⁰Ca values increase, reaching a high of −1.37‰; values then gradually decrease, consistent with the pattern displayed for the Portland dataset. The negative trend continues to datum B, where one sample has a δ⁴⁴/⁴⁰Ca value around −1.50‰. A single data point before datum C reverts to a higher δ⁴⁴/⁴⁰Ca value. Carbonate contents range from ~20 to 60 wt.% and show no correlation with δ⁴⁴/⁴⁰Ca values.

3.3. Eastbourne

The Ca isotope record constructed from the Eastbourne section is also relatively low resolution (~1 sample per meter) compared to the Portland core. Just prior to the δ¹³C shift commonly interpreted as the onset of OAE 2, δ⁴⁴/⁴⁰Ca values increase from −1.22 to −1.16‰. A hardground at the base of the Plenus Marl, corresponding to this horizon, likely represents a brief hiatus, above which δ⁴⁴/⁴⁰Ca values shift negative to −1.27‰. This pattern is
similar to the one observed for the Portland core and the Pont d’Isole section. However, the absolute $\delta^{44/40}\text{Ca}$ values are enriched, which likely reflects the different depositional setting of this site, and hence, a difference in the magnitude of the carbonate fractionation factor. The $\delta^{44/40}\text{Ca}$ values then increase to $-1.17\%_\text{o}$, decrease again to $-1.25\%_\text{o}$, and finally increase to $-1.08\%_\text{o}$, which is higher than the pre-exclusion values. Carbonate contents range from $\sim75$ to $95\%$ and show no correlation with $\delta^{44/40}\text{Ca}$ values.

3.4. Oyubari, Yezo Group, Japan

The $\delta^{44/40}\text{Ca}$ record for the Oyubari section is consistently more heterogeneous than all three of the previous records and does not display a clear signal in association within the biostratigraphically constrained OAE 2 interval. Prior to analysis in this study, the CaCO$_3$ content of Oyubari samples was unknown. Values range from $-0.50$ to $-4.0$ wt.$\%$, with an average around $1.0$ wt.$\%$. We conclude that the very low CaCO$_3$ content of the Oyubari section precludes construction of a reliable Ca isotope record. The $\delta^{44/40}\text{Ca}$ values probably do not reflect a primary seawater signal. Almost certainly, any primary carbonate has been diagenetically altered, and it seems plausible that much of the carbonate could be authigenic.

4. Discussion

4.1. Comparison of Eastbourne Ca isotope records

Blättler et al. (2011) used a Nu Instruments MC-ICP-MS to measure $\delta^{44/42}\text{Ca}$ values for the Eastbourne samples relative to SRM 915a (see Fig. 5). While it is reasonable to convert $\delta^{44/42}\text{Ca}$ to $\delta^{44/40}\text{Ca}$ assuming all isotopic variation is mass dependent, the authors did not report results for other commonly analyzed standards, such as OSIL SW and SRM 915b, so it is not possible to account for measurement biases that occur for different instruments. Thus, to compare the Blättler et al. (2011) dataset to the one presented here, we first converted our $\delta^{44/40}\text{Ca}$ values to the 915a scale by subtracting $-1.86\%_\text{o}$, which is the value that the Northwestern laboratory and other laboratories (e.g., Hippler et al., 2003; Holmden and Bélanger, 2010) obtain for 915a relative to OSIL SW. Next, we multiplied these data by 0.488 to calculate $\delta^{44/42}\text{Ca}$ values. This assumes that Ca isotope fractionation in nature and the mass spectrometer follows a kinetic, as opposed to equilibrium, mass fractionation law (e.g., Russell et al., 1978; Holmden et al., 2012; Schiller et al., 2012). Note that converting $\delta^{44/40}\text{Ca}$ values to $\delta^{44/42}\text{Ca}$ reduces the uncertainty by a factor of 0.488 to 0.02$\%_\text{o}$ (2$\sigma_{\text{SD}}$). The $\delta^{44/42}\text{Ca}$ values reported in Blättler et al. (2011) are on average $\sim0.20\%_\text{o}$ lower than the values we measured for the same samples. Moreover, the higher precision data reported here reveal a positive isotope excursion similar to the one observed for the Portland core and Pont d’Isole section. The difference between the two Eastbourne datasets cannot be explained by the assumption of kinetic mass fractionation. Even if an equilibrium law is assumed, the conversion factor is only 0.476 (Young et al., 2002; Fantle and Tipper, 2014). We attribute the discrepancy to the issue of inter-laboratory biases mentioned above. A recent compilation of $\delta^{44/42}\text{Ca}$ values shows that differences between seawater and 915a range up to $0.10\%_\text{o}$ (Holmden et al., 2012), which is half of the difference between the two datasets. In addition, Ca isotope ratios measured by ICP-MS are 0.05$\%_\text{o}$ lower, relative to seawater, than those measured by TIMS and show an overall wider spread (Fantle and Tipper, 2014). Most ICP-MS measurements are normalized to 915a, but 915a may be isotopically heterogeneous (Simon and DePaolo, 2010; Schiller et al., 2012). Using 915a as a normalizing standard could introduce discrepancies when inter-converting $\delta^{44/40}\text{Ca}$ values measured by TIMS and $\delta^{44/42}\text{Ca}$ values measured by ICP-MS, although more research is required to address this question.

4.2. Fidelity of Ca isotope signatures

Carbonate recrystallization during early diagenesis may increase $\delta^{44/40}\text{Ca}$ values due to equilibration with pore fluid Ca (Fantle and DePaolo, 2007). We note that the Oyubari samples, and the three samples from the Portland core showing evidence of diagenetic alteration, all exhibit relatively high $\delta^{44/40}\text{Ca}$ values compared to the remainder of the Portland core dataset and the whole of the Pont d’Isole dataset. These samples have been excluded from further consideration. Based on the similar magnitude and timing of shifts for Ca and other isotope proxies, such as $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{carb}}$, we hypothesize that the remaining data for Portland and Pont d’Isole represent primary signals. It seems unlikely that diagenesis could yield effectively the same $\delta^{44/40}\text{Ca}$ values, which compose virtually identical secular trends, in two widely separated localizations that experienced different patterns of local sedimentation and burial histories. Although the Eastbourne $\delta^{44/40}\text{Ca}$ values are higher than those for Portland and Pont d’Isole, Eastbourne C and O isotope ratios do not indicate alteration (Jenkyns et al., 1994; Paul et al., 1999). More relevant, perhaps, is the fact that Eastbourne represents a carbonate platform environment, whereas
Portland and Pont d’Issole represent similar hemipelagic settings with overall higher siliciclastic input. In the Pont d’Issole and Eastbourne sections, which appear to preserve a more complete record of the interval just prior to the shift in δ13C relative to Portland, the positive Ca isotope excursion precedes the positive C isotope excursion. This offset is not visible in the Portland record due to a hiatus and condensation of the section. Importantly, the shift in δ44/40Ca values in the Pont d’Issole section is coeval with a drop to unradiogenic Os isotope ratios (Du Vivier et al., 2014; see also Fig. S2). To assess the temporal significance of the lag between Os and Ca isotope shifts and the excursion in δ13C values, we compared the published astronomochemical records of the Portland core (Meyers et al., 2001; Sageman et al., 2006) and the Aristocrat An­gus core (Ma et al., 2014), which lacks hiatus/condensation at the base of the Bridge Creek Limestone Member. Based on this comparison, the hiatus in the Portland core corresponds to ~20 to 40 kyr.

4.3. Seawater mixing model

Here, we use a simple box model of the marine Ca isotope cycle to identify mechanisms that could produce a global 0.10 to 0.15‰ positive δ44/40Ca excursion. The change in the number of moles of calcium in the ocean (Nca) over time (t) is given by the equation:

\[
\frac{dN_{Ca}}{dt} = F_R + F_G + F_H - \frac{N_{Ca}}{\tau_{Ca}},
\]

where \(F_R\), \(F_G\), and \(F_H\) are input fluxes (mol/yr) from riverine, groundwater, and hydrothermal sources, and \(\tau_{Ca}\) is the residence time of Ca in seawater (yr). Collectively, the term \(N_{Ca}/\tau_{Ca}\) represents the marine carbonate output flux \(F_C\) (mol/yr). The corresponding mass-balance for the isotopic composition of Ca in seawater \(\delta_{SW}\) is given by the equation:

\[
\frac{d(N_{Ca}\delta_{SW})}{dt} = F_R\delta_R + F_G\delta_G + F_H\delta_H - \frac{N_{Ca}\delta_C}{\tau_{Ca}},
\]

where \(\delta_R\), \(\delta_G\), and \(\delta_H\) represent the isotopic composition of riverine, groundwater, and hydrothermal sources, and \(\delta_C\) is the isotopic composition of marine carbonate, which is calculated with the equation:

\[
\delta_C = \delta_{SW} + \Delta_C,
\]

where \(\Delta_C\) is the carbonate fractionation factor. Eqs. (1) and (2) are numerically solved at non-steady-state with the assumption that \(\tau_{Ca}\) is constant.

Nearly all box models of seawater isotope records are conducted on a relative basis. The ocean is assumed to be in isotopic steady-state prior to the onset of a temporal shift, and key parameters, usually the riverine flux, are estimated by setting all other unknowns equivalent to modern values. Determining \(\delta_R\) requires application of \(\Delta_C\); yet \(\Delta_C\) is variable and may have changed through time. Thus, for simplicity, we base our model on the modern Ca isotope cycle, with the assumption that the present provides a reasonable analog for the past. While this approach limits our ability to reproduce the exact delta values of our dataset, we can introduce perturbations to simulate relative variations. Table 1 provides the initial conditions, which were taken from a variety of sources (Milliman, 1993; Berner and Berner, 1996; Holmden et al., 2012). For the modern \(N_{Ca}\) of 1.44 \times 10^{18} mol, the fluxes in Table 1 indicate that \(\tau_{Ca}\) equals 450,000 yr. Implementing higher values for \(N_{Ca}\) and \(\tau_{Ca}\), such as those that likely occurred during the Cretaceous (Lowenstein et al., 2001), would only delay the response time of the model to a given perturbation. By adopting minimum estimates for \(N_{Ca}\) and \(\tau_{Ca}\), we maximize the model’s sensitivity. Nonetheless, as we demonstrate below, these parameters are not particularly critical for our interpretation.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(N_{Ca})</td>
<td>Mass of Ca in ocean</td>
<td>1.44E+19</td>
<td>mol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(F_R)</td>
<td>Riverine flux</td>
<td>1.30E+13</td>
<td>mol/yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(F_H)</td>
<td>Hydrothermal flux</td>
<td>3.00E+12</td>
<td>mol/yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(F_G)</td>
<td>Groundwater flux</td>
<td>1.60E+13</td>
<td>mol/yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\delta_R)</td>
<td>Riverine isotope ratio</td>
<td>-1.03</td>
<td>‰</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\delta_H)</td>
<td>Hydrothermal isotope ratio</td>
<td>-0.95</td>
<td>‰</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\delta_G)</td>
<td>Groundwater isotope ratio</td>
<td>-1.23</td>
<td>‰</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Delta_{Ca})</td>
<td>Combined input isotope ratio, weighted by flux</td>
<td>-1.12</td>
<td>‰</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\delta_{SW,p})</td>
<td>Initial isotope ratio of seawater</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>‰</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\tau_{Ca})</td>
<td>Residence time</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>Myr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Model parameters taken from Milliman (1993), Berner and Berner (1996), and Holmden et al. (2012).

---

4.4. Flux perturbations

While there is little evidence that \(\delta_i\) values can substantially change (Fante and Tipper, 2014), one hypothesis is that the Ca isotope records reflect changes in the magnitude of \(F_i\). Several studies have shown that increased hydrothermal activity associated with emplacement of the CLIP impacted seawater geochemistry across OAE 2 (Sinton and Duncan, 1997; Snow et al., 2005; Turgeon and Creaser, 2008; Zheng et al., 2013; Du Vivier et al., 2014). Assuming that Sr and Ca are coupled by their geochemical characteristics and utilizing the secular decrease in marine Sr isotope ratios as a mass-balance constraint, Blättler et al. (2011) estimated that \(F_H\) increased by 20% for 3 Myr following OAE 2. With the aid of a numerical model, the authors further observed that such an increase negligibly impacts \(\delta_{SW}\) and thus produces little change in \(\delta_C\). Applying the same perturbation in our model yields an identical result (Fig. 6).

We agree that enhanced hydrothermal activity cannot explain the evolution of seawater δ44/40Ca values across OAE 2 but reach a different conclusion with respect to the riverine flux. To explain their Eastbourne and South Ferriby datasets, Blättler et al. (2011)
concluded that $F_R$ increased by a factor of three at the onset of OAE 2, due to enhanced chemical weathering on the continents. This produces a transient negative isotope excursion that eventually dampens if the perturbation is maintained longer than $\tau_{Ca}$. The dampening reflects attainment of steady-state. Our model produces an identical pattern (Fig. 6). Blättler et al. (2011) went on to consider the case where $F_R$ relaxes to its initial value with an e-folding time of 250 kyr, but it is clear this level of detail is unnecessary for the present study because our Ca isotope records show transient positive isotope excursions, which bear little resemblance to the model curve resulting from an elevated riverine flux. The South Ferrriby record presented in Blättler et al. (2011) appears to show a negative isotope excursion. However, because the section contains a large stratigraphic gap that eliminates most of the OAE 2 interval, it seems probable that the positive isotope excursion is missing.

Our model divides the terrestrial input between riverine runoff and submarine groundwater discharge, whereas Blättler et al. (2011) effectively considered these inputs as one term. To address this point, we added the values for $F_R$ and $F_C$ in Table 1 and calculated a flux-weighted $\delta^{44/40}$Ca value. The combined flux of $2.90 \times 10^{13}$ mol/yr and $\delta^{44/40}$Ca value of $-1.14\%e$ are higher and lower, respectively, than the parameters adopted by Blättler et al. (2011) ($2.3 \times 10^{13}$ mol/yr and $-1.06\%e$), so increasing this new flux by a factor of three only accentuates the transient negative isotope excursion. We therefore conclude that enhanced chemical weathering does not provide a satisfactory explanation for the data.

To produce a positive Ca isotope excursion through flux imbalances, the carbonate output flux ($F_C$) must exceed the magnitude of the sum of the input fluxes ($F_R + F_C + F_N$). To illustrate this effect, we increased $F_C$ by 45%, which is roughly the magnitude required to produce a 0.10–0.15% increase in $\delta_C$. While the model curve generally simulates the measured data (Fig. 6), this scenario appears unlikely for a few different reasons. Primarily, we are unaware of a compelling mechanism to drive such a large increase in the carbonate output flux. If the increase occurred instantaneously, then the peak effect would not occur for several hundred kyr, and it should be recalled that we maximized the sensitivity of the model by employing minimum estimates for $N_C$ and $\tau_{Ca}$. According to the Portland, Pont d’Issolle, and Eastbourne records, the $\delta^{44/40}$Ca excursion was relatively rapid and abrupt. Finally, for modeled $\delta_C$ values to simulate the return to pre-excision values, the flux must remain elevated long past the timeframe of OAE 2. This ultimately yields a ~30% reduction in the marine Ca inventory, which seems unrealistic given existing knowledge about Cretaceous seawater geochemistry (e.g., Blättler and Higgins, 2014).

4.5. Fractionation factor change

The above analysis leaves a change in $\Delta_C$ as the only possible explanation for the Portland, Pont d’Issolle, and Eastbourne records. As shown in Fig. 6, instantaneously decreasing the magnitude of $\Delta_C$ by 0.10–0.15% (i.e., from −1.12 to −1.02 and −0.97%), while keeping all other parameters constant, generally simulates the overall pattern of the three carbonate records. While changing $\Delta_C$ permanently shifts $\delta_{SW}$, the carbonate record shows more complex behavior (Fantle, 2010; Jost et al., 2014). At timescales shorter than $\tau_{Ca}$, $\delta_C$ is initially offset from seawater by an amount equivalent to $\Delta_C$, but at timescales longer than $\tau_{Ca}$, seawater adjusts to the change in $\Delta_C$ such that $\delta_C$ returns to its starting value (Fantle, 2010). Although it cannot be conclusively demonstrated, we hypothesize that the apparent negative isotope excursion preserved in the South Ferrriby record (Blättler et al., 2011) reflects adjustment of the seawater–carbonate system to a change in the fractionation factor.

To more closely examine the relationship between the theoretical and measured data, we scaled the model $\delta_C$ values to the Portland record by subtracting 0.43%, which is the difference between the initial $\delta_C$ value of $-1.12\%e$ and the measured value of $-1.55\%e$ at the onset of OAE 2 (Fig. 7). Scaling the results this way produces the same effect as balancing the initial Ca isotope budget of seawater using the Portland dataset and an assumed initial fractionation factor. As shown in Fig. 7, the scaled, theoretical output does not completely reproduce the measured $\delta^{44/40}$Ca values. While several factors may explain this discrepancy, it seems plausible that $\Delta_C$ could have returned to its original value following the initial spike. Allowing the magnitude of $\Delta_C$ to linearly increase to the pre-excision value of $-1.12\%e$ at a rate of 0.25%/Myr provides a remarkably good fit to the measured data (Fig. 7).

5. Conclusions and implications

The fractionation of Ca isotopes during carbonate precipitation depends on a variety of parameters, many of which are interrelated. Examples include temperature, mineralogy, precipitation rates, vital effects, and the carbonate geochemistry of seawater. Global sea surface temperatures during the Late Cenomanian were very warm (>34°C in equatorial sites), but may have decreased by ~3–4°C during the first 150 kyr following the positive shift in $\delta^{13}$C (Forster et al., 2007; Jarvis et al., 2011). However, lower temperatures increase fractionation factors (Gussone et al., 2003, 2005; Marriott et al., 2004; Tang et al., 2008), whereas our data and model results are consistent with decreased fractionation. A change in temperature therefore seems unlikely to explain the positive isotope excursion.

A decrease in the magnitude of the fractionation factor is consistent with lower carbonate precipitation rates, lower carbonate saturation states, and higher dissolved Ca/CO$_2$ ratios, all of which drive carbonate crystals toward isotopic equilibrium with aqueous Ca (Nielsen et al., 2012). At chemical equilibrium, $\Delta_C$ is very close to zero (Fantle and DePaolo, 2007; Jacobson and Holmden, 2008). One possible explanation pertains to volcanically driven changes
in the carbonate geochemistry of seawater. We tentatively propose that CO₂ outgassing during the CLIP eruption acidified seawater. Addition of CO₂ to seawater would consume CO₂²⁻ and facilitate all of the chemical and isotopic phenomena noted above.

While the Ca isotope system does not offer sufficient sensitivity to directly detect enhanced volcanic inputs that likely occurred during OAE 2, the effect may translate indirectly, albeit in complex ways, through changes in the fractionation factor. The simultaneous addition of Ca from CLIP volcanism would help elevate Ca/C0₂ ratios, but would also increase precipitation rates and calcite saturation states, thus counterbalancing to some degree the impact of reduced CO₂²⁻ concentrations. It may also be the case that enhanced Ca inputs were less critical than changes in CO₂²⁻ because Cretaceous seawater Ca concentrations were already high (Lowenstein et al., 2001) while CO₂²⁻ concentrations were likely low (Kump et al., 2009). A net reduction in calcium carbonate precipitation rates during acidification would increase the residence time of Ca in seawater. However, regardless of the exact residence time, the Ca isotope records presented herein show that the maximum isotopic perturbation was more or less geologically instantaneous compared to the relatively short duration of OAE 2, which is consistent with the expectation that "ocean acidification events" occur over very brief geological timescales (Hönisch et al., 2012). We note that the lack of appreciable carbonate in European organic-rich black shales spanning OAE 2 (e.g., Schlanger et al., 1987; Tsikos et al., 2004) appears consistent with the overall idea of ocean acidification.

Ocean acidification during eruption of the Ontong Java Plateau has been implicated as the driver of the "nanoconid crisis" during the early Aptian (OAE 1a), when heavily calcified taxa disappeared and were temporarily replaced by smaller, less calcified, malformed species before reappearing again toward the end of the interval (Erba and Tremolada, 2004; Erba et al., 2010). To our knowledge, there is no equivalent information for OAE 2, but the behavior we have inferred for the fractionation factor seems consistent with a biotic crisis and recovery of some kind. It is possible that ocean acidification during CLIP volcanism triggered a change in the predominant type of biomineralization. The Portland, Pont d'Isole, and Eastbourne Ca isotope records could reflect shifts in the mineralogy of carbonate sedimentation (i.e., calcite versus aragonite). However, in the case of the modern ocean, δ⁴⁴/⁴₀Ca values for the dominant aragonite producers (scleractinian corals and green algae) are similar to, or just slightly lower than, those for the dominant calcite producers (foraminifera and coccolithophores) (e.g., Böhm et al., 2006; Holmden et al., 2012). Thus, relatively large changes in bulk mineralogy – from more aragonitic, to more calcitic, and then back to more aragonitic – would be required to produce the observed isotopic trends. Presently, there are no data from the studied sections to either confirm or refute this hypothesis.

Ocean acidification during eruption of the Siberian Traps has been similarly invoked to explain the evolution of the marine Ca isotope budget across the Perm–Triassic boundary (Payne et al., 2010; Hinoshita et al., 2012). The end-Permian Ca isotope record displays a negative excursion compared to the positive one now documented for OAE 2. However, it is reasonable to expect that different ocean acidification events could manifest in the marine Ca isotope record in different ways. As a larger eruption, the Siberian Traps probably released more CO₂ than the CLIP, and it occurred on land instead of the seafloor, implying a different pathway for ocean acidification. Moreover, the carbonate geochemistry of seawater during the end-Permian was almost certainly different compared to the mid-Cretaceous, given that carbonate precipitating planktonic organisms had not evolved by this point in Earth history (Tappan and Loeblich, 1973; Ridgwell and Zeebe, 2005; Kump et al., 2009).

We note that laboratory culture studies of coccolithophores (Emiliania huxleyi; Gussone et al., 2006) and planktonic foraminifera (Globigerinoides sacculifer; Gussone et al., 2003) indicate no correlation between Ca isotope fractionation and ambient seawater CO₂²⁻ concentrations. However, at least in the case of the E. huxleyi experiments, CO₂²⁻ concentrations were decreased by lowering pH and total alkalinity (TA) at constant dissolved inorganic carbon concentration (DIC), whereas natural ocean acidification increases DIC at constant TA. While the two styles of acidification can yield similar changes in carbonate system parameters and elicit similar biological responses, significant divergence in CO₂²⁻ concentrations and calcite saturation states occurs at CO₂ levels greater than 700 μatm (Schulz et al., 2009), which is easily within the range identified for OAE 2 (Pagani et al., 2014). The range of CO₂²⁻ concentrations thus far investigated in Ca isotope fractionation culture studies roughly corresponds to near-modern CO₂ levels and lower. The behavior of Ca isotopes under conditions that more closely simulate natural ocean acidification remains to be examined.

In conclusion, our analysis suggests that changes to the calcium carbonate fractionation factor provide the best explanation for the patterns preserved in the Portland, Pont d'Isole, and Eastbourne Ca isotope records. The fractionation factor rapidly decreased, contemporaneously with evidence for massive volcanism (O₂ shift; Du Vivier et al., 2014), and appears to have returned to its initial value in less than ~200 kyr (prior to the trend to more radiogenic O₁₈ values and well before the return of δ¹³C to pre-exursion values). The behavior of the fractionation factor appears consistent with transient ocean acidification. Our new records further indicate that the initiation of these changes preceded the positive δ¹³C excursion by about 20 to 40 kyr, which constrains the timing between major drivers of OAE 2 and the response of the global carbon cycle to enhanced organic matter burial. A further constraint is provided by the duration of the δ¹³C excursion following the cessation of evidence for enhanced volcanism (at least 500 kyr), which suggests that the initial volcanic trigger led to multiple processes that contributed to the event over variable timescales.
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