Fluid inclusion characteristics and molybdenite Re-Os geochronology of the Qulong porphyry copper-molybdenum deposit, Tibet
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Abstract

The Qulong porphyry copper and molybdenum deposit is located at the southwest margin of the Lhasa Terrane and in the eastern region of the Gangdese magmatic belt. It represents China’s largest porphyry copper system, with ~2200 million tonnes of ore comprising 0.5 percent Cu and 0.03 percent Mo. The mineralization is associated with Miocene granodiorite, monzogranite and quartz-diorite plutons, which intruded into Jurassic volcanic units in a post-collisional (India-Asian) tectonic setting. Field observations and core logging demonstrate the alteration and mineralization at Qulong are akin to typical porphyry copper systems in subduction settings, which comprise similar magmatic-hydrothermal, potassic, propylitic and phyllic alteration assemblages. Molybdenite Re-Os geochronology confirms the relative timeframe defined by field observations and core logging, and indicates that the bulk copper and molybdenum at Qulong were deposited within 350,000 years: between 16.10 ± 0.06 [0.08] (without and with decay constant uncertainty) and 15.88 ± 0.06 [0.08] Ma. This duration for mineralization is in direct contrast to a long-lived intrusive episode associated with mineralization based on previous zircon U-Pb data.

Our fluid inclusion study indicates that the ore-forming fluid was oxidized and contained Na, K, Ca, Fe, Cu, Mo, Cl and S. The magmatic-hydrothermal transition occurred at ~425°C under lithostatic pressure; while potassic, propylitic and phyllic alteration occurred at hydrostatic pressure with temperature progressively decreasing from 425 to 280 °C. The fluid inclusion data presented here suggests that there has been ~2.3 km of erosion at Qulong after its formation and this erosion may be related to regional uplift of the Lhasa Terrane.
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Introduction

The majority of porphyry copper deposits are situated within magmatic arcs and are genetically related to subduction processes, e.g., Central Chile (Cooke et al. 2005), but porphyry copper deposits are also associated with post-collisional tectonic settings, e.g., the Gangdese Porphyry Copper Belt (GPCB), China (Yang et al. 2009; Hou et al. 2015) and Papua New Guinea-Irian Jaya (Cooke et al. 2005; Richards 2013). In both subduction-related and post-collisional tectonic settings, porphyry copper deposits share a genetic association with magmatism and possess similar mineralization styles and alteration types (Richards 2009; Hou et al. 2015).

Metals in porphyry copper deposits are considered to be deposited through the dynamic evolution of a magmatic-hydrothermal fluid that undergoes depressurization, cooling, chemical reactions with wall rocks (mainly the ore-hosting granite), and mixing with non-magmatic fluids (Seedorff et al. 2005; Richards 2011; Cooke et al. 2014). As a result, porphyry systems are characterized by extensive vein formation associated with mineralization and alteration. Generally, hydrothermal alteration results in potassic, propylitic, sericitic (phylllic) and argillic assemblages, which are documented to occur as concentric alteration halos around the ore progenitor intrusions (Seedorff et al. 2005; Sillitoe 2010).

Fluid trapped by quartz and other host minerals during alteration and mineralization processes has a wide range of compositions, and is the only record of the ore-forming fluid (Bodnar et al. 2014). As such, fluid inclusions provide fundamental information on the physical and chemical nature of ore-forming fluids. This is critical for understanding the nature and transportation-deposition mechanisms of ore-forming fluids in porphyry copper deposits (Wilkinson 2001; Bodnar et al. 2014).

Additional fundamental questions regarding porphyry deposits include the time required to form these giant deposits and whether the metals accumulate in a single pulse or over several pulses (Chiaradia et al. 2014). The current methods used to constrain time-scales of
porphyry systems include: 1) Ti in quartz diffusion and thermal numerical modelling (Cathles et al. 1997; Weis et al. 2012; Mercer et al. 2015); 2) calculation from metal concentration and fluid flow in active hydrothermal systems (Simmons and Brown 2006, 2007); and 3) direct radiogenic dating (Sillitoe and Mortensen 2010; Von Quadt et al. 2011; Zimmerman et al. 2014; Spencer et al. 2015). The reported time-scales of fossil porphyry deposits range from <0.1 to >4 m.y. The longer durations may reflect multiple magmatic-hydrothermal pulses or inaccurate dating approaches (e.g. lower precision dating). The development of high-precision geochronology, especially molybdenite Re-Os in recent years has permitted direct dating of the ore forming events associated with porphyry copper systems with precision better than tens of thousands years (Zimmerman et al. 2014; Spencer et al. 2015). As molybdenite is widely distributed in porphyry Cu deposits, Re-Os molybdenite dating is currently the best technique to constrain the ore formation duration of porphyry copper deposits.

Although extensive fluid inclusion research has been conducted to understand ore forming processes for subduction related porphyry deposits (Bodnar et al. 2014 and references therein), much less attention has been paid to post-collisional porphyry deposits (Hou et al. 2009). The world-class Qulong porphyry deposit, Tibet, is an excellent example of a porphyry system formed in a post-collisional tectonic setting (Hou et al. 2015). Qulong was discovered in 1994 with significant exploration success commenced from 2002 (Zheng et al. 2004). This period of exploration uncovered a deposit with ≥2200 million tonnes ore. The average metal grade at Qulong deposit is 0.5 percent for Cu and 0.03 percent for Mo, making it the largest copper bearing porphyry system in China, and among the world's largest (top 25) porphyry copper deposits (Cooke et al. 2005; Yang et al. 2009; Qin et al. 2014). Therefore, to understand both the P-T-V-X conditions of Qulong, and the porphyry systems associated with post-collisional tectonic settings, we present fluid inclusion petrography data coupled with microthermometry and Laser Raman microspectroscopy data. We also present
molybdenite Re-Os geochronology to constrain the timescale of the mineralization event. In
addition, zircon U-Pb geochronology and oxygen isotope data allow us to constrain the origin
of the syn-ore aplite and the nature of the ore-forming fluids.

**Geological setting**

Regional geology

The Gangdese porphyry copper belt is 50 km wide and ~400 km long, and is
situated at the southwest margin of the Lhasa Terrane, southern Tibet (Fig.1) (Hou et al.
2009). The Lhasa Terrane records a protracted geologic history with a Precambrian
crystalline basement underlying Paleozoic to Mesozoic marine strata and arc type volcanic
rocks, together with Mesozoic and Cenozoic intrusions (Zhang et al. 2014). The Lhasa
Terrane rifted from Gondwana during the Triassic or Middle-Late Jurassic and moved
northward across the Neo-Tethyan Ocean before collision with Eurasia along the Bangonghu-
Nujiang suture during the Cretaceous (Zhu et al. 2011a,b; Zhang et al. 2014). The continental
collision of India and Asia along the Indus-Yarlung Zangbo suture started at ~55 Ma (Rowley
1996), with underthrusting of the Indian plate beginning at ~35 Ma (Ali and Aitchison 2008;
Wang et al. 2014a). Continued collision of the Indian and Asian plates resulted in the
breakoff of the denser Indian plate beneath the Asian plate from west to east of Tibet at ~25
and ~15 Ma, respectively (Aitchison et al. 2007; Van Hinsbergen et al. 2012). Directly
related to slab breakoff was magma generation which resulted in Oligo-Miocene magmatic
rocks focused along the Gangdese belt (Hou et al. 2009; Van Hinsbergen et al. 2012; Wang et
al. 2014a). The Oligo-Miocene magmatism is consistent spatially linked with N-S trending
normal faults formed during E-W extention of the Lhasa Terrane (Hou et al. 2009; Wang et
al. 2014a,b). Porphyry copper mineralization is commonly strictly associated with the Oligo-
Miocene intrusions in the east segment of the Gangdese porphyry copper belt (Wang et al.
Magmatic rocks

The main igneous units present at Qulong include Jurassic volcanic and dacite-rhyolite intrusions, a pre-ore Miocene granite pluton, ore-related Miocene porphyries and aplite intrusions, and a post-ore quartz diorite. Features of these units are summarized in Table 1 and presented below.

Jurassic Yeba Formation and dacite-rhyolite porphyry

The Jurassic Yeba Formation is the most extensive unit in the Qulong district (Fig. 2A) and is comprised of basaltic and felsic lavas. The age of the formation is constrained by a zircon LA-ICP-MS age of 166.0 ± 1.8 Ma in the felsic volcanoclastic rocks (Zhao et al. 2015). The Yeba Formation sequence is intruded by dacite-rhyolite and rhyolite porphyry stocks. These porphyry stocks are composed of quartz (20-25 vol%) and feldspar (8-10 vol%) as phenocrysts, with a quartz, K-feldspar and biotite as groundmass. Zircon grains from the rhyolite porphyry yielded a LA-ICP-MS U-Pb age of 160.7 ± 2.0 Ma (Zhao et al. 2015). Volcanic rocks from the Yeba Formation and the porphyry stocks show geochemical characteristics indicative of an arc-affinity, suggesting an origin related to Mesozoic Neo-Tethyan Ocean subduction (Yang et al. 2008b).

Rongmucuola pluton

The Jurassic Yeba Formation and dacite-rhyolite porphyry units are intruded by the ~8 km² Rongmucuola pluton (Fig. 2A and B). At its contact with the Rongmucuola pluton, the Yeba Formation exhibits intensive metamorphism as represented by pervasive hornfels (Yang et al. 2009). From east to west, the composition of the Rongmucuola pluton ranges from
granodiorite to monzogranite (Fig. 3A), and has a variable grain size (medium to coarse), and
ranges from being hypidiomorphic-granular to weakly porphyritic (Yang et al. 2009; Zhao et
al. 2015). Mafic enclaves are quite common in the eastern portion of the pluton, but are rare
in the west (Zhao et al. 2015). Despite the small compositional and textural variations, the
entire pluton possesses a similar mineralogy and crystallization age (17.6 ± 0.3 Ma and 17.4
± 0.4 Ma; zircon LA-ICP-MS U-Pb) (Zhao et al. 2015). The bulk of the Cu-Mo
mineralization is hosted in the western part of the pluton that comprises coarse grained (5-10
mm) plagioclase (30-40 vol%), K-feldspar (20-30 vol%), and medium grained (2-5 mm)
quartz (15-20 vol%) and biotite (10-15 vol%), and accessory minerals such as apatite,
magnetite, zircon, rutile; no titanite has been documented. The pluton is characterized by a
porphyritic texture throughout, a progressive increase in alteration intensity from the surface
to ~2000 m depth (predominantly silicification and potassic alteration), and exhibits a colour
change from dark grey to grey.

P porphyry (monzogranite)

The western part of the Rongmucuola pluton is intruded by the P porphyry (Fig. 2A and
B), which is considered to be the ore-forming porphyry (Yang et al. 2009; Hu et al. 2015;
Zhao et al. 2015). The P porphyry has an exposure surface of 0.5 km², and an unknown
vertical extent. The P porphyry comprises coarse grained (3-8 mm) plagioclase (10-20 vol%),
quartz (5-15 vol%) and K-feldspar (~5 vol%) as phenocrysts, with a groundmass dominated
by quartz and feldspar (Fig. 3B). The porphyry shows extensive alteration and mineralization.
The feldspar phenocrysts possess distinctive embayed shapes, with the groundmass
displaying different degrees of hypogene argillization as a result of either the complete or
partial destruction of feldspar. Characteristic to the P porphyry is its quartz phenocrysts
which occur as clusters of two or three and forms amalgamated “phenocrysts” (Fig. 3B).
These quartz phenocrysts often show resorbed crystal margins and embayment textures (Fig.
3B) which indicate intense silicification (Chang and Meinert 2004; Yang et al. 2009; Qin et al. 2014). Zircon LA-ICPMS U-Pb analysis yielded a 16.2 ± 0.3 Ma emplacement age for the P porphyry (Zhao et al. 2015).

X porphyry (monzogranite)

In the western portion of the Rongmucuola pluton, the X porphyry occurs with a surface outcrop of ~0.04 km²; it is also present as irregular dikes in drill cores. The thickness of X porphyry dikes in drill cores varies from several to tens of centimeters (Zhao et al. 2015) (Fig. 2B). The X porphyry has similar composition to the P porphyry except for a greater abundance of biotite phenocrysts. The X porphyry possesses unidirectional solidification textures (UST), intensive potassic alteration and weak propylitic alteration, but in general it is poorly mineralized (Yang et al. 2009). No direct cross-cutting relationships between the P and X porphyry has been documented previously, or observed in this study. However, previous studies assume it post-dates the P porphyry because it cuts barren potassic stage quartz veins in the Rongmucuola pluton (Yang et al. 2009; Zhao et al. 2015). The reported zircon LA-ICP-MS U-Pb age is identical, within uncertainty, to that of the P porphyry (15.9 ± 0.3 Ma) (Zhao et al. 2015).

Aplite

In addition to the P and X porphyry units, fracture controlled, thin (several to tens of cm wide) aplite dykes (Fig. 3C and E) cross-cut the Rongmucuola pluton (Yang et al. 2009; Zhao et al. 2015). The aplite is widely distributed in drill cores but no cross-cutting relationship has been documented between the aplite and P or X porphyry. The aplite units are characterised by intergrowths of fine-grained (~1 mm) anhedral alkali feldspar and quartz (Yang et al. 2009; Zhao et al. 2015) with disseminated magnetite and pyrite throughout. The fine grained texture suggests that this aplite experienced rapid cooling and crystallized immediately after
emplacement (Webber et al. 1999). It has been assumed that the aplite is coeval or slightly older than the X porphyry as potassic stage irregular-planar quartz-K-feldspar veins truncate the aplite units (Yang et al. 2009). Further, this study has observed barren sinusoidal quartz veins within the mineralized aplite (documented below; Fig. 4A), which we interpret to have formed during the transition between the magmatic and hydrothermal stage. To date, no radiometric date has been reported for the aplite units.

Post-ore quartz diorite

Mineralization was cross cut by a quartz-diorite dyke. The dyke is tens of cm wide and 2-6 m in length. It hosts phenocrysts of ~0.5-1 cm diameter including plagioclase (~5 %), quartz (5 %) and hornlende (3 %) set within a matrix of plagioclase, hornblende, quartz and biotite (Fig. 3D). The quartz-diorite is typically fresh with only plagioclase phenocrysts exhibiting minor alteration to a low-temperature assemblage of calcite-chlorite-sericite (Fig. 3D) (Yang et al. 2009, 2015). This unit is the youngest intrusion recognized at Qulong and has a zircon SIMS U-Pb age of 15.3 ± 0.2 Ma (Zhao et al. 2015).

Hydrothermal breccia

In addition to the magmatic units mentioned above, a hydrothermal breccia associated with the formation of the porphyry mineralization is also documented at Qulong. The breccia exists as a pipe (~100 m in diameter) and cross cuts the Rongmucuola pluton, and likely contemporaneous with the emplacement of the P porphyry. The breccia is predominantly composed of clasts (<10 cm) from the Rongmucuola granodiorite pluton and P porphyry, which are cemented by aplite. These clasts are intensively altered and mineralized (Yang et al. 2009; Zhao et al. 2015). In certain cases, the breccia possesses intense silicification with hematite alteration, cemented by a clay mineral-dominated matrix (e.g. kaolinite, illite).
Hydrothermal alteration phases

Akin to porphyry copper deposits worldwide, Qulong has a clearly zoned mineralization and alteration pattern. The relative chronology of the alteration assemblage at Qulong is; a) veinlets associated with the magmatic-hydrothermal transition stage; b) potassic (K-feldspar, biotite) alteration stage; c) propylitic alteration (chloritization) assemblages, and d) phyllic alteration (sericite) phases. The alteration and mineralization phases at Qulong have been described by Yang et al. (2009) and Qin et al. (2014). Here we summarize these findings together with the observations of this study.

Magmatic-hydrothermal transition stage quartz veins

This study reports the first record of ~0.5 cm wide sinusoidal quartz veins at Qulong hosted within the aplite dyke (Fig. 4A). The veins bear K-feldspar rims (~1 mm wide) at both sides and have localised clusters of fine-grained (<0.3 mm) pyrite grains. These barren veins represent silica-saturated melts/fluid formed at the magmatic-hydrothermal transition stage before potassic alteration. This process is also represented by Unidirectional Solidification Textures (UST) hosted by the X porphyry (Yang et al. 2008a). The sinusoidal quartz veins and UST are taken to represent the magmatic-hydrothermal transition stage at Qulong.

Potassic alteration

Potassic stage alteration assemblages at Qulong include the barren biotite and quartz veins (generally 2 mm wide) (Fig. 4B), chalcopyrite and molybdenite bearing quartz veins with K-feldspar halos, and chalcopyrite-molybdenite bearing quartz veins with biotite-feldspar-quartz assemblages (Fig. 4C-F). Potassic alteration phases are concentrated around the P porphyry and predominantly hosted by the Rongmucuola pluton and P porphyry, but also occur in the Yeba Formation and X porphyry. Cu-Mo bearing veins with K-feldspar halos are
the main ore-bearing potassic alteration assemblages at Qulong. These veins generally have a width of 0.5-3 cm (Fig. 4D and E). The nature of potassic alteration is characterized by selective replacement of plagioclase to K-feldspar, and tends to be more extensive near the P porphyry where almost all plagioclase grains were replaced by sericite, kaolinite and illite. Veinlets containing biotite have been divided into three types: 1) vein fillings comprising coarse-grained biotite grains (0.1-0.4 mm); 2) yellow-brown coloured biotite alteration halos (generally < 0.1 mm in width) along irregular quartz or quartz-anhydrite veins; and 3) primary biotite grains replaced by secondary biotite in the Rongmucuola pluton (Yang et al. 2009). Potassic alteration is the main mineralization stage at Qulong and directly controls bulk Cu-Mo mineralization. A progressive increase in intensity of potassic alteration was documented in the Rongmucuola pluton from shallow to depth.

Propylitic alteration

Propylitic alteration is extensively developed at Qulong in both the Rongmucuola pluton and the Yeba Formation. The propylitic alteration is characterized by pervasive weak chloritization of the primary biotite and intensive replacement of plagioclase by epidote in the Rongmucuola pluton (Fig. 4G-I) and Yeba Formation, respectively. Propylitic alteration in the X porphyry is relatively weak. In the Rongmucuola pluton, biotite, hornblende and plagioclase have experienced different degrees of replacement by chlorite-dominated assemblages. In the Yeba Formation, epidote dominated (>60 vol%) alteration assemblages, with quartz, chlorite and K-feldspar, are found as irregular to planar veins (1-2 mm wide). Generally, sulfides (e.g. pyrite, chalcopyrite) associated with propylitic alteration are less abundant than in the potassic alteration stage (Yang et al. 2009). Anhydrite veins (pink, 1-3 mm in grain size and ~0.5-2 cm wide) along with molybdenite mineralization (with minor chalcopyrite) fill in reopened potassic stage K-feldspar veins (Fig. 4J). It is thought that these
sulfides (molybdenite + chalcopyrite) belong to the propylitic alteration stage given that the K-feldspar assemblages in these veins are partially replaced by late stage molybdenite and chlorite.

Phyllic and hypogene argillic alteration

Phyllic and hypogene argillic alteration phases are widely distributed and overprint early stage alteration assemblages at Qulong. Phyllic and argillic alteration phases are more intense in the P porphyry than that in the Rongmucuola pluton and the X porphyry. In most cases, the intensive phyllic and argillic alteration have overprinted all igneous textures in the P porphyry. Similar to the potassic alteration, a progressive enhancement of phyllic and argillic alterations has been documented from shallow to depth in the Rongmucuola pluton. The main mineral phases of the phyllic and argillic alteration assemblages are sericite, pyrite, anhydrite and clay minerals, and these are spatially associated with minor chalcopyrite and molybdenite. These phases are generally fine-grained (0.5-3 mm) and difficult to recognize in hand specimen, but occasionally include coarse-grained (0.5-1 cm) pyrite and anhydrite crystals (Fig. 4 K and L).

Samples and methods

Samples

Representative quartz veins from the magmatic-hydrothermal transition stage, potassic alteration, propylitic and phyllic alteration stages were selected to study fluid inclusions, which allow us to constrain the nature and evolution of the ore-forming fluid. All the samples were collected from drill cores at 10 to ~600 meters.

All the magmatic units at Qulong have been dated by previous studies, except the ore-bearing aplite. One ore-bearing aplite sample (Fig. 3C) was selected to conduct secondary ion
mass spectrometry (SIMS) zircon U-Pb geochronology. SIMS was also utilized to determine
the oxygen isotope composition of the zircons in order to aid the understanding of the U-Pb
data, as well as the nature of ore-forming fluids.

Six molybdenite bearing quartz veins utilized for fluid inclusion analysis were also
targeted for Re-Os dating to further constrain the timing of sulfide mineralization. Samples
were selected from the aplite with a weak potassic alteration of K-feldspar (313-145; Fig. 4C),
the potassic alteration stage (313-460, 001-640; Fig. 4D and E), the potassic-propylitic
transition (1605-334, Fig. 4J) and the phyllic stage (1605-155; 1605-33, Fig. 4K).

Microthermometry and laser Raman spectroscopy

Microthermometric analysis of vein quartz hosted fluid inclusions in doubly polished
wafers was carried out at the Geofluids Research Laboratory, National University of Ireland,
Galway, using a Linkam THMSG600 heating and freezing stage mounted on a Olympus
transmitted light microscope. Synthetic fluid inclusion standards (pure CO$_2$ and pure water)
were used (Baumgartner et al. 2014) at -56.6, 0, 10.2, and 374 °C to correct the accuracy of
the stage. Measurements below 31.1 °C, the critical point of CO$_2$, are accurate to ±0.2 °C, and
measurements above this temperature are accurate to ±1 °C. The volumetric fraction of
phases in fluid inclusions was estimated at room temperature by reference to the volumetric
chart of Roedder (1984). Bulk salinity was calculated from the final ice melting temperature,
or halite dissolution temperature (Bodnar and Vityk 1994) for hypersaline fluid inclusions.
Homogenization conditions and isochores of individual fluid inclusion assemblages (FIAs)
have been calculated with HokieFlincs_H$_2$O-NaCl program based on fluid inclusion
volumetric data (P-V-T-X) (Steele-MacInnis et al. 2012).

Solid and gas phases from selected fluid inclusions were identified using laser Raman
spectroscopy (LRS) at the Geofluids Research Laboratory, National University of Ireland
Galway. The LRS analysis was conducted on a Horiba Lab Ram II spectrometer equipped
with a 600 groove mm\(^{-1}\) diffraction grating, confocal optics, a Peltier-cooled CCD detector (255 by 1024 pixel array at \(-67^\circ\)C) and an Olympus BX41 microscope arranged in 180° backscatter geometry. Sample excitation was achieved using a Ventus diode-pumped, continuous wavelength, 532 nm laser with a maximum power output of 50 mW. Raman analysis was carried out using a 100x objective lens resulting in a laser spot size of \(~2\) \(\mu\)m. Excitation power at the sample typically ranged between 10 and 20 mW.

CL imaging and EDS mineral identification methods

CL imaging and mineral inclusion identification were conducted with a Hitachi SU-70 FEG SEM at the G., J., Russell electron microscopy facility at Durham University. Cathodoluminescence (CL) imaging was carried out at a 10 kV accelerating voltage with a beam current of 1.63 nA at 16.8 mm working distance. Secondary electron images were taken under the same accelerating voltage and working distance but at a lower beam current (0.6 nA). Mineral inclusions were identified by EDS with 15 kV accelerating voltage and 0.93 nA beam current at 15 mm working distance.

SIMS zircon oxygen isotope and U-Pb dating analytical method

Guided by CL images, zircon oxygen isotope analysis and U-Pb dating were carried out at the Institute of Geology and Geophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences with CAMECA IMS-1280 SIMS and CAMECA IMS-1280HR SIMS, respectively. Sample preparation and instrument operation conditions are the same as in Li et al. (2009, 2010a,b) and are briefly summarized here. Zircon grains were mounted with zircon oxygen isotope standards (Penglai zircon and Qinghu zircon) (Li et al., 2010b, 2013) and zircon geochronology standards (Plešovice and Qinghu zircon) (Sláma et al., 2008; Li et al., 2013) and then polished and coated with gold.
For O analysis, the Cs\(^+\) primary ion beam was accelerated at 10 kV, with an intensity of ca. 2 nA and rastered over a 10 μm area. The spot size (ellipse) was about 10 × 20 μm in diameter. The normal incidence electron flood gun was used to compensate for sample charging during analysis with homogeneous electron density over a 100 μm oval area. A 60 eV energy window was used together with a mass resolution of ca. 2500. Oxygen isotopes were measured using multi-collection mode on two off-axis Faraday cups. The intensity of \(^{16}\text{O}\) was typically \(10^9\) cps. The NMR (Nuclear Magnetic Resonance) probe was used for magnetic field control with stability better than 3 ppm over 16 h on mass \(^{17}\text{O}\). One analysis took ca. 5 min consisting of pre-sputtering (~120 s), automatic beam centering (~60 s) and integration of oxygen isotopes (20 cycles × 4 s, total 80 s). Uncertainties on individual analyses were usually better than 0.3-0.4 ‰ (2 SE). The instrumental mass fractionation factor (IMF) was corrected using Penglai zircon with a \(\delta^{18}\text{O}\) value of 5.3 ‰ (Li et al. 2010b). Measured \(^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}\) ratios were normalized by using V-SMOW compositions \((^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O} = 0.0020052)\), and then corrected for the IMF. An in-house zircon standard (Qinghu) was used to ascertain the veracity of the IMF and 15 measurements of Qinghu zircon in this research yielded a weighted mean of 5.49 ± 0.37 ‰ (2 SD), which is consistent with the recommended value of 5.4 ± 0.2 ‰ (Li et al. 2013).

During U-Pb analysis, zircon grains were sputtered by an O\(_2^-\) primary ion beam with an intensity of ca. 8 nA and a diameter of 20 μm. An ellipsoidal spot approximately 20 × 30 μm in size was created at the sample surface as the ion beam was at an angle with the sample surface. U-Pb concentration and isotopic compositions were calibrated against the Plešovice zircon standard. Common Pb was corrected using measured non-radiogenic \(^{204}\text{Pb}\) and an average present day crustal Pb compositions (Stacey and Kramers 1975). Concordia plot and ages are calculated by Isoplot 3.0 (Ludwig 2003). The quality of the data was assessed by analyzing the Qinghu zircon standard between samples. Five analyses of the Qinghu zircon standard during this study yielded a weighted average \(^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}\) age of 160.1 ± 4.6 Ma (95 %
Molybdenite Re-Os dating method

Molybdenite (0.3-2 mm) bearing quartz veins were crushed in an agate pestle to 5 mesh and then hand-picked under a microscope to remove non-molybdenite bearing phases. A known amount of material was placed into a Savillex Teflon digestion vessel with 8 ml of 32N HF (ROMIL Ltd. UpA high-purity HF) and left at room temperature for 24 hours (Lawley and Selby 2012). This digestion process was repeated until most of the quartz had been liberated. After this, the HF was removed by rinsing the material three times with MQ, followed by ethanol rinsing. The samples were then dried at 30 °C. The material was further purified by traditional heavy liquid floating technology, and the estimated purity was better than 95 %.

The Re-Os analytical protocol follows that documented by Selby and Creaser (2001). In brief, a known amount molybdenite aliquant and spike solution (185Re plus isotopically normal Os) was loaded into a Carius tube with 15.5 N HCl (3 ml) and 16 N HNO3 (6 ml), sealed, and digested at 220 °C for ~24 hours. Osmium was isolated from the acid medium using solvent extraction (CHCl3) at room temperature and further purified by micro-distillation method. The rhenium fraction was separated by NaOH-acetone solvent extraction and standard anion column chromatography. Rhenium and osmium were loaded on to Ni and Pt filaments, respectively. The isotopic compositions were measured by negative thermal ionization mass spectrometry (N-TIMS) (Creaser et al. 1991). Analyses were conducted on a Thermo Scientific TRITON mass spectrometer, with Re and Os isotopic compositions measured using static Faraday collection mode. The Re and Os isotopic composition analytical uncertainties are propagated with spike calibrations, sample and spike weighting uncertainty, reproducibility of Re and Os isotope standard values, as well as blank
abundances and isotopic compositions. During the study, Re and Os blanks were 4 pg and 1 pg, respectively, with the $^{187}$Os/$^{188}$Os of the blank being $0.25 \pm 0.02$. The molybenite Re-Os model age is calculated using the equation $t = \ln \left( \frac{^{187}\text{Os}}{^{187}\text{Re}} + 1 \right) / \lambda$. All analytical uncertainties were propagated with, and without, the $^{187}$Re decay constant uncertainty (Smoliar et al. 1996; Selby et al. 2007).

**Fluid inclusion data**

Classification strategy

The superimposition of multiple hydrothermal fluid pulses is a common phenomenon in hydrothermal ore deposits, and is particularly common in porphyry copper systems (Seedorff et al. 2005; Rusk et al. 2008; Richards 2011). As a result of the superimposition of hydrothermal events it is common to find in one crystal fluid inclusions that were trapped during different stages of mineralization and record very different physical and chemical compositions (Audetat et al. 1998). As such, to avoid ambiguous results and to investigate the primary ore-forming fluids nature, only primary and pseudosecondary fluid inclusions were analyzed.

Fluid inclusions and fluid inclusion assemblages (FIAs) (Goldstein and Reynolds 1994) are abundant in quartz veins from all alteration stages at Qulong and range in size from 1 to 30 μm in diameter (Fig. 5). The majority of fluid inclusions examined in this study have a diameter between 6 and 15 μm. Primary fluid inclusions are defined when they are confined to a single growth zone (Fig. 5A). However, given the fact that most of the quartz grains are anhedral and lack clear growth zonation under transmitted light microscope, like many porphyry copper deposits (Rusk et al. 2008), most fluid inclusions studied here are linearly distributed pseudosecondary fluid inclusions trapped during the healing of micron-sized intra-grain fractures/cracks (Fig. 5A-C). Secondary fluid inclusions (Fig. 5B), generally distributed along late stage fractures, have an unknown origin in relation to the mineralization (Fig. 5A).
and were avoided during this study. Homogenization temperatures (Th) of the fluid inclusions obtained during microthermometry are used to further confirm the classification of the FIAs, and generally consistent Th values were yielded from the same FIA as defined during the petrographic study (Goldstein and Reynolds 1994).

Based on phases observed at room temperature, five types of fluid inclusion assemblages have been defined. They are classified as: LV_{0-25} (liquid-rich two-phase FIAs, Fig. 5F), LV_{25-60} (liquid-vapor two-phase FIAs, Fig. 5E), LV_{60-100} (vapor-rich two-phase FIAs, Fig. 5D and I), LV_{H\pm OP} (halite bearing FIAs with or without opaque minerals, Fig. 5G and H) and LV_{OP} (opaque minerals bearing FIAs without halite presence, Fig. 5J and M) (L=liquid, V=vapor, subscript number = volume percentage of vapor in fluid inclusions, H ± OP = presence of halite and/or opaque minerals. e.g. LV_{0-25} refers to two-phase fluid inclusions contain 0-25 vol% of vapor; LV_{OP} refers to fluid inclusions that contain opaque minerals without observed halite crystals). Halite in LV_{H\pm OP} fluid inclusions was identified by its cubic shape and optical isotropy (Fig. 5G-J and L), with sylvite distinguished from halite by its sub-cubic form and lower relief. Halite is the dominant salt crystal. Anhydrite is also present in LV_{H\pm OP} and LV_{OP} fluid inclusions, and is identified by its transparent anisotropic prisms (Fig. 5K and L) with a diagnostic Raman signal (Burke 2001). Hematite in LV_{H\pm OP} and LV_{OP} fluid inclusions was easily recognized from its red colour, hexagonal shape and high reflection index (Fig. 5M). However, most of the opaque minerals in LV_{H\pm OP} and LV_{OP} fluid inclusions were not identified due to their small size (<1 µm). In many cases a FIA comprises more than one type of fluid inclusions. In such cases the classification is defined by the main type of fluid inclusion in that FIA (Goldstein and Reynolds 1994).

LV_{0-25} type fluid inclusions commonly display negative crystal shapes (Fig. 5F), but also exhibit rounded to irregular shapes. These inclusions occur in trails, as scattered groups and isolated individuals.
LV_{25-60} type fluid inclusions are dominated by negative crystal shapes and also exhibit rounded shapes (Fig. 5E), they occur in trails, as randomly distributed clusters and as isolated individuals, which are similar to the LV_{0-25} type fluid inclusions.

LV_{60-100} type fluid inclusions share similar distribution patterns as the LV_{0-25} and LV_{25-60} type fluid inclusions (Fig. 5 D and I). However, the difference between this type and LV_{0-25} and LV_{25-60} inclusions is that LV_{60-100} homogenize to both the vapor (~30 \% of them) and liquid (~70 \% of them) phase.

LV_{H\pm OP} type fluid inclusions host one or more halite daughter minerals ± opaque minerals (Fig. 5G, H and L), with variable volume percentages of vapor. Halite is the most common daughter minerals, but sylvite and anhydrite (Fig. 5K, L) are also observed. This type of fluid inclusion is either isolated or occurs as randomly distributed clusters and often contains small (~1 \mu m) unidentified opaque daughter minerals (Fig. 5G, H, J, L).

LV_{OP} type fluid inclusions host opaque minerals and anhydrite, but no other identifiable daughter phases at room temperature (Fig. 5J and M). They contain 5-60 vol\% vapor phases, with the majority containing 10-20 vol\% vapor. These inclusions are generally distributed as random clusters or as isolated individuals, but also spatially associated with halite-bearing fluid inclusions (Fig. 5J). These fluid inclusions are generally secondary or of an unknown origin, and are not further discussed.

Distribution of FIAs in alteration phases

The sinusoidal quartz vein (Fig. 4A) from the magmatic-hydrothermal transition stage hosts pseudosecondary LV_{25-60} inclusions defined FIA, which are spatially associated with LV_{60-100} inclusions.

Quartz bearing assemblages with potassic alteration selvages host abundant halite bearing fluid inclusions (LV_{H\pm OP}) that define several primary and pseudosecondary FIAs, with one
FIA also possessing vapor-rich two-phase fluid inclusions (LV<sub>60-100</sub>). Pseudosecondary LV<sub>60-100</sub> FIAs and LV<sub>25-60</sub> FIAs are also present.

Quartz bearing assemblages from the propylitic alteration stage host abundant pseudosecondary liquid-vapor two-phase fluid inclusions (LV<sub>25-60</sub>) which define FIAs. Some of these FIAs also contain vapor-rich two-phase fluid inclusions (LV<sub>60-100</sub>). Liquid-rich two-phase fluid inclusions (LV<sub>0-25</sub>) are also present in these samples as FIAs.

Quartz-bearing assemblages from phyllic alteration stage host abundant pseudosecondary FIAs that contain liquid-rich two-phase fluid inclusions (LV<sub>0-25</sub>). Pseudosecondary liquid-vapor two-phase fluid inclusions (LV<sub>25-60</sub>) FIA are also present.

Microthermometry

The majority of fluid inclusions homogenize to liquid with subsequent dissolution of halite when present, except for LV<sub>60-100</sub> type fluid inclusions, which 30 % of them homogenize to the vapor phase. A few halite bearing fluid inclusions also homogenize by halite dissolution. In most cases, the opaque minerals do not dissolve despite heating to 450-500 °C for 2-3 hours.

For the FIA bearing both LV<sub>25-60</sub> and LV<sub>60-100</sub> inclusions from a quartz vein representing the magmatic-hydrothermal transition stage (No.1 in Fig. 4A), the inclusions homogenize to liquid with Th of 340-360 °C and yield salinities of 2-6 wt. % NaCl equiv. (n = 18; Fig.6A).

All Th and salinity inclusion data for quartz bearing potassic alteration selvages are shown in Fig. 6B. One primary halite-bearing FIA (LV<sub>H2OP</sub>, No. 2 in Fig. 6B) yield Th of 419-436 °C and salinities of 50-52 wt. % NaCl equiv. (n = 11). A pseudosecondary halite-bearing FIA (LV<sub>H2OP</sub>, No.3) spatially associated with vapor-rich two-phase fluid inclusions (LV<sub>60-100</sub>) yield identical Th (388-405 °C). The halite bearing fluid inclusions have salinities of 41-45 wt% NaCl equiv (n = 8), with the vapor-rich two-phase fluid inclusions homogenizing to vapor possessing lower salinities (4-7 wt% NaCl equiv., n=6). Two
pseudosecondary vapor-rich two-phase FIAs (No. 4 and 5) yield similar salinities (1-6 wt% NaCl equiv), but have different Th (385-400 and 360-370 °C; n = 12 and 13, respectively).

Two liquid-vapor two-phase (LV\textsubscript{60-100}) pseudosecondary FIAs (No. 6) yield similar Th (335-355°C) and salinity (2-7 wt% NaCl equiv; n = 18).

All Th and salinity data for quartz veins bearing a propylitic alteration selvage are shown in Fig. 6C. Three pseudosecondary liquid-vapor two-phase (LV\textsubscript{25-60}) FIAs (also include vapor-rich two-phase fluid inclusions, No. 7) yield identical Th (all homogenize to liquid) of 335-360 °C and salinities of 1-7 wt% NaCl equiv (n = 31). One pseudosecondary liquid-vapor two-phase FIA (No. 8) give similar salinity values (2-7 wt% NaCl equiv), but slightly lower Th (318-332 °C, n = 9). A further FIA (No. 9) comprising pseudosecondary liquid-rich two-phase fluid inclusions yield similar Th of 270-290 °C and show broadly similar salinities of 2-11 wt% NaCl equiv (n = 14).

The Th and salinity inclusion data for a quartz bearing phyllic alteration selvage are shown in Fig. 6D. In general, salinities are similar between FIAs (No. 10-12), and range between 1 and 9 wt% NaCl equiv. In contrast, Th values vary within the FIAs from 265 to 340 °C. One pseudosecondary liquid-rich two-phase FIA (No. 12) yielded Th of 265-290 °C and salinities of 1-8 wt% NaCl equiv (n = 13). Another pseudosecondary FIA (No. 11) yielded slightly higher Th (295-310 °C) and possesses similar salinities (3-9 wt% NaCl equiv, n = 12). A slightly higher Th (325-340 °C) is recorded by another pseudosecondary liquid-vapor two-phase FIA (No. 10), with salinities of 2-8 wt% NaCl equiv (n = 13).

**Zircon U-Pb, O isotopes and mineral inclusions**

SIMS zircon U-Pb and oxygen isotope data of the aplite

The zircon U-Pb and oxygen isotope data of the aplite are summarized in Table 2 and illustrated in Fig. 8 and 9, respectively. All analytical spots were located on areas with clear CL zonation, while sieve textured grains and mineral inclusions rich zones were avoided for
potential Pb loss (Fig. 7). The U-Pb analysis was conducted at the same position after oxygen isotope analysis. The 37 U-Pb analyses plot on or near the Wetherill concordia curve between 140 and 185 Ma (Fig. 8A). These data are divided into two groups based on their $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ age distribution (Fig. 8B). The first group consists of $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ age values that vary from 140.7 to 156.3 Ma ($n = 4$). The $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ age values from the second group ($n = 33$) range from 165.2 to 184.7 Ma and show a bimodal distribution (Fig. 8C). No systematic age variations were observed between the core and rim.

The zircon $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values range from 4.2 to 5.5 ‰, and show a positive skewed asymmetric distribution (Fig. 9). Notably, 18 analyses yield an average of $4.85 \pm 0.23$ ‰ (1 SD) which is lower than the recommended mantle value ($5.3 \pm 0.3$ ‰) (Valley et al. 2005), with the remaining 12 analyses within the mantle value (average $= 5.31 \pm 0.12$ ‰, 1 SD). There is no trend in the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values between the zircon core and rim. Further, there is also no correlation between the $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ age data and the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values.

Zircon hosted mineral inclusions

The zircon grains from the aplite are characterized by sieve textures and host abundant mineral inclusions that are predominantly in the core of the grains (Fig. 10). In addition to rutile and xenotime, feldspar, quartz and fluorapatite are also frequently observed. Feldspar and quartz (15-40 µm) generally coexist and cross cut the zircon grains.

Molybdenite Re-Os data

Re-Os data are reported at 2 sigma level uncertainty in Table 3 and are graphically presented in Fig. 11. The Re-Os data uncertainties are presented with full propagation of the analytical uncertainties without/with the $^{187}\text{Re}$ decay constant uncertainty. Rhenium concentrations of the molybdenite samples vary from 64 to 324 ppm. As the purity of molybdenite samples studied here is better than 95 %, the recorded rhenium concentration
variations relate directly to the abundance in the analyzed molybdenite sample. No relationship between rhenium concentration and age is observed. The Re-Os dates are in agreement with the relative chronology of the Qulong porphyry system; a) magmatic-hydrothermal transition, b) potassic alteration, c) propylitic alteration, and d) phyllic alteration (Fig. 11). Sample 313-145 from the aplite which hosts the sinusoidal quartz veins has a Re-Os model age of 16.10 ± 0.07 [0.08] Ma. Samples 313-460 and 001-640 with potassic selvages yield identical Re-Os dates of 16.11 ± 0.06 [0.08] Ma and 16.10 ± 0.07 [0.09] Ma, respectively. Sample 1605-334 with a propylitic selvage has a slightly younger date of 16.01 ± 0.06 [0.08] Ma. Two samples (1605-155 and 1605-33) with phyllic selvages yield further younger dates of 15.93 ± 0.06 [0.08] Ma and 15.88 ± 0.06 [0.08] Ma, respectively. As these samples are not cogenetic and indicate a resolvable timespan, no isochron or weighted average is presented.

Discussion

Geochronology of Qulong

A significant amount of geochronology data (U-Pb, Re-Os, Fig. 12) has been published in an attempt to constrain the timeframe of the magmatism and mineralization at Qulong. Published $^{206}\text{Pb} / ^{238}\text{U}$ age data yield a weighted mean of 17.2 ± 0.9 Ma (LA-ICP-MS, N = 243, 1 SD) (Hu et al. 2015; Zhao et al. 2015) and 16.5 ± 1.1 Ma (SHRIMP, n = 38, 1 SD) (Li and Rui 2004; Wang et al. 2006) for the Rongmucuola pluton (Fig. 12). For the syn-ore P porphyry $^{206}\text{Pb} / ^{238}\text{U}$ age data yield a weighted mean of 16.3 ± 0.5 Ma (LA-ICP-MS, n = 15, 1 SD) (Zhao et al. 2015) and 17.1 ± 1.0 Ma (SHRIMP, n = 13, 1 SD) (Hou et al. 2004). The zircon grains from the X porphyry have $^{206}\text{Pb} / ^{238}\text{U}$ age data that yield a weighted average of 15.9 ± 0.5 Ma (LA-ICP-MS, n = 18, 1 SD) (Zhao et al. 2015). The post-ore diorite has zircon $^{206}\text{Pb} / ^{238}\text{U}$ age data that yield a weighted mean of 15.7 ± 0.4 Ma (LA-ICP-MS; n = 21, 1 SD) (Yang et al. 2015) and 15.3 ± 0.3 Ma (SIMS; n = 17, 1 SD) (Zhao et al. 2015). Published Re-
Os molybdenite model ages via ICP-MS analysis constrain mineralization from 16.85 to 15.36 Ma, and have large uncertainties (0.19-1.94 Ma) (Meng et al. 2003; Zheng et al. 2004; Li et al. 2005; Wang et al. 2006). The current U-Pb and Re-Os data set is suggestive of a prolonged magmatic-hydrothermal evolution history at Qulong (>3 m.y, Fig.12). However, the significance of these dates, in terms of magmatic-hydrothermal onset and demise, cannot be truly given before the systematic difference between the methods (SHRIMP, LA-ICP-MS, ICP-MS) and laboratories has been assessed (Von Quadt et al. 2011; Li et al. 2015; Schaltegger et al. 2015). In this case, the weighted mean of the $^{206}$Pb/$^{238}$U age for all the zircon grains is certainly not a good estimation for the age of porphyry emplacement (Schoene 2014). Further, the standard deviations associated with the analysis also cannot be used to assess the magma evolution as there is no assessment for the variation which either reflects geological processes or analytical uncertainties. As such estimating the timescale of magmatism and mineralization at Qulong based on these data is impossible and further high-precision geochronology study is needed (e.g., CA-ID-TIMS; (Chiaradia et al. 2013; Schoene 2014; Schaltegger et al. 2015)).

Given the observation that the aplite units intrude the Miocene Rongmucuola pluton (Fig. 3E) (Yang et al. 2009; Qin et al. 2014), and are truncated by quartz veins with potassic selvages (Yang et al. 2009), there should be no doubt that it is coeval or slightly older than the syn-ore P porphyry. However, zircon SIMS $^{206}$Pb/$^{238}$U age data from the aplite yield concordant Jurassic ages (Fig. 8, 172-182 Ma). These zircon grains show clear zonation in CL images (Fig. 7) without evidence of contamination from inherent cores, and no systematic age variations between the core and the rim of the zircon grains. In addition, the concordia data sets argue against Pb loss, thus we conclude that the Jurassic dates are not an analytical manifestation or related to the disturbance of the U-Pb system, but in fact indicate there was no zircon growth during the crystallization of the aplite (Bea et al. 2007).
The aplite has been previously suggested to be a quench product of the magma that also produced the P porphyry (Yang et al. 2009). If this is the case, zircons with Jurassic cores should also be observed in the P porphyry unless all the old, inherited grains have been resorbed. As zircon is well known for its resistance in most geological conditions, plus the lack of Jurassic cores documented in zircon grains from the P porphyry (Hou et al. 2015), the aplite likely did not originate from the same magma as the P porphyry. A possible scenario is the aplite represents rapid crystallization of a magma derived principally from melting of the Jurassic igneous units (e.g., the Yeba Formation) during the emplacement of the Miocene intrusions, e.g. during the emplacement of the P porphyry, and no Miocene zircon growth during its crystallization (Bea et al. 2007).

Although there is no direct constraint for the emplacement age of the aplite, its minimum crystallization age can be bracketed by a Re-Os age from molybdenite from the aplite unit (16.11 ± 0.06 [0.08] Ma; sample 313-145; this study). Thus the aplite was formed before the main potassic alteration-mineralization stage, and likely contemporaneous with the final crystallization of the P porphyry, with the fluids of the sinusoidal quartz vein hosted by the aplite recording the magmatic-hydrothermal transition (Lindsay et al. 1995).

The sieve textures and quartz-feldspar inclusions (Fig. 10) in the zircon grains of the aplite suggest the aplite experienced extensive interaction with hydrothermal fluids, e.g., the fluid associated with the sinusoidal quartz vein. The low δ¹⁸O values (4.2-5.0 ‰, Table 2 and Fig. 9) from the aplite hosted zircon grains suggest these zircon are either crystallized from low δ¹⁸O magma (Wang et al. 2011), or experienced alteration by low δ¹⁸O fluid (Valley 2003). However, subduction related Jurassic magmatic rocks in the Gangdese porphyry copper belt have δ¹⁸O values of 5.5-7 ‰, so the low zircon δ¹⁸O values may be best explained by low δ¹⁸O fluid alteration (Taylor 1968).

The Re-Os dates for molybdenite from quartz veins bearing potassic, propylitic and phyllic selvages support the relative chronology of the hydrothermal evolution at Qulong (Fig.
Molybdenite in veins with potassic selvages yields identical Re-Os dates of 16.11 ± 0.06 [0.08] Ma and 16.10 ± 0.07 [0.09] Ma, with a slightly younger date (although overlapping within uncertainty) for the propylitic stage (16.01 ± 0.06 [0.08] Ma), and further younger ages for the phyllic stage (15.93 ± 0.06 [0.08] Ma and 15.88 ± 0.06 [0.08] Ma). To date, the Re-Os dates of this study provide the most robust timing constraints for the hydrothermal evolution at Qulong, suggesting a maximum duration of 350 kyr, which is much less than the uncertainties of the U-Pb (SHRIMP/LA-ICP-MS) dates for the P and X porphyry units, and the post-ore diorite.

A potential concern for an underestimation of the mineralization lifespan is that molybdenite may have formed entirely during the potassic phase, with some veins being overprinted by propylitic and phyllic alteration. However, no evidence of overprint/replacement of the molybdenite bearing veins was observed in this study. Furthermore, the agreement of the relative chronology shown by the alteration phases and the Re-Os molybdenite dates coupled with cessation of mineralization/hydrothermal activity represented by the 15.88 Ma phyllic assemblages in this study, and a 15.7 ± 0.2 Ma hydrothermal biotite Ar-Ar age (Zhao et al. 2015) argue against a greater duration for hydrothermal activity.

Fluid compositions

The first ice-melting temperature for the majority of the two-phase aqueous fluid inclusions is < -23 °C, which indicates the presence of other chemical species besides NaCl and KCl (e.g. Ca, Fe and Mg) (Sterner and Bodnar 1984; Rusk et al. 2008). As anhydrite has been recognized throughout most of the alteration and mineralization assemblages (Zheng et al. 2004; Yang et al. 2009), and is present as daughter minerals in fluid inclusions (Fig. 5K and L), the fluid should be Ca-rich and oxidized (Xiao et al. 2012). Hematite is also a common opaque trapped mineral in the fluid inclusions (Fig. 5M). Therefore, it is reasonable
to assume that the fluid contains Na, K, Ca, Fe, Cu and Mo, with the anions Cl and S. As all of the fluid inclusions have first ice-melting temperatures > -60 °C, Br and Li are considered as insignificant in regards to the bulk composition of the hydrothermal fluids (Davis et al. 1990). CO$_2$ in LV$_{25-60}$ fluid inclusions is identified by Laser Raman, but liquid CO2 was not observed at room temperature, nor clathrate formation was recorded in this study, thus only as a minor component ($\leq$3.5 mol%) (Azbej et al. 2007).

The early K-feldspar halos show dissolution textures (e.g., sieve textures, Fig. 4D), with quartz phenocrysts in the P porphyry exhibiting diagnostic resorbed shapes with embayments (Fig. 3B) (Yang et al. 2009; Qin et al. 2014). This suggests that the hydrothermal fluid potentially contains corrosive acid, e.g. hydrofluoric acid (McPhie et al. 2011). Zircon grains from the aplite host abundant fluorapatite inclusions, together with the sieve textures related to hydrothermal alteration as demonstrated by low $\delta^{18}$O values, are highly suggestive of the hypothesis that the hydrothermal fluid was fluorine rich. Similar quartz resorption textures have been recognized in Cu–Zn skarn at the Empire Mine, Idaho, and are attributed to high fluorine activities during the alteration and mineralization processes (Chang and Meinert 2004). In addition, fluorine-rich fluids have also been proposed to explain the formation of amoeboi clasts in the Olympic Dam IOCG deposit (McPhie et al. 2011). However, no fluorite has been recognized at Qulong (Zheng et al. 2004). This may either reflect a low fluorine concentration in the fluid or fluorite minerals were dissolved by later stage hydrothermal fluids.

Trapping conditions constraints and depth estimation

Determining the formation depth of a porphyry copper ore deposit is challenging, as such the depth of porphyry ore formation for many deposits is not precisely constrained (Seedorff et al. 2005; Rusk et al. 2008). Fluid inclusion studies, however, are widely used to help model ore-fluid trapping pressures and to estimate the formation depths.
Without independent pressure and temperature estimates, the halite-bearing FIA from the potassic alteration stage that are spatially associated with vapor-rich two-phase fluid inclusions (Fig. 5I, No. 3 in Fig. 6B) provides the best estimation for the conditions of ore formation at Qulong. This association suggests fluid boiling. Therefore, the homogenization temperatures (388-405 °C with an average of 398 °C) and homogenization pressure (250-290 bar, average of 270 bar) (Steele-MacInnis et al. 2012) of this specific FIA equals the trapping temperature and pressure, respectively. This trapping pressure suggests a formation depth of 1 km at lithostatic pressure or 2.7 km at hydrostatic pressure, given this sample has a current depth of 0.4 km, either 0.6 or 2.3 km erosion occurred following ore formation.

For the sinusoidal quartz vein that represents the magmatic-hydrothermal transition stage, the liquid-vapor two-phase FIA (No. 1) yields Th of 350 °C. Typical ductile-brittle transition in high level systems (e.g. porphyry copper deposits), which represents mechanical failure of a magma chamber and release of hydrothermal fluids occurs at temperatures < 425 °C (Landtwing et al. 2005; Richards 2011). If we consider 425 °C as the uppermost trapping temperature for the FIA in the sinusoidal quartz vein, a ~0.7 kbar trapping pressure is determined (Fig. 13A). This pressure equals to ~2.7 km depth under lithostatic pressure and ~7 km under hydrostatic pressure. The lithostatic pressure inferred depth is consistent with the temporally later potassic alteration stage exhibiting boiling under hydrostatic pressure (No. 3, Fig. 13), this indicates that the sinusoidal vein was formed under lithostatic pressure.

Assuming trapping at hydrostatic conditions of 2.3-2.7 km depth for the rest of the FIAs, we present the best estimates for the trapping temperatures of fluids associated with potassic, propylitic and phyllic stages (Table 4). For potassic stage samples the pseudosecondary halite-bearing FIA yields average trapping temperature of 425 °C (No. 2, Fig. 13B). Two pseudosecondary vapor-rich two-phase FIAs yield average trapping temperature of 390 and 380 °C (No. 4 and 5, Fig. 13A). Two liquid-vapor two-phase pseudosecondary FIAs yield average trapping temperature of 360 °C (No. 6, Fig. 13A).
Three pseudosecondary liquid-vapor two-phase FIAs from the propylitic alteration stage yield trapping temperatures of 365 °C (No. 7, Fig. 13A), the pseudosecondary liquid-vapor two-phase FIA gives trapping temperature of 340 °C (No. 8, Fig. 13A), two pseudosecondary liquid-rich two-phase FIAs yield a trapping temperature of 290 °C (No. 9, Fig. 13A). For FIAs from the phyllic alteration stage, the pseudosecondary liquid-vapor two-phase fluid inclusions yield a trapping temperature of 345 °C (No. 10, Fig. 13A), while the pseudosecondary liquid-rich two-phase ones yield a trapping temperature of 310 and 285 °C (No. 11 and 12, Fig. 13A).

The fluid inclusion data presented here suggests the transition between lithostatic and hydrostatic pressure occurred at the initial stages of ore formation. The temperature at the magmatic-hydrothermal transition stage (425 °C) is significantly lower than that (573 predicted by Yang et al. (2009). This may suggest meteoric water involvement during the crystallization of the aplite, as indicated by the low δ¹⁸O values recorded in zircons within the aplite. During the mineralization process, the fluid pressure remained broadly similar, with temperatures decreasing from potassic to phyllic stages. The very steady fluid pressure at hydrostatic conditions during the mineralization process indicates that the Qulong system experienced mechanical failure of the magma chamber before the mineralization processes, e.g. explosive pressure release marked by the breccia pipes (Fig. 2).

In addition, our data suggests a minimum ~2.3 km of erosion since the formation of Qulong. This amount of erosion is, however in contrast with current estimates that state there has been no significant regional uplift or erosion in central Tibet since the Miocene (Rowley and Currie 2006). Nevertheless, our fluid inclusion data, coupled with the absence of Miocene volcanism at Qulong, which is commonly observed in porphyry systems formed in extensional environments (Sillitoe 2010), is indicative of appreciable erosion in the Qulong area. Further, the exposure of several other Miocene porphyry systems near Qulong without
Miocene volcanic units (e.g., Jiama and Lakang’e; Fig. 1) may indicate that ~2 km of erosion is more widespread than previously considered.

**Conclusion**

Molybdenite Re-Os dating confirms that the bulk Cu and Mo mineralization at Qulong occurred between $16.10 \pm 0.06 [0.08]$ Ma and $15.88 \pm 0.06 [0.08]$ Ma (bracketed value is with $^{187}$Re decay constant uncertainty). This timeframe is much less than the uncertainties of published U-Pb and Re-Os data. Though the published geochronology data seems to suggest a long-lived intrusive episode of the Miocene granodioritic to monzonitic rocks at Qulong, it is impossible to use the weighted mean and uncertainty to address the emplacement age and duration of magmatism until high-precision data is available (e.g. zircon CA-ID-TIMS).

The purely Jurassic aged zircons in the syn-ore aplite possessing a low oxygen isotope composition ($4.85 \pm 0.23 \permil$), and sieve textures and mineral inclusions (quartz, feldspar and fluorapatite) indicate that the aplite is a remelt of Jurassic rocks which crystallized rapidly during the emplacement of the P porphyry, with involvement of meteoric fluid.

The ore-forming fluid contains Na, K, Ca, Fe, Cu and Mo, along with Cl and S. Fluorine is also an essential component as demonstrated by the presence of fluorapatite in zircon grains, as well as the dissolution textures of K-feldspar from the potassic stage and quartz phenocrysts in the P porphyry. Fluid inclusion study confirms the magmatic-hydrothermal transition occurred at ~425 °C under lithostatic pressure, while potassic, propylitic and phyllic alteration occurred at hydrostatic pressure with temperature progressively decreasing from 425 to 280 °C. The fluid inclusion data presented here suggest the Qulong porphyry system was formed at a paleo depth of ~2.7 km. This implies that ~2.3 km of erosion has occurred at Qulong after its formation, which may be related with regional uplift in the Lhasa terrane.
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Figures and Tables

Fig. 1. Tectonic setting and geological map of the Gangdese Porphyry Copper Belt, Modified from Yang et al. (2009).

Fig. 2. Geological map (A) of the Qulong Cu-Mo porphyry deposit with cross sections (B), simplified and revised from Zhao et al. (2015).

Fig. 3. Representative images of the geology of the Qulong porphyry Cu-Mo deposit. A) Rongmucuola granodiorite pluton, (B) P porphyry, (C) aplite, (D) post-ore quartz-diorite, and (E) cross-cutting relationship between aplite and the Rongmucuola pluton. See text for detail.

Fig. 4. Photos showing alteration and mineralization characteristics of the Qulong porphyry Cu-Mo deposit. (A) Earliest A vein; (B) Early barren quartz vein and biotite vein; (C) Molybdenite vein hosted by aplite; (D) Main stage Cu-Mo veins with K-feldspar and biotite halos; (E, F, G) Cu-Mo mineralization with K-feldspar halos from the potassic-propylitic alteration stage; (H, I) Mo-Cu veins from the propylitic alteration stage; (J) Anhydrite veins with Cu-Mo halos from the phyllic alteration stage; (K) Pyrite-anhydrite vein from the phyllic alteration stage; (L) Argillic alteration sample. See text for detail.

Fig. 5. Distribution and characteristic features of fluid inclusions at Qulong. (A) Distribution of primary, pseudosecondary and origin unknown fluid inclusions; (B) Secondary fluid inclusions within late-stage fracture and pseudosecondary fluid inclusions; (C) Linear distributed pseudosecondary fluid inclusions; (D) Vapor rich two-phase FIA; (E) Liquid-vapor two-phase FIA; (F) Liquid-rich two-phase FIA; (G) Halite bearing FIA with/without opaque; (H) Halite-bearing FIA with/without opaque; (I) Vapor rich two-phase FIA; (J) Two-phase FIA spatially associated with halite-bearing fluid inclusions; (K) Anhydrite bearing fluid inclusion; (L) Halite bearing fluid inclusion with anhydrite and opaque minerals; (M) Opaque mineral and hematite bearing fluid inclusions without halite.

Fig. 6. Th-salinity plots of fluid inclusions from the magmatic-hydrothermal transition stage (A), potassic stage (B), propylitic stage (C) and phyllic stage (D). The ages quoted in the figures are from quartz-enclosed molybdenite Re-Os dates obtained in this study (Table 3). Numbers in blue indicate the FIA number discussed in the text.

Fig. 7. CL images of zircon grains from aplite sample, with SIMS locations, and U-Pb and O isotope data. Blue numbers indicate the zircon grain number noted in the first column of Table 2.

Fig. 8. (A) Concordia plot of the aplite zircon SIMS U-Pb data, (B) weighted average $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ age plot, and (C) histogram showing a bimodal distribution of the $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ ages. Note the calculated weighted average data in Fig. 8C does not represent geological meaningful ages, but indicates the source of the magma. See text for discussion.

Fig. 9. Histogram of aplite zircon SIMS oxygen isotope data, with mantle zircon values from Valley et al. (2005). See text for discussion.
Fig. 10. Secondary electron image showing the type and distribution of mineral inclusions in zircon grains from the aplite sample.

Fig. 11. Molybdenite Re-Os dates obtained for quartz veins bearing potassic, propylitic and phyllic selvages.

Fig. 12. A compilation of all published zircon U-Pb (LA-ICP-MS, SHRIMP, SIMS) and molybdenite Re-Os (ICP-MS) data of the Miocene units and mineralization at Qulong. Also shown are the Re-Os dates obtained in this study. Our SIMS U-Pb zircons dates for the aplite are not shown as they are all Jurassic. See text for data sources and discussion.

Fig. 13. Trapping pressure and temperature of hydrothermal fluids at Qulong associated with the magmatic-hydrothermal transition, potassic, propylitic and phyllic alteration and mineralization; (A) Isochores for a 5 wt% NaCl equiv, and (B) 40 wt% NaCl equiv NaCl-H₂O system (Samson et al. 2003). Hydrostatic and lithostatic pressures are calculated based on a density of 1 and 2.6 g/cm³, respectively. Numbers at coloured star symbol indicate the FIA number discussed in the text. (C) Fluid pressure-temperature evolution of Qulong.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Distribution</th>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Alteration and mineralization</th>
<th>Age (Mineral, method and data)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yeba Formation</td>
<td>E-W direction in South Gangdese belt.</td>
<td>Andesitic crystal tuff, ignimbrite, and dacite; laminated slate, with interbedded sandstone and limestone; andesitic lava and volcaniclastic rocks.</td>
<td>Weak propylitic alteration, no mineralization except pyrite veins.</td>
<td>Zircon, LA-ICPMS U-Pb, 166.0 ± 1.8 Ma (Zhao et al. 2015).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jurassic intrusions</td>
<td>Mid-western of Qulong</td>
<td>Dacite-rhyolite and rhyolite porphyry, quartz (20-25 vol%) and feldspar (8-10 vol%) as phenocrysts, with quartz and K-feldspar and biotite as groundmass.</td>
<td>Propylitic and phyllic alterations with abundant fracture controlled pyrite veins.</td>
<td>Zircon, SHRIMP U-Pb, 182.3 ± 1.5 Ma (Yang et al. 2009); Zircon, LA-ICPMS U-Pb, 160.7 ± 2.0 Ma (Zhao et al. 2015).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rongmucuola pluton</td>
<td>East part of Qulong</td>
<td>Medium-coarse, hypidiomorphic-granular granodiorite. Coarse-grained (5-10 mm) plagioclase (30-40 vol%), K-feldspar (20-30 vol%), and medium grained (2-5 mm) quartz (15-20 vol%) and biotite (10-15 vol%), accessory minerals include apatite, magnetite, zircon, rutile.</td>
<td>Weak propylitic alteration, generally barren.</td>
<td>Zircon, LA-ICPMS U-Pb, 17.6 ± 0.3 Ma (Zhao et al. 2015).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Central Qulong</td>
<td>Weakly porphyritic monzogranite. Coarse-grained (5-10 mm) plagioclase (30-40 vol%), K-feldspar (20-30 vol%), and medium grained (2-5 mm) quartz (15-20 vol%) and biotite (10-15 vol%), accessory minerals include apatite, magnetite, zircon, rutile.</td>
<td>Intensive potassic, propylitic and phyllic alteration and hosts the majority of the Cu-Mo in Qulong.</td>
<td>Zircon, LA-ICPMS U-Pb, 17.4 ± 0.4 Ma (Zhao et al. 2015).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P porphyry</td>
<td>Central Qulong</td>
<td>Coarse-grained monzogranite. Coarse-grained (3-8 mm) plagioclase (10-20 vol%), quartz (5-1-5 vol%) and K-feldspar (~5 vol%) as phenocrysts, the groundmass is dominated by quartz and feldspar.</td>
<td>Intensive potassic, propylitic and phyllic alteration and has been presumed as the ore-genitor porphyry.</td>
<td>Zircon, LA-ICPMS U-Pb, 16.2 ± 0.3 Ma (Zhao et al. 2015).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X porphyry</td>
<td>Central Qulong and drill cores</td>
<td>Coarse-grained biotite monzogranite. Mineralogy similar as P porphyry but contains more quartz.</td>
<td>Intensive potassic, weak propylitic and phyllic alteration, weak mineralized.</td>
<td>Zircon, LA-ICPMS U-Pb, 15.9 ± 0.3 Ma (Zhao et al. 2015).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aplite</td>
<td>Central Qulong and drill cores</td>
<td>Fine grained aplite Intergrowths of fine-grained (~1 mm) anhedral alkali feldspar and quartz.</td>
<td>Intensive potassic, weak propylitic and phyllic alteration, generally not mineralized.</td>
<td>No data reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartz diorite dike</td>
<td>Mainly in drill cores</td>
<td>Quartz diorite dike Coarse phenocrysts (~0.5-1cm) of plagioclase (~5%), quartz (5%) and hornblende (3%) with a matrix comprise plagioclase and hornblende as well as quartz and biotite.</td>
<td>Weak phyllic alteration, post-mineralization thus barren.</td>
<td>Zircon, LA-ICPMS U-Pb, 15.3 ± 0.3 Ma (Zhao et al. 2015).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>U (ppm)</td>
<td>Th (ppm)</td>
<td>Th/U</td>
<td>t238U/235U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>972</td>
<td>1146</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3092</td>
<td>5055</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>864</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>1466</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1738</td>
<td>1147</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2286</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>1714</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1734</td>
<td>2581</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1669</td>
<td>2079</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1824</td>
<td>1686</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>807</td>
<td>757</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1747</td>
<td>2539</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>3508</td>
<td>5644</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1816</td>
<td>11432</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>3303</td>
<td>6149</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>2283</td>
<td>2911</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>2294</td>
<td>2295</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1501</td>
<td>3228</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>877</td>
<td>1016</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>1485</td>
<td>3276</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>1525</td>
<td>2750</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>3278</td>
<td>6720</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>687</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>617</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>1186</td>
<td>1213</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>1467</td>
<td>1589</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>1460</td>
<td>1373</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>2846</td>
<td>2484</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>1290</td>
<td>1724</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>1593</td>
<td>1241</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>2117</td>
<td>1235</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table shows zircon SIMS U-Pb and oxygen isotope data for the aplite sample.
Table 3. Molybdenite Re-Os data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample #</th>
<th>Wt (g)</th>
<th>Re (ppm) ±</th>
<th>^{187}Re (ppm) ±</th>
<th>^{187}Os (ppb) ±</th>
<th>Age ±*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>313-460</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>143.32</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>90.08</td>
<td>24.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313-145</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>64.44</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>40.50</td>
<td>10.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001-640</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>104.16</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>65.47</td>
<td>17.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1605-334</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>224.51</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>141.11</td>
<td>37.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1605-155</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>221.57</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>139.26</td>
<td>36.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1605-33</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>323.60</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>203.39</td>
<td>53.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data are presented with (bracketed value) and without ^{187}Re decay constant uncertainty (Smoliar et al. (1996); Selby et al. (2007)).
Table 4. Fluid inclusion assemblages (FIAs) and their respective microthermometric data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FIA No.</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Homogenized to</th>
<th>$T_{homogenization}$ °C</th>
<th>Salinity wt% NaCl equiv</th>
<th>$T_{trapping}$ °C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Magmatic-hydrothermal transition</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>liquid-vapor two-phase and vapor-rich two-phase fluid inclusions</td>
<td>liquid</td>
<td>340-360 °C</td>
<td>2-6 wt%</td>
<td>425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Potassic alteration stage</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>halite-bearing fluid inclusions</td>
<td>liquid</td>
<td>419-436</td>
<td>50-52 wt%</td>
<td>425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>halite-bearing fluid inclusions and vapor-rich two-phase fluid inclusions</td>
<td>halite dissolution vapor</td>
<td>388-405</td>
<td>41-45 wt% 4-7 wt%</td>
<td>398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>vapor-rich two-phase fluid inclusions</td>
<td>liquid</td>
<td>385-400</td>
<td>1-6 wt%</td>
<td>390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>vapor-rich two-phase fluid inclusions</td>
<td>liquid</td>
<td>360-370</td>
<td>1-6 wt%</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>liquid-vapor two-phase fluid inclusions,</td>
<td>liquid</td>
<td>335-355</td>
<td>2-7 wt%</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Propylitic alteration stage</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>liquid-vapor two-phase fluid inclusions</td>
<td>liquid</td>
<td>335-360</td>
<td>1-7 wt%</td>
<td>365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>liquid-vapor two-phase fluid inclusions</td>
<td>liquid</td>
<td>318-332</td>
<td>2-7 wt%</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>liquid-rich two-phase fluid inclusions</td>
<td>liquid</td>
<td>270-290</td>
<td>2-11 wt%</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phyllic alteration stage</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>liquid-vapor two-phase fluid inclusions</td>
<td>liquid</td>
<td>325-340</td>
<td>2-8 wt%</td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>liquid-rich two-phase fluid inclusions</td>
<td>liquid</td>
<td>295-310</td>
<td>3-9 wt%</td>
<td>310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>liquid-rich two-phase fluid inclusions</td>
<td>liquid</td>
<td>265-290</td>
<td>1-8 wt%</td>
<td>285</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**B)** K-feldspar, quartz, plagioclase

**C)** Pyrite vein

**D)** Hornblende, quartz, plagioclase

**E)** Rongmucuola pluton, Quartz vein, Aplite
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Panel A:
- Pressure (kbar) vs. Temperature (°C)
- Key markers indicate mineral assemblages:
  - 5 wt. % NaCl equiv.
  - ~2.7 km lithostatic pressure
  - 2.3-2.9 km hydrostatic pressure
  - M-H transition
  - Potassic
  - Propylitic
  - Phyllic

Panel B:
- Pressure (kbar) vs. Temperature (°C)
- Key markers indicate mineral assemblages:
  - 40 wt. % NaCl equiv.
  - L(40)+halite
  - Liquid (40)
  - Th(L-V)=Tm Halite
  - Potassic

Panel C:
- Pressure (kbar) vs. Temperature (°C)
- Key markers indicate ages and geologic events:
  - ~2.7 km lithostatic pressure
  - ~16.01 Ma
  - Phyllic
  - Propylitic
  - ~16.10 Ma
  - Potassic
  - Pressure release chamber mechanical failure?