The effect of baryons on redshift space distortions and cosmic density and velocity fields in the EAGLE simulation
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ABSTRACT
We use the Evolution and Assembly of GaLaxies and their Environments (EAGLE) galaxy formation simulation to study the effects of baryons on the power spectrum of the total matter and dark matter distributions and on the velocity fields of dark matter and galaxies. On scales $k > 4h$ Mpc$^{-1}$ the effect of baryons on the amplitude of the total matter power spectrum is greater than 1 per cent. The back-reaction of baryons affects the density field of the dark matter at the level of $\sim 3$ per cent on scales of $1 \leq k/(h$ Mpc$^{-1}) \leq 5$. The dark matter velocity divergence power spectrum at $k \lesssim 0.5h$ Mpc$^{-1}$ is changed by less than 1 per cent. The 2D redshift space power spectrum is affected at the level of $\sim 6$ per cent at $|k| > 1h$ Mpc$^{-1}$ (for $\mu > 0.5$), but for $|k| \leq 0.4h$ Mpc$^{-1}$ it differs by less than 1 per cent. We report vanishingly small baryonic velocity bias for haloes: the peculiar velocities of haloes with $M_{200} > 3 \times 10^{13} M_{\odot}$ (hosting galaxies with $M_* > 10^9 M_{\odot}$) are affected at the level of at most 1 km s$^{-1}$, which is negligible for 1 per cent-precision cosmology. We caution that since EAGLE overestimates cluster gas fractions it may also underestimate the impact of baryons, particularly for the total matter power spectrum. Nevertheless, our findings suggest that for theoretical modelling of redshift space distortions and galaxy velocity-based statistics, baryons and their back-reaction can be safely ignored at the current level of observational accuracy. However, we confirm that the modelling of the total matter power spectrum in weak lensing studies needs to include realistic galaxy formation physics in order to achieve the accuracy required in the precision cosmology era.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The standard hierarchical structure formation theory assumes that the distribution of mass in the Universe has evolved out of primordial post-inflationary Gaussian density and velocity perturbations via gravitational instability. The resulting large-scale structures can be described in a statistical way. Two-point statistics (power spectrum and correlation function) are the most widely studied measures (see e.g. Peebles 1980; Juszkiewicz & Bouchet 1995; Percival et al. 2001; Gaztañaga, Fosalba & Croft 2002; Cole et al. 2005; Eisenstein et al. 2005). With the advent of precision cosmology, defined here as a level of 1 per cent precision in cosmic observables, it is a matter of utmost relevance to obtain accurate theoretical estimates of the two-point statistics. Theoretical modelling is needed to assess and model the systematic effects present in cosmic observables. This modelling needs to be precise enough to reduce the impact of the systematic effects below that of the expected statistical errors. So far the common approach has been to use large computer N-body simulations of a collisionless dark matter (DM) fluid (see e.g. Frenk & White 2012, for an extensive review), to model the cosmic density and velocity fields. DM-only simulations are relatively simple and cheap in terms of computer resources. However, they treat the baryonic component in a simplified manner, modelling it as dark and pressureless. In the light of the accuracy required by precision cosmology this approach might well turn out to be inadequate for accurate modelling of all relevant systematic effects.

In linear theory baryons follow the gravitational evolution of DM, which dominates the gravitational potential on large scales (i.e. tens of megaparsecs). However, on smaller scales the highly non-linear nature of the physical processes that govern galaxy formation can lead to significant displacement of the baryonic
components relative to the underlying DM (e.g. Jing et al. 2006; Rudd, Zentner & Kravtsov 2008; Guillet, Teyssier & Colombi 2010; van Daalen et al. 2011, 2014; Mohammed et al. 2014; Velliscig et al. 2014). On those smaller scales, we can distinguish two different regimes. The first one concerns scales to hundreds of kiloparsecs, where owing to radiative cooling, gravitationally preheated gas can efficiently dissipate internal energy and condense into halo centres reaching densities much higher than those of the accompanying DM. This effect boosts the variance of the baryon density field w.r.t that of the DM by 10–20 per cent on scales < 500 h−1 kpc (e.g. van Daalen et al. 2011, hereafter VD11). The second one is connected to the very energetic processes of supernovae (SNe) explosions and other stellar feedback events, as well as feedback from active galactic nuclei (AGN). These feedback processes can eject significant amounts of gas from the galaxies and haloes in which they reside. Especially efficient AGN energy feedback leads to expulsion of gas from the high-redshift progenitors of today’s group and cluster sized haloes beyond their z = 0 virial radii. Simulations require such energetic feedback to match simultaneously optical and X-ray observations of galaxy groups and clusters (e.g. Fabjan et al. 2010; McCarthy et al. 2010, 2011). Hence SNe and AGN feedbacks yield smoother baryon density contrasts on scales up to a few megaparsecs (e.g. VD11; Puchwein & Springel 2013; Vogelsberger et al. 2014).

We expect that on small and intermediate scales (i.e. ≲ 200 h−1 Mpc), the distribution of baryonic matter could differ significantly from that of the collisionless component and that this will produce a back-reaction on to the DM (e.g. VD11). This back-reaction, in turn, can produce non-negligible effects in the DM distribution on galactic and intergalactic scales. The baryonic back-reaction may also affect the velocity fields of DM, haloes and galaxies. While these baryonic effects on the total and DM density fields have been studied in previous works, the impact on the cosmic velocity fields of DM and galaxies remains to be investigated. Accurate modelling of this phenomenon is important since extraction of cosmological information from galaxy redshift surveys requires precise modelling of the galaxy and DM peculiar velocity fields.

Our aim in this study is to assess the scale and size of the baryonic back-reaction on both the cosmic density and velocity fields of DM and galaxies. We will do this by analysing the state-of-the-art galaxy formation simulation EAGLE (Crain et al. 2015; Schaye et al. 2015, hereafter S15).

2 THE EAGLE SIMULATION SUITE

In this letter, we use the main simulation (Ref-L100N1504, hereafter EAGLE) of the EAGLE1 (Evolution and Assembly of GaLaxies and their Environments; S15) suite and its DM-only version (hereafter DMO) that was run from the same initial conditions. This discrepancy is important in assessing the prominence of the baryonic effects at intergalactic scales, as the gas fraction of massive objects is a sensitive tell-tale sign of the baryonic effects on the corresponding scales (see e.g. Semboloni et al. 2011; Semboloni, Hoekstra & Schaye 2013). This should be borne in mind when we analyse the magnitude and scales of the baryonic effects on to the matter spectrum in the EAGLE simulation.

3 BARYONIC EFFECTS

We consider basic two-point statistics of the cosmic density and velocity fields in the form of power spectra. Specifically, we examine the real-space total and DM power spectra of density fluctuations, \( \delta^2(k) \approx \delta^2(k, \delta^2) \), the power spectrum of the scaled velocity divergence (expansion scalar), \( P_{\theta\theta}(k) \approx \langle \theta \cdot \theta \rangle \), defined here as \( \theta \equiv \nabla \cdot v(k) / (a H f) \). The corresponding density-velocity cross-power spectrum is \( P_{\delta \theta}(k) \equiv \langle \delta \theta \rangle \), and the full two-dimensional redshift space density power spectrum is \( P^*(k_\perp, k_z) = \sum_{i=0} P^i_{\delta \theta}(k)P^{i, *}(\mu) \), with monopole moment \( P_{\delta \theta}(k) \), and quadrupole moment \( P_{\delta \theta}(k) \). Here, \( k \) is the comoving 3D Fourier mode wavenumber, \( \mu = \cos(k_z/k) \), \( v \) is the peculiar velocity, \( a \) is the cosmic scalefactor, \( f \) is the Hubble parameter, \( f \) is the growth rate of density fluctuations (defined as the logarithmic derivative of the density perturbation growing mode with respect to the scalefactor), and finally \( P^i \) are Legendre polynomials. For all calculations in redshift space, we use the distant observer approximation in which the r-axis of the simulation cube is parallel to the observer’s line of sight (l-direction) and the r-, y-axes form a plane perpendicular to the observer’s direction (L-direction). To compute the power spectra, we estimate the density and velocity fields using the Delaunay Tessellation Field Estimator method of Schap & van de Weygaert (2000), implemented in the publicly available code by Cautun & van de Weygaert (2011). The DTFE method gives a volume-weighted velocity field and has a self-adaptive smoothing kernel that follows the local density of tracers.

For 1D spectra we sample the fields on to a 10241 cubic grid, and for 3D spectra we use a 5123 sampling grid. The size of the sampling grids implies Nyquist limits for the spectra of particles are then evolved in time using a modified version of the GADGET Tree-SPH code (Springel 2005) that includes the pressure-entropy formulation of the SPH equations by Hopkins (2013) and other improvements whose effects on the resulting galaxy population are discussed by Schaller et al. (2015c). The maximum physical Plummer-equivalent gravitational softening is \( \epsilon = 700 \) pc.

The subgrid model in this simulation includes element-by-element radiative cooling (Wiersma & Schaye 2009a), a star formation recipe designed to reproduce the observed Kennicutt–Schmidt relation (Schaye & Dalla Vecchia 2008), chemical enrichment via stellar mass-loss (Wiersma et al. 2009b), stellar feedback (Dalla Vecchia & Schaye 2012), gas accretion on to supermassive black holes and the corresponding AGN feedback (Booth & Schaye 2009; Rosas-Guevara et al. 2015). The simulation has been shown to reproduce broadly a variety of other observables (for details see Furlong et al. 2015; Lagos et al. 2015; Rahmati et al. 2015; Schaller et al. 2015a; S15; Trayford et al. 2015; Bahé et al. 2016). With all these successes it is worth mentioning here also a significant shortcoming of the simulation. The EAGLE X-ray properties of groups and clusters presented in S15 compares rather poorly with observations, with EAGLE predicting too high gas fractions in those objects. While S15 have shown that EAGLE model AGNdT9 (which uses more efficient AGN feedback) does much better, its box size of 50 Mpc is too small for our purposes. This discrepancy is important in assessing the prominence of the baryonic effects at intergalactic scales, as the gas fraction of massive objects is a sensitive tell-tale sign of the baryonic effects on the corresponding scales.

\footnote{The EAGLE project database is publicly available here: \texttt{http://icc.dur.ac.uk/Eagle/database.php}}
analysed by VD11. Our results for $k \geq 5 \, h^{-1} \, \text{Mpc}$ fall in between VD11 REF model (which had no AGN feedback; tan line) and their AGN model (with strong AGN feedback; magenta). However, at larger scales, we observe that the effect seen in EAGLE is weaker than their REF model. This regime is affected by EAGLE limited volume, and thus susceptible to cosmic variance.

We evaluate the amplitude and scales on which the back-reaction of baryons affects the DM by studying the blue line in Fig. 1, which shows that on scales $k > 5 \, h \, \text{Mpc}^{-1}$ the back-reaction effects are much smaller (up to 6 per cent) than the baryonic effects we have seen in the total matter power spectrum. This indicates that on those scales the effect of baryons on the total matter power spectrum is dominated by the distribution of the baryons themselves. Interestingly, in the transitional regime of $1 \leq k/(h \, \text{Mpc}^{-1}) \leq 5$, the differences between the DMO power spectrum and the DM component of EAGLE are typically as large as ~3 per cent. This is greater than the differences we observe in the total matter $P(k)$. Consequently, even though in this regime the effect of baryons on the total matter power spectrum is small, DMO simulations will still fail to accurately predict the power spectrum of the DM component. Finally, at $k \geq 10 \, h \, \text{Mpc}^{-1}$ there is more power in DMO, than in EAGLE DM, this reflects the fact that DMO simulations cannot model depletion of gas from lower mass haloes caused by stellar feedback and reionization, which in turn makes virial masses of those haloes smaller in hydro runs (see e.g. Sawala et al. 2013; Schaller et al. 2015a).

The effects that we have observed here for the DM and baryon density fields are not surprising, considering all the non-linear and highly energetic processes modelled by the EAGLE simulation. The question that we now want to answer is: to what extent and on what scales does the non-linear physics of the baryonic back-reaction induce changes on the velocity field? We can do this by analysing the red line in the bottom panel of Fig. 1. This line depicts the absolute difference between the amplitude of the DM velocity divergence power spectrum – $P_{\nabla\theta\theta}(k)$, and that of the corresponding DMO simulation. The absolute difference is smaller than 3 per cent in the range $1 \leq k/(h \, \text{Mpc}^{-1}) \leq 10$. At larger scales the difference quickly drops below 1 per cent, and at $k \sim 0.2 \, h \, \text{Mpc}^{-1}$ it already becomes negligibly small ($<10^{-3}$). Qualitatively and quantitatively similar behaviour is observed for the density–velocity cross-power spectrum, where differences at $k < 10 \, h \, \text{Mpc}^{-1}$ are usually smaller than those in $P_{\nabla\theta\theta}$. In the case of the monopole of the redshift space power spectrum, $P_{\theta\theta}(k)$, the difference between EAGLE DM and the DMO result attains its maximal value of ~4 per cent at $k = 4 \, h \, \text{Mpc}^{-1}$; however, the baryonic back-reaction drops below 1 per cent already for wavenumbers smaller than $0.5 \, h \, \text{Mpc}^{-1}$. For the quadrupole, $P_{\theta\theta}(k)$, at small scales ($k > 3 \, h \, \text{Mpc}^{-1}$) we observe the effect of a similar size, while at large scales baryonic effects are even smaller.

Fig. 2 compares the full two-dimensional (right) and fixed $|k|$ intervals redshift EAGLE DM and DMO power spectra. For clarity, we plot only isoamplitude contours of the full 2D spectra. The EAGLE box size is probably too small to allow for a proper modelling of large-scale modes ($k < 0.1 \, h \, \text{Mpc}^{-1}$) and the Kaiser effect (Kaiser 1987) due to finite volume effects (see Colombi, Bouchet & Schaeffer 1994). However, the box is sufficiently large to appraise the impact of galaxy formation on smaller scales, where the ‘Fingers of God’ effect distorts the matter power spectrum amplitude. The isoamplitude contours are systematically shifted to higher $k_\perp$ values for EAGLE, hence indicating that the back-reaction of baryons on the DM leads to a slightly weaker suppression of small-scale power

\[ k_{\text{iso}} = 48.2 \, h \, \text{Mpc}^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad k_{\text{iso}}^{\perp} = 24.1 \, h \, \text{Mpc}^{-1}, \]

The analysis of lower-resolution runs of EAGLE indicates that the power spectra are converged to 1 per cent at $k_{\text{iso}}/8$. However, since we are focused here on relative differences between DMO and EAGLE, we will consider the power spectra up to their respective Nyquist sampling limits.

In Fig. 1, we plot all relevant EAGLE one-dimensional power spectra as absolute values of their relative differences with respect to the corresponding DMO power spectra. For all cases, the dashed lines mark the results when the EAGLE amplitude is lower than the DMO case, whilst the solid lines correspond to the opposite. We first focus on the total matter power spectrum (orange line). Theoretical predictions of this statistic up to $k \sim 5 \, h \, \text{Mpc}^{-1}$ are needed for precision cosmology with upcoming surveys like Euclid (Laureijs et al. 2011) and LSST (Ivezic et al. 2008). The simulation suggests that at $k = 5 \, h \, \text{Mpc}^{-1}$ baryons already produce a 5 per cent difference in the amplitude. This effect is much more pronounced when we consider even smaller scales: at $k \sim 10–20 \, h \, \text{Mpc}^{-1}$ the difference between DMO and EAGLE can be as large as 10–20 per cent. The results are compared with two of the OWLS models (Schaye et al. 2010)
due to viralized motions inside clusters and groups of galaxies. This
effect can be better seen on the left-hand panel, where it is notice-
able only for close to l.o.s. directions (i.e. μ > 0.5) and small scales
|k| > 1 h Mpc\(^{-1}\). We find that for |k| = 1 h Mpc\(^{-1}\) and μ > 0.5 the
difference |\(P_{\Delta DM}/P - 1\)| can typically be as large as 6 per cent,
while at |k| = 0.4 h Mpc\(^{-1}\) it is contained below 1 per cent for the
whole μ range.

We will discuss the implications of our findings concerning the
back-reaction of baryons on to the DM density and velocity power
spectra in the discussion section.

So far, with the exception of the total matter power spectrum,
we have focused on statistics derived from the velocities and posi-
tions of DM particles in our simulations. These are not accessible
with astronomical observations but are used in theoretical mod-
elling. However EAGLE also provides catalogues of galaxies and
the haloes they inhabit. This allows us to compare the peculiar
velocities\(^3\) of haloes in the DMO and EAGLE runs. By measuring
these differences we can assess the extent to which DMO simu-
lations will suffer from the additional trend induced by the changes in halo
mass. To reduce this additional scatter, we first match haloes be-

\(^3\)For the purpose of our analysis, we define the galaxy/halo peculiar velocity
as the velocity of its most bound DM particle. The centre-of-mass velocity
definition gives consistent results.

\(^4\)For the virial mass we use \(M_{200}\), i.e. the mass contained in a sphere
of radius \(r_{200}\) centred on a halo, such that the average overdensity inside the
sphere is 200 times the critical closure density, \(\rho_c\).

For all haloes the average difference is much smaller than \(\Delta|v_\|/|\) of
matched DM particles, which is \(\sim \sim \sim 4\) km s\(^{-1}\), with \(\sigma = 86\) km s\(^{-1}\)
(i.e. DM particles in the full hydro run have smaller velocities). The
average velocity differences are small, but the corresponding
dispersions are larger. We have checked that the bulk contribution
to the quoted dispersions are coming from large haloes and re-

\[ \Delta|v_\|/| = \sim \sim \sim 4\] km s\(^{-1}\)

\[ \sigma = 86\] km s\(^{-1}\) (i.e. DM particles in the full hydro run have smaller velocities).

\[ \text{The average velocity differences are small, but the corresponding}
\text{dispersions are larger. We have checked that the bulk contribution}
\text{to the quoted dispersions are coming from large haloes and re-}
\text{flect the fact that differences in time integration between DMO and}
\text{EAGLE run can capture a given particle at a different orbital posi-
\text{tion for the same corresponding snapshot.} van Daalen et al. (2014)
\text{have demonstrated that the difference in the two-point correlation}
\text{function of matched haloes in the DMO and OWLS AGN simu-
\text{lations is negligible on scales larger than the virial radius of the}
\text{haloes. In addition, Schaller et al. (2015b) have shown that vast}
\text{majority of EAGLE galaxies show an offset between their luminous}
\text{and DM component that is smaller than the force resolution of the}
\text{simulation. A negligible effect on halo and galaxy velocities, that}
\text{we find in EAGLE, is thus consistent with their findings, as any}
\text{long-lasting difference in halo velocity would produce a significant}
\text{position displacement over a Hubble time.}

\[ \Delta|v_\|/| = \sim \sim \sim 4\] km s\(^{-1}\)

\[ \sigma = 86\] km s\(^{-1}\) (i.e. DM particles in the full hydro run have smaller velocities).

\text{We find in EAGLE, is thus consistent with their findings, as any}
\text{long-lasting difference in halo velocity would produce a significant}
\text{position displacement over a Hubble time.}

4 DISCUSSION

We have measured and analysed systematic differences in the DM
density, velocity and redshift space power spectra between the full
EAGLE run and its dark matter only version at redshift \(z = 0\). The
EAGLE model of galaxy formation reproduces many properties
of the galaxy population which suggests that the galaxy formation
implementation is plausible in the sense that it does not invoke un-
reasonably strong or weak feedback from star formation and AGN.
This is important as the work of VD11 showed that these two
processes mainly modulate the scale and strength of the baryonic
back-reaction on to the DM. However, recalling that EAGLE over-

\[ \Delta|v_\|/| = \sim \sim \sim 4\] km s\(^{-1}\)

\[ \sigma = 86\] km s\(^{-1}\) (i.e. DM particles in the full hydro run have smaller velocities).

\text{We find in EAGLE, is thus consistent with their findings, as any}
\text{long-lasting difference in halo velocity would produce a significant}
\text{position displacement over a Hubble time.}

Our findings imply that accurate modelling of hydrodynamical
and galaxy formation physics is essential to predict the total matter
\(P(k)\) on scales corresponding to wavenumbers \(k \sim 4\) h Mpc\(^{-1}\)(\(\lambda \sim 1.6\) h\(^{-1}\) Mpc) to better than 1 per cent accuracy. On larger scales
baryonic effects in EAGLE change the amplitude by less than 1 per cent, while on scales of \(k \sim (3-6)\) h Mpc\(^{-1}\)(\(\lambda \sim (1-2)\) h\(^{-1}\) Mpc)
the change is greater than 10 per cent. This is a large number in the
context of theoretical modelling of the total matter power spec-
trum from weak lensing tomography in forthcoming surveys such as
\textit{Euclid} or LSST (e.g. Hearin, Zentner & Ma 2012). We stress
that EAGLE is expected to underestimate baryonic effects since
the cluster gas fractions are significantly too low (S15). This may ex-
plain the quantitative difference with VD11, who found a 1 per cent
effect for \(k > 0.3\) h Mpc\(^{-1}\)(\(\lambda < 21\) h\(^{-1}\) Mpc) for the OWLS model
AGN (Schaye et al. 2010) which does reproduce the observed gas
fractions (McCarthy et al. 2010).

The amplitude of the power spectrum of the DMO model deviates
by \(\sim 3\) per cent from the scaled DM component of the full EAGLE
run on scales of \(1 \lesssim k/(h\ Mpc^{-1}) \lesssim 5\) [\(1 \lesssim \lambda/(h^{-1}\ Mpc) \lesssim 6\)]. This
indicates that collisionless simulations fail to model the distribution
of the DM component precisely. This was to some extent already
present in the results of Schaller et al. (2015a), who found that the
DM density profiles of haloes that contain EAGLE galaxies deviate
from their DMO counterparts. Our results indicate that the DM
distribution beyond the virial radii of haloes can also be significantly
affected by the baryonic back-reaction.
The impact of baryons on the DM peculiar velocity field is less pronounced than on the density field, but it extents to somewhat larger scales. Nevertheless, the effect seen in our simulations is less than 1 per cent on scales $k \lesssim 0.5 \, h \, \text{Mpc}^{-1}$. This shows that baryonic effects connected to the galaxy formation physics are not crucial to build accurate models of redshift spaces distortions, provided that these models are restricted to sufficiently large scales. Since theoretical models of the shape and amplitude of the DM $P_{\text{DM}}(k)$ and $P_{\delta \theta}$ are the main ingredients of redshift space distortions models (e.g. Kaiser 1987; Scoccimarro 2004; Taruya, Nishimichi & Saito 2010; de la Torre & Guzzo 2012), it was important to appraise the magnitude and scales at which the baryonic physics affects the expansion scalar power spectrum.

The impact of baryons on the peculiar motions of haloes and galaxies is even smaller. This implies that baryonic effects are negligible in the modelling of the large-scale velocity field of galaxies and haloes. This is important because a number of velocity-based observables have been proposed to constrain cosmological parameters and models (see e.g. Nusser & Davis 1994; Strauss & Willick 1995; Nusser, Branchini & Davis 2012; Tully et al. 2013; Hellwing et al. 2014; Koda et al. 2014).

To conclude, our results suggest that DMO simulations may be sufficiently accurate to model the cosmic peculiar velocity field of haloes, galaxies and DM. However, baryonic effects are important and need to be taken into account in order to attain the required accuracy of the total-matter and DM power spectra demanded by future surveys like Euclid or LSST.
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