Optical Properties of Heavily Fluorinated Lanthanide Tris β-Diketonate Phosphine Oxide Adducts
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Abstract: The construction of lanthanide(III) chelates that exhibit superior photophysical properties holds great importance in biological and materials science. One strategy to increase the luminescence properties of lanthanide(III) chelates is to hinder competitive non-radiative decay processes through perfluorination of the chelating ligands. Here, the synthesis of two families of heavily fluorinated lanthanide(III) β-diketonate complexes bearing monodentate perfluorinated tris phenyl phosphine oxide ligands have been prepared through a facile one pot reaction [Ln(hfac)3{(ArF)3PO}(H2O)] and [Ln(F7-acac)3{(ArF)3PO}2] (where Ln = Sm3+, Eu3+, Tb3+, Er3+ and Yb3+). Single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis in combination with photophysical studies have been performed to investigate the factors responsible for the differences in the luminescence lifetimes and intrinsic quantum yields of the complexes. Replacement of both bound H2O and C–H oscillators in the ligand backbone has a dramatic effect on the photophysical properties of the complexes, particularly for the near infra-red emitting ion Yb3+, where a five fold increase in luminescence lifetime and quantum yield is observed. The complexes [Sm(hfac)3{(ArF)3PO}(H2O)] (1), [Yb(hfac)3{(ArF)3PO}(H2O)] (5), [Sm(F7-acac)3{(ArF)3PO}2] (6) and [Yb(F7-acac)3{(ArF)3PO}2] (10) exhibit unusually long luminescence lifetimes and attractive intrinsic quantum yields of emission in fluid solution (ΦLn = 3.4% (1); 1.4% (10)) and in the solid state (ΦLn = 8.5% (1); 2.0% (5); 26% (6); 11% (10)), which are amongst the largest values for this class of compounds to date.
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1. Introduction

The unique optical properties of the trivalent lanthanides continue to garner appeal due to their numerous commercially exploitable applications in the biomedical and materials science fields [1,2]. Their inherent luminescent properties, which arise from parity forbidden intra f–f transitions, including long-lived emission (microsecond to millisecond range), high colour purity, insensitivity to dissolved molecular oxygen and resistance to photobleaching and blinking render these ions highly suitable as the emissive components in a number of growing technologies. These include, organic light emitting diodes [3], up and down-converting phosphors [4–6], luminescent sensors in biomedical diagnostics [7] and luminescent markers in cell microscopy [8–10]. More recently, the potential for near infra-red (nIR) emitting lanthanide chelates (Yb3+, Nd3+, Er3+, Pr3+) [11–16] to be exploited in mammalian cell imaging and in the telecommunications industry has been realized. Lanthanide ions that are emissive in the...
near infra-red region of the electromagnetic spectrum are particularly suited to biological imaging using confocal microscopy [17] and in optical amplifiers and waveguides [18–20] since their emission coincides with the more transparent wavelengths of biological tissue and silica. Above all however, the long-lived emission of the trivalent lanthanides is ideal for preventing spectral interference from scattered light and autofluorescence via time-gated luminescence detection [21] (pp. 6–8), which commonly occurs upon UV excitation in molecular complexes, particularly in biological media.

Lanthanide chelates that incorporate organic chromophores offer additional attractive properties for their use as optical materials, namely the use of low power excitation sources, a large Stokes’ shift between excitation and emission and theoretically very high quantum yields of emission. This is principally because the parity forbidden f–f transitions can be overcome by efficient energy transfer from the triplet state of the chromophore of the chelated organic ligand (antenna) to the lanthanide excited emissive state upon UV-visible excitation; this is termed the “antenna effect” or “sensitised emission” [22]. In this regard, β-diketonates and their substituted derivatives [23] have become synonymous with the development of highly luminescent lanthanide complexes used in optoelectronic devices and in fluoroimmunoassays such as DELFIA (dissociation-enhanced lanthanide fluoroimmunoassay) [21,24–26] (p. 5085). These monoanionic ligands form very kinetically and thermodynamically stable 1:3 charge neutral metal:ligand chelates and the appended chromophores can very efficiently sensitise both the visible and nIR f–f based emission from lanthanide ions [27].

However, the majority of these complexes only take advantage of the green and red emission of Tb$^{3+}$ and Eu$^{3+}$ respectively due to the fact that the excited states of these ions are only marginally quenched by frequency matched vibrational harmonics of proximate O–H and N–H oscillators and the luminescence lifetimes are of millisecond order. By contrast, the relative lower energy of the excited states of the nIR emitting lanthanides means that the emission from these ions is considerably quenched by lower energy vibrational overtones of X–H bond vibrations (particularly C–H oscillators) present within the ligand architecture and/or by closely diffusing solvent molecules [12]. Since the magnitude of vibrational quenching of the emissive excited state of a lanthanide ion is dictated by the energy gap law [28,29], X–H quenching is much more pronounced in nIR emitting lanthanides compared to those that emit in the visible region. In general, vibrational quenching is considered negligible if the energy gap between the emissive state and the next lowest lying energy state is greater than or equal to the sixth harmonic of the fundamental vibrational mode. However, in the case of the nIR emissive lanthanides, the emissive states often lie within the first and third vibrational overtones of X–H oscillators [30]. In this regard, the fact that O–D oscillators whose fundamental modes vibrate at lower frequency (e.g., 3450 cm$^{-1}$ in an OH bond compared to 2500 cm$^{-1}$ in an OD bond), can be exploited to increase the luminescence lifetime and quantum yield of Ln$^{3+}$ based emission. Horrocks [31,32] and others [11,33] have exploited this effect to develop an empirical equation to determine the number of O–H oscillators and therefore water or methanol molecules bound to a Ln$^{3+}$ ion in aqueous or methanolic solution to great effect (Ln$^{3+}$ = Eu$^{3+}$, Tb$^{3+}$, Yb$^{3+}$ and in a series of isostructural lanthanide(III) complexes, Nd$^{3+}$). By extension, the luminescence lifetime of a given lanthanide complex can be increased by simply replacing the spectroscopic solvent to its deuterated counterpart. However, X–D vibrational quenching is often still operative in nIR emitting lanthanides; as an example, the third C–D overtone overlaps with the $^4I_{13/2}$ excited state of Er$^{3+}$ (in comparison to the first vibrational harmonic of C–H bonds).

To further overcome the limitations of vibrational quenching and thereby significantly increase the luminescence quantum yield of nIR (and visible) emitting lanthanide complexes, synthetic approaches based on partial or full deuteration [27,34–37] of the C–H bonds in organic ligands can be accomplished. For example, Seitz and Platas-Iglesias have synthesized several series of selectively deuterated Lehn cryptand complexes of Pr$^{3+}$, Nd$^{3+}$, Er$^{3+}$ and Yb$^{3+}$ and evaluated the spectral overlap integrals of the excited states with aromatic C–H and C–D overtones to develop a comprehensive picture of lanthanide C–H quenching combinations for luminescence enhancement in nIR emitting lanthanide complexes [30]. An alternative strategy is partial or perfluorination of C–H bonds of the
supporting ligand [38–41]. This has also been utilized very effectively to prepare partially fluorinated complexes mainly based on diamine adducts of tris β-diketonate hexafluoroacetylacetonate (hfac) and heptafluoroacetylacetone (F₇-acac) chelates that exhibit improved photophysical properties [23,42]. For example, the radiative lifetimes of the $^{4}I_{13/2} \rightarrow ^{4}I_{15/2}$ transition at 1530 nm in crystalline samples of Er(hfac)$_3$(H₂O)$_2$ and Cs[Er(hfac)$_4$] have been determined to be ~9.5 and 23.4 ms respectively, reflecting the greater degree of fluorination in the latter [38].

Conversely, complexes of the lanthanides where all C–H bonds on all coordinated ligands have been fluorinated are rather rare [34–37]. Notable examples of sensitized nIR Ln$^{3+}$ emission include the Er$^{3+}$ complexes of tetrabentafluorophenylimidodiphosphinate (F-TPIP) [43,44], perfluorodiphenylphosphinic acid [41], perfluorinated nitrosopyrazolone [45] and perfluoro-benzophenone in Er$^{3+}$ doped Zeolite L [46]. Remarkably, ligand sensitised nIR emission in the Er(F-TPIP)$_3$ complex resulted in extremely long-lived Er$^{3+}$ nIR emission with a reported lifetime of 200 μs, while the luminescence lifetime following direct intra 4f excitation at 978 nm in the perfluorodiphenylphosphinic acid complex reported by Song et al. was measured as 0.336 ms. In this contribution, we describe the synthesis and enhanced optical properties of two families of fluorinated tris β-diketonate lanthanide complexes of the visible and nIR emitting lanthanides Sm$^{3+}$, Eu$^{3+}$, Tb$^{3+}$, Er$^{3+}$ and Yb$^{3+}$ where the eight coordinate coordination geometries are completed by the monodentate perfluorinated tris phenyl phosphine oxide (C$_6$F$_3$)PO ((Ar$_3$)PO) [41,45,47].

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Synthesis of the Complexes

A series of lanthanide tris hexafluoroacetylacetonate (hfac) and heptafluoroacetylacetone (F₇-acac) bis perfluorinated tris aryl phosphine oxide complexes were originally targeted by two synthetic routes as outlined in Scheme 1. Either treatment of prepared Ln(hfac)$_3$·2H₂O [28] with two equivalents of (Ar$_3$)PO (tris(pentafluorophenyl)phosphine oxide) in CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature sonicated for one hour or a one pot reaction of the corresponding lanthanide acetate, protonated β-diketonate and two equivalents of (Ar$_3$)PO [41] at 2 × 10⁻³ M concentrations heated to reflux temperature for one hour yielded the complexes [Ln(hfac)$_3$][((Ar$_3$)PO)(H₂O)] and (Ar$_3$)PO (Ln = Sm$^{3+}$, Eu$^{3+}$, Tb$^{3+}$, Er$^{3+}$ and Yb$^{3+}$, 1–5) and [Ln(F₇-acac)$_3$][(Ar$_3$)PO]$_2$ (Ln = Sm$^{3+}$, Eu$^{3+}$, Tb$^{3+}$, Er$^{3+}$ and Yb$^{3+}$, 6–10) in moderate yields (26%–59%) after recrystallization from CH₂Cl₂ solutions (as verified by single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis). Interestingly, conducting the reactions in dry CH₂Cl₂ under air sensitive conditions at higher concentrations (1 × 10⁻² M) in order to promote phosphine oxide substitution with the labile coordinated water molecules in the parent lanthanide acetate or β-diketonate complexes, resulted solely in the isolation of the mono phosphine oxide substituted complexes for the hexafluorinated β-diketonate hfac [47], whereas only the bis phosphine oxide substituted derivatives were isolated with the heptafluoro β-diketonate F₇-acac using both standard and dry solvents in similar conditions (Scheme 1). This interesting divergence in reactivity can be attributed to the greater electron withdrawing effects of the F₇-acac ligand relative to the hfac β-diketonate which promotes a higher affinity for the second phosphine oxide to bind to the electropositive lanthanide(III) metal centres. In the case of the hfac reactions, adjusting the stoichiometry of the phosphine oxide accordingly, resulted in slightly improved product yields in most cases apart from complex 4 (44%–53%).

The $^{31}$P and $^{19}$F NMR (nuclear magnetic resonance) spectra of all the complexes exhibited paramagnetically shifted phosphorus and fluorine resonances; the $^{19}$F resonances of the β-diketonate being significantly more shifted and broadened than the corresponding resonances in the coordinated (Ar$_3$)PO ligands (see supplementary material). Notably, multinuclear NMR analysis of the crude powders from the hfac reactions taken after removal of all volatiles before recrystallization suggested no presence of a minor lanthanide species containing perfluorinated phosphine oxide ligands that could be formulated as [Ln(hfac)$_3$][(Ar$_3$)PO]$_2$ as previously reported for Er$^{3+}$ (vide infra) [47].
Scheme 1. Synthesis of the heavily fluorinated lanthanide(III) complexes [Ln(hfac)$_3$](ArF)$_3$PO(H$_2$O)] (1–5) and [Ln(F$_7$-acac)$_3$](ArF)$_3$PO$_2$] (6–10) described in this article.

2.2. Single Crystal X-ray Diffraction Analysis

Representative single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were grown for the complexes [Ln(hfac)$_3$](ArF)$_3$PO(H$_2$O)] (Ln = Tb$^{3+}$, 3 and Er$^{3+}$, 5) and [Ln(F$_7$-acac)$_3$](ArF)$_3$PO$_2$] (Ln = Sm$^{3+}$, 6, Eu$^{3+}$, 7, Er$^{3+}$, 9 and Yb$^{3+}$, 10) by slow evaporation or slow cooling of saturated CH$_2$Cl$_2$ or CH$_2$Cl$_2$:pentane or hexane solutions to −18°C (Figure 1). A preliminary crystallographic data set was also collected for [Yb(hfac)$_3$](ArF)$_3$PO(H$_2$O)], 5 (Table S1) and confirmed the same connectivity as the Tb$^{3+}$ and Er$^{3+}$ derivatives (complexes 3 and 4 respectively). Additionally, the single crystal X-ray structure of [Tm(F$_7$-acac)$_3$](ArF)$_3$PO$_2$] was also determined and found to be isostructural to its congeners 6, 7, 9 and 10.

Figure 1. Representative solid state molecular structures of the [Ln(hfac)$_3$](ArF)$_3$PO(H$_2$O)] complexes with thermal ellipsoids set at the 50% probability level and C–H atoms omitted for clarity. Atom colour key: C, blue, Ln$^{3+}$, dark green, O, red, H, white, F, pink, F, green. (a) Thermal ellipsoid plot of [Tb(hfac)$_3$](ArF)$_3$PO(H$_2$O)], complex 3; (b) Thermal ellipsoid plot of [Er(hfac)$_3$](ArF)$_3$PO(H$_2$O)], complex 4.

The molecular structures of the two hfac complexes [Ln(hfac)$_3$](ArF)$_3$PO(H$_2$O)] (Ln = Tb$^{3+}$, 3 and Er$^{3+}$, 4) are isostructural and the lanthanide(III) ions are octacoordinated by three bidentate hfac ligands, one monodentate perfluorinated triphenylphosphine oxide and one water molecule (Figure 1). The coordination geometries at the lanthanide centres are best described as distorted...
square antiprismonic with two hfac ligands occupying one square plane and the third hfac, the phosphine oxide and coordinated water molecule describing the second square plane. The average hfac–oxygen–Tb\(^{3+}\) and hfac–oxygen–Er\(^{3+}\) bond distances are 2.383(3) and 2.357(5) Å respectively and lie in the range of bond distances previously reported [40,47]. Similarly, the \((\text{Ar}^2\text{f})_3\text{PO}\)–Tb\(^{3+}\) and \((\text{Ar}^2\text{f})_3\text{PO}\)–Er\(^{3+}\) bond distances of 2.314(3) and 2.284(5) Å compare well to those reported for the complex \([\text{Er}(\text{hfac})_3(\text{Ar}^2\text{f})_3\text{PO}]\) (ave. = 2.323(4) Å) [34] and the Tb\(^{3+}\)–OH\(_2\) and Er\(^{3+}\)–OH\(_2\) bond distances are measured as 2.377(3) and 2.337(5) Å respectively, which are similar to the Ln–OH\(_2\) bond distances commonly measured in trivalent lanthanide complexes of DOTA; range = 2.590 Å ([CeDOTA]\(^{−}\)) to 2.355 Å ([YbDOTA]\(^{−}\)), (DOTA = 1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane-\(N′,N″,N‴,N⁴′\)tetraacetic acid) [48].

Single X-ray diffraction analysis of the fully perfluorinated lanthanide(III) complexes \([\text{Ln}(\text{F}_7\text{-acac})_3(\text{Ar}^2\text{f})_3\text{PO}]_2\) (where Ln = Sm\(^{3+}\), Eu\(^{3+}\), Tb\(^{3+}\), Er\(^{3+}\), Yb\(^{3+}\), and Ln\(^{3+}\), 10) confirmed the expected connectivity (Figure 2). Again, this series of complexes are isostuctural and all crystallize with a single \(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2\) solvent molecule in the asymmetric unit cell. In each structure, the lanthanide(III) ion is eight coordinate and the coordination geometry best described as distorted square antiprismonic, where the perfluorinated phosphine oxide ligands lie in a mutually \(c\)s arrangement, but are located in different square planes, presumably to minimize steric interactions. Average \(\text{F}_7\text{-acac}\) oxygen–lanthanide(III) bond distances are 2.409(5) Å (6), 2.394(4) Å (7), 2.338(4) Å (9) and 2.317(4) Å (10) and follow the 8-coordinate lanthanide contraction as the series is progressed. Similarly, the average \((\text{Ar}^2\text{f})_3\text{PO}\)–Ln\(^{3+}\) distances become smaller traversing the 4f series (2.368(5) Å for 6 to 2.269(4) Å for 10) and lie in the range of those reported previously for the complex \([\text{Er}(\text{F}_7\text{-acac})_3(\text{Ar}^2\text{f})_3\text{PO}]\) which is a polymorph of 9 [47] and for the related complex \([\text{Eu}(\text{F}_7\text{-acac})_3(\text{Ph}_3\text{PO}]_2\) [49].

**Figure 2.** Representative solid state molecular structures of the \([\text{Ln}(\text{F}_7\text{-acac})_3(\text{Ar}^2\text{f})_3\text{PO}]_2\) complexes with thermal ellipsoids set at the 50% probability level and lattice \(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2\) molecules omitted for clarity. Atom colour key: C, blue, Ln\(^{3+}\), dark green, O, red, P, pink, F, green. (a) Thermal ellipsoid plot of \([\text{Sm}(\text{F}_7\text{-acac})_3(\text{Ar}^2\text{f})_3\text{PO}]_2\), complex 6; (b) Thermal ellipsoid plot of \([\text{Yb}(\text{F}_7\text{-acac})_3(\text{Ar}^2\text{f})_3\text{PO}]_2\), complex 10.

### 2.3. Photophysical Properties of the Complexes

With two families of complexes incorporating different degrees of fluorination in hand, we next resolved to investigate the effects of perfluorination on the optical properties of the complexes. The UV–visible absorption spectra of all the complexes 1–10 exhibit intense absorptions in the UV region of the electromagnetic spectrum with absorption maxima at ca. 230 and 300 nm (complexes 1–5) attributable to the \(\pi–\pi^*\) transitions of the perfluorinated-phenyl and \(\beta\)-diketonate chromophores respectively. In the absorption spectra of complexes 6–10, an additional maximum centered at
ca. 325 nm is also observed. Following UV excitation into all ligand absorption bands at 230, 280 or 355 nm, all the complexes exhibited lanthanide f-centered emission in the visible and near infra-red region at typical wavelengths for a given lanthanide(III) ion (Figure 3). In all cases, lanligand sensitised emission was confirmed by recording the excitation spectrum at the emission maximum, which matched well to the absorption spectra indicating that both chromophores are involved in the sensitisation process. Representative emission spectra for the [Ln(F7-acac)3(|ArF)3PO]2 family of complexes are illustrated in Figure 3. Interestingly, the relative intensities of the visible and near infra-red emission, in particular of the Er3+ and Yb3+ complexes (9 and 10), are much greater than those recorded for the Er3+ and Yb3+ nIR analogues of [Ln(hfac)3(|ArF)3PO](H2O)] (4 and 5) reflecting the greater degree of vibrational quenching from the coordinated water molecule in the latter.

The luminescence lifetimes of the [Ln(hfac)3(|ArF)3PO](H2O)] complexes recorded at the emission maxima are typical for both the visible and nIR emitting Ln3+ complexes, being in the microsecond range (Table 1). Interestingly, for the shorter lived Er3+ and Yb3+ complexes 4 and 5, the kinetic traces following 337 nm excitation were best fitted to a biexponential decay, suggestive of two non-interconverting emissive species in solution on the timescale of the experiment. Given that the longer lived component of the kinetic trace of 5 (τ = 1.94 μs) can be compared to the related complex Er(TPIP)3 (TPIP = tetraphenylimidodiphosphinate) [43], where τ_CDC13 = 5.0 μs, it seems reasonable to assume that the shorter lived component is due to the aqua species [Ln(hfac)3(|ArF)3PO](H2O)], whereas the longer lived species is devoid of a coordinated solvent molecule. In the case of the longer lived visible emitting lanthanide ions in this series of hfac complexes, dynamic exchange of the labile water molecule is faster than the timescale of the experiment leading to the observation of an averaged solution lifetime [48]. It is worth noting here, that the luminescence lifetimes of all the complexes measured in solution are exceptionally sensitive to small amounts of water present in the solvent, therefore the solvent was thoroughly dried prior to use and reported values are reproducible in at least three independent measurements. Additionally, initial discrepancies in kinetic measurements led us to additionally record the photophysical properties of the complexes in the solid state (Table 1).

\[ \Phi_{\text{Ln}} = \frac{\tau_{\text{obs}}}{\tau_{\text{rad}}} \]

(1)
Table 1. Photophysical properties of the complexes recorded in dry CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and in the solid state at 298 K upon excitation at 280 nm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>λ$_{em}$ (nm)</th>
<th>$\tau_{CH2Cl2}$ (µs) $^1$</th>
<th>$\Phi_{CH2Cl2}$ (%) $^2$</th>
<th>$\tau_{solid}$ (µs) $^1$</th>
<th>$\Phi_{solid}$ (%) $^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Sm(hfac)$_3$]$_3${(ArF)$_3$PO}${$(H$_2$O)$}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Eu(hfac)$_3$]$_3${(ArF)$_3$PO}${$(H$_2$O)$}$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>617</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Tb(hfac)$_3$]$_3${(ArF)$_3$PO}${$(H$_2$O)$}$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>1520</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>421 (33%), 1400 (67%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Er(hfac)$_3$]$_3${(ArF)$_3$PO}${$(H$_2$O)$}$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>3.31 (65%), 0.742 (35%)</td>
<td>0.25 $^3$</td>
<td>26.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Sm(F$_7$-acac)$_3$]$_3${(ArF)$_3$PO}${$(H$_2$O)$}$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1530</td>
<td>1.94 (14%), 0.394 (86%)</td>
<td>0.05 $^3$</td>
<td>3.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Eu(F$_7$-acac)$_3$]$_3${(ArF)$_3$PO}${$(H$_2$O)$}$</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>617</td>
<td>4 ms ($^4$I$_{13/2}$)</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>220 (14%), 741 (86%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Tb(F$_7$-acac)$_3$]$_3${(ArF)$_3$PO}${$(H$_2$O)$}$</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>421 (33%), 1400 (67%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Er(F$_7$-acac)$_3$]$_3${(ArF)$_3$PO}${$(H$_2$O)$}$</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1530</td>
<td>15.3 $^6$</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Yb(F$_7$-acac)$_3$]$_3${(ArF)$_3$PO}${$(H$_2$O)$}$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>18.2 $^6$</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^1$ Reported lifetimes are subject to an error of ±15%, indistinguishable data were obtained at 230 and 355 nm excitation; $^2$ The intrinsic quantum yield of Ln$^{3+}$ centered emission was calculated using equation 1 using the following $\tau_{rad}$ (natural radiative lifetime) values: Sm$^{3+}$ 1.98 ms ($^5$D$_{4}$) from reference [50]; Er$^{3+}$ 4 ms ($^4$I$_{13/2}$) from reference [47]; Eu$^{3+}$, 1.11 ms ($^5$D$_{0}$) from reference [14]; Tb$^{3+}$, 5.1 ms ($^6$D$_{4}$) from reference [44]; and Yb$^{3+}$ 1.3 ms ($^2$F$_{5/2}$) from reference [51]; $^3$ Value determined using the longest lifetime component of the emission; $^4$ Lifetime determined following 337 nm excitation using a ns pulsed N$_2$ laser; $^5$ Not determined due to very weak or lack of f-centered emission; $^6$ Complex unstable when excited with using a ns pulsed N$_2$ laser at 337 nm and 10 Hz.
Since we were unable to reliably determine the total quantum yield of emission for all the complexes, (due in part to the small \( f-f \) molar absorption extinction coefficients) we have instead estimated the intrinsic quantum yield of emission \( (\Phi_{\text{in}}) \) based on calculated and published values of the \( \text{Ln}^{3+} \) radiative lifetime of a given emissive excited state in the absence of any non-radiative deactivation processes, \( \tau_{\text{rad}} \) (Equation (1)) [2,37,40,47]. The quantum efficiency of the \( \text{Ln}^{3+} \) based emission can be determined by measuring the quantum yield based on emission following excitation into the \( f-f \) absorption bands. Alternatively, and in the absence of sufficiently intense emission upon direct excitation, the intrinsic quantum yield can be estimated from the ratio of the observed luminescence lifetime \( (\tau_{\text{obs}}) \) with the radiative lifetime \( (\tau_{\text{rad}}) \). However, since \( \tau_{\text{rad}} \) values depend heavily on the coordination environment and refractive index of the medium of a given lanthanide ion, we have here chosen larger \( \tau_{\text{rad}} \) values reported for structurally similar complexes as far as possible to give more reasonable estimations of \( \Phi_{\text{in}} \) (Table 1) [14,15,39,50–54]. Nevertheless, caution must be exercised when interpreting these values since very small changes in the ligand structure, solvent and coordination geometry of the complex can lead to large discrepancies in \( \tau_{\text{rad}} \) values. As a result, the values reported here therefore only serve as a guide. For the series of the \([\text{Ln}(\text{hfac})_3(\text{ArF})_3\text{PO}(\text{H}_2\text{O})]\) complexes (1–5), generally the intrinsic quantum yields in fluid solution are typical for partially fluorinated \( \beta \)-diketone complexes, but notably, the quantum yield values for the \( \text{Sm}^{3+} \) and \( \text{Yb}^{3+} \) derivatives 1 and 5 both in solution and in the solid state are relatively large (3.4%, 8.5%, 0.3% and 2% respectively). However, concentration quenching effects or local heating in the solid state samples cannot be ruled out and these values may in fact be considerably larger.

The effect of complete fluorination on the photophysical properties of the complexes is further evidenced by the significant increase in the luminescence lifetimes of the \([\text{Ln}(\text{F}_7\text{-acac})_3(\text{ArF})_3\text{PO}_2]\) series of complexes 6–10 (Table 1) of approximately one order of magnitude. This effect is particularly pronounced for the \( \text{nIR} \) emitting lanthanide ions \( \text{Er}^{3+} \) and \( \text{Yb}^{3+} \), where in the case of the \( \text{Er}^{3+} \) derivative, 9, the lifetimes measured in solution and the solid state are very similar (15.3 and 16.8 µs respectively). This observation is in agreement with those of Monguzzi and co-workers who recorded identical lifetimes for this complex in CDCl\(_3\) solution and in the solid state. For complex 9, the similar solution state and solid state lifetimes suggest that the \( \text{Er}^{3+} \) ions may be shielded to the same degree in their immediate coordination environment. Again, the detrimental effect of intermolecular quenching between neighbouring \( \text{Er}^{3+} \) ions and/or heating of the samples may result in an apparent lowering of the actual lifetime and quantum yield values. Here, the intrinsic quantum yield of 0.4% is considerably lower than the theoretical value where the radiative lifetime is equal to 4 ms [47], which suggests that residual CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) solvent (as observed by X-ray crystallography) may play a role in lowering the overall quantum yield of emission. This observation is borne out to a certain degree by examination of the kinetic data for the \( \text{Yb}^{3+} \) based complex 10, where the \( \text{Yb}^{3+} \) excited state is less susceptible to vibrational quenching by C–H oscillators [42]. This complex exhibits a marked enhancement (by a factor of >5) in both solution and solid state luminescence lifetimes and quantum yields when compared to the hexafluorinated aqua complex \([\text{Yb}(\text{hfac})_3(\text{ArF})_3\text{PO}(\text{H}_2\text{O})]\), 5. Indeed, the fully perfluorinated derivative 10 exhibits a remarkably long luminescence lifetime of 139 µs in the solid phase (18 µs in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) solution) and an intrinsic quantum yield of \( \text{Yb}^{3+} \) based emission of 11%. This compares well to the \( \text{Yb}^{3+} \) bis-bipyridine \( \text{N} \)-oxide derivative of the Lehn cryptand described by Seitz that exhibits a room temperature solution luminescence lifetime of 26.1 µs in deuterated methanol [31]. In this system, perdeuteration of the ligand results in an extraordinarily long solution lifetime of 172 µs and the highest reported intrinsic quantum yield of \( \text{Yb}^{3+} \) emission in solution to date (26%). Together, these observations further highlight the fact that purposeful reduction in competitive vibrational quenching thereby substantially increasing the lifetime of the lanthanide based emission is key to achieving large \( \text{nIR} \) quantum yields of emission.

Interestingly, in the case of the \( \text{Sm}^{3+} \) complex 6, no \( f \)-centered emission was observed in solution at room temperature; the emission spectrum being dominated by residual ligand centered transitions. This clearly indicates that the \( \text{F}_7\)acac ligand is a poor sensitizer for \( \text{Sm}^{3+} \) and that the C–F bond in
the β-diketonate unit quenches the Sm\(^{3+}\) based emission considerably [44]. In the solid state however, complex 6 exhibits a typical Sm\(^{3+}\) based emission profile with a long luminescence lifetime of 506 \(\mu\)s and a large calculated intrinsic quantum yield of 26% [16,55].

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. General Details

All chemical reagents were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich chemical company (Dorset, England) apart from the lanthanide acetate hydrate salts (Ln(OAc)\(_3\)·xH\(_2\)O), which were obtained from Alfa Aesar (Heysham, UK) and 1,1,1,3,5,5,5-heptafluorooacetylacetone (F\(_7\)-acac) from Apollo Scientific (Manchester, UK) and were used as supplied or recrystallised before use. The compounds tris(pentafluorophenyl)phosphine oxide (Ar\(_3\)F\(_3\)PO) [41] and lanthanide(III) tris(1,1,1,5,5,5-hexafluoro-2,4-pentanedionate) dihydrates (Ln(hfac)\(_3\)·2H\(_2\)O, Ln = Sm\(^{3+}\), Eu\(^{3+}\), Tb\(^{3+}\), Tm\(^{3+}\), Er\(^{3+}\) and Yb\(^{3+}\)) [34] were prepared according to literature and modified literature procedures. Reagent grade anhydrous solvents were either obtained by passing through activated alumina columns (Innovative Technologies) or dried over potassium (hexane and pentane) or over CaH\(_2\) (CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)) and were distilled and degassed prior to use. Deuterated solvents for multinuclear NMR studies were dried over 4 Å molecular sieves before use. All air sensitive experiments were performed using standard Schlenk line techniques.

NMR spectra were recorded on either a Bruker Avance 400 spectrometer (Bruker UK, Coventry, UK), operating frequency 400 MHz (\(^1\)H), 101 MHz (\(^{13}\)C), 162 MHz (\(^{31}\)P) and 376 MHz (\(^{19}\)F) variable temperature unit set at 295 K, or on a Varian Mercury-200 spectrometer (Varian Associates, Palo Alto, CA, USA) at 298 K (\(^1\)H at 200 MHz, \(^{13}\)C at 50 MHz, \(^{31}\)P at 81 MHz, \(^{19}\)F at 188 MHz). Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm) relative to TMS (\(^1\)H), 85% H\(_3\)PO\(_4\) (ortho-phosphoric acid) (\(^{31}\)P\(^{\text{[\(1\)H]}\}) and CFCl\(_3\) (\(^{19}\)F). All \(^1\)H NMR spectra were internally referenced to residual proton resonances in CDCl\(_3\) or \(d_6\)-acetone.

Mass spectra were obtained using either MALDI from CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) solutions with a dithrinol matrix on a Shimadzu Axima confidence spectrometer (Shimadzu, Kratos site, Manchester, UK, for all complexes) or electrospray mass spectrometry, performed on a Micromass Platform II system (ligands and precursors). For all of the complexes 1–10, no identifiable molecular ion peaks or fragmentation products were observable.

Elemental analyses on the compounds were performed by M. Jennings and colleagues in the microanalytical laboratory in the School of Chemistry at the University of Manchester; a Carlo ERBA Instruments CHNS–O EA1108 elemental analyzer (Carlo ERBA Instruments, Milan, Italy) was used for C, H and N analysis and a Fisons Horizon elemental analysis ICP-OED spectrometer (VG Elemental, Winsford, UK) for metals.

X-ray diffraction data for compounds 3, 6, 7, and [Tm(F\(_7\)-acac)\(_3\)(Ar\(_3\)F\(_3\)PO)\(_2\)]) were collected using an Rigaku Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur, Sapphire2 diffractometer (Rigaku, Tokyo, Japan), utilising graphite-monochromated Mo Ka X-ray radiation (\(\lambda = 0.71073\) Å); compounds 9 and 10, or on a Bruker X8 Prospector diffractometer (Bruker, Billerica, MA, USA) utilising graphite-monochromated Cu Ka X-ray radiation (\(\lambda = 1.54178\) Å) and compound 4 on a Bruker AXS SMART diffractometer (Bruker, Billerica, MA, USA) using graphite-monochromated Mo Ka X-ray radiation (\(\lambda = 0.71073\) Å). Data were corrected for Lorentz and polarisation factors and empirical absorption corrections applied [56,57]. Crystal data, data collection and structural refinement parameters are given in Table S1. The structures were solved by direct methods using the program SHELXT [58] or OLEX-2 [59]. Full matrix refinement on \(F^2\) and all further calculations were performed using OLEX-2 or ShelXL [58]. The non-H atoms were refined anisotropically and hydrogen atoms were positioned in idealised sites and were allowed to ride on their parent C or N atoms.
Absorption spectra were recorded in dry CH$_2$Cl$_2$ on a T60U spectrometer (PG Instruments Ltd., Lutterworth, UK) using fused quartz cells with a path length of 1 cm or on a double-beam Cary Varian 500 scan UV–vis–nIR spectrophotometer over the range 300–1300 nm.

All solution luminescence measurements were recorded on compounds dissolved in dry CH$_2$Cl$_2$ solutions using screw or Teflon™ (Hellma UK Ltd., Southend on Sea, UK) capped fused quartz cuvettes with a 1 cm or 0.1 cm path length. All measurements were recorded within 30 min of sample preparation to avoid ingress of oxygen and moisture. Luminescence measurements of solid samples were recorded using finely divided powdered samples held in between two 10 cm$^2$ quartz plates. All steady state emission and excitation spectra were recorded on an Edinburgh Instrument FP920 Phosphorescence Lifetime Spectrometer (Edinburgh Instruments, Livingston, Scotland) equipped with a 450 watt steady state xenon lamp, a 5 watt microsecond pulsed xenon flashlamp, (with single 300 mm focal length excitation and emission monochromators in Czerny Turner configuration), a red sensitive photomultiplier in peltier (air cooled) housing (Hamamatsu R928P), and a liquid nitrogen cooled nIR photomultiplier (Hamamatsu, Hamamatsu City, Shizuoka Prefecture, Japan). Lifetime data were recorded following excitation with the microsecond flashlamp using time correlated single photon counting (PCS900 plug-in PC card for fast photon counting). Lifetimes were obtained by tail fit on the data obtained and quality of fit judged by minimization of reduced chi-squared and residuals squared. For the Er$^{3+}$ and Yb$^{3+}$ complexes 4 and 5, the sample was excited using a pulsed nitrogen laser (337 nm) operating at 10 Hz. Light emitted at right angles to the excitation beam was focused onto the slits of a monochromator, which was used to select the appropriate wavelength. The growth and decay of the luminescence at selected wavelengths was detected using a germanium photodiode (Edinburgh Instruments, EI-P, Edinburgh Instruments, Livingstone, Scotland) and recorded using a digital oscilloscope (Tektronix TDS220, Tektronix Inc., Beaverton, OR, USA) before being transferred to a PC for analysis. Luminescence lifetimes were obtained by iterative reconvolution of the detector response (obtained by using a scatterer) with exponential components for growth and decay of the metal centred luminescence, using a spreadsheet running in Microsoft Excel. The details of this approach have been discussed elsewhere [60,61]. Unless otherwise stated, fitting to a double exponential decay yielded no improvement in fit as judged by minimization of residual squared and reduced chi squared. Note that the Tm$^{3+}$ complexes [Tm(hfac)$_3$]$_2$[ArF$_3$PO](H$_2$O)] and [Tm(F$_7$-acac)$_3$]$_2$[ArF$_3$PO]$_2$] were found to be non-emissive in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ solutions at room temperature upon UV excitation (230–360 nm).

3.2. Synthetic Procedures

3.2.1. Preparation of Tris(pentafluorophenyl)phosphine Oxide, (C$_6$F$_5$)$_3$PO (ArF$_3$PO)

According to a modification of a literature procedure [41], tris(pentafluorophenyl)phosphine (1.031 g, 1.9 mmol) was dissolved in chloroform (40 mL), cooled to 0°C in an ice bath and stirred for 30 min. 3-Chloroperoxybenzoic acid (0.3013 g, 2.2 mmol) was dissolved in chloroform (10 mL) and then added dropwise to the reaction mixture over 10 min. The reaction mixture was again cooled to 0°C in an ice bath and left to warm to room temperature and stirred for 48 h. After this time, saturated sodium hydrogen carbonate solution (50 mL) was added and the reaction mixture stirred for 30 min. The organic soluble products were extracted with chloroform (3 × 50 mL) and dried over MgSO$_4$. The organic layer was removed by rotary evaporation and the white powder dried in vacuo to give 0.89 g of tris(pentafluorophenyl)phosphine oxide (81% yield). All data were consistent with those documented in the literature. ES$^+$ MS (MeCN) $m/z$ 549 [M + H]$^+$ (44%), 571 [M + Na]$^+$ (100%), 1119 [2M + Na]$^+$ (62%), 1667 (3M + Na)$^+$ (67%).

NMR/CDCl$_3$ (400 MHz) $\delta_F$: 131.30 (d, 2F, $^3J_{FF} = 22.4$ Hz, ortho-CF), $-141.32$ (t, 1F, $^3J_{FF} = 18.6$, 22.6 Hz, para-CF), $-157.27$ (tt, 2F, $^3J_{FF} = 22.6$, 18.8 Hz, $^4J_{FF} = 7.5$, 3.8 Hz, meta-CF); $\delta_P$: $-8.26$ (s, ArF$_3$PO). UV–vis (CH$_2$Cl$_2$) $\lambda = 230$, 277 nm.
3.2.2. Preparation of Ln(hfac)$_3$:2H$_2$O

According to a literature procedure reported for Nd$^{3+}$ [34], the corresponding lanthanide acetate hydrate (Ln(OAc)$_3$·xH$_2$O) (15 mmol) was dissolved in deionised water (20 mL) with stirring in an ice bath. 1,1,1,5,5,5-hexafluoro-2,4-pentanedione (hfac) (5.0 g, 24 mmol) was dissolved in methanol and was added dropwise to the lanthanide acetate solution. The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h in an ice bath and a further 65 h at room temperature. The solvent was then removed using a rotary evaporator and the resulting product was recrystallised from MeOH.

Yields: Tb(hfac)$_3$:2H$_2$O = 93% (pale green-blue powder), Sm(hfac)$_3$:2H$_2$O (pale yellow powder) = 93%, Er(hfac)$_3$:2H$_2$O (pink powder) = 86%, Yb(hfac)$_3$:2H$_2$O (cream powder) = 56%, Tm(hfac)$_3$:2H$_2$O (white powder) = 43%, Eu(hfac)$_3$:2H$_2$O (cream powder) = 69%. All spectroscopic data were consistent with the formulation of the compounds as Ln(hfac)$_3$:2H$_2$O as previously reported.

3.2.3. Preparation of [Ln(hfac)$_3$]([Ar$_3$F$_3$PO](H$_2$O)), Ln = Sm$^{3+}$, Eu$^{3+}$, Tb$^{3+}$, Er$^{3+}$, Yb$^{3+}$ (1-5) from Ln(hfac)$_3$:2H$_2$O

In air, Ln(hfac)$_3$:2H$_2$O (0.31 mmol) and $^{5}$F$_3$PO$_3$ (0.31 mmol) were added to a round bottomed flask and dissolved in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (150 mL). The reaction mixture was sonicated for 1 h. The reaction mixture was then filtered under gravity. All volatiles were removed by rotary evaporation and the resultant crude powders recrystallised from CH$_2$Cl$_2$ by slow evaporation and the crystalline products filtered under gravity, washed with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and dried in vacuo. Once isolated, all the complexes are air stable for extended time periods (>4 years) are moderately soluble in acetone and sparingly soluble in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and CHCl$_3$ precluding acquisition of NMR data in some cases.

[Sm(hfac)$_3$][($^{5}$F$_3$PO)$_3$](H$_2$O)] (1): Isolated in 41% yield as pale yellow crystals (170 mg). NMR/CDCl$_3$ (400 MHz) $\delta_{1H}$: 7.51 (s, C$^{14}$F), $\delta_{F}$: 77.79 (s, 18F, CF$_3$-hfac), $\delta_{13C}$: 131.37 (s, 6F, ortho-CF), $\delta_{13C}$: 140.37 (br, 3F, para-CF), $\delta_{13C}$: 157.05 (m, 6F, meta-CF). UV–vis (CH$_2$Cl$_2$) $\lambda$ = 234 nm ([Ar$_3$F$_3$PO]), 306 nm ([Ar$_3$F$_3$PO] and hfac). Anal. Calcd. For C$_{8}$H$_{2}$O$_{8}$F$_{12}$P$_{4}$ Sm: C 29.63, H 0.37, N 0.0, P 2.91, Sm 12.11. Found: C 29.62, H 0.0, N 0.0, P 2.92, Sm 12.13.

[Eu(hfac)$_3$][($^{5}$F$_3$PO)$_3$](H$_2$O)] (2): Isolated in 38% yield as colourless crystals (158 mg). NMR/CDCl$_3$ (400 MHz) $\delta_{1H}$, $\delta_{F}$, $\delta_{13C}$: 7.44 (s, (Ar$_3$F$_3$PO)), $\delta_{13C}$: 232 nm ([Ar$_3$F$_3$PO]), 301 nm ([Ar$_3$F$_3$PO] and hfac). Anal. Calcd. For C$_{8}$H$_{2}$O$_{8}$F$_{12}$P$_{4}$ Eu: C 29.59, H 0.38, N 0.0. Found: C 28.64, H 0.14, N 0.0.

[Tb(hfac)$_3$][($^{5}$F$_3$PO)$_3$](H$_2$O)] (3): Isolated in 49% yield as pale green crystals (205 mg). NMR/CDCl$_3$ (400 MHz) $\delta_{1H}$: −0.19 (br, CH-hfac); $\delta_{F}$: −45.54 (br, CH-hfac), $\delta_{13C}$: 131.88 (br, (Ar$_3$F$_3$PO), 231 nm ([Ar$_3$F$_3$PO], 303 nm ([Ar$_3$F$_3$PO] and hfac). Anal. Calcd. For C$_{8}$H$_{2}$O$_{8}$F$_{12}$P$_{4}$ Tb: C 29.49, H 0.37, N 0.0, P 2.30, Tb 11.80. Found: C 29.32, H 0.0, N 0.0, P 1.99, Tb 10.80.

[Er(hfac)$_3$][($^{5}$F$_3$PO)$_3$](H$_2$O)] (4): Isolated in 49% yield as pink crystals (206 mg). NMR/CDCl$_3$ (400 MHz) $\delta_{1H}$: no signal observed; $\delta_{F}$: −94.62 (br, CF$_3$-hfac), $\delta_{13C}$: −137.20 (br, ortho/meta/para-CF), $\delta_{13C}$: 153.32 (br, 6F, ortho/meta/para-CF); $\delta_{13C}$: 140.37 (br, ortho/meta/para-CF). UV–vis (CH$_2$Cl$_2$) $\lambda$ = 232 nm ([Ar$_3$F$_3$PO]), 302 nm ([Ar$_3$F$_3$PO] and hfac). Anal. Calcd. For C$_{8}$H$_{2}$O$_{8}$F$_{12}$P$_{4}$Er: C 29.25, H 0.37, N 0.0, P 2.29, Er 12.38. Found: C 29.28, H 0.0, N 0.0, P 1.97, Er 12.10.

[Yb(hfac)$_3$][($^{5}$F$_3$PO)$_3$](H$_2$O)] (5): Isolated in 59% yield as colourless crystals (249 mg). NMR/CDCl$_3$ (400 MHz) $\delta_{1H}$: 8.07 (br, CH-hfac); $\delta_{F}$: −87.83 (s, 2F, ortho/meta CF), $\delta_{13C}$: −123.75 (br, CF$_3$-hfac), $\delta_{13C}$: −138.98 (s, 1F, para-CF), $\delta_{13C}$: −155.68 (s, 2F, ortho/meta CF); $\delta_{13C}$: 140.37 (br, ortho/meta/para-CF). UV–vis (CH$_2$Cl$_2$) $\lambda$ = 232 ([Ar$_3$F$_3$PO] and hfac). Anal. Calcd. For C$_{8}$H$_{2}$O$_{8}$F$_{12}$P$_{4}$Yb: C 29.14, H 0.37, N 0.0, P 2.27. Found: C 28.82, H 0.0, N 0.0, P 1.73.

[Tm(hfac)$_3$][($^{5}$F$_3$PO)(H$_2$O))] (6): Isolated in 26% yield as colourless crystals (109 mg). Anal. Calcd. For C$_{8}$H$_{2}$O$_{8}$F$_{12}$P$_{4}$Tm: C 29.22, H 0.37, N 0.0, P 2.28, Tm 12.45. Found: C 29.18, H 0.0, N 0.0, P 2.05, Tm 12.36.
3.2.4. Preparation of [Ln(hfac)₃((ArF)₃PO)(H₂O)] (Ln = Sm³⁺, Eu³⁺, Tb³⁺, Er³⁺), Yb³⁺ (1–5) from Ln(OAc)₃·xH₂O under N₂

Under N₂, an oven dried Schlenk was charged with 0.26 mmol of the appropriate lanthanide acetate hydrate (Ln(OAc)₃·xH₂O) and 0.26 mmol (142 mg) (ArF)₃PO and 25 mL of dry CH₂Cl₂ added by cannula or syringe. A solution of Hfac (0.78 mmol, 154 mg) in minimal CH₂Cl₂ (~2 mL) was then added dropwise and the reaction mixture heated at reflux temperature for 1 hour under a flow of N₂ and then cooled to room temperature. After this time, the solution was filtered and the filtrate layered with hexane or pentane and placed in the freezer at −18 °C. After several days, the crystalline products were isolated by filtration, washed with cold CH₂Cl₂ and dried in vacuo to yield the title product.

[Sm(hfac)₃((ArF)₃PO)(H₂O)] (1): Isolated in 53% yield (184 mg). All characterization data are consistent with the proposed structure.

[Tb(hfac)₃((ArF)₃PO)(H₂O)] (3): Isolated in 44% yield (155 mg). All characterization data are consistent with the proposed structure.

[Er(hfac)₃((ArF)₃PO)(H₂O)] (4): Isolated in 16% yield (55 mg). All characterization data are consistent with the proposed structure.

[Yb(hfac)₃((ArF)₃PO)(H₂O)] (5): Isolated in 48% yield (170 mg). All characterization data are consistent with the proposed structure.

3.2.5. Preparation of [Ln(F₇-acac)₃((ArF)₃PO)] (6) (Ln = Sm³⁺, Eu³⁺, Tb³⁺, Er³⁺), Yb³⁺ (6–10) from Ln(OAc)₃·xH₂O under N₂

Under N₂, an oven dried Schlenk was charged with 0.33 mmol of the appropriate lanthanide acetate hydrate (Ln(OAc)₃·xH₂O and 0.66 mmol (142 mg) (ArF)₃PO. 25 mL of dry CH₂Cl₂ was then added by cannula or syringe. 1,1,1,3,5,5,5-heptfluoro-2,4-pentanedione (0.94 mmol) was subsequently rapidly added and the reaction mixture heated at reflux temperature for 1 hour under a flow of N₂, then cooled to room temperature. After this time, dry pentane (40 mL) was added and the Schlenk flask placed in the freezer at −18 °C. After one week, the crystalline products were isolated by filtration, washed with cold CH₂Cl₂ and dried under vacuum suction to yield the title compounds. The products are slightly hygroscopic when stored under ambient conditions for extended periods of time (>1 year). All complexes are soluble in acetone and sparingly soluble in CH₂Cl₂ and CHCl₃.

[Sm(F₇-acac)₃((ArF)₃PO)] (6): Isolated in 42% yield as a pale yellow crystalline solid (289 mg).

NMR/δF-acetone (200 MHz) δF: −74.67 (d, 18F), JFF = 3.0 Hz, CF₃-hfac), −134.15 (d, 12F, 3JFF = 10.9 Hz, JFF = 8.1 Hz, C₃F₃-hfac), −145.68 (m, 12F, para-CF), −161.28 (m, 12F, meta-CF), −192.30 (br, 3H, CF F₇-acac); δp (1H): −9.20 (s, (ArF)₃PO). UV–vis (CH₂Cl₂) λ = 230, 272 nm ((ArF)₃PO), 328, 356 (sh) nm (F₇-acac). Anal. Calcd. For Cs₁O₈F₅₁P₂Sm·2CH₂Cl₂: C 30.43, H 0.19, N 0.0, Sm 7.20. Found: C 30.25, H 0.0, N 0.0, Sm 6.85.

[Eu(F₇-acac)₃((ArF)₃PO)] (7): Isolated in 37% yield as a pale yellow crystalline solid (242 mg).

NMR/δF-acetone (200 MHz) δF: −78.14 (d, 18F), JFF = 17.4 Hz, CF₃ F₇-acac), −134.11 (dd, 12F, 3JFF = 20.7 Hz, JFF = 1.9 Hz, ortho-CF), −145.68 (m, 12F, para-CF), −161.29 (m, 12F, meta-CF), −170.01 (m, 3F, CF F₇-acac); δp (1H): −7.80 (s, (ArF)₃PO). UV–vis (CH₂Cl₂) λ = 232, 274 nm ((ArF)₃PO), 324, 358 (sh) nm (F₇-acac). For Cs₁O₈F₅₁P₂Eu·CH₂Cl₂: C 31.10, H 0.1, N 0.0, Eu 7.57. Found: C 30.73, H 0.0, N 0.0, Eu 8.57.

[Tb(F₇-acac)₃((ArF)₃PO)] (8): Isolated in 47% yield as a pale yellow crystalline solid (312 mg).

NMR/δF-acetone (200 MHz) δF: −58.84 (br, CF₃ F₇-acac), −134.20 (d, 12F, JFF = 20.7 Hz, ortho-CF), −145.65 (m, 3F, para-CF), −161.28 (m, 6F, meta-CF), −108.00 (br, CF F₇-acac); δp (1H): −11.61 (br, (ArF)₃PO). UV–vis (CH₂Cl₂) λ = 230, 276 nm ((ArF)₃PO), 330 nm (F₇-acac). Anal. Calcd. For Cs₁O₈F₅₁P₂Tb·CH₂Cl₂: C 30.99, H 0.1, N 0.0, Tb 7.89. Found: C 30.92, H 0.0, N 0.0, Tb 7.20.
[Er(F\textsubscript{2}-acac)]\textsubscript{3}[(Ar\textsuperscript{5}F\textsubscript{3}PO)\textsubscript{2}] (9): Isolated in 40% yield as a pale yellow crystalline solid (289 mg). NMR/\textit{d}_{6}-acetone (200 MHz) \(\delta_{F}: -87.28\) (br, CF\textsubscript{3} F\textsubscript{2}-acac), -133.90 (d, 6H, \(^{4}\)) = 21.8 Hz, ortho-CF), -145.47 (m, 3H, para-CF), -161.27 (m, 6H, meta-CF), -208.20 (br, CF F\textsubscript{2}-acac); \(\delta_{P}^{1}H\): -9.83 (br, (Ar\textsuperscript{5}F\textsubscript{3})PO). UV–vis (CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}) \(\lambda = 230, 274\) nm ((Ar\textsuperscript{5}F\textsubscript{3})PO), 322, 354 (sh) nm (F\textsubscript{2}-acac). Anal. Calcd. For C\textsubscript{31}O\textsubscript{8}F\textsubscript{13}P\textsubscript{2}Er-3CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}: C 29.57, H 0.43, N 0.0, Er 7.63. Found: C 29.10, H 0.0, N 0.0, Er 7.22.

[Yb(F\textsubscript{2}-acac)]\textsubscript{3}[(Ar\textsuperscript{5}F\textsubscript{3}PO)\textsubscript{2}] (10): Isolated in 27% yield as a pale yellow crystalline solid (213 mg). NMR/\textit{d}_{6}-acetone (200 MHz) \(\delta_{F}: -87.37\) (br, CF\textsubscript{3} F\textsubscript{2}-acac), -133.99 (d, 6H, \(^{4}\)) = 20.0 Hz, ortho-CF), -145.66 (m, para-CF), -161.28 (m, 6H, meta-CF), -208.20 (br, CF F\textsubscript{2}-acac); \(\delta_{P}^{1}H\): -8.93 (br, (Ar\textsuperscript{5}F\textsubscript{3})PO). UV–vis (CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}) \(\lambda = 230, 274\) nm ((Ar\textsuperscript{5}F\textsubscript{3})PO), 322, 354 (sh) nm (F\textsubscript{2}-acac). Anal. Calcd. For C\textsubscript{31}O\textsubscript{8}F\textsubscript{13}P\textsubscript{2}Yb-5CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}: C 30.84, H 0.0, N 0.0, Yb 7.30. Found: C 30.47, H 0.0, N 0.0, Yb 6.98.

[Tm(F\textsubscript{2}-acac)]\textsubscript{3}[(Ar\textsuperscript{5}F\textsubscript{3}PO)\textsubscript{2}]: Isolated in 48% yield as a white crystalline solid (321 mg). NMR/\textit{d}_{6}-acetone (200 MHz) \(\delta_{F}: -98.08\) (br, CF\textsubscript{3} F\textsubscript{2}-acac), -133.88 (s, 6H, ortho-CF), -145.47 (m, para-CF), -161.27 (m, 6H, meta-CF), -245.04 (br, CF F\textsubscript{2}-acac); \(\delta_{P}^{1}H\): -9.10 (br, (Ar\textsuperscript{5}F\textsubscript{3})PO). Anal. Calcd. For C\textsubscript{31}O\textsubscript{8}F\textsubscript{13}P\textsubscript{2}Tm-CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}: C 30.84, H 0.0, N 0.0, Tm 8.34. Found: C 30.47, H 0.0, N 0.0, Tm 8.72.

3.3. X-ray Crystallographic Data for Compounds 3, 4, 6, 7, 9 and 10

The X-ray crystallographic information files (cif) for all the single crystal X-ray structures reported herein have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Database (CCDC), numbers: 1472376–1472382. These data are available free of charge at www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk. For data collection and structural refinement details, see Table S1.

4. Conclusions

In summary, two families of emissive heavily fluorinated lanthanide(III) \(\beta\)-diketonate complexes bearing monodentate perfluorinated tris phenyl phosphine oxide ligands, \([\text{Ln}(\text{hfac})\textsubscript{3}](\text{Ar}\textsuperscript{5}F\textsubscript{3}PO)(\text{H}_2\text{O})]\) and \([\text{Ln}(\text{F\textsubscript{2}-acac})\textsubscript{3}](\text{Ar}\textsuperscript{5}F\textsubscript{3}PO)\textsubscript{2}] \) (where \(\text{Ln} = \text{Sm}^{3+}, \text{Eu}^{3+}, \text{Tb}^{3+}, \text{Er}^{3+}\) and \(\text{Yb}^{3+}\)) have been prepared and characterized by NMR spectroscopy, single crystal X-ray diffraction and luminescence spectroscopy. In depth photophysical studies on the complexes in CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} solution and in the solid state have shown that replacing both the bound inner sphere H\textsubscript{2}O molecule and C–H oscillator in the \(\beta\)-diketonate ligand backbone in \([\text{Ln}(\text{hfac})\textsubscript{3}](\text{Ar}\textsuperscript{5}F\textsubscript{3}PO)(\text{H}_2\text{O})]\) by a second (Ar\textsuperscript{5}F\textsubscript{3})PO ligand and C–F bond respectively in \([\text{Ln}(\text{F\textsubscript{2}-acac})\textsubscript{3}](\text{Ar}\textsuperscript{5}F\textsubscript{3}PO)\textsubscript{2}] \) has a dramatic effect on the photophysical properties of the complexes. This effect is particularly notable for the near infra-red emitting ion Yb\textsuperscript{3+}, where a five fold increase in luminescence lifetime and quantum yield is observed in \([\text{Yb}(\text{F\textsubscript{2}-acac})\textsubscript{3}](\text{Ar}\textsuperscript{5}F\textsubscript{3}PO)\textsubscript{2}] \) compared to \([\text{Ln}(\text{hfac})\textsubscript{3}](\text{Ar}\textsuperscript{5}F\textsubscript{3}PO)(\text{H}_2\text{O})]\) (8). The presented data herein conclude that replacing all C–H oscillators in the immediate coordination environment of the Ln\textsuperscript{3+} ions with C–F bonds substantially reduces the degree of competitive vibrational quenching, particularly for the nIR emitting trivalent lanthanides in solution which in turn leads to more intense and longer lived f-centered emission. Here, this is particularly evident for the Sm\textsuperscript{3+} and Yb\textsuperscript{3+} complexes 1, 5 and 10, which exhibit both long luminescence lifetimes and relatively large intrinsic quantum yields of emission. Such an approach combined with intentional reduction of the radiative lifetime of the lanthanide based emission as demonstrated by Seitz [37] may find increasing use in the development of optical imaging probes and highly emissive materials for optoelectronics amongst other applications in the near future.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/2304-6740/4/3/27/s1, Table S1: Single Crystal X-ray Data Collection and Structural Refinement for the Complexes and unit cell parameters for 5; Figures S1–S7: \(^{19}F\) and \(^{31}P\)\(^{1}H\) NMR spectra for selected complexes (1, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9 and 10).
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Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NMR</td>
<td>nuclear magnetic resonance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nIR</td>
<td>near Infra-Red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UV/vis</td>
<td>Ultra-violet/visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hfac</td>
<td>1,1,5,5,5-hexafluoro-2,4-pentanedione</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F₇-acac</td>
<td>1,1,1,3,5,5,5-heptafluoroacetylacetone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ArF₃)PO</td>
<td>tris(pentafluorophenyl)phosphine oxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPPIP</td>
<td>tetraphenylimidodiphosphinate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-TPPIP</td>
<td>perfluorotetraphenylimidodiphosphinate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAc</td>
<td>acetate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ln</td>
<td>lanthanide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOTA</td>
<td>1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane-N′,N″,N‴,N‴‴-tetraacetic acid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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