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ABSTRACT

Detailed bathymetric and sub-bottom acoustic observations in Bourgeois Fjord (Marguerite Bay, Antarctic Peninsula) provide evidence on sedimentary processes and glacier dynamics during the last glacial cycle. Submarine landforms observed in the 50 km-long fjord, from the margins of modern tidewater glaciers to the now ice-distal Marguerite Bay, are described and interpreted. The landforms are grouped into four morpho-sedimentary systems: (i) glacial advance and full-glacial; (ii) subglacial and ice-marginal meltwater; (iii) glacial retreat and neoglacialization; and (iv) Holocene mass-wasting. These morpho-sedimentary systems have been integrated with morphological studies of the Marguerite Bay continental shelf and analysed in terms of the specific sedimentary processes and/or stages of the glacial cycle. They demonstrate the action of an ice-sheet outlet glacier that produced drumlins and crag-and-tail features in the main and outer fjord. Meltwater processes eroded bedrock channels and ponds infilled by fine-grained sediments. Following the last deglaciation of the fjord at about 9 000 yr BP, subsequent Holocene neoglacial activity involved minor readvances of a tidewater glacier terminus in Blind Bay. Recent stillstands and/or minor readvances are inferred from the presence of a major transverse moraine that indicates grounded ice stabilization, probably during the Little Ice Age, and a series of smaller...
landforms that reveal intermittent minor readvances. Mass-wasting processes also affected the walls of
the fjord and produced scars and fan-shaped deposits during the Holocene. Glacier-terminus changes
during the last six decades, derived from satellite images and aerial photographs, reveal variable
behaviour of adjacent tidewater glaciers. The smaller glaciers show the most marked recent retreat,
influenced by regional physiography and catchment-area size.
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1. Introduction

The geomorphology of glaciated continental margins contains records of environmental history and of the
geological processes shaping the seafloor (e.g. Anderson, 1999; Ó Cofaigh et al., 2002; Ottesen et al.,
2005). The increasing resolution of geophysical and geological datasets acquired from high-latitude
shelves and fjords is providing new insights into the major processes occurring during the last full-glacial
and deglacial periods in particular (Dowdeswell and Ó Cofaigh, 2002; Jakobsson et al., 2008). The high
sensitivity of the Antarctic Peninsula Ice Sheet (APIS) results from the regional amplification of global
warming (Vaughan et al., 2003), and the response mechanisms involve factors such as oceanography,
atmospheric circulation and regional air-sea-ice feedback (Bentley et al., 2010). The Antarctic Peninsula
has experienced rapid warming in the last 600 years, and an unusually high warming trend over the past
century, resulting in ice-shelf vulnerability along the Peninsula with its potential impact on global climate
(Mulvaney et al., 2012). The assessment of models for predicting the future evolution of ice masses
requires a knowledge of past ice dynamics and, in particular, studies of the styles of deglaciation for the
last glacial cycle, that depend on a complex interplay between global and local factors (Livingstone et al.,
2012). Mass balance models for outlet glaciers highlight the influence of glacier dynamics on climate and
global sea-level (Kaser et al., 2006) and, in the case of tidewater glaciers, processes involving iceberg
discharge are particularly important but poorly known contributors to mass loss from ice caps
(Dowdeswell et al., 2008a; Radić and Hock, 2011).

The complexity of glacial-marine systems has been revealed by numerous investigations of the
geomorphology and shallow stratigraphy of Arctic fjords (e.g. Griffith and Anderson, 1989; Domack and
Ishman, 1993; Gilbert et al., 2002; Ottesen and Dowdeswell, 2006, 2009; Ottesen et al., 2007, 2008),
allowing detailed palaeoenvironmental reconstructions of the last glacial cycle, whereas high-resolution
studies from Antarctic fjords are still relatively scarce (Griffith and Anderson, 1989; Anderson, 1999;
Rodrigo et al., 2016). In Marguerite Bay, western Antarctic Peninsula, research has focused on past ice-
stream dynamics along a glacial trough that occupies almost 400 km across the continental shelf
However, a detailed study of the most proximal areas is still needed in order to understand the complete system and, in particular, the transition from the outlet glaciers near the coast to the large ice-streams on the continental shelf. This paper investigates the submarine landform record in Bourgeois Fjord, providing evidence for the glacial dynamics in the area from the termini of tidewater glaciers to the more open marine environment of Marguerite Bay. The area is characterized today by the presence of relatively small outlet glaciers draining Graham Land, but was covered by an extensive APIS with grounding lines reaching the continental-shelf edge during the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) (e.g. Pudsey et al., 1994; Bentley and Anderson, 1998; Anderson, 1999; Anderson et al., 2002; Dowdeswell et al., 2004; Ó Cofaigh et al., 2014). The paper is focused on the processes and patterns shaping the seafloor during the last glacial cycle in order to analyse the glacial and deglacial dynamics and the factors influencing them, and to provide an integrated view of the processes affecting the complete system, from the fjord to the outer continental shelf. This work analyses sedimentary processes at different spatial and temporal scales: the last glacial cycle affecting the entire system from the fjord to the continental shelf-edge, the Little Ice Age (LIA) event recorded in the inner areas of Bourgeois Fjord (Blind Bay), and recent patterns of tidewater glaciers retreat caused by rapid regional warming during the last century.

2. Geological and ice-sheet chronological framework

Bourgeois Fjord is one of the many relatively narrow and steep-sided fjords that connect tidewater glaciers draining from the APIS in Graham Land with Marguerite Bay and the Pacific Southern Ocean margin (Kennedy and Anderson, 1989; Anderson, 1999) (Fig. 1). The Antarctic Ice Sheet expanded across continental shelves all around the Antarctic Peninsula during the LGM and grew to fill Bourgeois Fjord and spread across the Marguerite Bay continental shelf with fast-flowing ice streams reaching the shelf edge (Pudsey et al., 1994; Bentley and Anderson, 1998; Anderson et al., 2002; Dowdeswell et al., 2004; Ambles et al., 2006). Evidence of the last glacial ice stream dynamics has been described along the Marguerite Trough which drained the Marguerite Bay palaeo-ice stream across the continental shelf. Large isolated basins in the inner shelf are characterized by crag-and-tails in areas with exposed bedrock that have similar dimensions and orientations to mega-scale glacial lineations (MSGLs) identified in the neighbouring areas (Livingstone et al., 2013, 2016). On the middle shelf, a basinward succession of landforms has been identified, from deep roughly parallel gouges and subglacial meltwater channels incised into crystalline bedrock, to streamlined hills, whalebacks and MSGLs (Livingstone et al., 2013, 2016). The mid-outer shelf displays streamlined bedrock outcrops and GZWs (Ó Cofaigh et al., 2005). The outer shelf is characterized by MGSLs with a N-NW trend that are overprinted by iceberg ploughmarks close to the continental-shelf edge (Dowdeswell et al., 2004; Ó Cofaigh et al., 2005;
Numerous gullies occur on the upper slope. Those located on the sides of the palaeo-ice stream mouth are incised directly at the shelf edge whereas those offshore of the trough mouth are incised on the upper continental slope (Dowdeswell et al., 2004a; Noormets et al., 2009; Livingstone et al., 2013).

Morphological, sedimentological and geochronological analysis of the landforms identified on the continental shelf has revealed that the LGM occurred around 18 000 yr BP (e.g. Kennedy and Anderson, 1989; Heroy and Anderson, 2007; Ó Cofaigh et al., 2014) and ice-sheet retreat began on the outer shelf of Marguerite Bay by 14 000 cal yr BP (Bentley et al., 2005; Heroy and Anderson, 2007; Kilfeather et al., 2011; Ó Cofaigh et al., 2014). A first stage of rapid retreat coincided with the sea-level rise of Meltwater Pulse 1a (Heroy and Anderson, 2007; Kilfeather et al., 2011). The ice sheet remained grounded on the inner shelf and an ice shelf formed beyond the grounding-zone at that time. Retreat then became more episodic (Ó Cofaigh et al., 2014); the ice shelf broke-up and the calving front retreated slowly from ca. 13.2 to 12.5 ka B.P. across the outer and mid-shelf, probably linked to an incursion of Weddell Sea Transitional Water onto the shelf. Finally, ice retreated into the inner bay from 9.3 ka B.P. (Kilfeather et al., 2011), and this retreat is interpreted to have been rapid (Allen et al., 2010; Ó Cofaigh et al., 2014). A two-step deglacial model in Marguerite Bay has been proposed, with a first stage related to rapid sea-level rise during Meltwater Pulse 1-a at 14.2 ka BP, when grounded ice retreated to the area coincident with the transition from crystalline bedrock to soft sedimentary substrate, and a second phase shortly before 9.6 ka, when the intrusion of Circumpolar Deep Water provoked the rapid thinning of the ice cover (Bentley et al., 2011). During the Holocene sedimentation in Marguerite Bay has been linked to oceanographic variability (Peck et al., 2015). During the early Holocene incursions of the warm upper circumpolar deep water (UCDW) led to extensive glacial melt and limited sea ice, from 9.7 to 7.0 ka BP. The influence of this water mass decreased through the mid Holocene, with seasons of persistent sea ice by 4.2 ka BP followed by a succession of episodic incursions of UCDW during the late Holocene (Peck et al., 2015). Open-marine conditions existed between 8 000 and 2 700 14C yr BP, with a climatic optimum between 4 200 and 2 700 yr BP in Lallemand Fjord, 16 km north of Bourgeois Fjord (Fig. 1B; Shevenell et al., 1996). A modest glacier advance between 2 850 and 2 500 cal. yr BP has been described in Neny Fjord, which drains into Marguerite Bay south of Bourgeois Fjord (Fig. 1B; Allen et al., 2010). The Little Ice Age has been recorded in several areas of the Antarctic Peninsula. Neoglacial cold events associated with the LIA produced an ice-shelf advance approximately 400 years ago in Lallemand Fjord (Shevenell et al., 1996). Sedimentological studies in Barilari Bay (Graham Land) constrained this event to ca. 730-82 cal. yr B.P. by, and its effect has been compared with other records, showing that the LIA was regionally synchronous in the Pacific and Atlantic southern sectors (Christ et al., 2014). Recently, grounded ice
cover in the Marguerite Bay region has experienced a general retreat since the late 1920s with the largest observed changes from glaciers with small drainage basins (Fox and Cooper, 1998). This general retreat is related to the ‘recent rapid regional warming’ described for the Antarctic Peninsula over the past half-century (Vaughan et al., 2003).

3. Datasets and methodology

Datasets for this study were acquired during cruise JR-157 of RRS James Clark Ross (JCR) in 2007 (Fig. 1). They include observations from both a Remotely-Operated Vehicle (ROV), Isis, and from hull-mounted instruments on the JCR. The ROV was configured to deploy several types of instrument. An MS-2000 multibeam swath-bathymetry system was fitted to the Isis ROV and flown about 20 m above the seafloor at speeds of less than 0.5 knots, giving a swath width of about 60 m. The multibeam system operated at a frequency of 200 kHz, with an equidistant beam configuration of 128 beams giving a swath width of 120°. Horizontal resolution is better than 0.5 m (Dowdeswell et al., 2008b). An Isis ROV dive was carried out along a transect close to the tidewater ice cliffs of Forel Glacier in Blind Bay at the head of the main Bourgeois Fjord (Fig. 1). Three parallel navigation lines were acquired, resulting in a high-resolution bathymetric mosaic of approximately 0.6 km-width. During the Isis dive, video records and high-resolution photographs of the seafloor were taken using Pegasus and Scorpio cameras, when the ROV was flown at about 0.6 m above the seafloor at a speed of approximately 20 cm s⁻¹. 10 cm-spaced laser beams were used in all cases to provide a scale for the imagery.

Hull-mounted equipment on board the JCR included an EM-120 Kongsberg-Simrad multibeam swath-bathymetry system with 191 beams displaying a maximum swath width of 150°, operating at frequencies between 11.25 and 12.75 kHz and enabling generation of grids with a horizontal resolution averaging 20 m. Navigation data were obtained from GPS and data processing and production of maps were performed with Caris, Mirone and Fledermaus software. The TOPAS PS 018 system operated with a primary frequency of 18 kHz and a secondary frequency in the range of 0.5-5 kHz was used to obtain sub-bottom profiles. It provides a vertical resolution better than 1 m. Data were processed with TOPAS software.

Glacier-terminus change information and glacier-catchment areas were calculated using data made available by the USGS Coastal-Change and Glaciological Maps of Antarctica project (Williams et al., 1995; Fretwell et al., 2013; Cook et al, 2014) and from LIMA mosaics provided by the USGS EROS Data Center and constructed using Landsat images gathered between 1999 and 2002.

4. Results
4.1. Echo-type description, distribution and interpretation

Three echo-types were identified in Bourgeois Fjord and Blind Bay from the analysis of sub-bottom profiler records. The description, distribution and interpretation of echo-types are shown in Figure 2, integrating the classical criteria of Damuth (1980), Kuhn and Weber (1993) and Droz et al. (2001) for echo-facies characterization and the seismic facies attributes, such as the acoustic amplitude, lateral continuity, geometry and internal configuration of reflections on parametric profiles (Payton, 1977; Veeken, 2007). A non-penetrative echo-type (I) is dominant in the study area and represents irregular surfaces of outcropping bedrock, coarse deposits and/or high gradients that prevent the penetration of the acoustic signal. It includes two subtypes. Echo-type IA represents a very irregular, non-penetrative seafloor reflection and is the predominant type in the main and outer fjord. Echo-type IB represents a smooth non-penetrative seafloor reflection and is present mostly close to the fjord walls and on the seafloor of Blind Bay (Fig. 2). A transparent-chaotic echo-type (II) occurs only locally, forming patches on the seafloor of both relatively shallow and deep areas of the fjord. It consists of transparent deposits of an irregular, mounded-shaped geometry, or thin packages of transparent-chaotic reflections. Echo-type III is stratified and represents smooth distinct parallel-layered reflections with relatively high acoustic amplitude. It occurs locally in deep, flat areas of the main and outer fjord and results from fine-layered sedimentation (Fig. 2).

4.2. Physiography of Bourgeois Fjord

Multibeam swath-bathymetric mapping allows the physiography of Bourgeois Fjord and Blind Bay to be mapped in detail (Fig. 1). The fjord system includes a central, main fjord, north of 67° 37’S, which terminates in Blind Bay at its northeastern end. The main fjord is separated by a relatively shallow 300 m deep sill from a narrower outer fjord that opens westwards into Marguerite Bay (Fig. 1). The main fjord is a 90 km² semi-closed basin up to 665 m deep that receives direct meltwater and iceberg input from several tidewater glaciers. Lliboutry, Perutz and Bader glaciers as well as part of the ice fed from Heim Glacier drain into the main fjord today, and Forel and Barnes glaciers have calving fronts in Blind Bay (Fig. 1).

The main fjord is 455-665 m deep and displays a U-shaped cross-profile (Fig. 1). The eastern sector has a NNE-SSW-orientation and is about 3 km wide. It displays a relatively flat floor at depths of 530-540 m. The central sector of the main fjord is E-W oriented and 4.5 km wide on average, with a more irregular seafloor at depths ranging from 445-560 m. A prominent SE-NW-oriented high, up to 2.2 km wide, occurs in the SE part of the basin, off the mouth of Perutz Glacier. The western sector of the main fjord is NE-SW oriented, with an average width of 4.2 km and a maximum depth of 665 m. The main Bourgeois
Fjord connects with Marguerite Bay through an outer fjord that consists of a relatively narrow NE-SW-oriented channel between Pourquoi Pas Island and Ridge Island (Fig. 1). The outer fjord is 450-680 m deep, about 35 km long and 1-3 km wide. It presents a markedly irregular seafloor with numerous topographic highs.

4.3. Fjord Seafloor Landforms

4.3.1. Bourgeois Fjord

The main Bourgeois Fjord presents a typical U-shaped cross profile, with steep fjord walls and a relatively flat deep seafloor and can be divided into three sectors - proximal, central and distal – each with distinct morphological and physiographic characteristics (Fig. 3). The proximal sector is NNE-SSW-oriented and is approximately 7 km long and 3 km wide. The seafloor is relatively flat at depths of 520-550 m and presents smooth-floored elongate basins that parallel the fjord trend with a stratified echo-type (III), suggesting a fine-laminated sedimentary bed, and a weak ridge-and-valley topography (Figs. 3B and 3D) characterized by irregular non-penetrative echo-type, implying a mainly rock bed.

The central sector is E-W-orientated and is 7 km long and 5 km wide (Fig. 3). The seafloor is 450-570 m deep and markedly irregular. The northern wall presents numerous arcuate scarps. Here the fjord floor presents smaller flat basins, delimited by N-S to NW-SE-oriented escarpments (Fig. 3B). A NW-SE-oriented prominent morphological high occurs off the terminus of Perutz Glacier and displays some elongate ESE-WNW-oriented features on its top. To the west the seafloor is characterized by irregular morphological highs displaying non-penetrative echo-type (IA). Morphological highs become more elongate and crag-and-tail shaped towards the distal zone (elongation ratio - length:width - of 2:1 to 3:1).

Small, irregular channels with a dendritic convergent pattern and small flat basins occur between the morphological highs (Figs. 3B and 3E).

The distal sector is NE-SW-oriented, about 8 km long and 5 km wide (Fig. 3D). A shallow area connects the terminus of Lliboutry Glacier with the main fjord and displays NE-SW-oriented morphological highs that delimit deep elongate basins off the glacier front. The main fjord floor in the distal sector is characterized by elongate, crag-and-tails (elongation ratios of 2:1 to 4:1), channels and low relief lineations with irregular non-penetrative echo-type (IA) suggesting bedrock cropping out at the seafloor (Figs. 3B and 3F). A flat basin occupies the distal area at depths averaging 660 m and is of stratified echo-type (III), implying fine-layered sedimentation (Fig. 2).

The outer fjord connects the main Bourgeois Fjord with Marguerite Bay (Figs. 1 and 4). Arcuate scarps occur on the steep fjord walls, where the predominant echo-type is irregular, non-penetrative (IA) (Fig.
2). The sector between Pourquoi Pas and Ridge islands is characterized by streamlined features with high elongation ratios of 2:1 to 5:1 (Figs. 4D and 4E) and channels oriented parallel to the fjord long-axis (Fig. 4E). To the SW some minor crag-and-tail features and weak lineations are observed and the seafloor is markedly irregular, with some morphological highs and relatively flat basins with stratified echo-type (III) and scarcer and smaller elongate features (Figs. 2 and 4). Together this implies small basins filled with fine-layered sediments separated by bedrock highs.

4.3.2. Blind Bay

The inner 4 km of Blind Bay has been mapped at high-resolution using a combination of Isis and JCR multibeam data (Figs. 5 and 6), and a transect of photographs acquired by the Isis ROV has been used for characterizing the seafloor (Fig. 7). The submarine landform assemblage of inner Blind Bay includes a large transverse ridge at its ice-distal end (Fig. 5). Inside this ridge, arcuate-shaped and longitudinal ridges, finger-like ridges with straight lineations, transverse escarpments and channel-like features are present (Fig. 5). The dimensions of these landforms and their main characteristics are given in Table I.

The distal transverse ridge occupies the entire width of the bay at about 4 km from the front of Forel Glacier (Fig. 5). It is responsible for the step-like, landward-deepening profile of the inner sector of Blind Bay. The ridge is markedly asymmetric, with a gentle ice-proximal face and a steeper ice-distal face (up to 25º, 40-70 m high; Fig. 5D). TOPAS profiles show smooth non-penetrative echo-type IB along the inner sector, reflecting coarse surficial sediment, and stratified echo-type (III) suggesting layered sedimentation in the proximal part of the outer sector (at the foot of the ridge) that becomes non-penetrative seawards (Fig. 5D).

Three crescent-shaped ridges are identified in the inner sector of Blind Bay (Fig. 5), where the seafloor is generally characterized by the irregular non-penetrative echo-type IA (Figs. 2 and 5D). The largest arcuate ridge is up to 25 m high and 500 m wide and occupies the central part of the Blind Bay inner sector, delimiting a relatively flat basin floor. The ridge is asymmetric with a steeper, convex-downstream ice-distal face and straight lateral flanks parallel to the bay limits (Figs. 5B and 5C). Two crescent-shaped ridges of similar morphological characteristics but smaller in size occur at more ice-proximal positions (Fig. 5). The middle ridge is displaced to the eastern fjord flank, whereas the most ice-proximal one is located about 1 km from the Forel Glacier front at depths of 280-290 m. Longitudinal ridges are identified along lateral positions in the inner sector of Blind Bay (Fig. 5). Overall, the eastern ridges are higher (up to 10 m high), with a consistent NE-SW trend slightly oblique to the fjord walls. To the west, ridges are smaller (up to 2 m high) and their trend is NNE-SSW.
Finger-like ridges are identified in the high-resolution Isis swath-bathymetric mosaics and occur within the flat area delimited by the distal crescent-shaped ridge, at depths of 245-270 m (Figs. 5 and 6). They are up to 1 km long and relatively narrow (5-50 m wide). They are generally asymmetric with steeper eastern flanks (up to 22º) that delimit relatively flat areas (Fig. 6). Their orientations vary between 320º and 350º and they display highly variable shapes in a complex overlapping pattern. The proximal irregular ridges are markedly elongate and display arcuate, concave-eastward shapes, slightly oblique to the regional gradient. In contrast, the more distal ridges are much more irregular and display arcuate, finger-shape trends with concave-landward distal fronts up to 5 m high. Lineations have been identified on the Isis high-resolution swath-bathymetry data at depths of 240-280m (Fig. 6). They present sharp terminations toward the ridges, to which they are parallel to oblique in orientation. Lineations are straight with consistent orientations varying basinward from 330º to 310º (parallel to the basin trend) and are spaced 3-20 m apart. They are up to 20 m long and less than 1 m high with slope gradients of up to 5º.

The most ice-proximal part of the bay presents an irregular topography (Fig. 5) with an irregular non-penetrative echo-type IA (Fig. 2). There is a series of NW-SE-oriented transverse escarpments which are up to 10 m high and asymmetric, with smoother ice-proximal flanks (5-10º steep) and steeper (up to 18º) distal fronts (Figs. 5A and 5B). A network of diverging channel-like features occurs on the NW fjord wall, approximately 500 m from the Forel Glacier front (Figs. 5A and 5B). The system is about 500 m long, and individual channels are up to 80 m wide and 35 m deep.

A longitudinal transect of photographs was acquired by the Isis ROV along inner Blind Bay (Fig. 7). The photographs show a transition in seafloor character between about 1 and 3 km from the modern ice front (Fig. 7B). There is a progressive change from a predominance of relatively small clast-clasts with angular shapes, little or no fine sediment drape and weak colonization by benthic organisms (Fig. 7B, Photo 1), to a wider range of sizes and shapes of clasts, including relatively large ones, a thicker and widespread sediment drape that covers completely the seafloor at the most distal positions, and a higher abundance of benthic organisms (Fig. 7B, Photos 2 and 3). Individual or grouped clasts on the floor of Blind Bay display striated surfaces and sub-rounded faces, but most clasts have faceted and angular shapes. The upper surfaces of many clasts are covered by a thin layer of sediment, and they are weakly colonized by benthic organisms (Fig. 7B, Photos 2 and 3). Photographs from the proximal areas show the presence of eroded bedrock on the inner fjord wall (Fig. 7C, Photo 4). The area with irregular ridges shows clasts of heterogeneous size, but of larger dimensions, than those in the surrounding areas covered by a thin sediment drape and is poorly colonized by benthic organisms (Fig. 7C, Photos 5 and 6).

5. Discussion
5.1. Interpretation and distribution of landforms

The interpretation of the landforms in Bourgeois Fjord identified in this work can be integrated with a compilation of morphological and geophysical studies of the continental shelf of Marguerite Trough in order to obtain a complete understanding of the system (Fig. 8). The inner shelf offshore of Bourgeois Fjord is characterized by crag-and-tails in areas of mixed bedrock and unconsolidated sediment (Livingstone et al., 2013, 2016). Further seawards, a succession of landforms with increased elongation ratios (parallel gouges, streamlined hills and bedrock outcrops, whalebacks, drumlins and MSGLs) on the mid-shelf marks the transition from crystalline bedrock, where subglacial meltwater channels are also incised, to sedimentary bedrock (Ó Cofaigh et al., 2005; Anderson and Fretwell, 2008; Livingstone et al., 2013, 2016). The middle to outer shelf is characterized mainly by the presence of streamlined bedrock outcrops, drumlins and MSGLs and by a series of grounding-zone wedges and scarp (Ó Cofaigh et al., 2005). The outer shelf displays MSGLs and, in relatively shallower water iceberg ploughmarks proximal to the shelf edge, into which numerous short gullies are incised (Dowdeswell et al., 2004a; Ó Cofaigh et al., 2005; Livingstone et al., 2013, 2016).

The morphological and acoustic characterization and the environmental and geological setting of the submarine landforms in Bourgeois Fjord and the continental shelf of Marguerite Trough allows the differentiation of four major morpho-sedimentary systems that reflect different stages of the last glacial cycle through the Bourgeois Fjord and Blind Bay system, involving specific sedimentary processes: 1) Glacial advance, full-glacial and early deglacial system; 2) Subglacial and ice-marginal meltwater system; 3) Glacial retreat system (APIS retreat and Little Ice Age re-advance and retreat); and 4) Holocene mass-wasting system (Fig. 9). The detailed interpretations of each of the landform types described above is given in Table I.

5.1.1. Glacial advance, full-glacial system and early deglaciation

The flow of ice through the Bourgeois Fjord system during the last full-glacial, when the APIS advanced to the shelf edge and an ice stream was present in Marguerite Bay (e.g. Anderson et al., 2002; Heroy and Anderson, 2007; Livingstone et al., 2013, 2016; Ó Cofaigh et al., 2014), is indicated by the broad-scale physiography and the landform assemblage in the main and outer Bourgeois Fjord areas (Fig. 9A). The main and outer fjord are characterized by bedrock landforms that increase in their elongation ratio down-fjord (from 2:1 to 5:1) and have crag-and-tail morphologies indicating westward ice flow towards Marguerite Bay (Figs. 3 and 4). Similar progressive elongation of whalebacks in the inner and middle shelf of Marguerite Bay (2:1-4:1 to 18:1; Livingstone et al., 2013) and the transition to more elongate morphologies (drumlins, MSGLs) towards more distal areas of the shelf (Fig. 8; Ó Cofaigh et al, 2014;
Livingstone et al., 2013, 2016) suggest that processes forming the landforms in the main and outer Bourgeois Fjord are similar to those that acted in Marguerite Bay, and that they reflect the subglacial erosion and sculpting action typical of an accelerating ice stream (e.g. Wellner et al., 2006; Graham et al., 2009). Streamlining is assumed to result from subglacial erosion of bedrock (e.g. bedrock lineations, whaleback features), or from the shaping of till and/or bedrock by subglacial erosion and/or deposition (e.g. drumlins, crag-and-tail features) (Bradwell et al., 2008; Ottesen et al., 2008; Livingstone et al., 2013). In Bourgeois Fjord, these landforms appear to evolve from irregular erosional crystalline bedrock highs characterized by a hard, irregular sea-floor with no acoustic penetration (acoustic facies IA; Fig. 2) into elongate, more streamlined landforms (Fig. 8). This suggests an increasing sedimentary component of the substrate (e.g. Wellner et al., 2006; Graham et al., 2009; Livingstone et al., 2012). It is important to note that landforms sculpted in bedrock may reflect the cumulative activity of fast-flowing ice over successive glacial cycles, not simply during the LGM (Graham et al., 2009; Smith et al., 2009; Livingstone et al., 2013; Krabbendam et al., 2016). Lineations in Bourgeois Fjord appear to result from the action of rapid ice flow on bedrock with a relatively thin sedimentary cover, as revealed by the TOPAS record and submarine photographs (Figs. 2 and 7) and are therefore not as well-developed as the sets of MSGLs found on the sedimentary floor of many Antarctic cross-shelf troughs, including those in Marguerite Bay, that reflect a greater thickness of deformable sedimentary substrate (e.g Dowdeswell et al., 2004b; Ó Cofaigh et al., 2005; Spagnolo et al., 2016).

Flow acceleration, probably in combination with the change in the substrate, may also be responsible for the increased elongation of glacial landforms with distance down Bourgeois Fjord. Ice flow may have been accelerated due to the convergence of flows from a number of drainage basins that merged into the fjord as the ice sheet over Graham Land grew towards it maximum LGM extent and thickness (Figs. 1, 8 and 9A) (e.g. Anderson and Fretwell, 2008; Larter et al., 2009).

The relatively deep elongate basins offshore of Lliboutry Glacier (Fig. 3A) probably record the activity of advancing ice fed from Lliboutry and probably from Heim glaciers, the latter reaching Bourgeois Fjord through the channel north of Blaiklock Island (Fig. 1). The basins form perched platforms at varying depths that seem to be delimited by NE-SW-trending highs. Transverse bedrock escarpments (Figs. 3B, 5B) indicate subglacial quarrying or plucking by the advancing ice sheet on bedrock with differential resistance to erosion in the most proximal area of the main fjord and also in Blind Bay (Dünhforth et al., 2010). The orientation of the escarpments appears to reflect structural control by the NE-SW and NW-SE-oriented regional tectonic fabric related to the NW-SE-oriented fault zones developed during extension in the Marguerite Bay area before about 20 Ma ago (Johnson, 1997), which may have been related to movement on the West Antarctic Rift System (Eagles et al., 2009).
5.1.2. Subglacial and ice-marginal meltwater system

The meltwater system includes channels and small flat basins in the deeper areas of Bourgeois Fjord and on the lower fjord wall in the inner sector of Blind Bay (Fig. 9B). Channels in the main and outer Bourgeois Fjord (Figs. 3 and 4) are interpreted as subglacial meltwater channels eroded into crystalline bedrock, which are common features in many high-latitude inner-shelf and fjord areas, especially where crystalline bedrock predominates (e.g. Lowe and Anderson, 2002; Domack et al., 2006; Anderson and Fretwell, 2008; Smith et al., 2009; Nitsche et al., 2013; Hogan et al., 2016). Similarly to other bedrock erosional glacial landforms, they may record erosion through multiple glaciations (Ó Cofaigh et al., 2002; Graham et al., 2009; Smith et al., 2009; Krabbendam et al., 2016). The more distal channels in the main and outer Bourgeois Fjord are interconnected with small basins limited by morphological highs and tend to open to large basins further down-fjord (Figs 3B and 4B). Smaller channels in inner Blind Bay are related directly to the present-day location of the tidewater terminus of Forel Glacier (Fig. 5B) and, although they may have formed subglacially, provide a continuing conduit for dense underflows of mixed seawater and fresh meltwater loaded with subglacially-derived suspended sediment released directly from the terminus of the glacier (Gales et al., 2013). The channels in Bourgeois Fjord form an isolated drainage network due to the shallowing of the seafloor towards the outer fjord, which impedes a connection with the meltwater channels network in the Marguerite Bay inner- and mid-continental shelf (Ó Cofaigh et al., 2005; Livingstone et al., 2013; Hogan et al., 2016; Fig. 8).

Areas of flat seafloor, with stratified reflections on sub-bottom profiles (Fig. 2), suggest sedimentation by glacimarine processes after deglaciation (e.g. Smith et al., 2009; Ó Cofaigh et al., 2016). A number of processes may be involved, such as tidal pumping of the grounding lines that generate sorted, laminated sediment (Domack et al., 1999; Evans et al., 2005; Kilfeather et al., 2011), or marine derived detritus supplied from the grounding line. Furthermore, meltwater plumes containing fine-grained suspended sediments exiting the glacier terminus from a basal drainage system may also produce debris rain-out that would infill the small basins (Powell, 1990; Cowan, 2001; Lowe and Anderson, 2002; Domack et al., 2006; Mugford and Dowdeswell, 2011; Dowdeswell et al., 2014, 2015; Ó Cofaigh et al., 2016). The easternmost NE-SW-oriented basins would have received sediment input from Blind Bay, whereas the westernmost ones would include suspended sediment delivered from Lliboutry Glacier. These acoustically-stratified sedimentary deposits are separated by morphological highs of irregular bedrock in the middle reaches of Bourgeois Fjord (Fig. 4B).

5.1.3. Glacial retreat and neoglaciation system
There are few signs of transverse-to-ice-flow depositional ridges in the outer and main parts of Bourgeois Fjord (Figs. 4 and 5), such as grounding-zone wedges or large retreat moraines (e.g. Batchelor and Dowdeswell, 2015), that could be associated with still-stands during deglacial ice-sheet retreat. An implication of this is that ice-sheet retreat through Bourgeois Fjord probably took place relatively rapidly once ice had retreated from the shelf and Marguerite Bay at or shortly after 9,000 years ago (Kilfeather et al., 2011). The fjord system appears, therefore, to have been influenced mainly by glacimarine processes during the Holocene. The glacial retreat system we have mapped is restricted to relatively small-scale morainic landforms identified in the inner sector of Blind Bay, including the distal transverse ridge, the crescent-shaped and longitudinal ridges and the finger-like ridges associated with lineations (Figs. 5B and 9C). The well-preserved appearance of these features indicates that they have not been over-ridden by a subsequent glacier advance and may well be relatively recent features relating to the final post-glacial retreat or to recent neoglacial fluctuations of a few kilometres in the position of the glacier termini in Blind Bay (Fig. 9C). We suggest that these neoglacial cold events are associated with the LIA that has been recorded in neighbouring areas of the Antarctic Peninsula, such as Lallemand Fjord where an ice-shelf advance occurred approximately 400 years ago (Shevenell et al., 1996), probably in relation to the exclusion of Circumpolar Deep Water from the fjord (Domack et al., 1995), or Rothera Point, that experienced a glacial advance between 671 and 317 cal yr. BP (Guglielmin et al., 2007). Although the LIA cooling phase was not synchronous between sites, it has been widely documented in Antarctica, where temperatures ~2º colder were registered from ice cores from the Ross Sea area at about 1300 to 1850 AD (Bertler et al., 2011), roughly coinciding with temperature estimates 0.52±0.28ºC colder than the last 100-year average at the West Antarctic Ice Sheet divide (Orsi et al., 2012).

The distal transverse ridge in Blind Bay is interpreted as an ice-marginal moraine (Figs. 5B and 9C), based on its echo-type and typical asymmetrical morphology with a steeper ice-distal face (Dowdeswell and Vasquez, 2013). This moraine was deposited at the glacier terminus when the grounding-zone was maintained in a relatively stable position in the middle reaches of Blind Bay (e.g. Ottesen et al., 2005; Dowdeswell et al., 2014). The location suggests stabilization of the ice front probably related to the narrowing of Blind Bay (Jamieson et al., 2012; Rydningen et al., 2013), and/or to the presence of a topographic high that may also have acted as pinning point.

Crescent-shaped and longitudinal ridges in the inner sector of Blind Bay (Fig. 5B) are interpreted as recessional moraines (Fig. 9C). They are sedimentary landforms composed of unsorted clasts and finer sediment (Fig. 7). These features are deposited at the front of glaciers during still-stands or re-advances during a general phase of ice retreat (e.g. Bennett et al., 1999; Ottesen and Dowdeswell, 2006, 2009; Johnson et al., 2013). Their dimensions and location in Blind Bay suggest formation during shorter still
stands than those linked to the larger transverse moraine ridge and their presence in inner Blind Bay is probably linked to the progressive recession of the ice front, reflecting a slow ice-retreat pattern (Ottesen and Dowdeswell, 2006; McLachlan et al., 2010; Bjarnadóttir et al., 2014; Batchelor and Dowdeswell, 2015). Longitudinal ridges converge towards the centre of the bay (Fig. 5) and probably represent the lateral parts of recessional moraines from which the fronts have been eroded and/or reworked by successive small ice readvances and/or by meltwater flow. Crescent-shaped ridges seem to overlay the longitudinal ridges and are interpreted as the frontal ridges of well-preserved moraines over which no significant reworking by ice readvance has occurred. Blocks and rafts showing signs of glacitectonic erosion are evident in the seafloor photographs that show striated and angular clasts (Fig. 7) suggesting short transportation distances of the material after being incorporated into the moraines by bedrock plucking during grounded ice readvance (Laberg et al., 2009).

Finger-like ridges delimiting short straight lineations (Figs. 5B and 6) are the most striking features identified in ROV-acquired high-resolution bathymetric images. They are interpreted as ‘ice-fingerprints’, having formed by the pushing or ploughing of sediment by minor readvances of the grounded glacier terminus within inner Blind Bay (e.g. Geirsdottir et al., 2008, 2016; Bjarnadottir et al., 2014). The highly elongate shape and variable size of the finger-like ridges (Fig. 6) suggests a very irregular, non-linear ice margin advancing and retreating during the very last stages of glacial retreat. The pervasive, straight lineations delimited by the ridges suggest deformation of soft sediment by the glacier, and are interpreted as lateral push-ridges formed by a non-linear advancing ice front, overprinted by the finger-like ridges. Ice flow may have been constrained by the relief of the ice-marginal transverse moraine that acted as a morphological threshold buttressing minor readvances of the grounded tidewater glacier (Fig. 9C). These features suggest a depleting ice source being drained by bands of faster flowing ice (e.g. Todd and Shaw, 2012) and point to a final ice-mass retreat occurring in episodic events with massive iceberg calving.

5.1.4. Holocene mass-wasting system

Mass-wasting has taken place in Bourgeois Fjord since ice retreated through the steep-sided fjord system to about its present position <9,000 years ago (Kilfeather et al., 2011). Several debris lobes mapped close to the margins of Barnes and Bader glaciers (Fig. 3B) are probably related to the failure and downslope flowage of relatively rapidly deposited debris close to the tidewater termini of these glaciers (e.g. Laberg et al., 2009; Dowdeswell et al., 2015), although the relatively coarse resolution of the JCR multibeam data precludes more detailed analysis. Debris lobes sometimes appear stacked on the lower fjord walls, implying a short displacement that reflects the coarse and unsorted nature of the sediment supplied by subglacial erosion (Garcia et al., 2009). Mass-wasting processes on the walls of Bourgeois Fjord (Fig. 4).
and close to the foci of continuing sediment delivery at tidewater glacier margins (cf. Ottesen and Dowdeswell, 2009; Dowdeswell et al., 2016), have probably been operating throughout the Holocene since ice retreated through the fjord system about 8-9,000 years ago and continue to operate today (Shevenell et al., 1996; Kilfeather et al., 2011).

5.2. Ice dynamics inferred from landform distribution and sedimentary characteristics

Most landforms in Blind Bay and Bourgeois Fjord indicate a glacial origin related to flow of active ice and can be attributed to specific stages of the last glacial cycle (Fig. 9), although streamlined bedrock landforms may have evolved over successive glacial cycles. Landforms of the glacial advance and full-glacial system (Fig. 9A) correspond to the ice-growth, glacial maximum and early deglaciation, in a setting dominated by active ice flowing down-fjord. The morphological evidence in this area reflects the imprint of the grounded ice advance, in a similar manner to the neighbouring area of Gerlache Strait continental shelf, dominated by streamlined subglacial bedforms (Evans et al., 2005; Canals et al., 2016). A full-glacial outlet glacier flowing between the steep fjord walls of Bourgeois Fjord appears to have produced crag-and-tails (Figs. 3B and 4B), whose characteristics and distribution along the fjord suggest a transitional flow towards the development of a fast-flowing ice stream (e.g. Wellner et al., 2001). The latter probably required a deformable sedimentary bed similar to that which occupied the outer part of Marguerite Trough (Ó Cofaigh et al., 2002, 2005, 2008; Dowdeswell et al., 2004a,b; Kilfeather et al., 2011). The convergence of glacier flow into Bourgeois Fjord, implied by the present configuration of tidewater glaciers and their adjacent fjords (Fig. 1), suggests acceleration associated with increasing ice flux, which may also be inferred from the increase in landform elongation downstream (Wellner et al., 2006; Bradwell et al., 2008; Graham et al., 2009). The presence of meltwater channels cut into the bedrock of the fjord floor (Fig. 9B) also implies active ice- and meltwater-flow with a bed at the pressure-melting point of ice. Ice flow from Bourgeois Fjord contributed to the Marguerite Bay palaeo-ice stream, the largest ice stream on the Antarctic Peninsula with an estimated drainage basin size of about 100 000 km², which reached the western Peninsula continental shelf edge through the Marguerite Bay cross-shelf trough (Fig. 1B; Livingstone et al., 2012).

The absence of transverse-to-flow sedimentary landforms in the outer and main Bourgeois Fjord suggests that ice retreated from Marguerite Bay into Blind Bay during the last deglaciation (Allen et al., 2010; Kilfeather et al., 2011; Ó Cofaigh et al., 2014) without leaving morphological evidence of any significant stillstand. Evidence for a two-step deglacial model in Marguerite Bay locates grounded ice at the mid-shelf transitional area from crystalline bedrock to soft sedimentary substrate (Bentley et al., 2011), offshore of Bourgeois Fjord. In contrast, landforms in Blind Bay demonstrate that the deglacial/Holocene
history in this inner region has been more complicated. Although precise details of timing are uncertain, other studies in neighbouring areas (i.e., the Larsen continental shelf, eastern Antarctic Peninsula) describe a relatively slow ice-stream recession punctuated by stillstands on the inner shelf, resulting in the deposition of a series of grounding-zone wedges (Evans et al., 2005). By contrast, other fjords in the Antarctic Peninsula display morphological features pointing to rapid deglaciation with no sign of retreat features (e.g. Simms et al., 2011; Minzoni et al., 2016). We suggest that grounding-zone wedges on the Marguerite Bay continental shelf (Fig. 8) are related to the same processes and timing, but in contrast the high degree of preservation and the morphological characteristics of glacial-sedimentary landforms in Blind Bay suggests that they may have formed relatively recently. We tentatively propose that the transverse moraines originated during the Little Ice Age, by analogy with similar features identified a few kilometres from modern tidewater glaciers in, for example, Chilean fjords (Dowdeswell and Vasquez, 2013). Transverse moraines have been related to glacier advances during the LIA, when valley glaciers readvanced and deposited ice-cored moraines in the West Antarctic region (Clapperton and Sugden, 1982; Shevenell et al., 1996) and also in the Antarctic Peninsula (Carrivick et al., 2012), and the Northern Hemisphere (Svalbard, Greenland; Ottesen and Dowdeswell, 2009; Funder et al., 2011; Dowdeswell et al., 2014). In summary, we propose early Holocene retreat through the fjord system followed by minor readvances of grounded ice in the inner part of Blind Bay, although the timing of these events needs confirmation.

Contrasting with the relatively complex recent Holocene history of glaciation in Blind Bay, the shallow areas off Lliboutry and Perutz glaciers in the main fjord show a relatively smooth seafloor and the absence of transverse-to-flow landforms, suggesting relatively limited ice-margin fluctuations since retreat to approximately their present position after regional deglaciation from the LGM. The differences in the style of deglaciation and recent fluctuations between adjacent tidewater glaciers can be attributed to local physiographic controls (Heroy and Anderson, 2007; Ó Cofaigh et al., 2008, 2014). The locations of transverse ridges in Blind Bay may have been controlled, in part at least, by the reduction in width of the inner sector of the bay, increasing lateral drag on the tidewater glacier and reducing of the volume of ice required for maintaining a stable grounding-zone (Jamieson et al., 2012, 2014; Rydningen et al., 2013).

5.3. Pattern of retreat of tidewater glaciers during the last Century

Environmental-change studies have revealed a recent warming trend in the Antarctic Peninsula, resulting in a reduction of terrigenous sediment supply from retreating glaciers related to a final abrupt phase of climate amelioration corresponding to the ‘rapid regional warming’ of recent decades (Allen et al., 2010). The pattern of recent retreat of the tidewater glaciers draining into the Bourgeois Fjord system, together
with the drainage basin-areas of these glaciers, has been compiled from satellite images, maps and aerial photographs for the period between 1947 and 2011 in order to analyse their recent fluctuations (Fig. 10; Table II). With the exception of Perutz Glacier, the glaciers have all undergone retreat since observations began in 1947 (Fig. 10). This is in agreement with a regional trend of glacier retreat in the Antarctic Peninsula over the past half-century (Cook et al., 2005, 2014). This ‘recent rapid regional warming’ has been related to a series of mechanisms such as changes in oceanographic and/or atmospheric circulation, or regionally amplified greenhouse warming driven by air-see-ice feedback processes (Vaughan et al., 2003).

Observations indicate a general pattern of retreat of the glacier fronts over the last six decades, with the retreat of individual glacier termini occurring in a non-systematic pattern (Fig. 10). Forel Glacier has undergone the maximum retreat (about 2.3 km in 64 years). This is the only glacier that displays a reverse gradient proglacial seafloor, which probably increases the rate of modern iceberg calving through increased buoyancy in progressively deepening water (Benn et al., 2007). An inverse relationship can also be tentatively established between drainage-basin size, glacier-terminus width and variations in terminus behaviour. As basin-size increases, the amount of glacier-terminus retreat is reduced. Thus, Perutz and Lliboutry glaciers, which have the largest drainage areas (Fig. 2), have retreated notably less than the smaller Forel, Barnes and Bader glaciers (Fig. 10). This is consistent with the work of Fox and Cooper (1998), who proposed that recent ice retreat has affected most markedly the extent of smaller ice bodies on the Antarctic Peninsula.

5.4. Controls on recent sedimentation in Bourgeois Fjord

In Bourgeois Fjord the major long-term controlling factor on the sediments and landforms we have observed is the growth and decay of ice in the fjord system, linked to the broader glacial-interglacial cycles that affected the APIS. This has resulted in the predominance of glacial advance/full-glacial landforms in the main and outer Bourgeois Fjord, whereas deglacial landforms, which are most likely late Holocene in age, are restricted to the inner sector of Blind Bay. The start of retreat at 9.3 ka B.P. has been linked to the influence of oceanographic factors, which involved the intrusion of modified Circumpolar Deep Water onto the adjacent continental shelf (Kilfeather et al., 2011). Secondary factors controlling the distribution of landforms in Bourgeois Fjord include the structural setting, the seafloor physiography and oceanographic factors. The structural control is revealed by the relationship between general trends in the direction of past ice-flow and erosion and the orientation of bedrock ridges on the fjord floor, with many NE-SW- and NW-SE-oriented features evident (Johnson, 1997; Anderson and Fretwell, 2008). The regional structural fabric is also reflected by perched terraces or basins in the shallow areas off Llibourty...
Glacier that appear to have been controlled by NE-SW-oriented structural features. The physiography of the fjords and adjacent mountains, established long before the last glacial cycle, influences in particular the width of glacier fronts and the size of catchment areas and, through this, exerts an influence on the most recent fluctuations of the tidewater glaciers in Blind Bay. Tidal pumping and deep-water currents are common processes involved in sediment dispersal and redeposition in tidewater-glacier influenced fjords (Syvitski, 1989) and they may have influenced the distribution of stratified sediment along Bourgeois Fjord.

6. Summary and conclusions

This study highlights the complexity of the last glacial retreat phase in Bourgeois Fjord and illustrates the importance of high-resolution geophysical observations for the reconstruction of past ice flow. The main conclusions are summarized as follows.

1. High-resolution geophysical evidence reveals a complex landform assemblage in Bourgeois Fjord that can be integrated with regional morphological studies of Marguerite Trough in order to analyse the complete fjord-to-continental shelf system. It is composed of four major morpho-sedimentary systems that resulted from specific processes and/or phases of the last glacial cycle. (i) A glacial advance and full-glacial system is manifested in the outer and main fjord and is composed mainly of streamlined-bedrock and crag-and-tail features that are genetically related to the landforms identified along the continental shelf. (ii) A meltwater system comprising channels and sediment-filled basins in the outer and main fjord. (iii) A well-preserved glacial retreat system is present in the inner sector of Blind Bay and includes a series of recessional sedimentary landforms. (iv) A Holocene mass-wasting system affects unstable glacial sediments on the walls of the fjord, reflected in the form of slide scars and associated fan-shaped deposits.

2. The glacial advance and full-glacial system was formed subglacially by the action of an active LGM outlet glacier that drained the APIS towards Marguerite Bay. A warm basal thermal regime is suggested by the landform distribution in the outer and main fjord, which includes subglacial channels. Ice flow in Bourgeois Fjord was probably characterized by a down-flow transition towards faster moving ice in the form of an outlet glacier from the LGM ice-sheet interior, as indicated by the progressive elongation of subglacially produced landforms.

3. A relatively rapid deglaciation of Bourgeois Fjord is implied by a lack of transverse-to-flow depositional landforms, in which the ice margin migrated landward to the inner fjords during retreat. Morphological evidence of margin stillstands and/or glacial readvances is found only in the inner sector.
of Blind Bay. Here, a major transverse moraine indicates grounded ice stabilization probably during the Little Ice Age, followed by minor readvance episodes.

4. The most recent evolution of the outlet glaciers draining into Bourgeois Fjord during the last six decades is not homogeneous. The range of variation of glacier-terminus fluctuations appears to depend on the physiography of the region, including catchment-area size and changing fjord width.
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Figures and Tables captions

Figure 1. Study area, in Marguerite Bay, Antarctic Peninsula (A). (B) Palaeo-ice streams of the Antarctic Ice Sheet during the last glacial period around the Antarctic Peninsula, from Livingstone et al. (2012). MB P-IS: Marguerite Bay palaeo-ice stream; (C) Regional topographic map of Marguerite Bay (data from the Marine Geoscience Data System: http://www.marine-geo.org). Neny and Lallemand Fjords, located in neighbouring areas of the Antarctic Peninsula are shown. (D) Bathymetry of Bourgeois Fjord on the Pacific side of the Antarctic Peninsula. The limits of glaciers on Graham Land and the islands have been mapped from the LIMA satellite-image mosaic provided by the USGS EROS Center. The map shows the location of the Isis ROV dive in Blind Bay, off Forel Glacier and the bathymetry of the main and outer Bourgeois Fjord from our multibeam data.

Figure 2. Acoustic facies characterization, distribution and interpretation. Selected TOPAS profiles are shown to illustrate the acoustic character of each echo-type: non-penetrative, transparent-chaotic and stratified. Descriptions and interpretations are given, and follow the criteria first proposed by Damuth (1980) and further developed by Kuhn and Weber (1993) and Droz et al. (2001).
Figure 3. Morphological characterization of the main Bourgeois Fjord and shallow areas off the tidewater glaciers draining into it. (A) Multibeam bathymetry. (B) Distribution of submarine landforms. (C) Bathymetric profile along the main fjord illustrating the seafloor relief along the proximal, central and distal sectors. (D) Transverse escarpments and basins. (E) Smooth sedimentary basins and bedrock channels. (F) Elongate features and streamlined lineations.

Figure 4. Morphological characterization of outer Bourgeois Fjord. (A) Location map. (B) Distribution of submarine landforms inferred from multibeam bathymetry data. (C) to (E) Detailed bathymetric mosaics illustrating the morphological characteristics of channels, streamlined features and smooth basins identified in the outer fjord (located in B).

Figure 5. Morphological characterization of the inner sector of Blind Bay, showing the distribution of submarine landforms based multibeam bathymetry data. (A) Swath-bathymetric mosaic of Blind Bay. (B) Landform distribution, including channels, transverse ridges and distal wedges. (C) Oblique view of inner Blind Bay with landforms labeled. (D) TOPAS sub-bottom acoustic profile along the inner sector of Blind Bay (located in B).

Figure 6. Morphological characterization of the inner sector of Blind Bay, based on the Isis ROV high-resolution multibeam imagery. (A) Bathymetric mosaic and interpretation (located in Fig. 6A). (B) and (C) Detailed sections showing the bathymetry, gradient-slope direction and gradient of the area characterized by finger-like ridges and lineations. (D) Bathymetric profiles displaying the morphology of the ridges and lineations (axes in m).

Figure 7. ROV-acquired photographs of the seafloor along a transect in the inner sector of Blind Bay (locations of photographs shown in A). (B) Photographic transect from ice-proximal (Photo 1) to distal positions (Photo 3) along the inner part of Blind Bay. (C) Photographs illustrating the erosional character of the proximal area (Photo 4) and the morphological crests in the distal area representing finger-like ridges and lineations (Photos 5 and 6).

Figure 8. (A) Regional map of Marguerite Bay showing the landforms assemblage as discussed in this work, integrated with the results from Dowdeswell et al. (2004), Ó Cofaigh et al. (2005) and Livingstone et al. (2013). (B) to (H) Greyscale seafloor bathymetric models (see location in (A)) showing the detailed characteristics and distribution of the landforms. Regional bathymetric data have been obtained from the Marine Geoscience Data System (http://www.marine-geo.org).
Figure 9. Spatial distribution of the submarine landforms composing the four morpho-sedimentary systems in Bourgeois Fjord. (A) Glacial advance and full-glacial; (B) Subglacial and ice-marginal meltwater; (C) Glacial retreat and neoglacialiation; and (D) Holocene mass-wasting.

Figure 10. Variations in the locations of tidewater-glacier margins between 1947 to 2011, inferred from maps, aerial photographs and satellite images. The figure illustrates the different trends in terminus fluctuations different tidewater glaciers draining into Bourgeois Fjord.

Table I. Morphological characteristics and interpretations of the landforms observed in Bourgeois Fjord.

Table II. Morphological and physiographic parameters of the tidewater glaciers draining into Bourgeois Fjord (located in Figure 1).
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ABSTRACT

Detailed bathymetric and sub-bottom acoustic observations in Bourgeois Fjord (Marguerite Bay, Antarctic Peninsula) provide evidence on sedimentary processes and glacier dynamics during the last glacial cycle. Submarine landforms observed in the 50 km-long fjord, from the margins of modern tidewater glaciers to the now ice-distal Marguerite Bay, are described and interpreted. The landforms are grouped into four morpho-sedimentary systems: (i) glacial advance and full-glacial; (ii) subglacial and ice-marginal meltwater; (iii) glacial retreat and neoglacialization; and (iv) Holocene mass-wasting. These morpho-sedimentary systems have been integrated with morphological studies of the Marguerite Bay continental shelf and analysed in terms of the specific sedimentary processes and/or stages of the glacial cycle. They demonstrate the action of an ice-sheet outlet glacier that produced drumlins and crag-and-tail features in the main and outer fjord. Meltwater processes eroded bedrock channels and ponds infilled by fine-grained sediments. Following the last deglaciation of the fjord at about 9,000 yr BP, subsequent Holocene neoglacial activity involved minor readvances of a tidewater glacier terminus in Blind Bay. Recent stillstands and/or minor readvances are inferred from the presence of a major transverse moraine that indicates grounded ice stabilization, probably during the Little Ice Age, and a series of smaller...
landforms that reveal intermittent minor readvances. Mass-wasting processes also affected the walls of the fjord and produced scars and fan-shaped deposits during the Holocene. Glacier-terminus changes during the last six decades, derived from satellite images and aerial photographs, reveal variable behaviour of adjacent tidewater glaciers. The smaller glaciers show the most marked recent retreat, influenced by regional physiography and catchment-area size.

**Keywords:** Antarctic Peninsula; Fjord systems; Last Glacial Maximum; Glacial sedimentary processes; Geomorphology; ROV-derived bathymetry.

### 1. Introduction

The geomorphology of glaciated continental margins contains records of environmental history and of the geological processes shaping the seafloor (e.g. Anderson, 1999; Ó Cofaigh et al., 2002; Ottesen et al., 2005). The increasing resolution of geophysical and geological datasets acquired from high-latitude shelves and fjords is providing new insights into the major processes occurring during the last full-glacial and deglacial periods in particular (Dowdeswell and Ó Cofaigh, 2002; Jakobsson et al., 2008). The high sensitivity of the Antarctic Peninsula Ice Sheet (APIS) results from the regional amplification of global warming (Vaughan et al., 2003), and the response mechanisms involve factors such as oceanography, atmospheric circulation and regional air-sea-ice feedback (Bentley et al., 2010). **The Antarctic Peninsula has experienced rapid warming in the last 600 years, and an unusually high warming trend over the past century, resulting in ice-shelf vulnerability along the Peninsula with its potential impact on global climate** (Mulvaney et al., 2012). The assessment of models for predicting the future evolution of ice masses requires a knowledge of past ice dynamics and, in particular, studies of the styles of deglaciation for the last glacial cycle, that depend on a complex interplay between global and local factors (Livingstone et al., 2012). Mass balance models for outlet glaciers highlight the influence of glacier dynamics on climate and global sea-level (Kaser et al., 2006) and, in the case of tidewater glaciers, processes involving iceberg discharge are particularly important but poorly known contributors to mass loss from ice caps (Dowdeswell et al., 2009a; Radić and Hock, 2011).

The complexity of glacial-marine systems has been revealed by numerous investigations of the geomorphology and shallow stratigraphy of Arctic fjords (e.g. Griffith and Anderson, 1989; Domack and Ishman, 1993; Gilbert et al., 2002; Ottesen and Dowdeswell, 2006, 2009; Ottesen et al., 2007, 2008), allowing detailed palaeoenvironmental reconstructions of the last glacial cycle, whereas high-resolution studies from Antarctic fjords are still relatively scarce (Griffith and Anderson, 1989; Anderson, 1999; Rodrigo et al., 2016). In Marguerite Bay, **Western-western** Antarctic Peninsula, research has focused on past ice-stream dynamics along a glacial trough that occupies almost 400 km across the continental shelf.
However, a detailed study of the most proximal areas is still needed in order to understand the complete system and, in particular, the transition from the outlet glaciers near the coast to the large ice-streams on the continental shelf. This paper investigates the submarine landform record in Bourgeois Fjord, providing evidence for the glacial dynamics in the area from the termini of tidewater glaciers to the more open marine environment of Marguerite Bay. The area is characterized today by the presence of relatively small outlet glaciers draining Graham Land, but was covered by an extensive APIS with grounding lines reaching the continental-shelf edge during the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) (e.g. Pudsey et al., 1994; Bentley and Anderson, 1998; Anderson, 1999; Anderson et al., 2002; Dowdeswell et al., 2004a; Ó Cofaigh et al., 2005). The paper is focused on the processes and patterns shaping the seafloor during the last glacial cycle in order to analyse the glacial and deglacial dynamics and the factors influencing them, and to provide an integrated view of the processes affecting the complete system, from the fjord to the outer continental shelf. This work analyses sedimentary processes at different spatial and temporal scales: the last glacial cycle affecting the entire system from the fjord to the continental shelf-edge, the Little Ice Age (LIA) event recorded in the inner areas of Bourgeois Fjord (Blind Bay), and recent retreat-patterns of tidewater glaciers retreat caused by rapid regional warming during the last century.

2. Geological and ice-sheet chronological framework

Bourgeois Fjord is one of the many relatively narrow and steep-sided fjords that connect tidewater glaciers draining from the APIS in Graham Land with Marguerite Bay and the Pacific Southern Ocean margin (Kennedy and Anderson, 1989; Anderson, 1999) (Fig. 1). The Antarctic Ice Sheet expanded across continental shelves all around the Antarctic Peninsula during the LGM and grew to fill Bourgeois Fjord and spread across the Marguerite Bay continental shelf with fast-flowing ice streams reaching the shelf edge (Pudsey et al., 1994; Bentley and Anderson, 1998; Anderson et al., 2002; Dowdeswell et al., 2004a; Amblás-Amblás et al., 2006). Evidence of the last glacial ice stream dynamics has been described along the Marguerite Trough which drained the Marguerite Bay palaeo-ice stream across the continental shelf. Large isolated basins in the inner shelf are characterized by crag-and-tails in areas with exposed bedrock that have similar dimensions and orientations to mega-scale glacial lineations (MSGLs) identified in the neighbouring areas (Livingstone et al., 2013, 2016). On the middle shelf, a basinward succession of landforms has been identified, from deep roughly parallel gouges and subglacial meltwater channels incised into crystalline bedrock, to streamlined hills, whalebacks and MSGLs (Livingstone et al., 2013, 2016). The mid-outer shelf displays streamlined bedrock outcrops and GZWs (Ó Cofaigh et al., 2005). The outer shelf is characterized by MGSLs with a N-NW trend that are overprinted by iceberg ploughmarks close to the continental-shelf edge (Dowdeswell et al., 2004a; Ó Cofaigh et al., 2005;
Numerous gullies occur on the upper slope. Those located on the sides of the palaeo-ice stream mouth are incised directly at the shelf edge whereas those offshore of the trough mouth are incised on the upper continental slope (Dowdeswell et al., 2004a; Noormets et al., 2009; Livingstone et al., 2013). Morphological, and sedimentological and geochronological analysis of the landforms identified on the continental shelf has revealed that the LGM occurred around 18 000 yr BP (e.g. Kennedy and Anderson, 1989; Heroy and Anderson, 2007; Ó Cofaigh et al., 2014) and ice-sheet retreat began on the outer shelf of Marguerite Bay by 14 000 cal yr BP (Bentley et al., 2005; Heroy and Anderson, 2007; Kilfeather et al., 2011; Ó Cofaigh et al., 2014). A first stage of rapid retreat coincided with the sea-level rise of Meltwater Pulse 1a (Heroy and Anderson, 2007; Kilfeather et al., 2011). The ice sheet remained grounded on the inner shelf and an ice shelf formed beyond the grounding-zone at that time. Retreat then became more episodic (Ó Cofaigh et al., 2014); the ice shelf broke-up and the calving front retreated slowly from ca. 13.2 to 12.5 ka B.P. across the outer and mid-shelf, probably linked to an incursion of Weddell Sea Transitional Water onto the shelf. Finally, ice retreated into the inner bay from 9.3 ka B.P. (Kilfeather et al., 2011), and this retreat is interpreted to have been rapid (Allen et al., 2010; Ó Cofaigh et al., 2014). A two-step deglacial model in Marguerite Bay has been proposed, with a first stage related to rapid sea-level rise during meltwater Meltwater pulse Pulse 1-a at 9.614.2 ka BP, when grounded ice retreated to the area coincident with the transition from crystalline bedrock to soft sedimentary substrate, and a second phase shortly before 9.6 ka, when the intrusion of Circumpolar Deep Water provoked the rapid thinning of the ice cover (Bentley et al., 2011). During the Holocene sedimentation in Marguerite Bay has been linked to oceanographic variability (Peck et al., 2015). During the early Holocene incursions of the warm upper circumpolar deep water (UCDW) led to extensive glacial melt and limited sea ice, from 9.7 to 7.0 ka BP. The influence of this water mass decreased through the mid Holocene, with seasons of persistent sea ice by 4.2 ka BP followed by a succession of episodic incursions of UCDW during the late Holocene (Peck et al., 2015). Open-marine conditions existed between 8 000 and 2 700 14C yr BP, with a climatic optimum between 4 200 and 2 700 yr BP in Lallemand Fjord, 16 km north of Bourgeois Fjord (Fig. 1B; Shevenell et al., 1996). A modest glacier advance between 2 850 and 2 500 cal. yr BP has been described in Neny Fjord, which drains into Marguerite Bay south of Bourgeois Fjord (Fig. 1B; Allen et al., 2010). The Little Ice Age has been recorded in several areas of the Antarctic Peninsula. Neoglacial cold events associated with the LIA produced an ice-shelf advance approximately 400 years ago in Lallemand Fjord (Shevenell et al., 1996). Sedimentological studies in Barilari Bay (Graham Land) constrained this event to ca. 730-82 cal. yr B.P. by, and its effect has been compared with other records, showing that the LIA was regionally synchronous in the Pacific and Atlantic southern sectors (Christ et al., 2014). Recently,
grounded ice cover in the Marguerite Bay region has experienced a general retreat since the late 1920s with the largest observed changes from glaciers with small drainage basins (Fox and Cooper, 1998). This general retreat is related to the ‘recent rapid regional warming’ described for the Antarctic Peninsula over the past half-century (Vaughan et al., 2003).

3. Datasets and methodology

Datasets for this study were acquired during cruise JR-157 of RRS James Clark Ross (JCR) in 2007 (Fig. 1). They include observations from both a Remotely-Operated Vehicle (ROV), Isis, and from hull-mounted instruments on the JCR. The ROV was configured to deploy several types of instrument. An MS-2000 multibeam swath-bathymetry system was fitted to the Isis ROV and flown about 20 m above the seafloor at speeds of less than 0.5 knots, giving a swath width of about 60 m. The multibeam system operated at a frequency of 200 kHz, with an equidistant beam configuration of 128 beams giving a swath width of 120°. Horizontal resolution is better than 0.5 m (Dowdeswell et al., 2008b). An Isis ROV dive was carried out along a transect close to the tidewater ice cliffs of Forel Glacier in Blind Bay at the head of the main Bourgeois Fjord (Fig. 1). Three parallel navigation lines were acquired, resulting in a high-resolution bathymetric mosaic of approximately 0.6 km-width. During the Isis dive, video records and high-resolution photographs and digital still images of the seafloor were taken using Pegasus and Scorpio cameras, when the ROV was flown at about 0.6 m above the seafloor at a speed of approximately 20 cm s⁻¹. An 10 cm-spaced laser beams were used in all cases to provide a scale for the imagery.

Hull-mounted equipment on board the JCR included an EM-120 Kongsberg-Simrad multibeam swath-bathymetry system with 191 beams displaying a maximum swath width of 150°, operating at frequencies between 11.25 and 12.675 kHz and providing enabling generation of grids with a horizontal resolution averaging 20 m. Navigation data were obtained from bGPS and data processing and production of maps were performed with Caris, Mirone and Fledermaus software. The TOPAS PS 018 system operated with a primary frequency of 18 kHz and a secondary frequency in the range of 0.5-5 kHz was used to obtain sub-bottom profiles. It provides a vertical resolution better than 1 m. Data were processed with TOPAS software.

Glacier-terminus change information and glacier-catchment areas were calculated using data made available by the USGS Coastal-Change and Glaciological Maps of Antarctica project (Williams et al., 1995; Fretwell et al., 2013; Cook et al., 2014) and from LIMA mosaics provided by the USGS EROS Data Center and constructed using Landsat images gathered between 1999 and 2002.

4. Results
4.1. Echo-type description, distribution and interpretation

Three echo-types were identified in Bourgeois Fjord and Blind Bay from the analysis of sub-bottom profiler records. The description, distribution and interpretation of echo-types are shown in Figure 2, integrating the classical criteria of Damuth (1980), Kuhn and Weber (1993) and Droz et al. (2001) for echo-facies characterization and the seismic facies attributes, such as the acoustic amplitude, lateral continuity, geometry and internal configuration of reflections on parametric profiles (Payton, 1977; Veeken, 2007). A non-penetrative echo-type (I) is dominant in the study area and represents irregular surfaces of outcropping bedrock, coarse deposits and/or high gradients that prevent the penetration of the acoustic signal. It includes two subtypes. Echo-type IA represents a very irregular, non-penetrative seafloor reflection and is the predominant type in the main and outer fjord. Echo-type IB represents a smooth non-penetrative seafloor reflection and is present mostly close to the fjord walls and on the seafloor of Blind Bay (Fig. 2). A transparent-chaotic echo-type (II) occurs only locally, forming patches on the seafloor of both relatively shallow and deep areas of the fjord. It consists of transparent deposits of an irregular, mounded-shaped geometry, or thin packages of transparent-chaotic reflections. Echo-type III is stratified and represents smooth distinct parallel-layered reflections with relatively high acoustic amplitude. It occurs locally in deep, flat areas of the main and outer fjord and results from fine-layered sedimentation (Fig. 2).

4.2. Physiography of Bourgeois Fjord

Multibeam swath-bathymetric mapping allows the physiography of Bourgeois Fjord and Blind Bay to be mapped in detail (Fig. 1). The fjord system includes a central, main fjord, north of 67° 37’S, which terminates in Blind Bay at its northeastern end. The main fjord is separated by a relatively shallow 300 m deep sill from a narrower outer fjord that opens westwards into Marguerite Bay (Fig. 1). The main fjord is a 9 000 km² semi-closed basin up to 665 m deep that receives direct meltwater and iceberg input from several tidewater glaciers. Lliboutry, Perutz and Bader glaciers as well as part of the ice fed from Heim Glacier drain into the main fjord today, and Forel and Barnes glaciers have calving fronts in Blind Bay (Fig. 1).

The main fjord is 455-665 m deep and displays a U-shaped cross-profile (Fig. 1). The eastern sector has a NNE-SSW-orientation and is about 3 km wide. It displays a relatively flat floor at depths of 530-540 m. The central sector of the main fjord is E-W oriented and 4.5 km wide on average, with a more irregular seafloor at depths ranging from 445-560 m. A prominent SE-NW-oriented high, up to 2.2 km wide, occurs in the SE part of the basin, off the mouth of Perutz Glacier. The western sector of the main fjord is NE-SW oriented, with an average width of 4.2 km and a maximum depth of 665 m. The main Bourgeois
Fjord connects with Marguerite Bay through an outer fjord that consists of a relatively narrow NE-SW-oriented channel between Pourquoi Pas Island and Ridge Island (Fig. 1). The outer fjord is 450-680 m deep, about 35 km long and 1-3 km wide. It presents a markedly irregular seafloor with numerous topographic highs.

### 4.3. Fjord Seafloor Landforms

#### 4.3.1. Bourgeois Fjord

The main Bourgeois Fjord presents a typical U-shaped cross profile, with steep fjord walls and a relatively flat deep seafloor and can be divided into three sectors - proximal, central and distal – each with distinct morphological and physiographic characteristics (Fig. 3). The *proximal sector* is NNE-SSW-oriented and is approximately 7 km long and 3 km wide. The seafloor is relatively flat at depths of 520-550 m and presents smooth-floored elongate basins that parallel the fjord trend with a stratified echo-type (III), suggesting a fine-laminated sedimentary bed, and a weak ridge-and-valley topography (Figs. 3B and 3D) characterized by irregular non-penetrative echo-type, implying a mainly rock bed.

The *central sector* is E-W-orientated and is 7 km long and 5 km wide (Fig. 3). The seafloor is 450-570 m deep and markedly irregular. The northern wall presents numerous arcuate scarps. Here the fjord floor presents smaller flat basins, delimited by N-S to NW-SE-oriented escarpments (Fig. 3B). A NW-SE-oriented prominent morphological high occurs off the terminus of Perutz Glacier and displays some elongate ESE-WNW-oriented features on its top. To the west the seafloor is characterized by irregular morphological highs displaying non-penetrative echo-type (IA). Morphological highs become more elongate and crag-and-tail shaped towards the distal zone (elongation ratio - length:width - of 2:1 to 3:1). Small, irregular channels with a dendritic convergent pattern and small flat basins occur between the morphological highs (Figs. 3B and 3E).

The *distal sector* is NE-SW-oriented, about 8 km long and 5 km wide (Fig. 3D). A shallow area connects the terminus of Lliboutry Glacier with the main fjord and displays NE-SW-oriented morphological highs that delimit deep elongate basins off the glacier front. The main fjord floor in the distal sector is characterized by elongate, crag-and-tails (elongation ratios of 2:1 to 4:1), channels and low relief lineations with irregular non-penetrative echo-type (IA) suggesting bedrock cropping out at the seafloor (Figs. 3B and 3F). A flat basin occupies the distal area at depths averaging 660 m and is of stratified echo-type (III), implying fine-layered sedimentation (Fig. 2).

The outer fjord connects the main Bourgeois Fjord with Marguerite Bay (Figs. 1 and 4). Arcuate scarps occur on the steep fjord walls, where the predominant echo-type is irregular, non-penetrative (IA) (Fig. 2).
The sector between Pourquoi Pas and Ridge islands is characterized by streamlined features with high elongation ratios of 2:1 to 5:1 (Figs. 4D and 4E) and channels oriented parallel to the fjord long-axis (Fig. 4E). To the SW some minor crag-and-tail features and weak lineations are observed and the seafloor is markedly irregular, with some morphological highs and relatively flat basins with stratified echo-type (III) and scarcer and smaller elongate features (Figs. 2 and 4). Together this implies small basins filled with fine-layered sediments separated by bedrock highs.

4.3.2. Blind Bay

The inner 4 km of Blind Bay has been mapped at high-resolution using a combination of Isis and JCR multibeam data (Figs. 5 and 6), and a transect of photographs acquired by the Isis ROV has been used for characterizing the seafloor (Fig. 7). The submarine landform assemblage of inner Blind Bay includes a large transverse ridge at its ice-distal end (Fig. 5). Inside this ridge, arcuate-shaped and longitudinal ridges, finger-like ridges with straight lineations, transverse escarpments and channel-like features are present (Fig. 5). The dimensions of these landforms and their main characteristics are given in Table I.

The distal transverse ridge occupies the entire width of the bay at about 4 km from the front of Forel Glacier (Fig. 5). It is responsible for the step-like, landward-deepening profile of the inner sector of Blind Bay. The ridge is markedly asymmetric, with a gentle ice-proximal face and a steeper ice-distal face (up to 25°, 40-70 m high; Fig. 5D). TOPAS profiles show smooth non-penetrative echo-type IB along the inner sector, reflecting coarse surficial sediment, and stratified echo-type (III) suggesting layered sedimentation in the proximal part of the outer sector (at the foot of the ridge) that becomes non-penetrative seawards (Fig. 5D).

Three crescent-shaped ridges are identified in the inner sector of Blind Bay (Fig. 5), where the seafloor is generally characterized by the irregular non-penetrative echo-type IA (Figs. 2 and 5D). The largest arcuate ridge is up to 25 m high and 500 m wide and occupies the central part of the Blind Bay inner sector, delimiting a relatively flat basin floor. The ridge is asymmetric with a steeper, convex-downstream ice-distal face and straight lateral flanks parallel to the bay limits (Figs. 5B and 5C). Two crescent-shaped ridges of similar morphological characteristics but smaller in size occur at more ice-proximal positions (Fig. 5). The middle ridge is displaced to the eastern fjord flank, whereas the most ice-proximal one is located about 1 km from the Forel Glacier front at depths of 280-290m. Longitudinal ridges are identified along lateral positions in the inner sector of Blind Bay (Fig. 5). Overall, the eastern ridges are higher (up to 10 m high), with a consistent NE-SW trend slightly oblique to the fjord walls. To the west, ridges are smaller (up to 2 m high) and their trend is NNE-SSW.
Finger-like ridges are identified in the high-resolution \textit{Iris} swath-bathymetric mosaics and occur within the flat area delimited by the distal crescent-shaped ridge, at depths of 245-270 m (Figs. 5 and 6). They are up to 1 km long and relatively narrow (5-50 m wide). They are generally asymmetric with steeper eastern flanks (up to 22°) that delimit relatively flat areas (Fig. 6). Their orientations vary between 320° and 350° and they display highly variable shapes in a complex overlapping pattern. The proximal irregular ridges are markedly elongate and display arcuate, concave-eastward shapes, slightly oblique to the regional gradient. In contrast, the more distal ridges are much more irregular and display arcuate, finger-shape trends with concave-landward distal fronts up to 5 m high. Lineations have been identified on the \textit{Iris} high-resolution swath-bathymetry data at depths of 240-280 m (Fig. 6). They present sharp terminations toward the ridges, to which they are parallel to oblique in orientation. Lineations are straight with consistent orientations varying basinward from 330° to 310° (parallel to the basin trend) and are spaced 3-20 m apart. They are up to 20 m long and less than 1 m high with slope gradients of up to 5°.

The most ice-proximal part of the bay presents an irregular topography (Fig. 5) with an irregular non-penetrative echo-type IA (Fig. 2). There is a series of NW-SE-oriented transverse escarpments which are up to 10 m high and asymmetric, with smoother ice-proximal flanks (5-10° steep) and steeper (up to 18°) distal fronts (Figs. 5A and 5B). A network of diverging channel-like features occurs on the NW fjord wall, approximately 500 m from the Forel Glacier front (Figs. 5A and 5B). The system is about 500 m long, and individual channels are up to 80 m wide and 35 m deep.

A longitudinal transect of photographs was acquired by the \textit{Iris} ROV along inner Blind Bay (Fig. 7). The photographs show a transition in seafloor character between about 1 and 3 km from the modern ice front (Fig. 7B). There is a progressive change from a predominance of relatively small \textit{boulderclasts} with angular shapes, little or no fine sediment drape and weak colonization by benthonic organisms (Fig. 7B, Photo 1), to a wider range of sizes and shapes of \textit{boulderclasts}, including relatively large ones, a thicker and widespread sediment drape that covers completely the seafloor at the most distal positions, and a higher abundance of benthonic organisms (Fig. 7B, Photos 2 and 3). Individual or grouped \textit{boulderclasts} on the floor of Blind Bay display striated surfaces and sub-rounded faces, but most \textit{boulderclasts} have faceted and angular shapes. The upper surfaces of many \textit{boulderclasts} are covered by a thin layer of sediment, and they are weakly colonized by benthonic organisms (Fig. 7B, Photos 2 and 3). Photographs from the proximal areas show the presence of eroded bedrock on the inner fjord wall (Fig. 7C, Photo 4). The area with irregular ridges shows \textit{boulderclasts} of heterogeneous size, but of larger dimensions, than those in the surrounding areas covered by a thin sediment drape and is poorly colonized by benthic organisms (Fig. 7C, Photos 5 and 6).
5. Discussion

5.1. Interpretation and distribution of landforms

The interpretation of the landforms in Bourgeois Fjord identified in this work can be integrated with a compilation of morphological and geophysical studies of the continental shelf of Marguerite Trough in order to obtain a complete understanding of the system (Fig. 8). The inner shelf offshore of Bourgeois Fjord is characterized by crag-and-tails in areas of mixed bedrock and unconsolidated sediment (Livingstone et al., 2013, 2016). Further seawards, a succession of landforms with increased elongation ratios (parallel gouges, streamlined hills and bedrock outcrops, whalebacks, drumlins and MSGLs) on the mid-shelf marks the transition from crystalline bedrock, where subglacial meltwater channels are also incised, to sedimentary bedrock (Ó Cofaigh et al., 2005; Anderson and Fretwell, 2008; Livingstone et al., 2013, 2016). The middle to outer shelf is characterized mainly by the presence of streamlined bedrock outcrops, drumlins and MSGLs and by a series of grounding-zone wedges and scarps (Ó Cofaigh et al., 2005). The outer shelf displays MSGLs and, in relatively shallower water iceberg ploughmarks proximal to the shelf edge, into which numerous short gullies are incised (Dowdeswell et al., 2004a; Ó Cofaigh et al., 2005; Livingstone et al., 2013, 2016).

The morphological and acoustic characterization and the environmental and geological setting of the submarine landforms in Bourgeois Fjord and the continental shelf of Marguerite Trough allows the differentiation of four major morpho-sedimentary systems that reflect different stages of the last glacial cycle through the Bourgeois Fjord and Blind Bay system, involving specific sedimentary processes: 1) Glacial advance, full-glacial and early deglacial system; 2) Subglacial and ice-marginal meltwater system; 3) Glacial retreat system (APIS retreat and Little Ice Age re-advance and retreat); and 4) Holocene mass-wasting system (Fig. 9). The detailed interpretations of each of the landform types described above is given in Table I.

5.1.1. Glacial advance, full-glacial system and early deglaciation

The flow of ice through the Bourgeois Fjord system during the last full-glacial, when the APIS advanced to the shelf edge and an ice stream was present in Marguerite Bay (e.g. Anderson et al., 2002; Heroy and Anderson, 2007; Livingstone et al., 2013, 2016; Ó Cofaigh et al., 2014), is indicated by the broad-scale physiography and the landform assemblage in the main and outer Bourgeois Fjord areas (Fig. 9A). The main and outer fjord are characterized by bedrock landforms that increase in their elongation ratio down-fjord (from 2:1 to 5:1) and have crag-and-tail morphologies indicating westward ice flow towards Marguerite Bay (Figs. 3 and 4). Similar progressive elongation of whalebacks in the inner and middle...
shelf of Marguerite Bay (2:1-4:1 to 18:1; Livingstone et al., 2013) and the transition to more elongate morphologies (drumlins, MSGGLs) towards more distal areas of the shelf (Fig. 8; Ó Cofaigh et al., 2004-2014; Livingstone et al., 2013-2016) suggest that processes forming the landforms in the main and outer Bourgeois Fjord are similar to those that acted in Marguerite Bay, and that they reflect the subglacial erosion and sculpting action typical of an accelerating ice stream (e.g. Wellner et al., 2006; Graham et al., 2009). Streamlining is assumed to result from subglacial erosion of bedrock (e.g. bedrock lineations, whaleback features), or from the shaping of till and/or bedrock by subglacial erosion and/or deposition (e.g. drumlins, crag-and-tail features) (Bradwell et al., 2008; Ottesen et al., 2008; Livingstone et al., 2013). In Bourgeois Fjord, these landforms appear to evolve from irregular erosional crystalline bedrock highs characterized by a hard, irregular sea-floor with no acoustic penetration (acoustic facies IA; Fig. 2) into elongate, more streamlined landforms (Fig. 8). This suggests an increasing sedimentary component of the substrate (e.g. Wellner et al., 2006; Graham et al., 2009; Livingstone et al., 2012). It is important to note that landforms sculpted in bedrock may reflect the cumulative activity of fast-flowing ice over successive glacial cycles, not simply during the LGM (Graham et al., 2009; Smith et al., 2009; Livingstone et al., 2013; Krabbendam et al., 2016). Lineations in Bourgeois Fjord appear to result from the action of rapid ice flow on bedrock with a relatively thin sedimentary cover, as revealed by the TOPAS record and submarine photographs (Figs. 2 and 7) and are therefore not as well-developed as the sets of MSGGLs found on the sedimentary floor of many Antarctic cross-shelf troughs, including those in Marguerite Bay, that reflect an increase in the greater thickness of deformable sedimentary substrate (e.g. Dowdeswell et al., 2004; Ó Cofaigh et al., 2005; Spagnolo et al., 2016).

Flow acceleration, probably in combination with the change in the substrate, may also be responsible for the increased elongation of glacial landforms with distance down Bourgeois Fjord. Ice flow may have been accelerated due to the convergence of flows from a number of drainage basins that merged into the fjord as the ice sheet over Graham Land grew towards it maximum LGM extent and thickness (Figs. 1, 8 and 9A) (e.g. Anderson and Fretwell, 2008; Larter et al., 2009).

The relatively deep elongate basins offshore of Lliboutry Glacier (Fig. 3A) probably record the activity of advancing ice fed from Lliboutry and probably from Heim glaciers, the latter reaching Bourgeois Fjord through the channel north of Blaiklock Island (Fig. 1). The basins form perched platforms at varying depths that seem to be delimited by NE-SW-trending highs. Transverse bedrock escarpments (Figs. 3B, 5B) indicate subglacial quarrying or plucking by the advancing ice sheet on bedrock with differential resistance to erosion in the most proximal area of the main fjord and also in Blind Bay (Dünhforth et al., 2010). The orientation of the escarpments appears to reflect structural control by the NE-SW and NW-SE-oriented regional tectonic fabric related to the NW-SE-oriented fault zones developed during the
extension in the Marguerite Bay area before about 20 Ma ago (Johnson, 1997), which may have been related to movement on the West Antarctic Rift System (Eagles et al., 2009).

5.1.2. Subglacial and ice-marginal meltwater system

The meltwater system includes channels and small flat basins in the deeper areas of Bourgeois Fjord and on the lower fjord wall in the inner sector of Blind Bay (Fig. 9B). Channels in the main and outer Bourgeois Fjord (Figs. 3 and 4) are interpreted as subglacial meltwater channels eroded into crystalline bedrock, which are common features in many high-latitude inner-shelf and fjord areas, especially where crystalline bedrock predominates (e.g. Lowe and Anderson, 2002; Domack et al., 2006; Anderson and Fretwell, 2008; Smith et al., 2009; Nitsche et al., 2013; Hogan et al., 2016). Similarly to other bedrock erosional glacial landforms, they may record erosion through multiple glaciations (Ó Cofaigh et al., 2002; Graham et al., 2009; Smith et al., 2009; Krabbendam et al., 2016). The more distal channels in the main and outer Bourgeois Fjord are interconnected with small basins limited by morphological highs and tend to open to large basins further down-fjord (Figs 3B and 4B). Smaller channels in inner Blind Bay are related directly to the present-day location of the tidewater terminus of Forel Glacier (Fig. 5B) and, although they may have formed subglacially, provide a continuing conduit for dense underflows of mixed seawater and fresh meltwater and loaded with subglacially-derived suspended sediment released directly from the terminus of the glacier (c.f. Powell, 1990; Gales et al., 2013). The channels in Bourgeois Fjord form an isolated drainage network due to the shallowing of the seafloor towards the outer fjord, which impedes a connection with the meltwater channels network in the Marguerite Bay inner- and mid-continental shelf (Ó Cofaigh et al., 2005; Livingstone et al., 2013; Hogan et al., 2016; Fig. 8).

Areas of flat seafloor, with stratified reflections on sub-bottom profiles (Fig. 2), suggest sedimentation by glacial processes after deglaciation (e.g. Smith et al., 2009; Ó Cofaigh et al., In Press, 2016). A number of processes may be involved, such as tidal pumping of the grounding lines that generate sorted, laminated sediment (Domack et al., 1999; Evans et al., 2005; Kilfeather et al., 2011), or marine derived detritus supplied from the grounding line. Furthermore, meltwater plumes containing fine-grained suspended sediments exiting the glacier terminus from a basal drainage system may also produce debris rain-out that would infill the small basins (Powell, 1990; Cowan, 2001; Lowe and Anderson, 2002; Domack et al., 2006; Mugford and Dowdeswell, 2011; Dowdeswell et al., 2014, 2015; Ó Cofaigh et al., In Press, 2016). The easternmost NE-SW-oriented basins would have received sediment input from Blind Bay, whereas the westernmost ones would include suspended sediment delivered from Lliboutry Glacier. These acoustically-stratified sedimentary deposits are separated by morphological highs of irregular bedrock in the middle reaches of Bourgeois Fjord (Fig. 4B).
5.1.3. Glacial retreat and neoglacial system

There are few signs of transverse-to-ice-flow depositional ridges in the outer and main parts of Bourgeois Fjord (Figs. 4 and 5), such as grounding-zone wedges or large retreat moraines (e.g. Batchelor and Dowdeswell, 2015), that could be associated with still-stands during deglacial ice-sheet retreat. An implication of this is that ice-sheet retreat through Bourgois Fjord probably took place relatively rapidly once ice had retreated from the shelf and Marguerite Bay at or shortly after 9,000 years ago (Kilfeather et al., 2011). The fjord system appears, therefore, to have been influenced mainly by glacimarine processes during the Holocene. The glacial retreat system we have mapped is restricted to relatively small-scale morainic landforms identified in the inner sector of Blind Bay, including the distal transverse ridge, the crescent-shaped and longitudinal ridges and the finger-like ridges associated with lineations (Figs. 5B and 9C). The well-preserved appearance of these features indicates that they have not been over-ridden by a subsequent glacier advance and may well be relatively recent features relating to the final post-glacial retreat or to recent neoglacial fluctuations of a few kilometres in the position of the glacier termini in Blind Bay (Fig. 9C). We suggest that these neoglacial cold events are associated with the LIA that has been recorded in neighbouring areas of the Antarctic Peninsula, such as Lallemand Fjord where an ice-shelf advance occurred approximately 400 years ago (Shevenell et al., 1996), probably in relation to the exclusion of Circumpolar Deep Water from the fjord (Domack et al., 1995), or Rothera Point, that experienced a glacial advance between 671 and 317 cal yr. BP (Guglielmin et al., 2007). Although the LIA cooling phase was not synchronous between sites, it has been widely documented in Antarctica, where temperatures ~2º colder were registered from ice cores from the Ross Sea area at about 1300 to 1850 AD (Bertler et al., 2011), roughly coinciding with temperature estimates 0.52±0.28ºC colder than the last 100-year average at the West Antarctic Ice Sheet divide (Orsi et al., 2012).

The distal transverse ridge in Blind Bay is interpreted as an ice-marginal moraine (Figs. 5B and 9C), based on its echo-type and typical asymmetrical morphology with a steeper ice-distal face (Dowdeswell and Vasquez, 2013). This moraine was deposited at the glacier terminus when the grounding-zone was maintained in a relatively stable position in the middle reaches of Blind Bay (e.g. Ottesen et al., 2005; Dowdeswell et al., 2014). The location suggests stabilization of the ice front probably related to the narrowing of Blind Bay (Jamieson et al., 2012; Rydningen et al., 2013), and/or to the presence of a topographic high that may also have acted as pinning point.

Crescent-shaped and longitudinal ridges in the inner sector of Blind Bay (Fig. 5B) are interpreted as recessional moraines (Fig. 9C). They are sedimentary landforms composed of unsorted boulder clasts and
finer sediment (Fig. 7). These features are deposited at the front of glaciers during still-stands or re-advances during a general phase of ice retreat (e.g. Bennett et al., 1999; Ottesen and Dowdeswell, 2006, 2009; Johnson et al., 2013). Their dimensions and location in Blind Bay suggest formation during shorter still stands than those linked to the larger transverse moraine ridge and their presence in inner Blind Bay is probably linked to the progressive recession of the ice front, reflecting a slow ice-retreat pattern (Ottesen and Dowdeswell, 2006; McLachlan et al., 2010; Bjarnadóttir et al., 2014; Batchelor and Dowdeswell, 2015). Longitudinal ridges converge towards the centre of the bay (Fig. 5) and probably represent the lateral parts of recessional moraines from which the fronts have been eroded and/or reworked by successive small ice readvances and/or by meltwater flow. Crescent-shaped ridges seem to overlay the longitudinal ridges and are interpreted as the frontal ridges of well-preserved moraines over which no significant reworking by ice readvance has occurred. Blocks and rafts showing signs of glacitectonic erosion are evident in the seafloor photographs that show striated and angular boulder clasts (Fig. 7) suggesting short transportation distances of the material after being incorporated into the moraines by bedrock plucking during grounded ice readvance (Laberg et al., 2007, 2009).

Finger-like ridges delimiting short straight lineations (Figs. 5B and 6) are the most striking features identified in ROV-acquired high-resolution bathymetric images. They are interpreted as ‘ice-fingerprints’, having formed by the pushing or ploughing of sediment by minor readvances of the grounded glacier terminus within inner Blind Bay (e.g. Geirsdottir et al., 2008, 2016; Bjarnadottir et al., 2014). The highly elongate shape and variable size of the finger-like ridges (Fig. 6) suggests a very irregular, non-linear ice margin advancing and retreating during the very last stages of glacial retreat. The pervasive, straight lineations delimited by the ridges suggest deformation of soft sediment by the glacier, and are interpreted as lateral push-ridges formed by a non-linear advancing ice front, overprinted by the finger-like ridges. Ice flow may have been constrained by the relief of the ice-marginal transverse moraine that acted as a morphological threshold buttressing minor readvances of the grounded tidewater glacier (Fig. 9C). These features suggest a depleting ice source being drained by bands of faster flowing ice (e.g. Todd and Shaw, 2012) and point to a final ice-mass retreat occurring in episodic events with massive iceberg calving.

5.1.4. Holocene mass-wasting system

Mass-wasting has taken place in Bourgeois Fjord since ice retreated through the steep-sided fjord system to about its present position <9,000 years ago (Kilfeather et al., 2011). Several debris lobes mapped close to the margins of Barnes and Bader glaciers (Fig. 3B) are probably related to the failure and downslope flowage of relatively rapidly deposited debris close to the tidewater termini of these glaciers (e.g. Laberg et al., 2009; Dowdeswell et al., 2015), although the relatively coarse resolution of the JCR multibeam data...
precludes more detailed analysis. Debris lobes sometimes appear stacked on the lower fjord walls, implying a short displacement that reflects the coarse and unsorted nature of the sediment supplied by subglacial erosion (Garcia et al., 2009). Mass-wasting processes on the walls of Bourgeois Fjord (Fig. 9D) and close to the foci of continuing sediment delivery at tidewater glacier margins (cf. Ottesen and Dowdeswell, 2009; Dowdeswell et al., in Press 2016), have probably been operating throughout the Holocene since ice retreated through the fjord system about 8-9,000 years ago and continue to operate today (Shevenell et al., 1996; Kilfeather et al., 2011).

5.2. Ice dynamics inferred from landform distribution and sedimentary characteristics

Most landforms in Blind Bay and Bourgeois Fjord indicate a glacial origin related to flow of active ice and can be attributed to specific stages of the last glacial cycle (Fig. 9), although streamlined bedrock landforms may have evolved over successive glacial. Landforms of the glacial advance and full-glacial system (Fig. 9A) correspond to the ice-growth, glacial maximum and early deglaciation, in a setting dominated by active ice flowing down-fjord. The morphological evidence in this area reflects the imprint of the grounded palaeo ice stream advance, in a similar manner to the neighboring area of Gerlache Strait continental shelf, dominated by streamlined subglacial bedforms (Evans et al., 2004; Canals et al., 2016). A full-glacial outlet glacier flowing between the steep fjord walls of Bourgeois Fjord appears to have produced crag-and-tails (Figs. 3B and 4B), whose characteristics and distribution along the fjord suggest a transitional flow towards the development of a fast-flowing ice stream (e.g. Wellner et al., 2001). The latter probably required a deformable sedimentary bed similar to that which occupied the outer part of Marguerite Trough (Ó Cofaigh et al., 2002, 2005, 2008; Dowdeswell et a., 2004a,b; Kilfeather et al., 2011). The convergence of glacier flow into Bourgeois Fjord, implied by the present configuration of tidewater glaciers and their adjacent fjords (Fig. 1), suggests acceleration associated with increasing ice flux, which may also be inferred from the increase in landform elongation downstream (Wellner et al., 2006; Bradwell et al., 2008; Graham et al., 2009). The presence of meltwater channels cut into the bedrock of the fjord floor (Fig. 9B) also implies active ice- and meltwater-flow with a bed at the pressure-melting point of ice. *Ice flow from Bourgeois Fjord contributed to the Marguerite Bay palaeo-ice stream, the largest ice stream on the Antarctic Peninsula with an estimated drainage basin size of about 100 000 km², which reached the western Peninsula continental shelf edge through the Marguerite Bay cross-shelf trough (Fig. 1B; Livingstone et al., 2012).*

The absence of transverse-to-flow sedimentary landforms in the outer and main Bourgeois Fjord suggests that ice retreated from Marguerite Bay into Blind Bay during the last deglaciation (Allen et al., 2010; Kilfeather et al, 2011; Ó Cofaigh et al., 2014) without leaving morphological evidence of any significant
stillstand. Evidence for a two-step deglacial model in Marguerite Bay locates grounded ice at the mid-shelf transitional area from crystalline bedrock to soft sedimentary substrate (Bentley et al., 2011), offshore of Bourgeois Fjord. In contrast, landforms in Blind Bay demonstrate that the deglacial/Holocene history in this inner region has been more complicated. Although precise details of timing are uncertain, other studies in neighbouring areas (i.e., the Larsen continental shelf, eastern Antarctic Peninsula) describe a relatively slow ice-stream recession punctuated by stillstands on the inner shelf, resulting in the deposition of a series of grounding-zone wedges (Evans et al., 2005). By contrast, other fjords in the Antarctic Peninsula display morphological features pointing to rapid deglaciation with no sign of retreat features (e.g. Simms et al., 2011; Minzoni et al., 2016). We suggest that grounding-zone wedges on the Marguerite Bay continental shelf (Fig. 8) are related to the same processes and timing, but in contrast the high degree of preservation and the morphological characteristics of glacial-sedimentary landforms in Blind Bay suggests that they may have formed relatively recently. We tentatively propose that the transverse moraines originated during the Little Ice Age, by analogy with similar features identified a few kilometres from modern tidewater glaciers in, for example, Chilean fjords (Dowdeswell and Vasquez, 2013). Transverse moraines have been related to glacier advances during the LIA, when valley glaciers readvanced and deposited ice-cored moraines in the West Antarctic region (Clapperton and Sugden, 1982; Shevenell et al., 1996) and also in the Antarctic Peninsula (Carrivick et al., 2012), and the Northern Hemisphere (Svalbard, Greenland; Ottesen and Dowdeswell, 2009; Funder et al., 2011; Dowdeswell et al., 2014). In summary, we propose early Holocene retreat through the fjord system followed by minor readvances of grounded ice in the inner part of Blind Bay, although the timing of these events needs confirmation.

5.3. Pattern of retreat of tidewater glaciers during the last Century

Contrasting with the relatively complex recent Holocene history of glaciation in Blind Bay, the shallow areas off Lliboutry and Perutz glaciers in the main fjord show a relatively smooth seafloor and the absence of transverse-to-flow landforms, suggesting relatively limited ice-margin fluctuations since retreat to approximately their present position after regional deglaciation from the LGM. The differences in the style of deglaciation and recent fluctuations between adjacent tidewater glaciers can be attributed to local physiographic controls (Heroy and Anderson, 2007; Ó Cofaigh et al., 2008–2014). The locations of transverse ridges in Blind Bay may have been controlled, in part at least, by the reduction in width of the inner sector of the bay, increasing lateral drag on the tidewater glacier and reducing of the volume of ice required for maintaining a stable grounding-zone (Jamieson et al., 2012, 2014; Rydningen et al., 2013).
Environmental-change studies have revealed a recent warming trend in the Antarctic Peninsula, resulting in a reduction of terrigenous sediment supply from retreating glaciers related to a final abrupt phase of climate amelioration corresponding to the ‘rapid regional warming’ of recent decades (Allen et al., 2010). The pattern of recent retreat of the tidewater glaciers draining into the Bourgeois Fjord system, together with the drainage basin-areas of these glaciers, has been compiled from satellite images, maps and aerial photographs for the period between 1947 and 2011 in order to analyse their recent fluctuations (Fig. 10: Table II). With the exception of Perutz Glacier, the glaciers have all undergone retreat since observations began in 1947 (Fig. 10). This is in agreement with a regional trend of glacier retreat in the Antarctic Peninsula over the past half-century (Cook et al., 2005, 2014). This ‘recent rapid regional warming’ has been related to a series of mechanisms such as changes in oceanographic and/or atmospheric circulation, or regionally amplified greenhouse warming driven by air-see-ice feedback processes (Vaughan et al., 2003).

Observations indicate a general pattern of retreat of the glacier fronts over the last six decades, with the retreat of individual glacier termini occurring in a non-systematic pattern (Fig. 10). Forel Glacier has undergone the maximum retreat (about 2.3 km in 64 years). This is the only glacier that displays a reverse gradient proglacial seafloor, which probably increases the rate of modern iceberg calving through increased buoyancy in progressively deepening water (Benn et al., 2007). An inverse relationship can also be tentatively established between drainage-basin size, glacier-terminus width and variations in terminus behaviour. As basin-size increases, the amount of glacier-terminus retreat is reduced. Thus, Perutz and Lliboutry glaciers, which have the largest drainage areas (Fig. 2), have retreated notably less than the smaller Forel, Barnes and Bader glaciers (Fig. 10). This is consistent with the work of Fox and Cooper (1998), who proposed that recent ice retreat has affected most markedly the extent of smaller ice bodies on the Antarctic Peninsula.

5.4. Controls on recent sedimentation in Bourgeois Fjord

In Bourgeois Fjord the major long-term controlling factor on the sediments and landforms we have observed is the growth and decay of ice in the fjord system, linked to the broader glacial-interglacial cycles that affected the APIS. This has resulted in the predominance of glacial advance/full-glacial landforms in the main and outer Bourgeois Fjord, whereas deglacial landforms, which are most likely late Holocene in age, are restricted to the inner sector of Blind Bay. The start of retreat at 9.3 ka B.P. has been linked to the influence of oceanographic factors, which involved the intrusion of modified Circumpolar Warm—Deep Water onto the adjacent continental shelf (Kilfeather et al., 2011). Secondary factors controlling the distribution of landforms in Bourgeois Fjord include the structural setting, the seafloor
physiography and oceanographic factors. The structural control is revealed by the relationship between
general trends in the direction of past ice-flow and erosion and the orientation of bedrock ridges on the
fjord floor, with many NE-SW- and NW-SE-oriented features evident (Johnson, 1997; Anderson and
Fretwell, 2008). The regional structural fabric is also reflected by perched terraces or basins in the
shallow areas off Llibourty Glacier that appear to have been controlled by NE-SW-oriented structural
features. The physiography of the fjords and adjacent mountains, established long before the last glacial
cycle, influences in particular the width of glacier fronts and the size of catchment areas and, through this,
exerts an influence on the most recent fluctuations of the tidewater glaciers in Blind Bay. Tidal pumping
and deep-water currents are common processes involved in sediment dispersal and redeposition in
tidewater-glacier influenced fjords (Syvitski, 1989) and they may have influenced the distribution of
stratified sediment along Bourgeois Fjord.

6. Summary and conclusions
This study highlights the complexity of the last glacial retreat phase in Bourgeois Fjord and illustrates the
importance of high-resolution geophysical observations for the reconstruction of past ice flow. The main
conclusions are summarized as follows.

1. High-resolution geophysical evidence reveals a complex landform assemblage in Bourgeois Fjord
that can be integrated with regional morphological studies of Marguerite Trough in order to analyse the
complete fjord-to-continental shelf system. It is composed of four major morpho-sedimentary systems
that resulted from specific processes and/or phases of the last glacial cycle. (i) A glacial advance and full-
glacial system is manifested in the outer and main fjord and is composed mainly of streamlined-bedrock
and crag-and-tail features that are genetically related to the landforms identified along the continental
shelf. (ii) A meltwater system comprising channels and sediment-filled basins in the outer and main fjord.
(iii) A well-preserved glacial retreat system is present in the inner sector of Blind Bay and includes a
series of recessional sedimentary landforms. (iv) A Holocene mass-wasting system affects unstable
glacial sediments on the walls of the fjord, reflected in the form of slide scars and associated fan-shaped
deposits.

2. The glacial advance and full-glacial system was formed subglacially by the action of an active
LGM outlet glacier that drained the APIS towards Marguerite Bay. A warm basal thermal regime is
suggested by the landform distribution in the outer and main fjord, which includes subglacial channels.
Ice flow in Bourgeois Fjord was probably characterized by a down-flow transition towards faster
moving ice in the form of an outlet glacier from the LGM ice-sheet interior, as indicated by the
progressive elongation of subglacially produced landforms.
3. A relatively rapid deglaciation of Bourgeois Fjord is implied by a lack of transverse-to-flow depositional landforms, in which the ice margin migrated landward to the inner fjords during retreat. Morphological evidence of margin stillstands and/or glacial readvances is found only in the inner sector of Blind Bay. Here, a major transverse moraine indicates grounded ice stabilization probably during the Little Ice Age, followed by minor readvance episodes.

4. The most recent evolution of the outlet glaciers draining into Bourgeois Fjord during the last six decades is not homogeneous. The range of variation of glacier-terminus fluctuations appears to depend on the physiography of the region, including catchment-area size and changing fjord width.
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**Figures and Tables captions**

Figure 1. Study area, in Marguerite Bay, Antarctic Peninsula (A). (B) Palaeo-ice streams of the Antarctic Ice Sheet during the last glacial period around the Antarctic Peninsula, from Livingston et al. (2012). MB P-IS: Marguerite Bay palaeo-ice stream; (BC) Regional topographic map of Marguerite Bay (data from the Marine Geoscience Data System; http://www.marine-geo.org). Neny and Lallemand Fjords, located in neighbouring areas of the Antarctic Peninsula are shown. (CD) Bathymetry of Bourgeois Fjord on the Pacific side of the Antarctic Peninsula. The limits of glaciers on Graham Land and the islands have been mapped from the LIMA satellite-image mosaic provided by the USGS EROS Center. The map shows the location of the Isis ROV dive in Blind Bay, off Forel Glacier and the bathymetry of the main and outer Bourgeois Fjord from our multibeam data.

Figure 2. Acoustic facies characterization, distribution and interpretation. Selected TOPAS profiles are shown to illustrate the acoustic character of each echo-type: non-penetrative, transparent-chaotic and stratified. Descriptions and interpretations are given, and follow the criteria first proposed by Damuth (1980) and further developed by Kuhn and Weber (1993) and Droz et al. (2001).

Figure 3. Morphological characterization of the main Bourgeois Fjord and shallow areas off the tidewater glaciers draining into it. (A) Multibeam bathymetry. (B) Distribution of submarine landforms. (C) Bathymetric profile along the main fjord illustrating the seafloor relief along the proximal, central and distal sectors. (D) Transverse escarpments and basins. (E) Smooth sedimentary basins and bedrock channels. (F) Elongate features and streamlined lineations.
Figure 4. Morphological characterization of outer Bourgeois Fjord. (A) Location map. (B) Distribution of submarine landforms inferred from multibeam bathymetry data. (C) to (E) Detailed bathymetric mosaics illustrating the morphological characteristics of channels, streamlined features and smooth basins identified in the outer fjord (located in B).

Figure 5. Morphological characterization of the inner sector of Blind Bay, showing the distribution of submarine landforms based multibeam bathymetry data. (A) Swath-bathymetric mosaic of Blind Bay. (B) Landform distribution, including channels, transverse ridges and distal wedges. (C) Oblique view of inner Blind Bay with landforms labeled. (D) TOPAS sub-bottom acoustic profile along the inner sector of Blind Bay (located in B).

Figure 6. Morphological characterization of the inner sector of Blind Bay, based on the Isis ROV high-resolution multibeam imagery. (A) Bathymetric mosaic and interpretation (located in Fig. 6A). (B) and (C) Detailed sections showing the bathymetry, gradient-slope direction and gradient of the area characterized by finger-like ridges and lineations. (D) Bathymetric profiles displaying the morphology of the ridges and lineations (axes in m).

Figure 7. ROV-acquired photographs of the seafloor along a transect in the inner sector of Blind Bay (locations of photographs shown in A). (B) Photographic transect from ice-proximal (Photo 1) to distal positions (Photo 3) along the inner part of Blind Bay. (C) Photographs illustrating the erosional character of the proximal area (Photo 4) and the morphological crests in the distal area representing finger-like ridges and lineations (Photos 5 and 6).

Figure 8. (A) Regional map of Marguerite Bay showing the landforms assemblage as discussed in this work, integrated with the results from Dowdeswell et al. (2004), Ó Cofaigh et al. (2005) and Livingstone et al. (2013). (B) to (H) Greyscale seafloor bathymetric models (see location in (A)) showing the detailed characteristics and distribution of the landforms. Regional bathymetric data have been obtained from the Marine Geoscience Data System (http://www.marine-geo.org).

Figure 9. Spatial distribution of the submarine landforms composing the four morpho-sedimentary systems in Bourgeois Fjord. (A) Glacial advance and full-glacial; (B) Subglacial and ice-marginal meltwater; (C) Glacial retreat and neoglacialisation; and (D) Holocene mass-wasting.
Figure 10. Variations in the locations of tidewater-glacier margins between 1947 to 2011, inferred from maps, aerial photographs and satellite images. The figure illustrates the different trends in terminus fluctuations different tidewater glaciers draining into Bourgeois Fjord.

Table I. Morphological characteristics and interpretations of the landforms observed in Bourgeois Fjord.

Table II. Morphological and physiographic parameters of the tidewater glaciers draining into Bourgeois Fjord (located in Figure 1).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morpho-sedimentary system</th>
<th>Landform (legend in Figures 4 to 6)</th>
<th>Morphology</th>
<th>Maximum size</th>
<th>Distribution</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glacial advance and full-glacial system</td>
<td>Transverse escarpments</td>
<td>N-S- to NW-SE-oriented ridges with steepest north walls</td>
<td>2.5 km long, 10 m high</td>
<td>Inner Blind Bay and main fjord seafloor</td>
<td>Bedrock ridges plucked by advancing ice under the regional tectonic fabric control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elongate/crag-and-tail features</td>
<td>Irregular to elongate highs, parallel to fjord long-axis</td>
<td>5 km long, 1.5 m high, 80 m wide</td>
<td>Main fjord flat floor and outer fjord</td>
<td>Drumlin-shaped and whaleback bedrock features, eroded by active ice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lineations</td>
<td>Elongate ridges parallel to the fjord trend</td>
<td>3 km long, few m wide, 1 m high</td>
<td>Distal main fjord seafloor</td>
<td>Glacial lineations shaped by active ice flow on glacial sediment/iceberg ploughmarks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subglacial ice-marginal meltwater system</td>
<td>Near fjord-wall channels</td>
<td>Dendritic pattern of diverging channels</td>
<td>500 m long, 80 m wide, 35 m deep</td>
<td>Near fjord-wall in inner sector of Blind Bay</td>
<td>Channelization of the meltwater from the Forel Glacier front and subglacial meltwater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basins</td>
<td>Flat basins with N-S- to NE-SW orientation</td>
<td>5 km long, 1.5 km wide</td>
<td>Blind Bay, main and outer fjord</td>
<td>Sediment-filled basins fed by meltwater channels and sediment rain-out</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channels</td>
<td>Irregular channels in a dendritic convergent pattern</td>
<td>4 km long, 300 m wide, 35 m deep</td>
<td>Blind Bay, main and outer fjord</td>
<td>Meltwater channels eroded in bedrock</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glacial retreat and neoglacial system</td>
<td>Distal transverse ridge</td>
<td>Stacked wedges with asymmetric profile</td>
<td>500 m long (width of the inner bay), step 40-70 m high</td>
<td>Basinward limit of inner sector of Blind Bay</td>
<td>Sedimentary wedges deposited at relatively stable grounding-zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crescent-shaped ridges</td>
<td>Crescent-shape wedge morphology delimiting flat areas</td>
<td>0.5-1.7 km long, 0.3-0.9 km wide, 25 m high</td>
<td>Seafloor of inner sector of Blind Bay</td>
<td>Frontal push moraines ridges, deposited during still-stands or re-advances of a retreating tidewater glacier</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitudinal ridges</td>
<td>Straight ridges oblique to the fjord trend</td>
<td>1.2 km long, 10 m high</td>
<td>Seafloor of inner sector of Blind Bay</td>
<td>Lateral push moraines ridges, deposited during still-stands or re-advances of a retreating tidewater glacier</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finger-like ridges &amp; lineations</td>
<td>Irregular, elongate and finger-shaped ridges with N-S- to NNE-SSW-oriented lineations</td>
<td>1 km long, 5-50 m wide, 5 m high</td>
<td>Seafloor of inner sector of Blind Bay</td>
<td>Push ridges of readvancing filaments of a tidewater glacier terminus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holocene mass-wasting system</td>
<td>Scarps</td>
<td>Straight to arcuate scarps</td>
<td>0.5 km wide, tens of meters high</td>
<td>Walls of main Bourgeois Fjord</td>
<td>Slide scars resulting from mass-wasting processes from steep fjord walls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debris lobes</td>
<td>Elongate lobe-shaped deposits</td>
<td>5-20 m high, 100-200 m wide, 500 m long</td>
<td>Walls of main fjord, off Barnes Glacier front</td>
<td>Mass-wasting deposits from steep fjord walls</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table II. Tidewater glaciers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glacier</th>
<th>Drainage size (km²)</th>
<th>Average width (km)</th>
<th>Average pro-glacial area water depth (m)</th>
<th>Average slope of pro-glacial area (°)</th>
<th>Range of coastline variation (km)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lliboutry</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perutz</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bader</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnes</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forel</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>-0.2 (reverse)</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig 1. Study Area and methods
Click here to download high resolution image
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Echo-type</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
<th>Distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A (W-E)</td>
<td>Irregular non-penetrative seafloor reflection with no sub-bottom reflections</td>
<td>Irregular surfaces of outcropping bedrock; coarse deposits; steep gradients</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B (NE-SW)</td>
<td>Smooth seafloor reflection with no sub-bottom reflections</td>
<td>Regular surfaces of coarse deposits; steep gradients</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C (W-E)</td>
<td>Transparent deposits with no internal organization</td>
<td>Mass-movement deposits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D (W-E)</td>
<td>Distinct seafloor with parallel sub-bottom reflections</td>
<td>Fine-laminated sedimentation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Echotypes
Click here to download high resolution image
Figure 4. Outer fjord

Click here to download high resolution image
Figure 5. Morphology of Blind Bay

Legend:
- Fjord walls
- Fjord wall channels
- Transverse escarpments
- Distal transverse ridge
- Crescent-shaped ridges
- Crests of longitudinal ridges
- Finger-like ridges
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- 300

Scale:
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Click here to download high resolution image
Figure 6. Lineations and ridges in Blind Bay
Click here to download high resolution image
Fig 7. Photos

Click here to download high resolution image
Figure 8. Landforms in Marguerite Bay

Click here to download high resolution image
Figure 9. Morphosedimentary systems

A. Glacial advance and full-glacial system
- Drumlins, crag-and-tail features
- Glacial lineations
- Ridges on bedrock
- Minor icestream flow

B. Subglacial and ice-marginal meltwater system
- Meltwater channels
- Meltwater-derived basin fill

C. Glacial retreat and neoglacialation system
- Recessional moraines
- Ice-fingerprints + push ridges
- Transverse moraine ridges

D. Holocene mass-wasting system
- Mass-wasting scars
- Mass-wasting fan deposits

Click here to download high resolution image
Figure 10. Tidewater glaciers coastlines

Click here to download high resolution image

Areas covered by glaciers in LIMA mosaic built using Landsat images gathered from 1999 through 2002 (USGS EROS Center)

Coastline position

|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drainage size (km²)</th>
<th>Range of coastline variation (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perutz Glacier</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnes Glacier</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lliboutry Glacier</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forel Glacier</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bader Glacier</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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General Comments to Editors and Reviewers

We acknowledge the kind comments of the editor and reviewers, and their suggestions which have contributed to improve the manuscript, regarding the clarity, scientific content and bibliographic references.

After the corrections and suggestions of the Editor and Reviewers we have revised the manuscript and figures. We are attaching the following documents:

- Revision Notes
- Marked manuscript
- A clean version of the revised manuscript.
- New versions of Figures 1 and 7.

We explain the changes we have made to the revised manuscript using the line numbers from the revised version with changes marked. We have also had a chance to revise and update the Reference List.

We also would like to add one more co-author, R.D. Larter (British Antarctic Survey). He was not included in the original list by a mistake. He was one of the PIs on the original AUV Grant, which allowed the execution of the JR-157 of RRS James Clark Ross cruise. He was involved in the data acquisition and processing and has contributed to the discussions included in this manuscript.

Comments to Reviewer 1 (Prof. J.B. Anderson)

1. I found the following statement in the Discussion to be confusing. "The morphological evidence in this area reflects the imprint of the palaeo-ice stream advance, in a similar manner to the neighbour area of Gerlache Strait continental shelf, dominated by streamlined subglacial bedforms (Evans et al., 2004; Canals et al., 2016)."

I don't think that the authors are implying that an ice stream filled the fjord, but it sounds this way. I recommend clarification by stating that this is an outlet glacier that may have contributed
to an ice stream, but even that is not clear. It appears to me that it drained into two different systems. I think it might be good to discuss regional drainage a bit more, perhaps even include a figure of the Livingstone et al. drainage map for the area showing how the study area fits into the bigger glacial drainage system.

We have modified the text to avoid confusion between ice stream and grounded ice (Line 462). We have included a reference to the larger scheme of glacial drainage in Marguerite Bay at the end of the paragraph (Lines 475-478), and modified Figure 1 to illustrate the drainage areas, after Livingstone et al. (2012) (Lines 921-923; Figures and Tables captions).

2. The authors imply that the rapid deglaciation of the fjord is unusual. I would argue that it is the norm as most AP fjords are overdeepened and are dominated by advance, as opposed to retreat, features (e.g. see Minzoni et al., 2015, QSR 129, 239-259 and Simms et al., 2011, QSR 30, 1583-1601 for examples). However, it is true that more shallow fjords appear to bear a record of retreat, including what appear to be LIA re-advance and retreat features (see for example Wolfl et al., 2016, Antarctic Science doi:10.1017/S0954102016000262).

We have included references to other fjords in the AP, as suggested, to clarify that rapid deglaciation is also recorded in neighboring areas (Lines 488-490). Regarding the work by Wolfl et al. (2016), it actually concludes that the re-advance that caused moraine deposition in Potter Cove occurred between 2.6 and 1.6 cal kyr BP, therefore preceding the LIA.

3. I am surprised that there is no mention of the Antarctic Peninsula ice core results (Mulvaney et al., 2012, Nature 7414, 141-144 and its bearing on the LIA.

We have included this issue in the Introduction (Lines 49-52) and also used this work and references within to expand the discussion on recent rapid warming in the AP (Lines 127-131 and 520-524).

Comments to Reviewer 2

1. With regard to discussion of Little Ice Age readvances of this system, a reference the authors should add: Christ, A., Talaia-Murray, M., Domack, E., Leventer, A., Lavoie, C., Brachfeld, S., Yoo, K.-C., Gilbert, R., Jeong, S.-M., Wellner, J., 2014. Late Holocene glacial advance and ice shelf growth in Barilari Bay, Graham Land, West Antarctic Peninsula, Geological Society of America Bulletin, doi:10.1130/B31035.1. In particular, take a look at figures 8a, a schematic of the LIA advance in Barilari Bay, just a little to the north of Bourgeois Fjord, and Figure 9, which summarizes southern hemisphere data relevant to the LIA.
We have used this suggested reference to expand the description of the Little Ice Age events regarding its chronology and spatial impact in the Antarctic Peninsula and the Southern Pacific and Atlantic regions (Lines 127-131). We have also included the information from a recent paper on sedimentation in Marguerite Bay during the Holocene (Peck et al., 2015; Lines 118-123)

2. My second comment is with regard to lines 110-113 and the discussion of the Bentley et al 2011 paper (not in reference list, but assuming this is QSR, Rapid deglaciation of Marguerite Bay?). Meltwater pulse 1-a is not at 9.6 ka, and my take from the text of Bentley et al 2011 is that the 14.2 ka retreat from the outer shelf is what they suggest might be linked to Meltwater pulse 1-a.

We have corrected this mistake with the age of the Meltwater pulse 1-a (Lines 115-117) and included the reference for Bentley et al. (2011) in the reference list.

Other changes

We have added some references to complete the introductory and discussion sections.

Some corrections on a series of parameters in the data acquisition have been made in the Methodology section

We have changed the term ‘boulder’ for the more generic ‘clast’, since we are not implying a specific clast size. The term is changed both in the manuscript and in Figure 7.