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Comparative population genomics offers an excellent opportunity for unravelling the genetic history of crop domestication. Upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) has long been an important economic crop, but a genome-wide and evolutionary understanding of the effects of human selection is largely unresolved. Here, we describe an integrated variation map for 352 wild and domesticated cotton accessions. This has allowed us to scan 93 domestication sweeps and identify 19 candidate loci for fiber quality-related traits by a genome-wide association study. We provide evidence to show asymmetric subgenome domestication for directional selection of long white fibers. Global analyses of DNase I-hypersensitive sites and 3-dimensional genome architecture, linking functional variants to gene transcription, reveal the effects of domestication on cis-regulatory divergence. This study provides new insights into the evolution of gene organization, regulation and adaptation in a major crop, and represents a rich resource for genome-based cotton improvement.

Early human domestication of wild plants represented the first step in the development of modern crop varieties, and migration and differential directional selection over millennia has contributed to the adaptation of species in different environments for improved yield and quality traits. In the current genomic era, high-throughput ‘omics’ technologies provide significant opportunities for a detailed analysis of genetic change through domestication and for new, targeted and precise genome-based crop breeding strategies.

Cotton is one of the most important economic crops in the world, both as a source of natural and renewable fiber for textiles, and as a source of seed oil and protein. Allotetraploid Upland cotton is formed from an inter-genomic hybridization event approximately 1–2 million years ago. Originally native to the Yucatan peninsula in Mesoamerica, it was first domesticated at least 4,000 to 5,000 years ago, with subsequent directional selection. Modern varieties of cultivated cotton produce spinnable fine white fiber, which is preferable to the sparser, coarse brown fiber of
Previous molecular studies have shown that domestication has dramatically rewired the transcriptome during fiber development. What remains largely unknown, however, is the effect of human selection on the organization of the cotton genome and its gene regulatory landscape. Using as a comparator the recently published genome sequence of Texas Marker-1 (TM-1), we can address this question through a comprehensive population genome analysis of multiple wild and cultivated cotton genotypes.

RESULTS

A genome variation map for cotton

To construct an integrated variation map of Upland cotton, we collected a total of 352 diverse accessions for genomic sequence analysis. These included 31 wild accessions and 321 cultivated accessions from around the world (Fig. 1a and Supplementary Table 1). A total of 6.1 Tb of sequence data were integrated, with an average depth of 6.9x (Supplementary Table 1). These data were mapped against the TM-1 genome to identify genomic variants. We detected a total of 7,497,568 SNPs, 351,013 small indels (shorter than 10 bp) and 93,786 structural variants (SVs) (Table 1, Supplementary Fig. 1 and Supplementary Tables 2-4). The accuracy of SNPs was estimated to be 98.2%, determined by Sanger sequencing of 300 randomly selected SNPs in 3 individual accessions. In addition, we selected 50 representative accessions (10 wild and 40 cultivated cottons) from the 352 accessions for RNA sequencing (Supplementary Table 5), and generated 78,728 SNPs, of which more than 93.6% overlapped with SNPs from re-sequencing data. This integrated variation data set represents a new resource for cotton genetics and breeding.

Cotton population properties and linkage disequilibrium

We explored the phylogenetic relationship between the 352 cotton accessions using a whole-genome SNP analysis. These cottons can be divided into 3 groups (Fig. 1b and Supplementary Fig. 2), as supported by a principal component analysis (PCA; Fig.
1c). Wild cotton accessions cluster together (Group-I; the Wild group) except for a few accessions which cluster into a second group (Group-II; the ABI group), which mainly comprises cottons from America, Brazil and India. The third group (Group-III; the Chinese group) mostly consists of cotton cultivars in China, which were collected from the major Chinese cotton cultivation regions: the Northwestern Inland Region (NIR), the Northern Specific Early Maturation Region (NSEMR), the Yellow River Region (YRR) and the Yangtze River Region (YtRR). This group could be further classified into two subclades (Group-III-1 and Group-III-2; Fig. 1b), which exhibit different geographic distribution patterns. The subclade Group-III-1 is represented by cotton accessions from northern China (NIR and NSEMR), while Group-III-2 includes the majority of accessions from southern China (YtRR). We observed that a few cotton accessions, which were collected from North America, clustered into Group-III, which might be due to the introduction of Upland cotton to China from America during the first thirty years of the 20th century.

Crop species may experience population bottlenecks during domestication. To examine this possibility in cotton, genetic diversity for each group was measured by calculating \( \pi \) values. We found that genetic diversity decreased from the Wild cotton group (\( \pi = 1.32 \times 10^{-3} \); the A-subgenome (At, the lower case t denotes tetraploid), \( 1.36 \times 10^{-3} \); the D-subgenome (Dt), \( 1.25 \times 10^{-3} \) to the ABI group (\( \pi = 0.88 \times 10^{-3} \); At, \( 0.96 \times 10^{-3} \); Dt, \( 0.66 \times 10^{-3} \)) and to the Chinese group (\( \pi = 0.67 \times 10^{-3} \); At, \( 0.72 \times 10^{-3} \); Dt, \( 0.56 \times 10^{-3} \) (Fig. 1d and Supplementary Fig. 3). This shows that a large amount of genetic variation in both subgenomes has been lost during cotton domestication, especially for the Dt. Compared with other major crops, cotton possesses narrow genetic diversity even within wild cotton accessions (Supplementary Table 6). To investigate population divergence, we calculated the population fixation statistics (\( F_{ST} \)) among groups (Fig. 1d). This reveals large population divergence between the Chinese group and the Wild group. Population divergence between the Chinese group and the ABI group was observed, suggesting that Upland cottons in China have undergone population divergence after their introduction.

Linkage disequilibrium (LD; indicated by \( r^2 \)) was found to drop with physical distance between SNPs in all cotton groups (Fig. 1e). The LD extent for each group was measured as the chromosomal distance when LD dropped to half of its maximum
value. Consistent with other crops, the extent of LD in cotton is lower in the Wild group (84 kb; $r^2 = 0.16$) than in the cultivated groups. The LD decay occurs at 162 kb ($r^2 = 0.22$) in the ABI group and increases to 296 kb ($r^2 = 0.25$) in the Chinese group. The observed LD extent in cultivated cotton groups is higher than is found in cultivated maize (30 kb)$^{15}$, cultivated rice (123 kb in *Oryza indica*)$^{16}$ or cultivated soybean (133 kb)$^{17}$, but lower than that of cultivated tomato (865.7 kb)$^{18}$. For each group, LD decay distance in the At was found to be higher than that in the Dt (Supplementary Fig. 4a,b). For example, the LD extent of the Wild group was estimated to be 92 kb ($r^2 = 0.16$) in the At and 64 kb ($r^2 = 0.15$) in the Dt.

Selection signals during cotton domestication

Millennia of domestication has brought many morphological transformations to cotton, including an annualized growth cycle, photoperiod insensitivity, loss of seed dormancy, and superior spinnable white fiber$^{7,8}$. To identify potential selective signals underlying these changes, we scanned genomic regions showing notable reductions in nucleotide diversity, by comparing cultivated accessions in the ABI and the Chinese groups with the Wild group. In total, we identified 93 putative domestication sweeps supported by at least one likelihood method (XP–CLR) and $\pi_w/\pi_c$, occupying 178 Mb of the genome (Fig. 2a,e). These regions harbored approximately 1,868 genes under selection, including 580 in the At and 1,288 in the Dt (Supplementary Table 7), suggesting that the Dt might be subject to stronger selection than the At.

To reveal the genetic basis of cotton domestication, we overlapped selection sweeps with the location of known QTL hotspots (containing at least four QTL for the same trait within a 20 cM region)$^{19}$. We found that 25 QTL hotspots overlapped with selection sweeps, and these QTL hotspots were associated with some major agronomic traits, including leaf hair and morphology, petal spot, cotton boll number and weight, resistance to *Verticillium* wilt and fiber quality (Fig. 2a,e and Supplementary Table 8). Of these QTL hotspots, 17 of them were associated with fiber quality-related traits, including fiber length (FL), fiber strength (FS), micronaire value (MV), fiber elongation rate (FE) and fiber uniformity (FU). We investigated nucleotide diversity of genes residing in the 25 QTL hotspots to identify putative loci...
with selection signals underlying these domestication-related traits. This led us to identify 400 genes exhibiting low nucleotide diversity in cultivated cottons when compared with wild cottons ($\pi_w/\pi_c > 4.8$; Supplementary Table 9). Strikingly, 19 of 25 QTL hotspots with 327 genes were located in the Dt.

Fiber quality improvement has been one of the most important breeding goals during cotton domestication. To further identify candidate genes for fiber quality-related traits, we performed a genome-wide association study (GWAS) using 267 cotton accessions and phenotypic data collected during 2012 and 2013. Environmental effects were accounted for as described in our previous study. We selected 2,020,834 high-quality SNPs with minor allele frequency (MAF > 0.05) from the core set. This high-density SNP map was found to be superior to previous SSR-maps for GWAS. A total of 19 association signals for fiber quality-related traits, including 8 in the At and 11 in the Dt, were identified with $P < 4.9 \times 10^{-7}$ using a compressed mixed linear model (MLM) (Fig. 2b-d, f-i and Supplementary Table 10). Among these associations, 16 signals were previously uncharacterized. Most candidate genes in the LD regions of GWAS signals were found to be highly expressed during cotton fiber development (Supplementary Table 11). Three GWAS signals were identified as being under selection during domestication. Specifically, a GWAS signal associated with fiber strength was identified on chromosome A12 (Fig. 2d), where a myb domain-encoding gene and an actin depolymerizing factor gene were found to reside. A GWAS signal associated with micronaire value was identified on chromosome D03 (Fig. 2f). This association was located near a cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase gene, which is a candidate for a role in the lignin pathway affecting fiber micronaire value. We also identified a GWAS signal associated with fiber elongation rate on chromosome D04 (Fig. 2g), where a gibberellin response gene is located.

**Asymmetric subgenome domestication for long white fiber**

Most fiber characteristics in wild Upland cotton were probably inherited directly from its wild A-genome diploid ancestor post-allopolyploidization, while fiber color is similar to that of its D-genome diploid ancestor. The development of the long white
fiber trait in cultivated Upland cotton is the result of millennia of strong directional selection from its wild counterpart. The observed change of fiber characteristics in cultivated Upland cottons is associated with changes in the expression patterns of fiber-related genes \(^7,8,31\). However, the genetic basis of this developmental change remains largely unknown. To understand the relative contributions of the co-existing At and Dt genomes during domestication, we constructed ancestral pseudochromosomes to address this question at the subgenome level. We identified 15,456 homoeologous gene pairs, and used them to reconstruct an ancestral karyotype for each of the 13 chromosomes in cotton diploids, similar to a recent study in *Brassica* \(^32\). By comparing overlaps with domestication signals, we identified 620 homoeologous pairs that have been subject to domestication selection in the At or Dt (192 in the At and 428 in the Dt), and only 34 homoeologous pairs with selection signals in both subgenomes (Supplementary Fig. 6). These results suggest that the co-existing subgenomes have been under asymmetric domestication selection (Fig. 3a).

Domestication selection increased fiber length probably by effects on prolonging the elongation period of fiber development (Fig. 3b) \(^30\). We identified a formin homology interacting protein-coding gene (*FIP1*), which is involved in actin cytoskeleton organisation \(^21,33\), with a selection signal in the At but not in its Dt homoeolog (Supplementary Fig. 6 and Supplementary Table 12). An altered regulation of the At *FIP1* in cultivated Upland cotton is predicted to be relevant to fiber elongation. Analysis of genes subjected to domestication selection in the Dt has led us to identify 17 genes involved in stress response pathways, such as reactive oxygen species (ROS) signaling (Supplementary Fig. 6 and Supplementary Table 12). High expression levels of these genes in wild cotton fibers may cause oxidative damage to developing fibers (Supplementary Table 12). Unexpectedly, we identified 5 homoeologous gene pairs, involved in synthesis and deposition of secondary wall cellulose, with selection signals only in the Dt (Supplementary Table 12). These genes, such as *TRICHOME BIREFRINGENCE-LIKE 43* (*TBL43*) and *COBRA-LIKE 4* (*COBL4*) \(^34,35\), were also highly expressed in wild cotton fibers at 20 days post anthesis (DPA). This is consistent with the view that high concentrations of ROS in wild cotton fiber development terminates fiber elongation, associated with the
developmental transition to secondary cell wall synthesis (Fig. 3b). This possibility is supported by our genetic suppression of cytosolic ASCORBATE PEROXIDASES (cAPXs), in which an increased content of hydrogen peroxide leads to the early initiation of secondary cell wall synthesis in fast elongating fiber and gives rise to short fibers. Therefore genetic evidence suggests that an asymmetric domestication selection between the At and the Dt subgenomes, which might modulate ROS levels, is associated with the development of the long fiber trait in cultivated cotton (Fig. 3b).

Domestication has led to the transformation of cotton fiber from brown to white. To understand this phenomenon, we examined two homoeologous gene pairs only subjected to domestication selection in the Dt, 4-COUMARATE:COA LIGASE (4CL) and CHALCONE SYNTHASE (CHS), which encode enzymes involved in the phenylpropanoid metabolic pathway (Fig. 3c and Supplementary Fig. 6). For the 4CL gene, we identified two nonsynonymous SNPs in the coding sequence and two SNPs residing in a Dof transcription factor binding site of the promoter (-369 bp to -378 bp; Fig. 3c). These SNPs display reductions in nucleotide diversity that occurred during domestication (Fig. 3c). Interestingly, we found that the two SNPs in the Dof-binding motif led to sequence variation departing from the canonical motif (Fig. 3d), which might affect transcription activity of 4CL, which is experimentally supported by a significantly low expression level at 10 DPA in cultivated cottons (Fig. 3e). The enzyme CHS acts downstream of 4CL in this pathway, catalyzing the first step of flavonoid synthesis, and its gene CHS has also been down-regulated during domestication (Supplementary Table 12). Given the recognized functional role of flavonoids in brown fiber pigmentation, selection signals at the 4CL and CHS loci in the Dt may have driven the white fiber trait characteristic of domestication.

Effects of domestication on cis-regulatory elements in promoters

Human selection of desirable agronomic traits not only affects the organization of functional genes, but may also reshape the gene regulatory landscape. In support of this idea, we found that many more variants were identified in intergenic compared with genic regions (Table 1). Specifically, intergenic non-coding variants can affect the activity of cis-regulatory elements (CREs), and can contribute to differential
gene expression patterns between populations (Supplementary Fig. 7). To investigate this in cotton, we performed a global analysis of the effects of domestication on CREs in promoters.

We identified CREs in cotton with data from chromatin digestion using DNase I followed by sequencing (DNase-seq): active CREs can be detected because of their increased nuclease sensitivity, reflecting an open chromatin conformation (Supplementary Fig. 8). We identified a total of 188,360 DNase I-hypersensitive sites (DHSs) in cotton leaves and fibers, of which ca. 47% are common to both tissues (Fig. 4a). DHSs were preferentially identified in chromosomal arms and approximately half were detected in promoter and intergenic regions (Fig. 4b and Supplementary Fig. 9). We found DHSs are hypo-methylated, consistent with previous studies (Fig. 4c). DHSs in promoter regions are commonly marked by high levels of active H3K4me3 and inactive H3K27me3, with a depletion of active H3K4me1 and inactive H3K9me2 (Fig. 4d). Intergenic DHSs were also found to exhibit an enrichment of H3K4me3 and H3K27me3, but depletion of H3K9me2 and no enrichment of H3K4me1 (Fig. 4e). As predicted, the patterns of chromatin modification marks in cotton are different between genic and TE regions (Supplementary Fig. 10). In addition, genes with promoter DHSs are generally expressed at a higher level in both tissues than those without promoter DHSs (Fig. 4f), and tissue-specific promoter DHSs corresponded to higher levels of gene expression (Fig. 4g). These results reveal a close relationship between promoter DHS occurrence and relatively high transcriptional activity.

Genetic variants in promoter DHSs were examined in our resequencing population. We detected 90,737 SNPs in the 25,580 promoter DHSs (Table 1). Selection signals were detected for these promoter DHSs following domestication. A total of 738 DHSs (358 in the At and 380 in the Dt) are under domestication selection ($\pi_w/\pi_c > 4.8$), of which 461 exhibit population divergence between cultivated and wild cotton accessions ($F_{ST} > 0.24$) (Fig. 4h). Of these DHSs with selection signals, we found 281 DHS-related genes were differentially expressed. To investigate how variants in promoter DHSs might influence the expression of genes, we looked for associations between variants and transcription binding motifs. We discovered 178 motifs for 95 transcription factors in DHSs (Supplementary Table 13). We found
that some well-known transcription binding motifs were under purifying selection in the cultivated groups, and some were under positive selection (Fig. 4i and Supplementary Table 14). For example, the TRAB1 binding motif, which relates to abscisic acid (ABA)-regulated transcription\textsuperscript{43}, was identified with a domestication sweep signal. The GL3 binding motif, which participates in cotton fiber initiation\textsuperscript{44}, was also under domestication selection. The PIF4 binding motif, which is important for high temperature-mediated adaptation in plants\textsuperscript{45}, was identified as a positively selected motif. This reveals the effects of selection on cis-regulatory elements in promoter regions, which may be associated with the transcriptional regulation of genes contributing to desirable traits or adaptation.

Genome variation underlies distant regulatory divergence

Multiple genes can be considered to be organized into ‘transcriptional factories’ and transcribed in a high-order conformation\textsuperscript{46}. A range of high-throughput methods, such as high-throughput chromosome conformation capture (Hi-C) and chromatin interaction analysis by paired-end tag sequencing (ChIA-PET), have been developed to understand 3D genome architecture in the eukaryotic nucleus\textsuperscript{47,48}. Several studies have shown that long-range chromatin interaction is an important mechanism for the regulation and coordination of gene transcription\textsuperscript{49,50}. Once we established a DHS landscape in cotton, the next aim was to characterize the effects of domestication on divergences in regulatory elements that are physically remote from, but functionally linked to, genes.

Hi-C analysis was carried out using the TM-1 accession to characterize global chromatin interactions. We generated 1.1 billion Hi-C paired-end reads, of which ca. 322 million were valid interaction reads (Supplementary Table 15). To exclude possible Hi-C bias, Hind\textsubscript{II} fragments of less than 2 kb were merged to obtain 305,682 chromosomal anchor regions (Fig. 5a). On the basis of a high-quality genome assembly of TM-1 (Supplementary Fig. 11), we used the Hi-C data to characterize the cotton chromatin interactome (Supplementary Fig. 12) and uncovered 737,377 mid-range intra-chromosomal interactions (20 kb–2 Mb). The number of interactions drops rapidly with an increase in distance between sequences
(Fig. 5b), but many topologically associated domain-like (TAD-like) regions were identified (Fig. 5c, Supplementary Fig. 13 and Supplementary Table 16). We found that chromatin interactions are significantly enriched at promoters, distal DHSs such as enhancers and at regions marked by the active chromatin mark H3K4me3, but are less frequent at regions marked by H3K9me2 (Fig. 5d).

Interactions involving promoters and distal DHSs, such as enhancers, were analyzed to construct a long-distance transcriptional regulation map. We obtained 121,522 interactions, including 52,496 putative extragenic interactions (promoter to enhancer), 44,808 putative intergenic interactions between different genes (promoter-promoter interactions) and 24,218 putative enhancer-enhancer interactions (Fig. 5e and Supplementary Table 17). We found that only ca. 38% of putative enhancers and 25% of promoters are involved in a single interaction (Fig. 5f), indicating that transcription of most genes appears to be regulated by multiple long-range chromatin interactions. Interestingly, genes with relatively high levels of chromatin interaction exhibit higher expression levels than genes without interaction (Fig. 5g).

We next examined enhancer divergence. We identified a total of 99,709 SNPs in the 21,409 putative enhancers (Table 1). We found that enhancers exhibit a higher frequency of sequence variation than promoters or exons, and exhibit a lower frequency than introns (Fig. 5h). This suggests that enhancers have evolved rapidly. We then looked at evidence for genomic selection of enhancers during cotton domestication. We identified 2,011 enhancers (496 in the At and 1,515 in the Dt) with selection signals associated with 1,651 gene promoters (Supplementary Table 18). One example shows that an enhancer located 120 kb upstream of TUBULIN ALPHA-3 (TUA3) has undergone strong selection, consistent with the observed differentially high expression of TUA3 in cultivated TM-1 compared with the wild YUC accession (Fig. 5i). DNase I digestion of chromatin on a representative wild cotton accession revealed that more than 94% of enhancers are shared in wild and domesticated cottons (Fig. 5j), suggesting that domestication has had a limited effect on qualitative changes to enhancers.
DISCUSSION

Genome re-sequencing of 352 accessions of Upland cotton has provided new insights into the genetic history of this important crop. By constructing a comprehensive variation map, we have determined genomic diversity and divergence for cotton. Interestingly, we found no obvious population divergence between geographic groups in China, probably because of frequent migration of accessions for improvement breeding within a short period after introduction. This is different from observations for cultivated rice and soybean, which were initially domesticated from wild forms in China millennia ago\textsuperscript{17,51}. Comparison of the wild and cultivated cottons has allowed the identification of domestication sweeps. In this study, we primarily characterized some key molecular signatures of selection responsible for spinnable fine white fiber, of which some candidates were further identified by a GWAS analysis. We believe that these selection sweeps could enable future characterization of genes for other domestication-related agronomic traits. The variation map and selective sweeps constitute a valuable resource for future cotton improvement.

We revealed the effects of domestication on \textit{cis}-regulatory divergence through an integrated approach. We first present a global analysis of DHSs using DNase-seq, which was demonstrated to be a highly efficient approach to map CREs in human\textsuperscript{52}. We provide evidence to suggest that directional selection through domestication has led to the divergence of CREs at promoters of at least some regulatory genes relevant to agronomic traits in cotton. Compared with promoters, distant CREs such as enhancers are less conserved among species but are also important for transcriptional regulation through long-range chromatin interactions\textsuperscript{53}. With the DHS map, we provide a picture of 3D genome architecture, to link distant regulatory variants in enhancers to gene transcription. In contrast with isolated analyses of DHSs and 3D genome studies in \textit{Arabidopsis}\textsuperscript{54,55}, this represents the first comprehensive functional interpretation of non-coding genetic variants in plants. Our approach to the characterization of functional variants represents a useful reference for other crops. These data will facilitate future functional genomics studies for cotton and inform breeding strategies.

METHODS

Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper.

Accession codes. The sequence data have been deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under the BioProject accession PRJNA336461. All the genomic variants can be downloaded from http://cotton.cropdb.org/cotton/download/data.php.

Note: Any Supplementary Information and Source Data files are available in the one version of the paper.
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**Figure legends**

**Figure 1** Geographic distribution and population diversity of Upland cotton accessions. (a) The geographic distribution of Upland cotton accessions. Each dot of a given color on the world map represents the geographic distribution of the corresponding cotton accession. (b) Neighbour-joining tree of all accessions constructed from whole-genome SNPs. The geographic distribution of each accession is represented by a tree branch with a color corresponding to that in Fig. 1a. The outer ring indicates groups emerging from the phylogenetic tree. (c) PCA plots of the first two components for all accessions. The dot color scheme is as indicated in Fig. 1a. ABI represents cottons from America, Brazil and India; NNR represents cottons from the Northwestern Inland Region and the Northern Specific Early Maturation Region; YRR represents cottons from the Yellow River Region; and YtRR represents cottons from the Yangtze River Region. (d) Nucleotide diversity (π) and population divergence (FST) across the three groups. Value on each circle represents measure of nucleotide diversity for this group, and value on each line indicates population divergence between the two groups. (e) Decay of linkage disequilibrium (LD) in each group.

**Figure 2** Genome-wide screening of domestication sweeps and GWAS on fiber quality-related traits. (a) Selection signals in the A-subgenome (At) and (e) selection signals in the D-subgenome (Dt). The horizontal grey dashed lines show the genome-wide threshold for domestication sweeps identified from the ratio of nucleotide diversity between wild and cultivated cotton accessions (πw/πc >4.8). The results using the XP-CLR analytical tool are indicated by the red lines. The 25 QTL hotspots that overlap with domestication sweeps are shown in each chromosome. Genes with known function for fiber development under domestication selection are shown in corresponding chromosomes. These genes include FIP121, I4-3-322, GSR123, and HB3124 in the At, and TUB625, TUB825, 4CL26, CHS26, SP1LS27, FAO328 and RABA4A29 in the Dt. The expression levels of these genes are shown in Supplementary Fig. 5. (b–d) Significant GWAS associations on fiber length (b,c) and fiber strength (d) in the At. (f–i) Significant GWAS associations on micronaire
value (f), fiber elongation rate (g), fiber length (h) and fiber uniformity (i) in the Dt. The horizontal grey dashed lines in b–d and f–i show the significance threshold of GWAS (1/n; 6.3). The other significant associations are presented in Supplementary Table 10.

Figure 3 Asymmetric selection signals between the A-subgenome (At) and the D-subgenome (Dt). (a) A model of asymmetric domestication between the At and the Dt. The number of colored dots shows change of genetic diversity after domestication in each subgenome. (b) Effects of the Dt-specific selection signals on prolonged fiber elongation in cultivated cottons. Upper track shows the morphological and developmental differences of fibers between wild and cultivated cottons. The heatmap shows fiber elongation rate in wild/cultivated cotton. Dashed box shows a prolonged elongation period in cultivated cotton with data from Applequist et al. (2001). Lower track shows a model of developing fiber. Genes with selection signals in the Dt are shown. Compared with wild cotton, these genes are down-regulated in cultivated cotton fiber development, which could regulate reactive oxygen species (ROS) levels associated with prolonged fiber elongation. Full descriptions of these genes are shown in Supplementary Table 12. (c) Selection signals in the 4-coumarate:CoA Ligase (4CL) gene region. Upper track shows asymmetric selection signals in ancestral karyotype 3 in the At and the Dt, which was reconstructed using homoeologous gene pairs. Vertical dashed lines show some homoeologous gene pairs with selection signals. Lower track shows allele frequency of SNP variants in the 4CL in wild/cultivated cotton group. Nonsynonymous SNPs in the first exon are indicated in red. SNPs in the Dof transcription factor binding site are indicated in sky blue. (d) Sequence logos of the Dof-binding site in wild and cultivated cotton groups compared with that in Arabidopsis (JASPAR model: MA0973.1). (e) Normalized expression levels of 4CL at 10 days post anthesis (DPA) in wild and cultivated cottons shown by RNA-seq (two-side t-test, **P-value < 0.01). Error bars, s.d. of the normalized expression levels from different cotton accessions.
Figure 4 Characterization of cotton DNase I–hypersensitive sites (DHSs) and detection of selected DHSs during domestication. (a) Venn diagram showing the number of DHSs identified in cotton leaves and fibers at 10 days post anthesis (DPA). (b) Genomic distribution of DHSs in genic and intergenic regions. (c) DNA methylation levels of DHSs in cotton leaves and fibers. (d) Enrichment/depletion of chromatin modification marks in promoter DHSs. The grey arrow shows the transcription orientation of genes. (e) Enrichment/depletion of chromatin modification marks in intergenic DHSs. For c–e, each DHS region was divided into 50 bins on average, and the flanking 2 kb regions were divided into 200 bins with an equal length. For d–e, the ChIP-seq tags were normalized by Input DNA sequencing data. (f) Comparisons of the expression levels between genes with promoter DHSs and those without promoter DHSs in leaf and fiber samples (Wilcoxon rank sum test, ***P-value < 0.001). (g) Comparisons of the expression levels of tissue-specific promoter DHS marked genes with those of overlapping promoter DHS marked genes between leaf and fiber. For each group, the relative expression level was calculated by fold-change of leaf versus fiber. The pattern of expression fold-change for tissue-specific DHS marked genes was compared with that of overlapping promoter DHS marked genes (**P-value < 0.001). (h) Detection of selected promoter DHSs during cotton domestication. All promoter DHSs were sorted by F_{ST}. The x axis shows the order of DHSs in this study. The left y axis shows ratio of nucleotide diversity for promoter DHSs between wild and cultivated cotton accessions (\(\pi_w/\pi_c\)). The right y axis shows population divergence (\(F_{ST}\)) between wild and cultivated populations. Highly differentiated DHSs are indicated by the shaded background. (i) Nucleotide diversity of key transcription factor binding motifs that were identified from promoter DHSs in different cotton groups. For each motif, nucleotide diversity was scaled to the size of each respective circle. Motifs with decreased diversity during domestication are represented by the orange bar and increased diversity by the green bar. Abbreviations representing cottons from different cultivation regions in China were the same as those in Fig. 1c.

Figure 5 Characterization of cotton chromatin interactome and identification of promoter-centered interactions. (a) Size distribution of raw HindIII fragments
(histogram) in the cotton genome, and anchors (red curve) used in this study. (b) Genomic distances between all interacting anchors. The histogram shows frequency distribution of distances between anchors, and the red curve shows the cumulative proportion of interactions. (c) Chromatin interaction in A13 and D02 chromosomes. The repressive modification marks (H3K27me3 and H3K9me2) are shown for each chromosome. Each heatmap shows a normalized contact matrix, with strong contacts in red and weak contacts in white. Examples of topologically associated domain-like (TAD-like) regions are shown below the heatmaps. (d) Percentages of anchors involving cis-regulatory elements (CREs) and peaks of chromatin modification marks. Actual enrichment ratios of CREs and ChIP peaks were compared with expected background values (Fisher exact test, **P-value < 0.01). (e) Percentage of promoter-centered interactions for each type: enhancer-promoter (E-P), promoter-promoter (P-P) and enhancer-enhancer (E-E). (f) Degree distribution of anchor and promoter (TTS). The x axis represents degree distribution and y axis represents the proportions of anchor and TSS in each degree. (g) Expression analyses of genes with chromatin interaction and genes without chromatin interaction (Wilcoxon rank sum test, **P-value < 0.01). (h) SNP frequencies in enhancer, promoter, exon and intron regions. SNP frequency in these elements was compared with that in randomly selected genome regions (500 iterations; ***P-value < 0.001). (i) One example of an enhancer under domestication selection. The upper track shows chromatin interaction of anchors represented by pink lines. Domestication selection is indicated by ratios of nucleotide diversity (πw/πc) in 20 kb windows sliding 5 kb. The lower five tracks show sequencing tags of DNase-seq, ChIP-seq (H3K4me3 and H3K27me3) and RNA-seq in TM-1 and YUC accessions, respectively. The enhancer and gene regions were shown by colored background and arrows. (j) Venn diagram showing the ratio of overlapped enhancers in TM-1 and YUC accessions.
Table 1 Summary of the numbers of genomic variants in cotton populations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Core set</th>
<th>Wild</th>
<th>ABI</th>
<th>Chinese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sequence variants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNPs</td>
<td>7,497,568</td>
<td>5,603,940</td>
<td>4,528,637</td>
<td>4,632,445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indels (&lt;10 bp)</td>
<td>351,013</td>
<td>230,938</td>
<td>185,100</td>
<td>248,127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structural variants (&gt;10 bp)</td>
<td>93,786</td>
<td>76,821</td>
<td>60,201</td>
<td>59,663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variants with effects on genes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonsynonymous SNPs</td>
<td>86,633</td>
<td>67,914</td>
<td>55,179</td>
<td>63,270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNPs introducing stop codons</td>
<td>1,770</td>
<td>1,261</td>
<td>1,051</td>
<td>1,292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNPs that disrupt stop codons</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frameshift indel</td>
<td>1,698</td>
<td>1,125</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>1,322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-frameshift indel</td>
<td>1,114</td>
<td>667</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVs that overlap with genes</td>
<td>12,511</td>
<td>11,876</td>
<td>10,963</td>
<td>11,193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNPs in cis-regulatory elements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promoter DHSs</td>
<td>90,737</td>
<td>73,404</td>
<td>59,788</td>
<td>55,637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhancers</td>
<td>99,709</td>
<td>82,287</td>
<td>66,107</td>
<td>56,386</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ONLINE METHODS

Plant materials and re-sequencing

A total of 503 inbred cultivars of Upland cotton were collected as described in our previous study\textsuperscript{11}. Based on the population structure analysis, a core germplasm set, including 282 accessions was determined (Supplementary Table 1). Cotton plants were cultivated in the greenhouse in Wuhan, China. Young leaves were collected 4 weeks after planting and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen until use. Genomic DNA was extracted from leaves using the CTAB method\textsuperscript{56}. For each accession, at least 5 µg DNA was used to construct a sequencing library using the Illumina TruSeq DNA Sample Prep Kit following the manufacturer’s instructions. Paired-end sequencing (PE 150-bp reads) of each library was performed on the Illumina HiSeq X Ten system.

Mapping and variation calling

The allotetraploid cotton genome (Gossypium hirsutum L. acc. TM-1) and its annotation\textsuperscript{9} were downloaded from the Internet (see URLs). Scaffolds with lengths less than 1000 bp were excluded from further analysis. Paired-end re-sequencing reads were mapped to the TM-1 genome using BWA software with the default parameters. The PCR duplicates of sequencing reads for each accession were filtered using the Picard program, and uniquely mapping reads were retained in the BAM format. Reads around indels from the BWA alignment were realigned using the IndelRealigner option in Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK)\textsuperscript{57,58}. SNP and indel calling was performed using GATK and SAMtools software\textsuperscript{59}. To obtain high-quality SNPs and indels, only variation detected by both software tools with sequencing depth of at least 8 was retained for further analysis. SNPs with minor allele frequencies less than 1% were discarded, and indels with a maximum length of 10 bp were included. SNP annotation was carried out based on that of the TM-1 genome, using the snpEff software\textsuperscript{60}, and SNPs were categorized as being in intergenic regions, upstream (i.e. within a 2 kb region upstream of the transcription start site) and downstream (within a
2 kb region downstream of the transcription termination site) regions, in exons or introns. SNPs in coding sequences were further classified as synonymous SNPs or nonsynonymous SNPs. Indels in exons were classified according to whether they lead to a frame-shift effect.

Prediction of structural variation

Structural variations (SVs) were identified using three software tools: Breakdancer (version 1.3.6)\textsuperscript{61}, Delly (version 2)\textsuperscript{62} and laSV (version 1.0.3)\textsuperscript{63}, which integrate most existing methods (read-depth, read-pair, split-reads and \textit{de novo} assembly of sequencing reads) for SV discovery. Breakdancer was run on all cotton accessions using the BWA alignment with the parameters (-q 20 -y 30). Delly, which uses paired-end mapping and a split-read method to discover SVs in the genome, was run separately for each sample using default settings. laSV, which first performs a reference-free \textit{de novo} assembly of the sequencing reads and then compares the assembled contigs with the reference genome to identify SVs, was run separately for each sample using parameters (-k 75 -l 150 -s 20). SVs (deletion, duplication, insertion and inversion) were retained if supported by at least two methods with a mapping depth of more than 10×. The breakpoint for each candidate SV was determined from the local assembly of sequencing reads using a \textit{de Bruijn} algorithm.

Population-genetic analyses

To conduct the phylogenetic analysis, SNPs of all accessions were filtered with minor allele frequency (MAF) 0.05. These SNPs were used to construct a neighbour-joining tree using PHYLIP software\textsuperscript{64} and visualized using the online tool iTOL (see URLs). Principal component analysis (PCA) analysis was performed using this SNP set with the smartpca program embedded in the EIGENSOFT package\textsuperscript{65}. Population structure was analyzed using the Structure program which infers the population structure by identifying different numbers of clusters (K)\textsuperscript{66}. 
**Linkage disequilibrium (LD) analysis**

LD was calculated for each sub-population using SNPs with minor allele frequency (MAF) greater than 0.05. To perform the LD calculation, plink software was applied with the parameters (\(-ld\)-window\(-r^2\) 0 –ld-window 99999 –ld-window-kb 1000). LD decay was calculated based on \(r^2\) between two SNPs and averaged in 1 kb windows with a maximum distance of 1 Mb.

**Identification of domestication sweeps**

For domestication sweep analysis, we combined cultivated cotton groups (ABI and Chinese groups) into a single group to exclude the potential effect of genetic drift. The genetic diversity in the wild group was compared with that in the cultivated group \((\pi_w/\pi_c)\), because genomic regions in cultivated cottons should have a lower nucleotide diversity under domestication sweeps. Candidate domestication sweeps windows (100 kb windows sliding 20 kb) were identified with the top 5% of \(\pi_w/\pi_c\) values. We also used the XP-CLR method to scan for domestication sweep regions (-w1 0.005 200 2000 1 -p0 0.95). To run XP-CLR, all SNPs were assigned to genetic positions based on the published genetic map. Windows with the top 5% XP-CLR values were identified. Windows with distance less than 50 kb were merged into a single non-overlapping region. High-confidence domestication sweeps regions were identified by comparing XP-CLR analysis with genetic diversity ratio \((\pi_w/\pi_c)\).

In order to identify additional domestication effects, we calculated the population fixation statistics \(F_{ST}\) within 100 kb windows sliding 20 kb. Population-level \(F_{ST}\) was estimated as the average of all sliding windows. Windows with an empirical \(F_{ST}\) cutoff (top 5%) were regarded as highly differentiated regions. These regions were compared with the analysis of domestication sweeps. Genes with nonsynonymous SNPs in these regions were selected as under selective pressure across groups.

**Genome-wide association studies for fiber quality-related traits**
We used 2,020,834 high-quality SNPs (MAF > 0.05) to perform GWAS on cotton fiber quality-related traits in 267 accessions. The traits include fiber length, fiber strength, micronaire value, fiber uniformity and fiber elongation rate. Association analyses were performed using TASSEL 5.0 with the compressed mixed linear model \((P + G + Q + K)\). Kinship was derived from all these SNPs. The significant association threshold was set as \(1/n\) (n, total SNP number). The significant association regions were manually checked from the aligned re-sequencing reads against the TM-1 genome using SAMtools.

**Construction of ancestral karyotypes**

To analyze selection signals at the subgenome level, we constructed the ancestral karyotype for each of the 13 chromosomes in putative diploid ancestors. Homoeologous syntenic blocks were identified in the 13 chromosome pairs between the At and the Dt subgenomes using MCScanX with default settings. Syntenic gene pairs were identified in these syntenic blocks containing more than five aligned genes. A reciprocal blastp was run using gene sequences from the At and Dt subgenomes. Gene pairs, which were identified in syntenic blocks and also supported by blastp best hits between homologous chromosomes were retained as homoeologous genes. Genomic sequences consisting of gene regions and their flanking 2 kb sequences were ordered based on the Dt subgenome and concatenated to construct ancestral karyotypes.

**RNA-seq and data analysis**

Cotton leaves were sampled for gene expression analysis at the same developmental stage as for DNA re-sequencing. Total RNA was isolated as previously described. A total of 2 \(\mu\)g RNA were used for library construction using the Illumina TruSeq RNA Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) following the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA sequencing was performed on the Illumina HiSeq 3000 system (paired-end 150-bp reads). The clean reads were mapped to the TM-1 genome using Tophat (version
The expression level of each gene was determined using Cufflinks (version 2.2.1) with a multi-read and fragment bias correction method. The expression level of each gene was determined using Cufflinks (version 2.2.1) with a multi-read and fragment bias correction method. The expression level of each gene was determined using Cufflinks (version 2.2.1) with a multi-read and fragment bias correction method.

**Bisulfite-treated DNA sequencing data analysis**

We downloaded bisulfite-treated DNA sequencing data for leaf and fiber of TM-1 from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Sequence Read Archive collection (SRX710548-SRX710553). Trimmomatic software was applied to clip sequencing adapters and filter low-quality reads. The clean reads for the two samples were mapped to the TM-1 genome using Bismark software (version 0.13.0; -N 1 -L 30). The multiple mapping and PCR duplication reads were filtered to obtain a unique mapping BAM file. The Bismark methylation extractor program was run to extract potentially methylated cytosines. In this step, cytosines in CG, CHG and CHH contexts covered by at least three sequencing reads were retained for a binomial test (P-value cutoff 1e-5).

**DNase I digestion of chromatin**

DNase I digestion of chromatin was conducted accordingly to Zhang et al (2015) with some modifications. Briefly, chromatin extraction was performed as described in our previous study. For each sample, 100 g 10 DPA fiber and 1.5 g young leaves at the seedling stage were used for chromatin extraction, respectively. Extracted nuclei were washed once with 1× DNase I buffer before DNase I (Roche; Lot#11781700) digestion. Nuclei were re-suspended with 500 µL 1× DNase I buffer. A 20 µL aliquot was retained as undigested control. Remaining nuclei were treated with 100 U DNase I and were incubated at 37°C for 10 min. Immediately, both control and DNase I digested nuclei of each sample were subjected to histone removal, DNA purification, RNase A treatment and fragment isolation. For each sample, this experiment was performed for at least two biological replicates.

**DNase-seq and DHS identification**

DNase-seq and DHS identification
Purified DNA fragments of between 100 bp and 200 bp following DNase I digestion were isolated with a Pippin HT (Sage Science, Beverly, MA, USA). A total of 10 ng of the isolated fragments was used for library construction using the Illumina TruSeq Sample Prep Kit. Libraries were sequenced using the Illumina HiSeq 2000 system (paired-end 100-bp reads). After clipping adapters and trimming low-quality reads, clean reads were mapped to the TM-1 genome using Bowtie2 (version 2.2.4)\(^7\). The unique mapping data were processed to identify DNase I hypersensitive sites (DHSs). To identify DHSs, we ran the F-seq program with a 300-bp bandwidth\(^7\). MACS (version 1.4.2)\(^8\), another peak-calling algorithm, was also run to identify DHSs. To run MACS, randomly fragmented DNA sequencing data were used as control\((P\text{-value } 1\text{e}-5).\) Only peaks detected by both program tools were taken as candidate DHSs (Supplementary Table 19). Genome coverage of DNase-seq data in cotton was calculated using the coverageBed program embedded in the Bedtools package\(^8\).

Chromosomal distribution of DHSs was analyzed in 1 Mb windows sliding 200 Kb.

Motif discovery

The promoter DHSs were screened for transcription factor (TF) binding motifs using the findMotifsGenome.pl program in HOMER software (see URLs)\(^9\), with the parameters ‘–size given –len 8,10,12 -chopify -mset plants’. In HOMER, motifs with the \(P\text{-value cutoffs of } P < 0.01\) for known motifs and \(P < 1\times 10^{-12}\) for \(de\ nova\) motifs were retained. The 2 kb upstream sequences of genes were used for motif discovery by the Patch 1.0 program, which searches the TRANSFAC Public 6.0 database (see URLs), with the following parameters: 1) the minimum length of sites was 8; 2) the maximum number of mismatches was 1; 3) the mismatch penalty was 100; 4) the lower score boundary was 87.5.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)

Ca. 2 g of cotton leaves was cross-linked by vacuum infiltration with 1% formaldehyde for 35 min. Chromatin was extracted and fragmented to 200 to 500 bp by sonication. ChIP was performed as previously described\(^7\). Antibodies against
H3K4me1 (Abcam; ab8895), H3K4me3 (Abcam; ab8580), H3K9me2 (Abcam; ab1220) and H3K27me3 (ABclonal; A2363) were cross-linked with Dynabeads® protein A (Life Technologies; Lot#165116310) and respectively added to the sonicated samples for immunoprecipitation. All the ChIP experiments were carried out as two biological replicates.

ChIP-Seq and data analysis

For each sample, a total of 10 ng ChIP DNA and Input control DNA were used for library construction using the Illumina TruSeq Sample Prep Kit, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. ChIP libraries were sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq 3000 system (paired-end 150-bp reads). The clean sequencing reads were mapped to the TM-1 genome using Bowtie2 (version 2.2.4). After removing PCR duplication and multiple mapping reads, the unique mapping data were used to call histone modification peaks using MACS software (version 2.1.0). The “--broad” parameter was on for calling H3K4me1, H3K9me2 and H3K27me3 peaks, and was off for calling H3K4me3 peaks (P-value 1e-5). The Input DNA sequencing data was used as a control.

Hi-C experiments and sequencing

Cotton leaves were cross-linked in 20 ml of fresh ice-cold Nuclei Isolation Buffer and 1 ml of ~36% formaldehyde solution under vacuum for 40 min at room temperature. This reaction was quenched by adding 1 mL of 2 M glycine under vacuum infiltration for additional 5 min. The clean samples were ground to powder in liquid nitrogen. Chromatin extraction was similar to that for the DNase I digestion experiment. The procedures were similar to those described previously. Briefly, chromatin was digested for 16 h with 200 U (4 µl) HindIII restriction enzyme (Takara) at 37°C. DNA ends were labelled with biotin, incubated at 37°C for 45 min, and enzyme was inactivated with 20% SDS solution. DNA ligation was performed by the addition of T4 DNA ligase (Fermentas) and incubated at 4°C for 1 h followed by 22°C for 4 h. After ligation, proteinase K was added to reverse cross-linking by incubation at 65°C.
overnight. DNA fragments were purified and dissolved in 86 µL of water. Un-ligated ends were then removed. Purified DNA was fragmented to a size of 300-500 bp followed by repair of DNA ends. DNA fragments labeled by biotin were finally separated on Streptavidin C1 beads (Life Technologies). Libraries were constructed using the Illumina TruSeq DNA Sample Prep Kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions. TA cloning was performed to examine the quality of Hi-C library. Hi-C libraries were sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq 3000 system. The Hi-C experiment was carried out as two biological replicates.

**Hi-C data analysis**

Raw Hi-C data were processed to filter low-quality reads and trim adapters using Trimmomatic (version 0.32). Clean reads were mapped to the TM-1 genome using a two-step approach embedded in the HiC-Pro software (version 2.7.1; see URLs). After discarding low mapping quality reads, multiple mapping reads and singletons, the unique mapping reads were retained in a single file. Read pairs that did not map close to a restriction site, or were not within the expected fragment size following shearing, were first filtered. Subsequent filtering analyses were performed to discard read pairs from invalid ligation products, including dangling-end and self-ligation, and from PCR artifacts. The remaining valid read pairs were divided into intra-chromosomal pairs and inter-chromosomal pairs. Contact maps were constructed with chromosome bins of equal sizes for 5 kb, 10 kb, 20 kb, 100 kb, 200 kb and 500 kb. The raw contact maps were then normalized using a sparse-based implementation of the iterative correction method in HiC-Pro.

Chromatin interactions (20 kb–2 Mb) were identified using a method of statistical confidence estimation, *Fit-Hi-C*. To run *Fit-Hi-C*, fragments less than 2 kb were merged to exclude possible Hi-C bias. Results from the second pass after an initial fit were used for further analysis. Fragments overlapping with intergenic DHSs or promoters were extracted to construct a regulatory interactome. Chromatin
interactions with a false discovery rate (FDR) of 0.05 were retained and then compared with genomic localization of intergenic DHSs and promoters to map promoter-centered interactions. Topologically associated domain-like (TAD-like) and boundary-like regions were identified using the TopDom method at a 50 kb resolution. TopDom was processed with a window size of 5.
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