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I. MOTIVATION FOR STUDYING INTERPOLATING MODELS

An important question in string phenomenology is how and when supersymmetry (SUSY) is broken. A great deal of effort has been devoted to frameworks in which it is broken nonperturbatively in the supersymmetric effective field theory. Much less effort has been devoted to string theories that are nonsupersymmetric by construction.

On the face of it, the trade-off for the second option is that nonsupersymmetric string models do not have the stability properties of supersymmetric ones. However it can be argued that as long as the SUSY breaking is spontaneous and parametrically smaller than the string scale, the associated instability is under perturbative control [1]. There is then no genuine moral, or even practical, advantage to the former more traditional option, since nature is not supersymmetric. Sooner or later, either route to the Standard Model (SM) will lead to runaway potentials for moduli that need to be stabilized. Indeed spontaneous breaking at the string level may even confer advantages in this respect, as discussed in Ref. [2].

Parametric control over SUSY breaking requires a generic method for passing from a nonsupersymmetric theory to a supersymmetric counterpart, under certain limiting conditions. The method that was studied in Ref. [1] is interpolation via compactification to lower dimensions, with SUSY broken by the Scherk-Schwarz mechanism [3]. The two great advantages of interpolating models are that their compactification volumes can be tuned to make the cosmological constant arbitrarily small, and that some of them exhibit enhanced stability due to a one-loop cosmological constant that is exponentially suppressed with respect to the generic SUSY breaking scale [1]. They can be viewed as natural and phenomenologically interesting extensions of the original observation in Refs. [4,5] that the 10D tachyon-free nonsupersymmetric $SO(16) \times SO(16)$ model interpolates to the heterotic $E_8 \times E_8$ model, via a Scherk-Schwarz compactification to 9D.

The general properties under interpolation of theories broken by the Scherk-Schwarz mechanism are not known. For example, what determines whether the zero radius endpoint theory is supersymmetric? This paper focuses on the properties of four-dimensional (4D) theories that interpolate between stable, supersymmetric 6D tachyon-free models. Three main results are presented.

(i) First, we derive and study the general form of the 6D endpoint theories, and show that their modular invariance properties derive directly from the Scherk-Schwarz deformation. This enables us to generalize the construction of modular invariant Scherk-Schwarz deformed theories by beginning with the 6D endpoint theory.

(ii) Second, we determine a simple criterion for whether a SUSY theory, broken by Scherk-Schwarz, will interpolate to a SUSY or a non-SUSY one at zero radius: the zero radius theory is nonsupersymmetric if and only if the Scherk-Schwarz acts on the gauge group as well as the space-time side.

(iii) Third, we undertake a preliminary survey (in the sense that the models we study only have orthogonal gauge groups) of some representative models that confirm these two properties, by examining their potentials and spectra.
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The general framework for the interpolations are as shown in Fig. 1. Beginning with a supersymmetric 6D theory generically referred to as \( \mathcal{M}_1 \), the theory is compactified to a nonsupersymmetric 4D theory \( \mathcal{M} \) by adapting the coordinate dependent compactification (CDC) technique first presented in Refs. [6–9]. This is the string version of the Scherk-Schwarz mechanism, which spontaneously breaks SUSY in the 4D theory with a gravitino mass of \( \mathcal{O}(1/2r_i) \) where \( r_i \) is the largest radius carrying a Scherk-Schwarz twist. (We will use “CDC” and “Scherk-Schwarz” interchangeably.) As usual it is the gravitino mass that is the order parameter for SUSY breaking: it can be continuously dialed to zero at large radius where SUSY is restored and \( \mathcal{M}_1 \) regained.

One of the main properties that will be addressed is the nature of the theory as the radius of compactification is taken to zero. This depends upon the precise details of the Scherk-Schwarz compactification, and indeed we will find that the presence or otherwise of SUSY at zero radius depends on the choice of basis vectors and structure constants defining the model. It is possible that the 4D theory interpolates to either a supersymmetric or a nonsupersymmetric model (\( \mathcal{M}_{2a} \) or \( \mathcal{M}_{2b} \), respectively). Models of the latter kind correspond to a 6D theory in which SUSY is broken by discrete torsion [1].

We begin in Sec. II A by reviewing the basic formalism for interpolation. Section II B then presents the construction of 4D nonsupersymmetric models as compactifications of 6D supersymmetric ones. The modification of the massless spectra in the decompactification and \( r_i \to 0 \) limits (with the latter corresponding to the decompactification limit of a 6D \( T \)-dual theory) is analyzed, in order to determine the nature of the theories at the small and large radii endpoints. Section II C discusses the technique for rendering the cosmological constant in an interpolating form, allowing it to be calculated across a regime of small and large radii. The modification of the projection conditions and massless spectrum by the choice of basis vectors and structure constants is made explicit, and based on these observations, in particular how the CDC correlates with modified GSO projections in the 6D endpoint theories, Sec. II D then derives the general form of deformation within this framework, extending previous constructions. This more general formulation may prove to be useful for future model building.

The conditions under which SUSY is preserved or broken at the endpoints of the interpolation are discussed in Sec. III. Particular focus is given to the constraints on the appearance of light gravitino winding modes in the zero radius limit. It is found that models in which the CDC acts only on the space-time side are inevitably supersymmetric at zero radius, while models within which the CDC vector is nontrivial on the gauge side as well yield a nonsupersymmetric model in the same limit. This analysis paves the way for a presentation in Sec. IV of explicit interpolations (in terms of their cosmological constants) in particular models that display various different behaviors: namely we find examples of interpolation between two supersymmetric 6D theories via 4D theories with negative or positive cosmological constant; interpolation between a nonsupersymmetric 6D theory and a supersymmetric one, with or without an intermediate 4D AdS minima; and examples of “metastable” nonsupersymmetric 6D theories (by which we mean theories that have a positive cosmological constant with an energy barrier) that can decay to supersymmetric ones.

As mentioned, this paper follows on from a reasonably large body of work on nonsupersymmetric strings that is nonetheless much smaller than the work on supersymmetric theories. Following on from the original studies of the ten-dimensional \( SO(16) \times SO(16) \) heterotic string [10], there were further studies of the one-loop cosmological constants [4,5,11–24], their finiteness properties [11,12,25], their relations to strong/weak coupling duality symmetries [26–29], and string landscape ideas [30,31]. The relationship to finite temperature strings was explored in Refs. [6,32–35]. Further development of the Scherk-Schwarz mechanism in the string context was made in Refs. [36–40]. Progress towards phenomenology within this class has been made in Refs. [15,29,41–49]. Related aspects concerning solutions to the large-volume “decompactification problem” were discussed in Refs. [2,50–53]. Nonsupersymmetric string models have also been explored in a wide variety of other configurations [54–67], including studies of the relations between scales in various schemes [68–74]. Some aspects of this study are particularly relevant to the recent work in Refs. [75].

Note that here we will not elaborate on the properties of the nonsupersymmetric 4D theory at radii of order the string length. As we will see, and as found in Ref. [1], often there is a minimum in the cosmological constant at this point which suggests some kind of enhancement of symmetry at a special radius. (Indeed often it is possible...
to identify gauge boson winding modes that become massless at the minimum.) There is therefore the possibility of establishing connections to yet more nonsupersymmetric 4D theories. Conversely one can ask if every nonsupersymmetric 4D theory can be interpolated to a supersymmetric higher-dimensional theory. We comment on this and other prospects in the conclusions in Sec. V.

II. THE COSMOLOGICAL CONSTANT AND GENERALIZED SCHERK-SCHWARZ CONSTRUCTION

A. Overview

In this section, we revisit the calculation of the cosmological constant in the Scherk-Schwarz theories, and in particular derive a formulation for the partition function of interpolating models that is useful for the later analysis. The discussion is a natural generalization of the “compactification-on-a-circle” treatment of Ref. [1], and as we shall see it ultimately leads to an improved and more general construction for this class of theory.

Let us begin by briefly summarizing the implementation of the Scherk-Schwarz mechanism described in that work. As already mentioned, this is incorporated using a CDC [6] of the Scherk-Schwarz mechanism described in that work.

The theories at the two endpoints can contain a different number of states and charges. Because e can overlap the gauge degrees of freedom, \( M_2 \) will generically have a gauge symmetry that differs from that of \( M_1 \), and possibly no SUSY. As we will see the two are in fact linked: if \( M_3 \) is supersymmetric then the gauge group is the same as that of \( M_1 \); if it is not, then the gauge group is different.

B. CDC-modified Virasoro operators

Let us now elaborate on the above description. The conventions for the fermionic construction are as in Refs. [76–79] and for the CDC are as outlined in Ref. [1], and summarized in Appendix B. That is, the unmodified Virasoro operators are defined as

\[
\frac{L_0}{L_0} = \frac{1}{2} \ell^2 p^2_{L/R} + \text{oscillator contributions},
\]

where, in terms of the winding and KK numbers, \( n_i \) and \( m_i \) respectively, the left- and right-moving momenta for a theory compactified on two circles of radii \( r_{i=1,2} \) take the unshifted form

\[
p_{L/R} \sim \left( \frac{m_i}{r_i} + \frac{1}{2} - n_i r_i \right).
\]

Ultimately we wish to derive the largest possible class of deformations to the Virasoro operators that is compatible with modular invariance. This will turn out to be more general than those considered in Refs. [6,9]. In order to achieve this, we will now display the most general modification possible of the Virasoro operators under the Scherk-Schwarz action, along with a free parameter \( m_e \),
where the dot products are Lorentzian. Thus a KK shift of

\[ L_0' / L_0 = \frac{1}{2} (Q_{L/R} - e L/R (n_1 + n_2))^2 + \frac{1}{4} \left[ \frac{m_1 + m_e}{r_1} + / - n_1 r_1 \right]^2 + \frac{1}{4} \left[ \frac{m_2 + m_e}{r_2} + / - n_2 r_2 \right]^2 - 1 / 2 + \text{other oscillator contributions}, \tag{4} \]

where the other oscillator contributions can be deduced from (B5), and where \( Q \) are the vectors of Cartan gauge and \( R \)-charges, defined by \( Q = N_{a\tau} + a \tilde{V} \). As promised, the parameter \( m_e \) will now be determined by modular invariance. The partition function of the modified theory is then expressed in terms of \( q = e^{2 \pi i} \) (where as usual the real and imaginary parts of \( \tau \) are defined to be \( \tau = r_1 + i r_2 \)),

\[ \mathcal{Z}(\tau) = \text{Tr} \sum_{m_{12}, n_{12}} g q^{L_0^{12} q^{12}}. \tag{5} \]

Modular invariance requires \( L_0 - \hat{L}_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \). Given that the original supersymmetric theory is modular invariant (i.e. \( L_0 - \hat{L}_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \)) this can be used to determine a consistent \( m_e \) as follows:

\[ L_0' - \hat{L}_0' = (m_1 n_1 + m_2 n_2) + \frac{1}{2} (Q_L^2 - Q_R^2) + (n_1 + n_2) m_e - e \cdot Q (n_1 + n_2) + e \cdot e \frac{(n_1 + n_2)^2}{2} \]

\[ = L_0 - \hat{L}_0 + (n_1 + n_2) m_e - (n_1 + n_2) e \cdot \left[ Q - e \frac{\left(n_1 + n_2\right)}{2}\right], \tag{6} \]

where the dot products are Lorentzian. Thus a KK shift of

\[ m_e = e \cdot Q - \frac{1}{2} (n_1 + n_2) e \cdot e, \tag{7} \]

is sufficient to maintain modular invariance in the deformed theory. This matches the result of Ref. [6]. The vector \( e \) then lifts the masses of states according to their charges under the linear combination \( q_e = e \cdot Q \). Restricting the discussion to half-integer mass shifts imposes the constraint \( e \cdot e = 1 \mod(2) \). Later on the partition function will be reorganized into sums over different values of \( 4 m_e = 0 \ldots 3 \) (as we restrict the study to \( \frac{1}{2} \) phases in all examples, fractions of at most \( \frac{1}{4} \) can arise in the GSO projections via odd numbers of overlapping \( \frac{1}{2} \). So far these deformations are precisely those of Refs. [6-9]: once we consider the interpolation to the 6D theories, it will become clear how they can be made general.

Note that level-matching is preserved by the CDC, but the mass spectrum is modified rather than the number of degrees of freedom contained within the theory, as required for a spontaneous breaking of SUSY [6-9]. It is clear from Eq. (4) that for zero winding modes \( (n_i = 0) \), states for which \( q_e = e \cdot Q \neq 0 \mod(1) \) become massive under the action of the CDC. Conversely all the zero winding states in the NS-NS sector remain unshifted by the CDC since they are chargeless. As described in Ref. [1] there may or may not be massless gravitinos depending on whether the effective projection \( e \cdot Q = 0 \mod(1) \) is aligned with the other projections: this is in turn dependent on the choice of structure constant, so that ultimately the breaking of SUSY is associated with breaking by discrete torsion.

### C. Details of cosmological constant calculation

To evaluate the cosmological constant, at given radii \( r_1 = r_2 = r \), one must integrate each \( q^{M} \cdot q^{N} \) term (weighted by its coefficient \( c_{MN} \)) in the total one-loop partition function over the fundamental domain \( \mathcal{F} \) of the modular group,

\[ \mathcal{L}^{(D)} \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}^{(D)} \int_{\mathcal{F}} d^{2} \tau / \tau^{2} \mathcal{Z}_{\text{total}}(\tau), \tag{8} \]

where \( D \) is the number of uncompactified spacetime dimensions (equal to 4 at all intermediate radii between the small and large radius 6D endpoint theories, along which the cosmological constant will be evaluated), and \( \mathcal{M} \equiv M_{\text{string}}/(2\pi) = 1/(2\pi \sqrt{\alpha'}) \) is the reduced string scale. Henceforth \( \mathcal{M} \) is set to 1; it can be reinserted by dimensional analysis at the end of the calculation if desired. The integral splits into upper \((\tau_2 > 1)\) and lower regions of the fundamental domain. Only terms for which \( M = N \) can receive contributions from both regions, with the \( \tau_1 \) integral yielding zero in the upper region when \( M \neq N \), enforcing level matching in the infrared (but allowing contributions from unphysical protograviton modes in the ultraviolet as described in Ref. [1]).

At general radius the evaluation of the cosmological constant is complicated immensely by the fact that \( M, N \) vary with \( r_i \). In order to make the evaluation tractable, the total partition function, \( \mathcal{Z}_{\text{total}}(\tau) \), has to be rearranged into separate bosonic and fermionic factors as follows. It is convenient to define \( n = (n_1 + n_2) \) and \( \mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{L}_1 + \mathcal{L}_2) \). Twisted sectors do not need to be considered in this implementation as, being supersymmetric, they do not contribute to the cosmological constant. In other words, the cosmological constant calculated without the orbifolding is the same up to a factor of 2, as the actual cosmological constant, as explained in detail in [1,6-9]. However, we will make further comments on twisted sectors later when we come to generalize the construction. We have
\[
\mathcal{Z}(\tau) = \frac{1}{\tau_2^{2\eta^2}} \sum_{n} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \Omega_{\alpha, \beta} \left[ \alpha, \beta \right]
\]
where the Poisson-resummed partition function for the compactified complex boson is given by (see Appendix A)
\[
\mathcal{Z}_{\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\tau}} = \frac{r_1 r_2}{\tau_2^{2\eta^2}} \times \sum_{\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\tau}} \exp \left\{-\frac{\pi}{\tau_2} \left[ r_1^2 |\sigma_1 - n_1 \tau|^2 + r_2^2 |\sigma_2 - n_2 \tau|^2 \right]\right\},
\]
and the theta function products, each of which has characteristics defined by the sectors \(\alpha, \beta\), with their respective CDC shifts, are
\[
\Omega_{\alpha, \beta} \left[ \alpha, \beta \right] = \tilde{C}_{\alpha, \beta} \prod_{i, \gamma} \left[ \frac{\alpha V_i - n e_i}{-\beta V_i + \epsilon e_i} \right] \prod_{j, \kappa} \left[ \frac{\alpha V_j - n e_j}{-\beta V_j + \epsilon e_j} \right],
\]
where the conventions can be found in Appendix A.

In the above, the coefficients of the partition function are given by
\[
\tilde{C}_{\alpha, \beta} = \exp \left\{-2\pi i (\alpha s + \beta s + \beta_i k_i \alpha_j) \right\} C_{\beta}^\alpha,
\]
where \(C_{\beta}^\alpha\) are the coefficients of the original theory before CDC, expressed in terms of the structure constants \(k_{ij}\) and spin-statistic \(s_i = V_i^1\), as in the original notation and Appendix B, namely
\[
C_{\beta}^\alpha = \exp \left\{2\pi i (\alpha s + \beta s + \beta_i k_i \alpha_j) \right\}.
\]
It is convenient to use the resummed version of this expression; certainly for the \(q\)-expansion this is the preferred method as it makes modular invariance explicit. This removes the \(r_1 r_2\) prefactor and adds a factor of \(r_2\). The bosonic factor in the partition function \(\mathcal{Z}_B(\tau)\) depend upon the radii of compactification, the winding and resummed KK numbers and the CDC-induced shift in the KK levels, \(m_e\), as follows:
\[
\mathcal{Z}_{B;\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\tau}, m_e} = \frac{1}{\eta^2 \bar{\eta}^2} \sum_{m, n, k} q^{\frac{1}{2} (m_1 + m_2) + n_1 \tau + n_2 \bar{\tau}} \prod_{i} \bar{q}^{\frac{1}{2} (m_1 + m_2) + (n_1 + n_i) r_i} \prod_{j} q^{\frac{1}{2} (m_1 + m_2) + (n_2 + n_j) \bar{r}_j}.
\]

The effective shift in the KK number, given by the requisite \(m_e \equiv e \cdot (Q - n \frac{r_i}{2})\), arises from the choice of \(\tilde{C}_{\alpha, \beta} \), which gives an overall phase \(e^{2\pi i (\epsilon (Q - n e_i - n e_j)/2)}\) in the partition function; as we shall see this shift in the KK number ultimately amounts to introducing a new vector \(V_e \equiv e\) in the noncompact \(T\)-dual theory at zero radius, combined

The latter are radius-dependent interpolating functions, analogous to the functions $E_{0.1/2}, O_{0.1/2}$ in the simple circular case studied in Ref. [1]. We refer to the $Z_{F,n,m_c}$ terms as “$k_3$ factors,” since they involve only the internal degrees of freedom of the 6$D$ theory, and thus can be computed for all radii at the beginning of the calculation. The total partition function is then compiled by summing over the 16 $(n, m_c)$ sectors as

$$Z(\tau) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{\tau_2 \eta^{32} \eta^{10}} \sum_{n,4m_c=0..3} Z_{B,n,m_c} Z_{F,n,m_c}. \tag{19}$$

To summarize, via the procedure of reordering the original sum (9), a projection on to different consistent $m_c$ values has been performed such that a sum over $m_c$ can now be taken.

D. The zero radius theory and a more general formulation of Scherk-Schwarz

An interesting aspect of the above approach is that in the small-radius limit, that part of the spectrum with $m_c \neq 0 \mod(1)$ decouples and can be discarded, leaving the partition function of the noncompact 6$D$ theory at $r_i = 0$. Indeed, Poisson-resummation on $n_1$ and $k$ gives

$$Z_{B,n,m_c} \propto \sum_{m} e^{-\left(\frac{m_1^2+m_2^2}{r_1^2} + \frac{m_1^2+m_2^2}{r_2^2}\right)} \frac{1}{4 \tau_2 r_1 r_2} + \cdots, \tag{20}$$

where the ellipsis indicate terms that are further exponentially suppressed. Thus the total untwisted partition function in the small-radius limit can be expressed as

$$Z(\tau) \rightarrow \frac{1}{16} \frac{1}{\tau_2 \eta^{32} \eta^{10}} \sum_{n} Z_{F,n,0}. \tag{21}$$

Note that $1/(r_1 r_2)$ is simply the expected volume factor of the partition function in the T-dual 6$D$ theory. In conjunction with the fermionic component of the partition function, this then reproduces a 6$D$ model with an additional basis vector $e$, appearing in the sector definitions as $aV - ne$, and with Eq. (7) providing a new GSO projection, namely $m_c = e \cdot Q - n/2 = 0 \mod(1)$. [The mod (1) comes courtesy of the sum over $m_c$.]

Upon inspection, therefore, we are finding that Eq. (7) is actually the GSO projection of an additional vector $V_e \equiv e$ in the noncompact 6$D$ theory. Beginning with the choice of $e \cdot e = 1$, one can infer that the 6$D$ theory at zero radius for the examples we have been considering has structure constants $k_{ei} = 0$ and $k_{ee} = 1/2$, consistent with the modular invariance rules of KLST in Refs. [76–79]. In fact identifying sectors as $aV = a_i V_i + \alpha_c V_c$, with the sum over the spin structures on the $e$ cycle as $\alpha_c = -n \mod(2)$, the entire partition function at zero radius is that of the 6$D$ theory with the appropriate corresponding GSO phases, generalizing (7).

The last statement, namely that one may simply treat the Scherk-Schwarz action as another basis vector, leading to considerable generalizations, is one of the main results of the paper. In order to prove it, one may first Poisson-resum back to the original expression but retaining $\beta_c$, so that entire partition function is

$$Z = \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{\tau_2 \eta^{32} \eta^{10}} \sum_{\beta_c} \sum_{a,b \neq \eta} e^{2\pi i (\hat{D} + \hat{V}_c) \beta_c} Z_{F,n,0} \tilde{C}_{\beta \beta_c}^{a-n} \times \prod_{i} \theta \left[ \frac{\alpha V_i - ne_i}{-\beta V_i - \beta_c e_i} \right] \prod_{j} \theta \left[ \frac{-\beta V_j - ne_j}{-\beta V_j - \beta_c e_j} \right]. \tag{24}$$

Note that the sum over $m_c$ provides a projection that equates $\beta_c = -\epsilon \mod(1)$. Using the modular transformations for theta functions detailed in Appendix A, it is then straightforward to show that the partition function is invariant under $\tau \rightarrow \tau + 1$ provided that

$$e^{-2\pi i (\hat{V}_c - \hat{V}/e) \tilde{C}_{\beta \beta_c}^{a-n}} \equiv \tilde{C}_{\beta \beta_c}^{a-n} \tag{25}$$

and invariant under $\tau \rightarrow -1/\tau$ provided that

$$e^{-2\pi i (\hat{V}_c - \beta \beta_c \eta) \tilde{C}_{\beta \beta_c}^{a-n}} \equiv \tilde{C}_{\beta \beta_c}^{a-n} \tag{26}$$

This overall set of conditions is precisely that of KLST [76–79] with the original theory enlarged to include the vector $V_e \equiv e$. 
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\[\tilde{C}_{\beta \beta_c}^{a-n} = C_{\beta \beta_c}^{a-n} \tilde{C}_{\beta \beta_c}^{\alpha} \]
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Note that these rules are significantly more general than those of Refs. [6–9], in which the choice

\[ \tilde{C}_{\beta \beta} = C_{\beta} \exp(-2\pi i (\alpha_{\beta} V + \beta e_{\beta} \phi)) \] (27)

corresponds to taking \( k_{el} = 0 \) and \( k_{ee} = 1/2 \), in (22). Now for example the CDC vectors are no longer restricted to obey \( e^2 = 1 \mod(1) \), and moreover the KK shifts have additional sector dependence if \( k_{el} \neq 0 \). We should add that, as well as being a generalization, these rules simplify the construction of viable phenomenological models, because the \( \{ e \cdot Q, \hat{g} \} = 0 \) condition can be implemented independently, with consistency then guaranteed with respect to all the other \( V_i \) vectors.\(^1\) One can also conclude that for consistency a theory that is Scherk-Schwarzed on an orbifold should contain additional sectors that are twisted under the action of both the orbifold and the Scherk-Schwarz—i.e. twisted sectors that have nonzero \( \alpha_{\beta} \). Of course \( \alpha_{\beta} \) for such sectors has no association with any windings, but one finds that those sectors (which being twisted are supersymmetric) are required for consistency (anomaly cancellation for example).

III. ON SUSY RESTORATION

A. Is the theory at small radius supersymmetric?

Let us now move on to the conditions under which the endpoint theories exhibit SUSY. We will always consider models in which the theory at infinite radius is supersymmetric (as would be evidenced by the vanishing of the cosmological constant there) but we would like to determine whether or not SUSY is restored at zero radius as well. In this section we develop arguments to address this question based on the existence or otherwise of massless gravitinos as \( r_i \to 0 \).

As usual the pure Neveu-Schwarz (NS-NS) sector, \( \Phi \) gives rise to the gravity multiplet, \( g_{\mu\nu} \) (the graviton), \( \phi \) (the dilaton) and \( B_{[\mu \nu]} \) (the two-index antisymmetric tensor), from the states \( \Psi^{3,4}_{-\frac{3}{2}}|0\rangle_R \otimes X^{3,4}_{-1}|0\rangle_L \) in the notation of Ref. [1]. These states are chargeless under \( e \cdot Q \) and no projection on them can occur, since the CDC vector is always zero in the 4D space-time dimensions \( \Psi^{3,4} \). Given the inevitable presence of the graviton, the SUSY properties of the theory are then dictated by the presence or absence of the R-NS gravitinos, namely

\[ \Psi_{a}^{\Phi} \equiv \{ \Psi^{3,4}_{0} X_0 X_7 X_8 X_9 |0\rangle_R \otimes X^{3,4}_{-1}|0\rangle_L \}. \]

\(^1\)This is a somewhat subtle point because the basis in which the orbifold action is diagonal is not the same as the basis in which the Scherk-Schwarz action is diagonal. However the two act relatively independently on the partition function. This point is discussed in explicit detail in Ref. [81].

Their Scherk-Schwarz projections are determined purely by the Scherk-Schwarz action on the right-moving degrees of freedom.

The spectrum is found from the expressions for the modified Virasoro operators in Eq. (4). For the nonwinding gravitinos, the shifted KK momentum becomes virtually continuous in the \( r_i \to \infty \) limit and the full 6D gravitino state is inevitably recovered there. The scale at which SUSY is spontaneously broken by the CDC is set by the gravitino mass \( 1/2r_i \). As the compactification is turned on, the SUSY of the 6D theory is broken, and then towards the \( r_i \to 0 \) end of the interpolation, new gravitinos may or may not appear in the massless spectrum, perhaps heralding the restoration of SUSY at small radius as well.

To see if they do, consider how the CDC modifies the theories that sit at the endpoints of the interpolation. We denote by \( Q_{0}^{\psi} \) the charge of the lightest gravitino state at large radius. SUSY is exact even in the presence of \( e \), with the state \( Q_{0}^{\psi} \) being exactly massless if both the first and second terms in the modified Virasoro operators of Eq. (4), namely

\[ (Q_{\psi}^{0} - e)n)^2 \] (28)

and

\[ \left( m_i + e \cdot Q_{\psi}^{0} - \frac{1}{2} ne^2 \right) \frac{1}{r_i} + n_i r_i \right)^2 \]

vanish. [For convenience we continue for this discussion to use the original more restrictive rules of refs. [6–9]; it would be trivial to extend the discussion to the more general rules of Eq. (23).] With \( n_1 = n_2 = 0 \), the first term receives no extra contribution due to the CDC. Furthermore, there is no winding contribution to the second term. Therefore gravitinos that have \( e \cdot Q_{\psi}^{0} = 0 \) remain massless and indicate the presence of exact SUSY. Conversely, if the only remaining gravitinos have

\[ e \cdot Q_{\psi}^{0} = \frac{1}{2} \]

their mass is \( \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{r_1^2} + \frac{1}{r_2^2} } \) and SUSY is spontaneously broken.

Without loss of generality, one can consider SUSY breaking to amount to a conflict between \( e \) and a single basis vector, denoted by \( V_{\text{con}} \). That is, \( V_{\text{con}} \) constrains the gravitinos, while the remaining \( V_i \) cannot project them out of the theory. In order for the above light (but not massless) gravitino to be the one that is left unprojected, the projections due to \( e \) and \( V_{\text{con}} \) must disagree, that is the massive \( e \cdot Q_{\psi}^{0} = \frac{1}{2} \) state is retained by \( V_{\text{con}} \) while the massless \( e \cdot Q_{\psi}^{0} = 0 \) state is projected out. Again without loss of generality, it is always possible to choose \( V_{\text{con}} \) so that the conditions are aligned; that is
unchanged for any gravitino state there, since \( \text{eq.} \) and have a mass \( \sim \frac{1}{2r} \) while \( V_{\text{con}} \) projects the massless \( e \cdot Q_{\psi} = 0 \) modes out of the theory entirely.

Now consider the zero radius end of the interpolation, and denote the new would-be massless gravitino state by \( \tilde{Q}_{\psi} \). Although a different state, it can be related to the infinite radius gravitino \( Q_{\psi}^0 \) by a shift in the charge vector, induced by a potentially nonzero winding number,

\[
\tilde{Q}_{\psi} = Q_{\psi}^0 - en. \tag{31}
\]

As the \( r_i \) vanish, the spectrum associated with the winding modes becomes continuous, while the KK states become extremely heavy. As described in the previous section, the requirement that the KK term in Eq. (29) vanishes forms an effective projection that constrains the light states at zero radius, selecting the modes for which

\[
e \cdot \tilde{Q}_{\psi} = \frac{n}{2} \mod (1), \tag{32}
\]

where we will assume that \( e \cdot e = 1 \).

It is clear from the relation between \( \tilde{Q}_{\psi} \) and \( Q_{\psi}^0 \) in Eq. (31) that the projection due to the CDC vector remains unchanged for any gravitino state there, since \( e^2 n \in \mathbb{Z} \); that is,

\[
e \cdot \tilde{Q}_{\psi} = e \cdot Q_{\psi}. \tag{33}
\]

This equation together with Eqs. (32) and (30) imply that any gravitino of the spontaneously broken theory that becomes light at small radius must be an odd-winding mode. Under the shift in \( Q \) given by Eq. (31), the \( V_{\text{con}} \) projection constraining the gravitinos is

\[
V_{\text{con}} \cdot \tilde{Q}_{\psi} = nV_{\text{con}} \cdot e \mod (1)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} - nV_{\text{con}} \cdot e \mod (1). \tag{34}
\]

For the effective projection in Eq. (32) to agree with the modified GSO condition in Eq. (34) for \( n = \text{odd} \), we then require that

\[
V_{\text{con}} \cdot e = 0 \mod (1). \tag{35}
\]

Equation (35) is a necessary condition for a model with SUSY spontaneously broken by the Scherk-Schwarz mechanism to have massless gravitino states in both the infinite and zero radius limits.

1. **SUSY restoration when the CDC vector has zero left-moving entries**

Let us see what it implies in a specific theory. Consider the basis vector set \{\( V_0, V_1, V_2, V_4 \)\} together with a CDC vector that is empty in its left-moving elements, the standard setup outlined in [1], in which the vectors \{\( V_0, V_1, V_2 \)\} project down to 6D SUSY with orthogonal gauge groups,

\[
V_0 = -\frac{1}{2} [11 111 111 | 1111 1111 111 111 111 111]
\]

\[
V_1 = -\frac{1}{2} [00 011 011 | 1111 1111 111 111 111 111]
\]

\[
V_2 = -\frac{1}{2} [00 101 101 | 1010 00000 111 111 111 111]
\]

\[
V_4 = -\frac{1}{2} [00 101 101 | 1010 00000 000 000 000 000 000 000].
\]

A suitable and consistent set of structure constants is

\[
k_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Gravitinos are found in the \( V_0 + V_1 = \frac{1}{2} [11 100 100 | 0 (0)^{20}] \) sector, with vacuum energies \( [\epsilon_R, \epsilon_L] = [0, -1] \). The charge operator for the nonwinding gravitinos in the initial (infinite radius) theory takes the same form as the sector vector itself. They have charges determined by \( V_4 \) that give the required \( e \cdot Q = 1/2 \mod (1) \) for spontaneous SUSY breaking: the positive helicity states with this choice of structure constants are

\[
Q_{\psi}^0 = \frac{1}{2} [1 - 1 \pm 100 \pm 100 | (0)^{20}],
\]

where the \( \pm \) signs on the fermions are codependent. It is clear from the vector overlap between \( Q_{\psi} \) and \( V_4 \) that the latter is playing the role of \( V_{\text{con}} \) that constrains the gravitini states. (The structure constants have been chosen such that \( V_2 \) yields identical constraints.) Whether or not any of the winding modes of the gravitinos are light at zero radius depends upon them satisfying the modified GSO projection condition of Eq. (34),

\[
V_4 \cdot \tilde{Q}_{\psi} = V_4 \cdot Q_{\psi}^0 - V_4 \cdot e(n_1 + n_2) \mod (1). \tag{38}
\]

As we saw the two projections agree for the odd-winding modes of the \( \tilde{Q}_{\psi} \) states since \( V_4 \cdot e = 0 \mod (1) \), and under the CDC, the charge vector for the small radius gravitino is

\[
\tilde{Q}_{\psi} = \frac{1}{2} [1 - 1 \ 00 \ 00 | (0)^{20}]. \tag{39}
\]
As in the previous example the vector contains the same number of nonzero right-moving entries, but lying in different columns, so there is no contribution from Eq. (28) to the mass squared on the space-time side. However the nonzero left-moving elements now result in a nonzero contribution. Under the shift
\[(Q^0_R + Q^0_L)^2 \rightarrow (Q^0_R + Q^0_L)^2 = (Q^0_R + e_R, Q^0_L + e_L)^2, \] (42)
any nonzero shift in $Q^0_L$ will inevitably produce massive gravitinos since in the R-NS sector the charges of massless states must be zero mod (1) on the left-moving side.

We conclude that SUSY is restored at small as well as large radius if and only if the Scherk-Schwarz mechanism does not act on the gauge side. Conversely if SUSY is broken at zero radius then so is the gauge symmetry.

**B. Formula for $N_b = N_f$**

The nett Bose-Fermi number appears as the constant term in the partition function $Z \supset (N_b - N_f) q^0 q^0 + \cdots$. Thus, the dominant terms in the one-loop contribution to the cosmological constant are proportional to $(N_b - N_f)$ for the massless states [1], so nonsupersymmetric models with an equal number of massless bosonic and fermionic states have an exponentially suppressed one-loop cosmological constant, and hence exhibit an increased degree of stability. Unfortunately it seems to be necessary to determine the full massless spectrum in order to deduce whether or not $N_b = N_f$. There appears to be no principle, or algebraically feasible generic procedure, for choosing the basis vectors \( \{ V_i \} \), the CDC vector $e$, and the structure constants $k_{ij}$, that ensures that $N_b = N_f$.

**IV. SURVEYING THE INTERPOLATION LANDSCAPE**

We now turn to a survey of the different possible interpolations in order to verify the rules derived in the previous sections, in particular those that govern the supersymmetry properties of the models. We should remark that in order to make the exercise computationally feasible, we will only use 1/2 phases so that the theories contain only large orthogonal gauge groups. As such, we are not here attempting to construct the SM, and the massless spectrum for each example will not be presented. (They can easily be determined using the rules in Appendix B). Rather, studying the relationship between the cosmological constant and the radii of compactification exemplifies interpolation patterns between different types of model. Following the procedure outlined in Sec. II C, the total partition function, $Z_{\text{total}}(\tau)$, truncated at an order $O(q^2)$ in the $q$-expansion, which is computationally manageable while displaying the qualitative behaviour, is input in to the integral in (8), for a range of compactification radii between either ends of the interpolation range.
A. Interpolation between two supersymmetric theories

1. $N_b > N_f$

Consider a theory containing $V_0$, $V_1$, and $V_2$ as in the above basis vector set in Eqs. (36), a modified $V_4$, an additional vector $V_5$ and a CDC vector that acts only on the space-time side,

$$V_4 = \frac{1}{2} [00 00 000 | 0101 00000 000 011 00 000]$$
$$V_5 = \frac{1}{2} [00 000 011 | 0101 11100 001 000 10 111]$$
$$\mathbf{e} = \frac{1}{2} [00 101 101 | 0000 00000 000 000 000 000].$$

(43)

A suitable and consistent set of structure constants $k_{ij}$ is

$$k_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \frac{1}{2} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \frac{1}{2} \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\
\end{pmatrix}.$$ 

This model can be investigated using the general method presented in the previous section. $V_4$ plays the role of $V_{\text{con}}$, while $V_5$ respects its projections on the gravitinos. As discussed the interpolation is between two supersymmetric endpoints at both small and large radius. The cosmological constant takes a nonzero negative value with a minimum at intermediate values, and returns to zero at the two extremes, displayed in Fig. 2.

2. $N_b < N_f$

A theory in which $N_b < N_f$ can be generated by a performing an alternative modification to the vectors $V_4$, $V_5$,

$$V_4 = \frac{1}{2} [00 101 101 | 0101 00000 011 000 011 111]$$
$$V_5 = \frac{1}{2} [00 000 011 | 0101 11100 010 110 00 011]$$
$$\mathbf{e} = \frac{1}{2} [00 101 101 | 0000 00000 000 000 000 000].$$

(44)

with the following structure constants:

Similarly to the $N_b > N_f$ model with a exclusively non-trivial right-moving CDC vector, this model interpolates between two supersymmetric endpoints at both small and large radius, with the cosmological constant now taking a nonzero positive value at intermediate radii, displayed in Fig. 3, corresponding to unstable runaway to decompactification at either end of the interpolation.

B. Interpolation from a nonsupersymmetric to a supersymmetric theory

1. $N_b = N_f$

A theory with Bose-Fermi degeneracy can be achieved with a theory comprised of the basis vector set in Eq. (36), plus a basis vector $V_5$ and CDC vector of the form

$$V_5 = \frac{1}{2} [00 000 011 | 0100 11100 000 111 10 011],$$
$$\mathbf{e} = \frac{1}{2} [00 101 101 | 1011 00000 000 100 01 111],$$

(45)

with $k_{ij}$ given by

$$k_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}.$$
$N_b$ and $N_f$ are found to be equal despite the fact that the theory is non-supersymmetric (as can be seen by the absence of any massless gravitini in the spectrum). For models in which the CDC vector $e$ is nontrivial in both the gauge and the global entries, the cosmological constant takes a nonzero value at small radius, while it vanishes exponentially quickly for large compactification scales, as displayed in Fig. 4.

2. $N_b > N_f$

An interpolation from SUSY to non-SUSY in which $N_b > N_f$ can be achieved by taking the corresponding set of basis vectors in Eqs. (43), but now with a CDC vector of the form

$$e = \frac{1}{2} [00 \ 101 \ 101 | \ 0101 \ 0000 \ 000 \ 110 \ 11 \ 011].$$

For models in which $N_b > N_f$, the cosmological constant reduces from a constant positive value at small radius reaching a negative minimum at approximately $r = 1.0$ in string units. As the radius increases to $\infty$, the cosmological constant tends to zero from negative values, consistent with the restoration of SUSY in the endpoint model, as displayed in Fig. 5. In this particular example, the turnover appears to be at precisely 1 string unit, suggesting that a winding mode is becoming massless at this point, enhancing the gauge symmetry.

3. $N_b < N_f$

Finally for a non-SUSY to SUSY interpolation with $N_b < N_f$, we take the model in Eqs. (44) but now with a CDC vector of the form

$$e = \frac{1}{2} [00 \ 101 \ 101 | \ 0101 \ 0000 \ 000 \ 011 \ 11 \ 011].$$

The cosmological constant increases from a constant negative minimum at small radius, to a non-SUSY $6D$ theory at small radius and a SUSY $6D$ theory at infinite radius, as displayed in Fig. 6.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Following on from Ref. [1], the nature of heterotic strings in the context of Scherk-Schwarz compactification has been investigated, with particular emphasis on their properties under interpolation. From the starting point of supersymmetric $6D$ theories in the infinite radius limit, Scherk-Schwarz compactification to $4D$ yields models that have $N_b\{=,\}N_f$, each possibility exhibiting different behaviors under interpolation. The behavior of their cosmological constants was studied as a function of compactification radius, and it was found that theories can yield maxima or minima in the cosmological constant at intermediate values, as well as barriers with apparent metastability. The latter feature may have interesting
phenomenological and/or cosmological applications. The nature of the Scherk-Schwarz action, in particular whether or not it simultaneously acts to break the gauge group, dictates whether or not SUSY emerges in the 6D theory at zero radius.

We studied the relation of the interpolating theory to the 6D theories that emerge at the endpoints of the interpolation, and made the novel observation that the Scherk-Schwarz action descends from an additional GSO projection in the 6D zero radius endpoint theory. This allowed us to use the modular invariance constraints of the 6D theory to derive a more general class of Scherk-Schwarz compactification.

The aim in this work has been to establish the general features of interpolating models, relating higher $D$-dimensional models to $(D-d)$-dimensional compactified models. It is conceivable that very many nonsupersymmetric tachyon-free 4D models can be interpolated to higher-dimensional supersymmetric ones. This would imply the existence of a formal relation between the process of interpolation, and the restoration of SUSY. Looking forward, it may not be possible to show that every nonsupersymmetric theory is related to a supersymmetric counterpart via the process of interpolation. However, it seems possible that such a relation may always hold for the particular class of theories in which SUSY is broken by discrete torsion, as in Ref. [46] for example.

A goal for future work would be to establish relationships of the type found in this study, between additional lower-dimensional nonsupersymmetric models, ideally of greater phenomenological appeal, and their supersymmetric counterparts. If it can be shown that nonsupersymmetric models generically relate to supersymmetric theories in this way, interpolation could be used as a tool with which to relate many tachyon-free nonsupersymmetric string theories to their supersymmetric siblings. Thus it would be possible to locate nonsupersymmetric models within the larger network of string theories, extending previous work in this direction.
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APPENDIX A: NOTATION AND CONVENTIONS FOR PARTITION FUNCTIONS

The basic $\eta$ and $\theta$ functions are as given in [1]. For convenience we will here reproduce the required generalizations of these functions. The more general theta functions with characteristics are defined as

$$\theta \left[ \begin{array}{c} a \\ b \end{array} \right] (z, \tau) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2\pi i (n+a)(z+b)} q^{(n+a)^2/2} = e^{2\pi i a b} q^{b^2/2} \theta (z + a \tau + b, \tau). \quad (A1)$$

Of course these functions have a certain redundancy, depending on only $z+b$ rather than $z$ and $b$ separately. In general, the functions in Eq. (A1) have modular transformations

$$\theta \left[ \begin{array}{c} a \\ b \end{array} \right] (z, -1/\tau) = \sqrt{-i \pi} e^{2\pi i a b} e^{i\pi z^2} \theta \left[ \begin{array}{c} -b \\ a \end{array} \right] (-z, \tau),$$

$$\theta \left[ \begin{array}{c} a \\ b \end{array} \right] (z, \tau+1) = e^{-i\pi (a^2+b)} \theta \left[ \begin{array}{c} a \\ a+b+1/2 \end{array} \right] (z, \tau). \quad (A2)$$

To evaluate the cosmological constant from the partition function in Sec. II C, we require the following $q$-expansions:

$$\eta(\tau) \sim q^{1/24} + \cdots$$

$$\theta \left[ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right] (0, \tau) \sim 1 + 2q^{1/2} + \cdots$$

$$\theta \left[ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1/2 \end{array} \right] (0, \tau) \sim 1 - 2q^{1/2} + \cdots$$

$$\theta \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1/2 \\ 0 \end{array} \right] (0, \tau) \sim 2q^{1/8} + \cdots$$

$$\theta \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{array} \right] (0, \tau) = 0. \quad (A3)$$

Regarding partition functions, the expression for the compactified bosonic component of the partition function is given in [1]. Here we will need the expression for the untitled torus in terms of radii $r_1$, $r_2$. The Poisson-resummed partition function is given by

$$Z_B \left[ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right] (\tau) = \mathcal{M}^2 \frac{r_1 r_2}{\tau_2 |\eta(\tau)|^2}$$

$$\times \sum_{n,m} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\pi}{\tau_1^2} |m_1 + n_1 \tau|^2 - \frac{\pi}{\tau_2} |m_2 + n_2 \tau|^2 \right\}. \quad (A4)$$

Each internal complex fermion degree of freedom contributes to the partition function depending on its world-sheet boundary conditions, $v \equiv a \nu_i$ and $u \equiv \beta \nu_i$, as
\[ \mathcal{Z}_{i} = \text{Tr} [q^{i_{L}} e^{-2\pi i a_{V} N}] \]
\[ = q^{i_{L}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + e^{2\pi i (\tau - u)} q^{n-\frac{1}{2}})(1 + e^{-2\pi i (\tau - u)} q^{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \]
\[ = e^{2\pi i u \theta} \left[ \begin{array}{c} v \\ -u \end{array} \right] (0, \tau)/\eta(\tau). \quad \text{(A5)} \]

**APPENDIX B: CONVENTIONS AND SPECTRUM OF THE FERMIONIC STRING**

In this paper, the free-fermionic construction [76,79,80] serves as the anchor underpinning our models.

In the free-fermionic construction, all world-sheet conformal anomalies are canceled through the introduction of free real world-sheet fermionic degrees of freedom. In the particular examples that we will be considering (which begin in 6D), there are 8 right-moving and 20 left-moving Weyl fermions on the world sheet. Models are defined by the phases acquired under parallel transport around noncontractible cycles of the one-loop world sheet,

\[ 1: f_{i_{R}/i_{L}} \rightarrow -e^{-2\pi i v_{i_{R}/i_{L}}/f_{i_{R}/i_{L}}} \]
\[ \tau: f_{i_{R}/i_{L}} \rightarrow -e^{-2\pi i u_{i_{R}/i_{L}}/f_{i_{R}/i_{L}}}. \quad \text{(B1)} \]

where \( i_{R} = 1, \ldots, 8 \) and \( i_{L} = 1, \ldots, 20 \), which we collect in vectors written as

\[ v \equiv \{ v_{i_{R}}, v_{i_{L}} \} \equiv \{ v_{i_{R}}^{e}, v_{i_{L}}^{e} \} \]
\[ u \equiv \{ u_{i_{R}}, u_{i_{L}} \} \equiv \{ u_{i_{R}}^{e}, u_{i_{L}}^{e} \}. \quad \text{(B2)} \]

where \( v_{i_{R}}, v_{i_{L}}, u_{i_{R}}, u_{i_{L}} \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}] \). The spin structure of the model is then given in terms of a set of basis vectors \( V_{i} \) [79]. In order to define consistent modular invariant models, the basis vectors must obey

\[ m_{j}k_{ij} = 0 \mod (1) \]
\[ k_{ij} + k_{ji} = V_{i} \cdot V_{j} \mod (1) \]
\[ k_{ii} + k_{i0} + s_{i} = \frac{1}{2} V_{i} \cdot V_{i} \mod (1), \quad \text{(B3)} \]

where the \( k_{ij} \) are otherwise arbitrary structure constants that completely specify the theory, where \( m_{i} \) is the lowest common denominator amongst the components of \( V_{i} \), and where \( s_{i} \equiv V_{i}^{1} \) is the spin-statistics associated with the vector \( V_{i} \). The basis vectors span a finite additive group \( G = \sum_{l} a_{l} V_{l} \) where \( a_{l} \in \{0, \ldots, m-1\} \), each element of which describes the boundary conditions associated with a different individual sector of the theory. Within each sector \( aV \), the physical states are those which are level matched and whose fermion-number operators \( N_{aV} \) satisfy the generalized GSO projections

\[ V_{i} \cdot N_{\overline{aV}} = \sum_{j} k_{ij} \alpha_{j} + s_{i} - V_{i} \cdot \alpha \overline{V} \mod (1) \quad \text{for all } i. \quad \text{(B4)} \]

The world-sheet energies associated with such states are given by

\[ M_{\ell L,R}^{2} = \sum_{\ell} \left\{ E_{\ell \overline{aV}} + \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \left[ (q - \alpha \overline{V}) \overline{n}_{q} + (q + \alpha \overline{V} - 1) n_{q} \right] \right\} \]
\[ - \frac{(D-2)}{24} + \sum_{i=2}^{D} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} q M_{q}^{2}. \quad \text{(B5)} \]

where \( \ell \) sums over left- or right world-sheet fermions, where \( n_{q}, \overline{n}_{q} \) are the occupation numbers for complex fermions, where \( M_{q} \) are the occupation numbers for complex bosons, and where \( E_{\ell \overline{aV}} \) is the vacuum-energy contribution of the \( \ell \)th complex world-sheet fermion,

\[ E_{\ell \overline{aV}} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (\alpha \overline{V})^{2} - \frac{1}{12} \right]. \quad \text{(B6)} \]

Moreover, the vector of \( U(1) \) charges for each complex world-sheet fermion is given by

\[ Q = N_{\overline{aV}} + \alpha \overline{V} \quad \text{(B7)} \]

where \( \alpha \overline{V} \) is 0 for a NS boundary condition and \(-\frac{1}{2} \) for a Ramond.


