Tagging a monotop signature in natural SUSY
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We study the feasibility of probing a region of natural supersymmetry where the stop and Higgsino masses are compressed. Although this region is most effectively searched for in the monojet channel, this signature is present in many other nonsupersymmetric frameworks. Therefore, another channel that carries orthogonal information is required to confirm the existence of the light stop and Higgsinos. We show that a supersymmetric version of the \( t\bar{t}H \) process, \( pp \rightarrow \tilde{t}_i\tilde{\chi}^{0(i)} \), can have an observably large rate when both the stop and Higgsinos are significantly light, and it leads to a distinctive monotop signature in the compressed mass region. We demonstrate that the hadronic channel of the monotop signature can effectively discriminate the signal from backgrounds by tagging a hadronic top jet. We show that the hadronic channel of the monotop signature offers a significant improvement over the leptonic channel and the sensitivity reaches \( m_{\tilde{t}} = 420 \) GeV at the 13 TeV LHC with 3 ab\(^{-1}\) luminosity.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The experiment at CERN’s LHC has discovered a Higgs-like boson [1,2], yet no sign of new physics beyond the Standard Model has been seen [3]. The gauge hierarchy problem of the Standard Model has become more compelling than ever before. The most promising solution to the gauge hierarchy problem is low-energy supersymmetry (SUSY), where the radiative correction to the Higgs mass-squared parameter from Standard Model particles is cancelled by the contribution from their superpartners, and the electroweak scale is stabilized if sparticles are not significantly heavier than \( O(100) \) GeV. The null result in SUSY searches at the LHC pushes the mass limit for sparticles and creates a tension between the two scales: the naturally expected electroweak scale and the observed one. One way to relax this tension is to arrange the mass spectra such that all SUSY particles are safely beyond the current mass limit but keep the scalar top quark (stop) and the Higgsinos as light as possible. This solution is dubbed as Natural SUSY and has been intensively studied [4–45].

The light-stop scenario has also attracted a lot of attention in the experimental community, and many analyses have been dedicated to the light-stop search. One of the most challenging parameter regions is the so-called compressed region, where the lighter stop, \( \tilde{t}_1 \), is only slightly heavier than the lightest neutralino, \( \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \), which is assumed to be the lightest SUSY particle and stable. In this region, the decay products of the stop become very soft and are not reconstructed in the detector. The total missing energy also becomes very small due to the back-to-back kinematics of the stop pair.

The compressed stop-neutralino region is intensively searched for by looking at the monojet signature [46,47] in which the stop pair system is boosted recoiling against hard QCD initial-state radiation, creating a large missing energy. Although this search channel is very powerful in terms of discovery, there is an important drawback. Its final state is characterized by large missing energy associated with high \( p_T \) jet(s), and none of the high \( p_T \) objects comes directly from stops. Indeed, as illustrated in the left panel of Fig. 1, the produced particles \( \xi \) and \( \xi^* \) are not necessarily stops but may be anything as long as they convert into the missing particle \( \chi \), producing only soft particles that cannot be reconstructed in the detector. The same final state can also be realized by a single production of \( X \) accompanied by hard QCD radiation followed by an invisible decay \( X \rightarrow \chi \chi \) or a resonant production of \( X \) followed by \( X \rightarrow q(g) + \chi \). The list goes on. Finding a monojet signature thus by no means indicates the existence of a light stop nor the solution to the hierarchy problem [48–50].

In Ref. [51], we have pointed out that, in addition to the monojet channel, the light stop and Higgsinos, if present in nature, must generate another phenomenologically attractive channel, namely, \( pp \rightarrow \tilde{t}_i\tilde{\chi}^{0(i)} \) \((i = 1, 2)\).\(^1\) The relation between \( pp \rightarrow \tilde{t}_1\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \) and \( pp \rightarrow \tilde{t}_i\tilde{\chi}_i^0 \) is analogous to

\(^1\)We do not explicitly distinguish the particle and the antiparticle in writing \( pp \rightarrow \tilde{t}_i\tilde{\chi}_i^0 \). The baryon and flavor numbers are, however, always conserved in this process.
In this paper, we study the hadronic channel of the process $p p \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ and $p p \rightarrow t\bar{t}H$ in the Standard Model [52–61], except that the $p p \rightarrow t\bar{t}\tilde{1}_i\tilde{0}_i$ process leads to a prominent monotop signature [62–71]. In Fig. 1’s right panel, we depict the monotop signature in the hadronic final state. In the compressed region, the decay of $\tilde{t}_i$ is not resolvable in the detector, and both the $\tilde{t}_i$ and $\tilde{0}_i$ contribute to the missing energy, leaving the top quark alone in the final state as a visible object. Importantly, the event rate of this process can be observably large only if the neutralino is dominantly composed of Higgsinos. Therefore, the observation of this process is a strong indication for the existence of both the light stop and the Higgsinos.

The leptonic final state of $p p \rightarrow t\bar{t}\tilde{1}_i\tilde{0}_i$ has been studied in Ref. [51]. The advantage of the leptonic channel is the ability to probe the left-right mixing of the $\tilde{t}_i$ by looking at angular distributions of the charged lepton and the $b$ jet originated from the top-quark decay [51]. On the other hand, the event rate of this channel is limited due to the small top leptonic branching ratio and partial cancellation in the missing energy between the neutralinos and the neutrino from the top-quark decay, as we will discuss later in detail.

In this paper, we study the hadronic channel of the $p p \rightarrow t\bar{t}\tilde{1}_i\tilde{0}_i$ process, where an obvious advantage is the large hadronic branching ratio. Unlike the leptonic channel, reconstructing the hadronic top is nontrivial but crucial to discriminate the signal from the background. We observe that, in order to reduce the background, we necessarily require large missing energy, which forces the top quark to be in a boosted regime. In this regime, the hadronic top quark can be reconstructed as a fat jet with a certain substructure in it, as depicted in the right panel of Fig. 1. To systematically “tag” the top jets, we use the HEPTOPTAGGER [72,73] in our analysis. We find a significant improvement in the sensitivity over the leptonic channel of this process. The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we describe our analysis in detail and demonstrate the top-jet tagging works well in conjunction with the large missing energy requirement. In Sec. III, we present our results. Finally, a summary of our key findings is presented in Sec. IV.

II. ANALYSIS

We study the hadronic monotop signature from the $p p \rightarrow t\bar{t}\tilde{1}_i\tilde{0}_i$ channel in the compressed stop-Higgsino mass region: $m_{t_i} < m_{\tilde{0}_i(2)} + m_W$. In Fig. 2, we display a representative set of Feynman diagrams for this process. Unlike the monojet signature that exploits hard initial-state radiation, our signal events possess large missing energies recoiling against a single boosted hadronic top. This channel therefore provides further information about the new physics interaction between the neutralino and stop sectors. We focus on the natural SUSY scenario in which $\tilde{1}_1$ and $\tilde{0}_1$ are Higgsino-like and almost mass degenerate. In this case, $t\tilde{1}_1\tilde{0}_1$ and $t\tilde{1}_2\tilde{0}_2$ processes contribute to the signal with almost equal rates. We also assume that the lighter chargino, $\tilde{\chi}^+_1$, is Higgsino-like and almost mass degenerate with $\tilde{0}_1^0$. The stops decay into $b$ and $\tilde{\chi}^+_1$ with a 100% branching ratio in our setup. The major backgrounds for this search are $t\bar{t}$, $tZ$, $tW$, and $Z +$ jets.

The signal sample $p p \rightarrow t\bar{t}\tilde{1}_i\tilde{0}_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) is generated with MADGRAPH5+PYTHIA6 [74,75], and a flat next-to-leading-order $K$ factor of 1.5 is applied to rescale the leading-order cross section [76–80]. The backgrounds $t\bar{t}$ and $Z +$ jets are produced with ALPGEN+PYTHIA6 [81], merged up to one and three extra jets, respectively, with the MLM matching scheme. The $tZ$ and $tW$ backgrounds are generated with SHERPA [82]. For the $t\bar{t}$ background, we normalize the sample to the NNLO + NLL cross section of 831 pb [83]. All signal and background samples include hadronization and underlying event effects. The detector effects are simulated using the DELPHES3 package [84].

We start our analysis by vetoing isolated leptons with $p_T > 10$ GeV, $|\eta| < 2.5$ and requiring large missing energy: $E_T > 300$ GeV. For the jet reconstruction, we have used the calorimeter tower information obtained by

FIG. 1. Monojet signature (left) and hadronic monotop signature (right).

FIG. 2. Representative Feynmann diagrams for $p p \rightarrow t\bar{t}\tilde{1}_i\tilde{0}_i$ ($i = 1, 2$).
DELPHES3. Only the cells with the transverse energy larger than 0.5 GeV are taken into account. We take advantage of the hadronic top in the boosted regime by reclustering the calorimeter towers into a fat jet with the radius parameter of $R = 1.5$ using the Cambridge/Aachen jet algorithm implemented in FASTJET \cite{85}. We require at least one fat jet with $p_{Tj} > 200$ GeV, $|\eta_j| < 2.5$, and this jet must be top tagged by the HEPTOPTAGGER \cite{72,73}. The HEPTOPTAGGER was initially designed to reconstruct mildly boosted top quarks with $p_{Tt} \sim m_t$. However, large flexibility of the algorithm allows achieving a good tagging efficiency $\sim 30\%$ for highly boosted tops, $p_{Tt} \gtrsim 400$ GeV, keeping the fake rate within the level of 3\%. The red solid histogram in Fig. 3 shows the top-tagging efficiency as a function of the top quark $p_{Tt}$. Also shown in Fig. 3 by the blue dotted histogram is the mistag rate for QCD jets as a function of the fat jet $p_{Tj}$. The tagging efficiency is estimated in the signal sample, while the mistag rate is obtained in the $Z$ + jets sample.

To further suppress the $Z$ + jets background, we also require at least one of the three subjets—inside the fat jet—to be $b$ tagged, assuming the $b$-tagging efficiency of 70\% and the mistag rate of 1\%. After a successful top tagging, we remove the tagged top-jet constituents and recluster the remaining calorimeter towers, but now with the anti-$k_T$ jet algorithm with $R = 0.4$, $p_{Tj} > 30$ GeV and $|\eta_j| < 2.5$. To suppress the dominant $t\bar{t}$ background, we apply an extra-jet veto, $n_j = 0$. We have checked that relaxing this condition (e.g., $n_j \leq 1$) only deteriorates the sensitivity due to the overwhelming contribution from the $t\bar{t}$ background, even when rejecting extra $b$-tagged jets.

It is interesting to compare the hadronic and leptonic monotop channels. In Fig. 4, we show the $E_T$ distributions for the hadronic (red) and leptonic (blue) channels of the

![FIG. 4. $E_T$ distribution for leptonic (blue) and hadronic (red) top decays for $t\bar{t}(\chi^0_{(2)})_1$ in the benchmark point of $(m_{\tilde{t}}, m_{\tilde{\chi}^0_{(2)}}) = (342$ GeV, 334 GeV). The dotted lines are the respective top $p_{Tt}$ distributions, and the dashed line shows only the tagged contribution.](image)

$\chi^0_{(2)}$ in the benchmark point of $(m_{\tilde{t}}, m_{\tilde{\chi}^0_{(2)}}) = (342$ GeV, 334 GeV). The dotted lines are the respective top $p_{Tt}$ distributions, and the dashed line shows only the tagged contribution.

![FIG. 5. 2D ($p_{Tt}, E_T$) distribution for the hadronic (top) and leptonic (bottom) channels. The dense regions are shown in red. Notice that the leptonic final state provides a softer $E_T$ profile as the neutrino momentum from the top-quark decay partly cancels the missing transverse energy generated by neutralinos.](image)
pp → t¯tt′(2) process in the solid lines. The corresponding dotted histograms are the truth level top-quark pT,t distributions. The hadronic final state has a much larger rate due to the greater hadronic branching ratio, BR_{had} ≈ 0.68, of the top quark. We can also see that the hadronic channel leads to more energetic ET distributions in comparison with the leptonic one, which is shown in the corresponding solid lines. The source of this larger ET can be appreciated by looking at the 2D (pT,t, ET) distributions shown in the top (for the hadronic channel) and bottom (for the leptonic channel) plots in Fig. 5. While the hadronic top fully balances the transverse momentum with the two neutralinos in the final state (pT = −pT,t), in the leptonic channel, the ET generated by the neutralinos is partly cancelled by the neutrino from the top-quark decay. It is also worth noting that this cancellation in ET in the leptonic channel is more significant for larger ET bins, where the hadronic top tagging becomes most efficient due to the boosted kinematics of the top quark as explicitly seen in the tagged top pT,t distribution (red dashed). As a result, the number of events with ET ≥ 400 GeV in the hadronic channel exceeds that in the leptonic channel even after taking the top-tagging efficiency into account.

In Fig. 6, we display the ET distribution for the signal and background samples after the full event selections. We observe that the signal-to-background ratio, S/B, increases in the region with large ET. To exploit this feature, we divide our analysis into three signal regions, SR, that differ by the ET requirement as ET > 400, 500, and 600 GeV. The full cut-flow analysis is provided in Table I.

III. RESULTS

We now show the performance of our hadronic monotop analysis assuming the 13 TeV LHC with L = 3 ab⁻¹ and compare it with the leptonic analysis studied in Ref. [51]. In the left (t̃1 = t̃L) and the right (t̃1 = t̃R) panels of Fig. 7, the 95% C.L. sensitivity regions derived from the hadronic monotop analysis are highlighted by the light red color. When deriving the sensitivity, we choose the most sensitive signal region with the largest S/√B. To ensure that the systematic uncertainty is under control, we only consider the regions with S/B > 0.1. The three benchmark points in Table I are denoted by the stars. As can be seen, the performance of this analysis is not sensitive to whether the 1̃L is dominantly 1̃L or 1̃R. In comparison, we also show the 95% C.L. sensitivity derived from the leptonic monotop analysis [51] with the black dashed curve. It is clear that the sensitivity from the hadronic analysis is superior in all regions. For example, in the most compressed (m_{1̃L} = m_{1̃R}) region, the sensitivity reaches m_{1̃L} ~ 420 GeV for the hadronic channel, while the sensitivity for the leptonic channel is limited up to m_{1̃L} ~ 380 GeV for both 1̃L and 1̃R cases. As we have discussed in detail in the previous section, the

![Graph showing signal and backgrounds transverse missing energy ET distributions at the 13 TeV LHC.](image-url)
The superiority of the hadronic channel is attributed to $\mathcal{BR}_{\text{had}} \gg \mathcal{BR}_{\text{lep}}$ and the absence of the partial cancellation in the $E_T$ between the neutralinos and the neutrino in the leptonic channel. We also superimpose the current exclusion limits derived in simplified models assuming 100% branching ratio of the $\tilde{t}_1$. The gray region surrounded by the green curve is excluded by the 13 TeV ATLAS monojet analysis [46] assuming $\mathcal{BR}(\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow c\tilde{\chi}_1^0) = 100\%$, whereas the gray region with the blue curve is excluded by the 13 TeV ATLAS di-$b$ jet analysis [87] assuming $\mathcal{BR}(\tilde{b}_1 \rightarrow b\tilde{\chi}_1^0) = \times 100\%$. Strictly speaking, the latter limit cannot be directly applied to the $(m_{\tilde{t}_1}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0})$ plane. However, in our setup with the $\tilde{t}_1$ predominantly decaying into $b$ and Higgsino-like $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$ with $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm} = m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$, both production rates and event topologies are similar between $pp \rightarrow \tilde{t}_1\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow b\tilde{\chi}_1^+\tilde{\chi}_1^- + \text{jet}$ and $pp \rightarrow \tilde{b}_1\tilde{b}_1 \rightarrow b\tilde{\chi}_1^+\tilde{\chi}_1^-$. So, this limit can be applied at least approximately. We also comment that these published exclusion limits are sensitive to the $\tilde{t}_1$ decay. On the other hand, the monotop analysis presented in this paper is less sensitive to it since the high-$p_T$ objects used in the analysis did not originate from the $\tilde{t}_1$ decay but from the top-quark decay.

IV. CONCLUSION

We have studied a class of natural SUSY models in which the stop and Higgsinos have almost equal masses. It has been known that this compressed region can be most effectively searched for by the monojet channel, exploiting hard QCD initial-state radiation. The drawback of the monojet channel is that the hight $p_T$ jet is entirely controlled by QCD and does not carry information on the stop and Higgsino sectors. Indeed, finding this signature does not necessarily indicate the existence of the light stop and Higgsino. To probe the stop and Higgsino sectors, another channel providing orthogonal information is required.

In this paper, we have studied a supersymmetric version of the $t\bar{t}H$ process, namely, $t\tilde{t}X_{(2)}$ production. In the region where the mass spectrum is compressed ($m_{\tilde{t}_1} = m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$), this process leads to a distinctive monotop signature. The three-particle production process $pp \rightarrow \tilde{t}\tilde{t}X_{(2)}$ can have observably large rates only if both the stop and Higgsinos are significantly light. The monotop signature can thus be regarded as the smoking gun signature of the compressed region of the natural SUSY scenario.

We focused in this article on the hadronic final state of the monotop signature with an obvious advantage of $\mathcal{BR}_{\text{had}} \gg \mathcal{BR}_{\text{lep}}$. To discriminate the signal from backgrounds, we have used HepTopTagger to tag a boosted hadronic top in the signal. We found a superior performance in the sensitivity for the hadronic monotop analysis over the previously studied leptonic analysis [51]. This is attributed not only to $\mathcal{BR}_{\text{had}} \gg \mathcal{BR}_{\text{lep}}$ but also to the fact that $E_T$ is harder in the hadronic channel than in the leptonic channel.
leptonic one because the $E_T$ generated by the neutralinos is partially cancelled by the neutrino from the top-quark decay in the leptonic channel. After performing Monte Carlo simulation including the detector effects, we have found the sensitivity in the hadronic monotop analysis reaches $m_t^\nu = 420$ GeV, exhibiting a significant improvement over the leptonic analysis of which the reach is $m_t^\nu = 380$ GeV. We also observed that, in order to suppress the background, very large $E_T$ (e.g., $E_T > 400–600$ GeV) is required. The $E_T$ is highly correlated to the top quark $p_T$, and the top tagging becomes most efficient in the high-$p_T$ region. We therefore expect that the hadronic monotop channel works well also for the light-stop and Higgsino searches at future 100 TeV $pp$ colliders.
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