A disc wind interpretation of the strong Fe Kα features in 1H 0707–495
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ABSTRACT

1H 0707–495 is the most convincing example of a supermassive black hole with an X-ray spectrum being dominated by extremely smeared, relativistic reflection, with the additional requirement of strongly supersolar iron abundance. However, here we show that the iron features in its 2–10 keV spectrum are rather similar to the archetypal wind dominated source, PDS 456. We fit all the 2–10 keV spectra from 1H 0707–495 using the same wind model as used for PDS 456, but viewed at higher inclination so that the iron absorption line is broader but not so blueshifted. This gives a good overall fit to the data from 1H 0707–495, and an extrapolation of this model to higher energies also gives a good match to the NuSTAR data. Small remaining residuals indicate that the iron line emission is stronger than in PDS 456. This is consistent with the wider angle wind expected from a continuum-driven wind from the super-Eddington mass accretion rate in 1H 0707–495, and/or the presence of residual reflection from the underlying disc though the presence of the absorption line in the model removes the requirement for highly relativistic smearing, and highly supersolar iron abundance. We suggest that the spectrum of 1H 0707–495 is sculpted more by absorption in a wind than by extreme relativistic effects in strong gravity.
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1 INTRODUCTION

1H 0707–495 (hereafter 1H0707) is a narrow line Seyfert 1 (NLS1) galaxy, i.e. a low mass, high mass accretion rate (in terms of Eddington) AGN (Boroson 2002). It shows extreme dips in its X-ray light curve, during which the spectra have a steep drop around 7 keV, associated with iron Kα (Boller et al. 2002). These spectra are generally fitted with ionized reflection, but the features are so strong and broad that this interpretation requires extreme conditions. The requirements are that the black hole spin is close to maximal, that the incident radiation is strongly focused on to the inner edge of the disc whilst being suppressed in the direction of the observer, and that iron is overabundant by a factor of 7–20 (Fabian et al. 2004; 2009, 2012; Zoghbi et al. 2010). The first two features can be explained together in a model where the dips are caused by an extremely compact X-ray source on the spin axis of the black hole approaching the event horizon (hereafter the lamppost model). The resulting strong light bending focuses the intrinsic continuum away from the observer (producing the drop in flux), whilst simultaneously strongly illuminating the very inner disc (Miniutti & Fabian 2004).

However, the optical/UV continuum from 1H0707 implies that the black hole is accreting at a super-Eddington rate (Done & Jin 2016). Hence the inner disc is unlikely to be flat, as assumed in the lamppost reflection models, and should launch a strong wind due to continuum radiation pressure (Ohsuga & Mineshige 2011; Jiang, Stone & Davis 2014; Hashizume et al. 2015; Sądowski & Narayan 2016). Thus this source, and other NLS1s with similarly very high mass accretion rates and similarly extreme X-ray spectra (e.g. IRAS 13224−3809: Ponti et al. 2010) may have spectral features which are affected by absorption and/or emission/scattering in a wind. Absorption has been persistently suggested as an alternative explanation for the features seen around iron in the NLS1s, though the spectra are complex and not easy to fit (Mrk 766: Miller et al. 2007; Turner et al. 2007, MCG–6–30–15: Inoue & Matsumoto 2001; Gallo et al. 2004; Miller, Turner & Reeves 2008, 1H0707: Boller et al. 2002; Miller et al. 2010; Mizumoto, Ebisawa & Sameshima 2014).

The recent discovery of blueshifted (v > 10 000 km s−1, i.e. 0.03c), narrow absorption lines from very highly ionized material (mostly He– and H-like Fe Kα) has focused attention on winds...
from the inner disc. These Ultra-Fast Outflows (UFOs) are seen from a variety of nearby Seyfert galaxies (see e.g. the compilation by Tombesi et al. 2010). The fast velocities imply that the winds are launched from the inner disc since winds typically have terminal velocities similar to the escape velocity from their launch radius. However, the launch mechanism is not well understood (e.g. Tombesi et al. 2012). Standard broad-line Seyfert galaxies are well below their Eddington limit, so that they cannot power winds from continuum radiation pressure alone. Moreover, they have strong X-ray emission which ionizes the disc material above where it has substantial UV opacity, suppressing a UV line driven disc wind (Proga, Stone & Kallman 2000; Proga & Kallman 2004; Higginbottom et al. 2014). Magnetic driving seems the only remaining mechanism, but this depends strongly on the (unknown) magnetic field configuration so is not yet predictive (Proga 2003).

Despite this general lack of understanding of the origin of the winds, the fastest, \( v \gg 0.2 \, c \), and most powerful UFOs are seen in luminous quasars such as PDS 456 (Reeves et al. 2009; Nardini et al. 2015) and APM 08279+5255, a high-redshift quasar which is gravitationally lensed (Chartas et al. 2002). These AGN are not standard broad-line Seyfert galaxies. Instead, since both quasars are around the Eddington limit, they could power a continuum-driven wind. Also, black holes in both quasars are very high mass (\( \sim 10^8 \sim 10^{10} \, M_\odot \)) so that their accretion disc spectra should peak in the UV. PDS 456 is also clearly intrinsically X-ray weak (Hagino et al. 2015), and APM 08279+5255 may also be similar (Hagino et al. in preparation). A more favourable set of circumstances for UV line driving is hard to imagine. None the less, the extremely high ionization of the UFO in PDS 456 means that the observed wind material has no UV opacity, so UV line driving must take place out of the line of sight if this mechanism is important (Hagino et al. 2015).

Whatever the launch mechanism, PDS 456 clearly has features at Fe K\( \alpha \) which are dominated by a wind from the inner disc rather than extreme reflection from the inner disc (Reeves, O’Brien & Ward 2003; Reeves et al. 2009; Nardini et al. 2015). The best wind models so far use Monte Carlo techniques to track the complex, geometry and velocity dependent, processes in the wind including absorption, emission, continuum scattering and resonance line scattering (Sim et al. 2008, 2010; Hagino et al. 2015). While these models have been used to fit Mrk 766 (Sim et al. 2008), PG 1211+143 (Sim et al. 2010), PDS 456 (Hagino et al. 2015) and six other ‘bare’ Seyfert 1 nuclei (Tatum et al. 2012), they have not yet been applied to 1H0707, the object with the strongest and broadest iron features, and the one where the reflection models require the most extreme conditions (Fabian et al. 2009, 2012). Previous work showed that simple wind models, where the iron features were described using a single P Cygni profile, can fit the deep drop at \( \sim 7 \) keV seen in one observation of this object (Done et al. 2007), but here we use the full Monte Carlo wind code, MONACO, of Hagino et al. (2015) to see if this can adequately fit all the multiple observations of 1H0707. We show that inner disc wind models can indeed give a good overall description of the 2–10 keV spectra, and that extrapolating these to higher energies can also match the NuSTAR data from this source.

## 2 OBSERVATIONS OF 1H0707 AND COMPARISON TO THE ARCHETYPICAL WIND SOURCE PDS 456

1H0707 was observed by Suzaku and XMM–Newton for many times as listed in Table 1. We reduce both XMM–Newton pn and Suzaku XIS data with standard screening conditions: PATTERN \( \leq 4 \) events for XMM–Newton/pn data and grade 0, 2, 3, 4 and 6 events for Suzaku/XIS data were used. Bad time intervals were also excluded. XMM–Newton data in time intervals when background rates of PATTERN = 0 events at energy \( > 10 \) keV exceeded 0.4 counts s\(^{-1}\) were removed. Suzaku data within 436 s of passage through the South Atlantic Anomaly, and within an Earth elevation angle (ELV) \( < 5^\circ \) and Earth day-time elevation angles (DYE_ELV) \( < 20^\circ \) were excluded. Spectra were extracted from circular regions of 64 arcsec and 2.9 arcmin diameter for XMM–Newton and Suzaku, respectively. Background spectra of XMM–Newton data were extracted from circular regions of the same diameter in the same chip as the source regions, while background spectra of Suzaku data were extracted from annular region from 7.0 to 14.0 arcmin diameter. The observed data show a large variability in continuum spectra as seen in Fig. 1. There is an obvious variability in the 2–6 keV continuum shape and in the strength of the iron K features around 7 keV.

![Figure 1. All 2–10 keV spectra observed by XMM–Newton/pn and Suzaku/XIS detectors, unfolded against a \( \Gamma = 2 \) power law.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Obs ID</th>
<th>Start date</th>
<th>Net exposure (ks)(^a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>XMM–Newton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obs1</td>
<td>0110890201</td>
<td>2000-10-21</td>
<td>37.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obs2</td>
<td>0148010301</td>
<td>2002-10-13</td>
<td>68.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obs3</td>
<td>0506200301</td>
<td>2007-05-14</td>
<td>35.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obs4</td>
<td>0506200201</td>
<td>2007-05-16</td>
<td>29.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obs5</td>
<td>0506200501</td>
<td>2007-06-20</td>
<td>32.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obs6</td>
<td>0506200401</td>
<td>2007-07-06</td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obs7</td>
<td>0511580101</td>
<td>2008-01-29</td>
<td>99.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obs8</td>
<td>0511580201</td>
<td>2008-01-31</td>
<td>66.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obs9</td>
<td>0511580301</td>
<td>2008-02-02</td>
<td>59.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obs10</td>
<td>0511580401</td>
<td>2008-02-04</td>
<td>66.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obs11</td>
<td>0653510301</td>
<td>2010-09-13</td>
<td>103.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obs12</td>
<td>0653510401</td>
<td>2010-09-15</td>
<td>102.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obs13</td>
<td>0653510501</td>
<td>2010-09-17</td>
<td>95.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obs14</td>
<td>0653510601</td>
<td>2010-09-19</td>
<td>97.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obs15</td>
<td>0554710801</td>
<td>2011-01-12</td>
<td>64.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suzuki</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SuzakuObs</td>
<td>700008010</td>
<td>2005-12-03</td>
<td>97.9/100.4/97.2/97.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Net exposure time of pn for XMM–Newton and XIS0/XIS1/XIS2/XIS3 for Suzaku, respectively.
while the azimuthal velocity is assumed to be Keplerian at the launch point, and then conserves angular momentum as the wind expands. We assume $v_0$ is negligible. There can also be a turbulent velocity, $v_t$.

The total wind mass-loss rate $M_{\text{wind}}$ is given as

$$M_{\text{wind}} = 1.23 m_p n(r) v_\text{L}(r) 2\pi D^2 \frac{\Omega}{4\pi}$$

which sets the density $n(r)$ as a function of radius in the wind. Here, 1.23 $m_p$, $D$ and $\Omega$ are an ion mass, the distance from the focal point and a solid angle of the wind, respectively. The bicone is split into 100 radial shells, and the ion populations are calculated in each shell by using the XSTAR photoionization code on the assumption that the central source is a power-law spectrum with photon index $\Gamma$ and ionizing luminosity $L_*=\text{adjusted}$ for special relativistic dimming from the radial outflow velocity. The resulting $\text{H-}$ and $\text{He-like}$ ion densities of each element are put in the Monte Carlo radiation transfer simulator MONACO, which uses the GEANT4 toolkit library (Allison et al. 2006) for photon tracking, but extended to include a full treatment of photon processes related to H- and He-like ions (Watanabe et al. 2006).

Thus there are nine major free parameters. $R_{\text{min}}, R_{\text{max}}$ and $d$ determine the geometry. $v_\infty$, $v_1$ and $\beta$ define the radial velocity structure. These geometry and velocity structure together with $M_{\text{wind}}$ determine the density structure, which then sets the ionization state given $L_*$ and $\Gamma$.

This wind model is self-similar in ionization structure and column density for systems at different mass but the same Eddington ratio $m = L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}}$, i.e. the same geometry, velocity structure and spectral index. The ionization state $\xi = L_*/n D^2$ can be written as

$$\xi = 1.23 m_p v_1 \Omega L_*/M_{\text{wind}}.$$  

where equation (2) is used. Since the ionizing luminosity can be written as $L_*=f_\text{sec} \eta M_{\text{Edd}} c^2$ where $f_\text{sec} = L_*/L_{\text{bol}}$, then the ionization parameter reads

$$\xi = 1.23 m_p v_1 \Omega f_\text{sec} \eta c^2/(M_{\text{wind}}/M_{\text{Edd}}).$$

Thus the ionization state of the wind is determined by $M_{\text{wind}}/M_{\text{Edd}}$, and not by black hole mass directly.

The observed spectral properties strongly depend on the inclination angle. The energy at which the line absorption is seen depends on both the terminal wind speed and the inclination angle at which we see the wind, whereas the width of the absorption line depends on the spread of velocities along the line of sight. This spread in turn depends on the terminal velocity of the wind, how much of the acceleration region is along the line of sight, and the projected angle between the wind streamlines and the line of sight. Along the bicone, a fast wind acceleration law means that most of the wind is at its terminal velocity. Thus the line width is fairly small and the blueshift indicates the true wind velocity. At higher inclination angles, the line of sight cuts across the acceleration region so the absorption line is wider and the total blueshift is not so large since the line of sight includes much lower velocity material. Thus the same wind seen at different line of sight can have very different properties in terms of the measured width and blueshift of the absorption line.

We illustrate this inclination dependence in Fig. 3 by showing the MONACO wind model for PDS 456 at a larger range of inclination angles than in Hagino et al. (2015). This wind model has $R_{\text{min}} = d = 20 R_*$ and $R_{\text{max}} = 1.5 R_{\text{min}}$, so that the wind fills a bicone between $\theta_{\text{min}} = 45^\circ$ to $\theta_{\text{max}} = 56.3^\circ$, i.e. the wind fills a solid angle $\Omega/4\pi = 0.15$. We fix the velocity law at $\beta = 1$ and assume $v_0 = v_1 = 10\,000\,\text{km s}^{-1}$. The terminal velocity is set at $v_\infty = -0.3 \epsilon$.

Figure 2. Comparison between the brightest/faintest spectra of PDS 456 (black/grey: ObsID 707035030/701056010) and the brightest/faintest spectra of 1H0707 (cyan/blue: Obs12/Obs15). The 2–10 keV spectra show a similar range in 2–5 keV spectral slope, and similarly deep features at Fe Kα, though these start at a lower energy in 1H0707, and are broader in PDS 456.

3 THE MONACO SIMULATIONS FOR PDS 456

The wind in PDS 456 was modelled by using the MONACO Monte Carlo code. This wind model and the calculation scheme are fully described in Hagino et al. (2015), and the general framework design of the code is described in Odaka et al. (2011). To summarize its main features, it follows Sim et al. (2010) and assumes a biconical wind geometry, where the wind is launched from radii $R_{\text{min}}$ to $R_{\text{max}}$ along streamlines which diverge from a focal point at a distance $d$ below the black hole. The radial velocity of material along each streamline of length $l$ from its launch point on the disc is

$$v_1(l) = v_0 + (v_\infty - v_0) \left(1 - \frac{R_{\text{min}}}{R_{\text{min}} + l}\right)^{\beta},$$

(1)
which is consistent with the escape velocity from $R_{\text{max}}$. The mass outflow rate is $M_{\text{wind}}/M_{\text{edd}} = 0.3$, and the ionizing spectrum with $L_{\text{r}}/L_{\text{edd}} = 10^{44}/10^{47}$ and $\Gamma = 2.5$ is assumed. It is clear that inclination angles larger than $\theta_{\text{max}}$ give a line which is less blueshifted, but broader, as required to fit 1H0707. The width is accentuated because the larger column density of the wind means that the ionized iron edge is also important in absorbing the spectrum blueward of the absorption line. These high inclination MONACO model spectra for PDS 456 give iron K features which are quite similar to those required for 1H0707.

### 4 FITTING THE RANGE OF 2–10 KEV DATA FROM 1H0707

#### 4.1 Tailoring the wind model to 1H0707

We first see whether the MONACO model calculations for the archetypal wind source PDS 456 can fit the features seen in 1H0707 by simply viewing the wind at a larger inclination angle. We fit to Obs12, which is the steepest spectrum seen from 1H0707. We assume that this steepest spectrum has negligible absorption from lower ionization species. We use the MONACO results from PDS 456, as coded into a multiplicative model by Hagino et al. (2015), on a power-law continuum. Free parameters are the inclination and the redshift. By allowing the redshift to be free, we are able to fit for a slightly different wind velocity. This fitting gives $\chi^2 = 115/83$ for $\Gamma = 3.07 \pm 0.15$, a somewhat better fit, but with more free parameters (Fig. 4b). Inspection of the residuals shows that the wind model underpredicts the emission line flux, whereas the reflection model underpredicts the extent of the drop at $\sim 7.5$ keV. This suggests that the drop is better matched by the wind, but there is more line emission than predicted in the PDS 456 models.

This discrepancy could be produced by the wind itself. A wider angle wind will intercept more of the source luminosity, and have stronger emission/reflection/scattering features at iron K for a given absorption line strength. We will investigate such wide angle winds in a subsequent paper. Alternatively (or additionally), there can be lower ionization species in the wind which also add to the line emission. We approximate both of these physical pictures by adding a phenomenological broad Gaussian line to the wind model, and find a better fit than either reflection or the PDS 456 wind alone ($\chi^2 = 107/84$: Fig. 4c).

Another possible origin for the excess iron line emission is that there is residual reflection from the underlying disc. We add a phenomenological blurred reflection component as above, allowing the amount of disc reflection, emissivity index, inner disc radius, inclination angle, iron abundance and ionization parameter to be free. This is a better fit than the Gaussian line ($\chi^2 = 92.0/82$), mostly because the reflection model also includes hydrogen-like sulphur line emission at 2.8 keV which is clearly present in the data. However, the best-fitting reflection parameters are now more extreme than those for the fit without a wind, with strongly centrally peaked emissivity and fairly small inner radius (Table 2).

Such strongly centrally peaked emissivity is characteristic of the lamppost model, but this produces an illumination emissivity profile which is more complex than a single power-law. Hence we replace KDBLUR with the fully relativistic lamppost model for the emissivity (RELCONV_LP: Dauser et al. 2013). Fixing the black hole spin to
Figure 4. XMM–Newton/pn spectra of Obs12 fitted by (a) WIND*POWERLAW (top-left), (b) POWERLAW+KDBLUR*REFLIONX (top-right), (c) WIND*(POWERLAW+ZGAUS) (bottom-left) and (d) WIND*(POWERLAW+KDBLUR*REFLIONX) with emissivity fixed at 3 (bottom-right). The spectra and models are plotted in black and red, respectively. The lower panels show the residuals in units of $\chi$.

Table 2. Fitting parameters for Obs12 with MONACO wind models and the extremely blurred reflection model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MONACO wind</th>
<th>Power law</th>
<th>KDBLUR</th>
<th>REFLIONX</th>
<th>Gaussian</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) MONACO wind</td>
<td>$\Gamma$</td>
<td>Index</td>
<td>Fe abundance</td>
<td>Line energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.65^{+0.05}_{-0.04}</td>
<td>$3.57^{+0.18}_{-0.35}$</td>
<td>$&gt;6.75$</td>
<td>$99.6^{+118.1}_{-44.8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) KDBLUR</td>
<td>$\theta_{\text{incl}}$</td>
<td>$10.85$</td>
<td>$&lt;10.85$</td>
<td>$99.6^{+118.1}_{-44.8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) MONACO wind</td>
<td>$\Gamma$</td>
<td>$3.3^{+0.6}_{-0.6}$</td>
<td>$&lt;10.85$</td>
<td>$&lt;10.85$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) MONACO wind</td>
<td>$\Gamma$</td>
<td>$52.4^{+2.0}_{-10.9}$</td>
<td>$62.4^{+2.0}_{-10.9}$</td>
<td>$62.4^{+2.0}_{-10.9}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+ KDBLUR*REFLIONX</td>
<td>+ ZGAUS</td>
<td>+ KDBLUR*REFLIONX</td>
<td>+ KDBLUR*REFLIONX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Emissivity:free</td>
<td>Emissivity:free</td>
<td>Emissivity:free</td>
<td>Emissivity:free</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^{a}$Parameters are fixed.
maximal and allowing the inner radius to be free (as in KDBLUR) gives a slightly worse fit, with $\chi^2 = 94.4/82$ for similarly extreme parameters (inner radius of $\sim 3 r_g$ and height of $\lesssim 2 r_g$; Fig. 5a). However, this fit is very poorly constrained as shown in Fig. 5(b).

Only the most extreme solution at small $R_m$ and small $h$ can be excluded with more than 99 per cent confidence level, while the best fit has very similar fit statistic for much less extreme fits ($R_m \sim 30 r_g$ for height which is unconstrained). We illustrate a statistically equivalent less extreme fit in Fig. 4(d) by using KDBLUR with fixed emissivity of 3 and find a much larger inner radius of $\sim 36 r_g$ ($\chi^2 = 95.1/83$; Table 2). This result shows directly that with wind absorption, the lamppost reflection is not required to be extreme in either relativistic smearing nor in iron abundance.

Regardless of how the additional emission component is modelled, our wind model developed for the highly blueshifted absorption lines in PDS 456 is better able to produce the observed sharp drop at $\sim 7$ keV in 1H0707 than relativistic reflection alone.

4.2 Application to XMM–Newton and Suzaku data

We re-run MONACO with the parameters around the values obtained in the previous section but now put in explicitly the mass of $5 \times 10^6$ $M_\odot$, an observed X-ray spectrum with $\Gamma = 2.6$ and $L_n = 2 \times 10^{42}$ erg s$^{-1}$, and reduce the wind velocity to 0.2 $c$ but keep the same velocity law.

The result from the previous section suggests that there is rather more line emission compared to absorption than is predicted for the PDS 456 wind geometry. However, a larger solid angle of the wind would necessitate more resolution in $\theta$ and a change in the way of calculating the ionization state. Hence we keep the same wind geometry for the present, and run simulations for $M_{\text{wind}}/M_{\text{Edd}} = 0.13, 0.20, 0.26$. Refitting these to Obs12 gives $\chi^2 = 139.7, 132$ and 133 for 89 degrees of freedom, respectively. Hence we pick $M_{\text{wind}}/M_{\text{Edd}} = 0.2$, and apply this model to all the observations of 1H0707. Similarly to PDS 456, we assume that the intrinsic power law stayed constant in spectral index, and that the major change in spectral hardness is produced by a changing absorption from lower ionization species. To describe this absorption, we used a very simple model of partially ionized absorption which can partially cover the source (ZXPICF in XSPEC). This gives an adequate fit to all

the PDS 456 spectra, including the hardest (black line in Fig. 2) which bears some similarities to the hardest spectra in 1H0707, which are generally interpreted as reflection dominated (Fabian et al. 2012).

All the spectra (black) and models (red) are plotted in Fig. 6. We also show the background (blue) for each spectrum, so that the signal to noise at high energies can be directly assessed. The parameters for each fit are listed in Table 3. For Obs8 and Obs12, the absorption component is not included because the significance of adding ZXPICF does not exceed the 99 per cent confidence level of an F-test.

As shown in Fig. 6, our MONACO models roughly reproduce the sharp drop around 7.1–7.5 keV in all the observed spectra. The best-fitting values of inclination angle ranges from $\sim 58^\circ$ to $75^\circ$. These are all higher than the inclinations inferred for PDS 456, and there is a broader spread in derived inclination angle.

4.3 Application to NuSTAR data

A key breakthrough in AGN spectral studies has come from NuSTAR data which extend the energy range of the observed spectra beyond 10 keV and have much better signal to noise above 7 keV than the Suzaku or XMM–Newton data (see Fig. 6). 1H0707 has also been observed by NuSTAR, and the resulting spectra can be fit by the extreme relativistic reflection models (Kara et al. 2015).

We extract the NuSTAR data, and follow Kara et al. (2015) in selecting the second NuSTAR data set, which is a good match to XMM–Newton Obs15. Fig. 7 shows the model fit to Obs15 extrapolated to 30 keV. We note that around half the drop at $\sim 7$ keV is from the wind model alone, while the other half is from the complex lower ionization absorption. There are no additional free parameters, but the model gives a good fit to the higher energy data.

5 DISCUSSION

5.1 Effects of cool clumps

We model the wind using the MONACO Monte Carlo code, which tracks emission, absorption and scattering in a continuous wind geometry (Hagino et al. 2015). In this continuous wind model,
all the atoms lighter than iron are almost fully ionized, so that it cannot produce the strong spectral variability seen below the iron line region. Instead, in the archetypal wind source PDS 456, such variability is assumed to be from lower ionization species. In PDS 456 this additional spectral variability can be approximately modelled using partially ionized material which can partially cover the source. We show that this same combination of partial covering by lower ionization material together with the highly ionized wind...
gives an acceptable fit to the 1H0707 spectra, including the higher energy data from *NuSTAR*.

The lower ionization material in the wind is likely to be clumped due to the ionization instability for X-ray illuminated material in pressure balance (Krolik, McKee & Tarter 1981). These clumps are cooler and less ionized than the hot phase of the wind, but with lower filling factor. The dramatic dips which are characteristic of the light curves of complex NLS1 like 1H0707 can then be interpreted as occultations by these cool clumps. We note that time dependent, clumpy absorption is typical of both UV line driven disc winds (Proga & Kallman 2004), and continuum-driven winds (Takeuchi, Ohsuga & Mineshige 2014).

The partially ionized clumps which partially cover the source imprint some spectral features as well as curving the continuum. Fig. 8 shows the data and model in the entire energy band for the highest (top panel) and lowest (bottom panel) flux states. The data are plotted in black and the total model spectrum is shown by the red line. The model spectrum is separated into absorbed (blue), unabsorbed (green) and a soft X-ray excess component (magenta, see below). The low-ionization absorption imprints strong atomic features from oxygen and iron-L below 2 keV in the lowest intensity state, but these are diluted by the X-rays which are not absorbed, so that the total spectrum is almost featureless in the 0.5–2 keV bandpass. Hence it predicts no observable features in the RGS.

The unabsorbed component does not dilute the iron-K features because the absorbed component is dominant at higher energies. The effect of absorption at iron K from the cool clumps alone is shown by the pink line in the inset window of Fig. 8, while the red line in the inset shows the total (cool plus hot phase) absorption. The pink line shows that the cool clumps do imprint iron Kα absorption lines around 6.45 keV rest frame from Fe xviii–xx as well as the much stronger Kβ lines around 7 keV rest frame. The Kβ lines blend with the hot phase absorption, while the Kα lines have an equivalent width of only a few eV, which is not detectable even with a 300 ks observation with *Hitomi* for a source as weak as 1H0707. Hence the model is consistent with current and near future limits on spectral features in the data.

A soft excess component (magenta) is added in the model spectrum in Fig. 8 in order to roughly describe the spectrum at low energies. We use a thermal Comptonization model *COMPTT*, allowing only the normalization to be free while fixing the shape to parameters typically seen in other AGN, namely a seed photon temperature of 0.05 keV, coronal temperature of $kT = 0.2$ keV and optical depth at $\tau = 15$ (Done et al. 2012). The plots show that the observed spectrum is roughly reproduced by this model, but there is an excess around 0.8 keV and a deficit between $\sim1$–$2$ keV. These differences can be interpreted as broad emission and absorption

### Table 3. MONICA fitted with $v = 0.2c$ and $M_{\text{wind}}/M_{\text{edd}} = 0.2$ to all the observations of 1H0707.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Continuum absorption</th>
<th>MONICA wind</th>
<th>Fit statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$N_{\text{H}}$ (10$^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>$\log \xi$</td>
<td>$f_{\text{low}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obs1</td>
<td>28$^{+6}_{-5}$</td>
<td>1.90$^{+0.09}_{-0.03}$</td>
<td>0.85$^{+0.12}_{-0.07}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obs2</td>
<td>66$^{+7}_{-5}$</td>
<td>1.90$^{+0.08}_{-0.09}$</td>
<td>0.45$^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obs3</td>
<td>15$^{+3}_{-1}$</td>
<td>&lt;1.63</td>
<td>0.68$^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obs4</td>
<td>8.2$^{+0.2}_{-0.3}$</td>
<td>&lt;2.28</td>
<td>0.80$^{+0.06}_{-0.19}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obs5</td>
<td>23$^{+2}_{-1}$</td>
<td>&lt;2.14</td>
<td>0.28$^{+0.09}_{-0.09}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obs6</td>
<td>8.4$^{+0.6}_{-0.5}$</td>
<td>&lt;2.02</td>
<td>0.42$^{+0.20}_{-0.14}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obs7</td>
<td>15$^{+1.3}_{-0.9}$</td>
<td>2.71$^{+0.10}_{-0.56}$</td>
<td>0.71$^{+0.06}_{-0.25}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obs8</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obs9</td>
<td>65$^{+7.0}_{-11}$</td>
<td>&lt;2.26</td>
<td>0.42$^{+0.41}_{-0.21}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obs10</td>
<td>124$^{+3.3}_{-10.9}$</td>
<td>2.71$^{+0.19}_{-1.90}$</td>
<td>0.58$^{+0.16}_{-0.14}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obs11</td>
<td>121$^{+3.2}_{-7.3}$</td>
<td>2.76$^{+0.21}_{-0.46}$</td>
<td>0.60$^{+0.24}_{-0.24}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obs12</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obs13</td>
<td>117$^{+2.8}_{-6.3}$</td>
<td>2.73$^{+0.15}_{-0.31}$</td>
<td>0.63$^{+0.13}_{-0.20}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obs14</td>
<td>181$^{+2.3}_{-1.8}$</td>
<td>2.8$^{+0.10}_{-0.09}$</td>
<td>0.82$^{+0.07}_{-0.08}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obs15</td>
<td>23$^{+4.7}_{-7.8}$</td>
<td>1.61$^{+0.02}_{-0.78}$</td>
<td>0.93$^{+0.04}_{-0.02}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SuzakuObs</td>
<td>109$^{+2.7}_{-29}$</td>
<td>2.72$^{+0.7}_{-1.42}$</td>
<td>0.89$^{+0.08}_{-0.08}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* No constraints on this parameter were obtained.
The observed data and model of the highest flux state (top: Obs12) and the lowest flux state (bottom: Obs15 and the NuSTAR data) plotted over a wide energy range. The absorbed spectrum is shown as the blue line, the unabsorbed in green, the total in red, and the observed data in black. A soft X-ray excess component with typical parameters is also plotted in magenta to show the spectrum at lower energies below 2 keV. There are no spectral features predicted below 2 keV due to the dilution by the unabsorbed (or reflected/scattered) flux (green). There are absorption lines from Fe XVIII--XX produced by cool clumps (pink line in a small window inside bottom panel) but the Kα lines at ~6.45 keV are not detectable even with 250 ks of Hitomi, and the higher energy lines merge with the hot phase of the wind (red line in a small window).

Figure 8. The observed data and model of the highest flux state (top: Obs12) and the lowest flux state (bottom: Obs15 and the NuSTAR data) plotted over a wide energy range. The absorbed spectrum is shown as the blue line, the unabsorbed in green, the total in red, and the observed data in black. A soft X-ray excess component with typical parameters is also plotted in magenta to show the spectrum at lower energies below 2 keV. There are no spectral features predicted below 2 keV due to the dilution by the unabsorbed (or reflected/scattered) flux (green). There are absorption lines from Fe XVIII--XX produced by cool clumps (pink line in a small window inside bottom panel) but the Kα lines at ~6.45 keV are not detectable even with 250 ks of Hitomi, and the higher energy lines merge with the hot phase of the wind (red line in a small window).

The clumpy structure can also play an important role for a characteristic time lags observed in 1H0707. The observations show a complex pattern of lags between soft and harder energies, with the soft band leading for slow variability, and lagging for fast variability (Fabian et al. 2009; Zoghbi et al. 2010). In the relativistic reflection picture, this can be explained by a partially ionized disc, where reflection is weaker at 2–4 keV than at lower or higher energies. Thus, reflection dominates in the soft band, while the intrinsic continuum dominates in the intermediate band at a few keV. Reflection follows the continuum, but with a lag from the light travel time from the source to the reflector, giving the soft (conventionally referred to as a ‘negative’) lag at high frequencies. The positive lag at lower frequencies is probably from propagation of fluctuations through the accretion flow. On the other hand, a full spectral-timing model including both the positive and negative lags for PG 1244+026, another AGN with very similar mass and mass accretion rate to 1H0707, gives the observed lag of 200 s seen in PG 1244+026 from reprocessing by material extending from 6–12 $R_s$ (assuming a mass of $10^7 M_\odot$; Gardner & Done 2014). In this interpretation, this lag is due to the illuminating hard X-ray flux which is not reflected but thermalized and re-emitted as quasi-blackbody radiation. Adding multiple occultations by clumps on to the same full spectral-timing model reduces the predicted lags to 50 s, similar to the 30 s observed in 1H0707 but without requiring a small reflector distance (Gardner & Done 2015). Thus cool clumps may be able to explain both the time averaged spectrum and the extremely short lags without requiring lightbending from a small corona close to the event horizon of an extreme spin black hole, though it remains to be seen whether they can fit the lag-energy spectra as well as the lag frequency spectra (Gardner & Done 2015). However, we note that the lamppost model itself also has difficulty fitting the details of the lag-energy spectra (Wilkins et al. 2016). Their alternative geometry of an extended corona may give a better fit to the lag-energy spectra, but it seems unlikely to be able to simultaneously explain the deep dips in the light curves which can be explained in the lamppost model by the very small source approaching the horizon.

5.2 Wind geometry

Our specific wind model is probably not unique in terms of wind geometry and velocity structure. In particular, we used the same wind solid angle of $\Omega/4\pi = 0.15$ in 1H0707 as for PDS 456. This is likely to be appropriate for PDS 456 if there is indeed some component of UV line driving to the wind. It is because numerical studies show that this driving mechanism results in time variable but fairly narrow wind streamlines. However, continuum radiation driving is much more likely in 1H0707, in which case the numerical simulations predict a wider opening angle wind. Future work should simulate winds with a larger opening angle, which would require correspondingly larger mass-loss rates in order to keep the same absorption column density.

The wider opening angle wind should also result in stronger line emission, so that this may give a better fit to some of the remaining residuals. However, we caution that all these spectra are co-added, integrating over dramatic short time-scale variability so steady-state models may not be appropriate.

6 CONCLUSIONS

We can successfully reproduce the range of spectra seen from 1H0707 with absorption in a wind from the inner disc. The strong spectral drop is produced by our line of sight cutting across the acceleration region where the wind is launched. In this region, we see the absorption line over a wide range of velocities, making a very broad feature. Since the column density in the wind is large, the broad absorption line merges into the absorption edge, which further depresses the spectrum at higher energies. Strong winds are naturally expected in a super Eddington source from continuum driving by radiation pressure.

Our model is only approximate, and a larger wind opening angle than used in our model would probably give a better fit to the data, as it would give stronger iron emission as discussed in Section 5. Both time variability and the spectral shape are consistent with
the existence of additional cool clumps in the wind. Clumps are naturally expected due to instabilities in the wind, but we only approximately model these by a patchy, low ionisation absorber. This implies the continuum radiation driving mechanism, which is expected in the super Eddington accretion.

None the less, the wind model presented here can fit the overall 2–30 keV spectra from 1H0707. Unlike the lamppost models, it does not require that the black hole has extreme spin, nor does it require that we have a clean view of a flat disc, nor does it require that iron is 7–20 times overabundant. We suggest that the extreme iron features in 1H0707 arise more from absorption/scattering/emission from an inner disc wind than from extreme gravity alone.
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