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STORM IN A TEACUP: X-RAY VIEW OF AN OBSCURED QUASAR AND SUPERBUBBLE
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ABSTRACT

We present the X-ray properties of the “Teacup AGN” (SDSS J1430+1339), a $z = 0.085$ type 2 quasar which is interacting dramatically with its host galaxy. Spectral modelling of the central quasar reveals a powerful, highly obscured AGN with a column density of $N_H = (4.2–6.5) \times 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$ and an intrinsic luminosity of $L_{2-10\text{keV}} = (0.8–1.4) \times 10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$. The current high bolometric luminosity inferred ($L_{\text{bol}} \approx 10^{45}$–$10^{46}$ erg s$^{-1}$) has ramifications for previous interpretations of the Teacup as a fading/dying quasar. High resolution Chandra imaging data reveal a $\approx 10$ kpc loop of X-ray emission, co-spatial with the “eastern bubble” previously identified in luminous radio and ionised gas (e.g., [O III] line) emission. The X-ray emission from this structure is in good agreement with a shocked thermal gas, with $T = (4–8) \times 10^6$ K, and there is evidence for an additional hot component with $T \gtrsim 3 \times 10^7$ K. Although the Teacup is a radiatively dominated AGN, the estimated ratio between the bubble power and the X-ray luminosity is in remarkable agreement with observations of ellipticals, groups, and clusters of galaxies undergoing AGN feedback.
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1. INTRODUCTION

There is now general agreement that growing supermassive black holes, i.e., active galactic nuclei (AGN), have a dramatic impact upon the evolution of their host galaxies. Observationally constraining the details of this so-called “AGN feedback” is an ongoing challenge of extragalactic astronomy (e.g., Fabian 2012; Heckman & Best 2014; Harrison 2017). There is convincing evidence that powerful radio jets launched by some AGN, whose energetic output is dominated by mechanical energy, are effective at regulating the cold gas supply to their host galaxies (e.g., McNamara & Nulsen 2012). However, it is still unclear how radiatively dominated (or “radio quiet”) AGN interact with their host galaxies (e.g., Mullaney et al. 2013; Greene et al. 2014; Zakamska & Greene 2014), despite these being the majority population (e.g., ~90% of quasars; Zakamska et al. 2004).

One low-redshift Type 2 quasar ($z = 0.0852$) that has received attention in the literature is SDSS J143029.88 $+$133912.0. The object has been labelled the “Teacup AGN” due to a “handle” of ionized gas extending $\approx 10$ kpc to the east of the galaxy core, initially identified in SDSS images by Galaxy Zoo (Massimo Mezzoprete, 2007; Lintott et al. 2008) and later confirmed by HST imaging (Keel et al. 2012b, 2015). Karl G. Jansky Very Large Array (VLA) data subsequently revealed that radio emission traces this “eastern bubble”, and that this is just one of two bi-polar radio superbubbles, extending to distances of $\approx 10$ kpc in opposite directions (Harrison et al. 2015). A $\approx 1$ kpc small jet-like feature was also identified, spatially co-incident with a $\approx 700$ km s$^{-1}$ outflow of warm ionised gas, along the same orientation axis as the eastern bubble (Harrison et al. 2015).

On the one hand, the Teacup has been used as a case study for how typical quasars may interact with their host galaxies (Harrison et al. 2014; Villar Martín et al. 2014; Harrison et al. 2015; Ramos Almeida et al. 2017). On the other hand the Teacup has been identified as a “fading”/“dying” quasar, like Hannys Voorwerp (e.g., Keel et al. 2012a; Sartori et al. 2018), where the ionisation state of the extended optical emission line region provides evidence that the AGN was more luminous in the past (Gagne et al. 2014; Villar-Martín et al. 2018; Keel et al. 2017). In both cases it is crucial to have an excellent constraint on the current bolometric luminosity of the central engine - in order to assess (a) the available energy for feedback and (b) the magnitude of AGN fading over time. Furthermore, understanding the physical processes in the bubble is essential for testing both the feedback and fading scenarios. Towards addressing these issues, here we present X-ray constraints on both the central source and the extended bubble of the Teacup. We use ($\Omega_M, \Omega_{\Lambda}, h$) = (0.27, 0.73, 0.70).

2. OBSERVATIONS

The X-ray observations of the Teacup are summarized in Table 1. The first X-ray detection of the Teacup was obtained with the XRT instrument on the Neil Gehrels Swift observatory, in directors’ discretionary time observations (PI: Del Moro). Only $\approx 19$ photons were detected, but the data nevertheless hinted at a highly obscured central quasar. A deeper followup observation was then performed with 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observatory</th>
<th>Obs ID</th>
<th>UT Date</th>
<th>$t$</th>
<th>$S_{\text{net}}$</th>
<th>$B$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chandra ACIS</td>
<td>18149</td>
<td>2016-04-19</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>702</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XMMPN</td>
<td>0762630101</td>
<td>2016-02-10</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>1625</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XMM MOS</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>55.8</td>
<td>1862</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swift XRT</td>
<td>00033655$^a$</td>
<td>2015-03</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. (1): Observatory and instrument. (2) and (3): Observation ID and start date, respectively. (4): Net exposure time (ks). (5): Total net source counts in the full-band (i.e., 0.5–8, 0.5–10, and 0.6–10 keV for Chandra, XMM-Newton, and Swift XRT). (6): Background counts in the source region.

Table 1. X-ray data for the Teacup

http://cxc.harvard.edu/ciao/ahelp/chandra

3. RESULTS

3.1. X-ray imaging

Here we use the Chandra imaging (Figure 1) to identify spatially distinct components of the X-ray emission.

The brightest component is the central quasar, which lies at a position of 14:30:29.897 $+$13:39:11.88 in the Chandra full-band image. Close to the quasar we find evidence, from ray-tracing PSF simulations (with MARX;

1 http://cxc.harvard.edu/ciao/ahelp/chandra_repro.html
The Teacup in X-rays

Figure 1. Images of the Teacup from Chandra (top row), the [O III] narrow band with HST (lower left; Keel et al. 2015), and the VLA at 5.1 GHz (lower middle; Harrison et al. 2015). Magenta elliptical regions highlight the bipolar radio bubble structures. The spectral extraction regions (Section 3.2) are shown in orange and blue. Green ellipses show PSF half-energy widths. Upper right: Chandra color composite (smoothed with a 1′′ gaussian) where red, green, and blue correspond to 0.5–1.5, 0.5–8, and 1.5–8 keV, respectively. Lower right: color composite of the radio (red) and [O III] (green) images, with cyan contours showing the spatial distribution of 0.5–1.5 keV emission.

Davis et al. 2012), for spatially extended $E \lesssim 1.5$ keV emission on scales of $\approx 0.6–2.5''$ (1–4 kpc). This central extended emission is not a main focus of this paper, but it motivates the relatively small source extraction region adopted in Section 3.2.1.

To the east of the central quasar, there is a striking loop of low-energy ($\approx 0.5–1.5$ keV) emission extending out to a maximum distance of $\approx 7.5''$ (12 kpc). This X-ray emission traces the morphology of luminous radio emission and ionized gas (e.g., [O III]) in the “eastern bubble” of the Teacup. At higher energies, the bubble is faint but still significant; the photon counts at 1.5–8 and 2–8 keV suggest binomial false probabilities (e.g., Weisskopf et al. 2007) of $P_{\text{false}} = 8 \times 10^{-5}$ and 0.005, respectively. We also note that there is tentatively some extended high-energy (1.5–8 keV) emission around the western radio bubble, but this has a low significance ($P_{\text{false}} = 0.1$).

3.2. X-ray spectral properties

3.2.1. The central quasar

For the quasar, we extract source spectra from the Chandra and XMM-Newton (PN and MOS) data sets, using circular regions of 1″ and 15″ radius, respectively. For XMM-Newton, we limit the analysis to $E > 2$ keV, where the central point source dominates, based on the high-resolution Chandra data. The background spectra are extracted from large source-free regions on the same detector chip as the source. The background-subtracted source spectra are shown in Figure 2. At 2–8 keV, the Chandra flux is a factor of $1.31_{-0.13}^{+0.11}$ higher than XMM, in agreement with typical AGN X-ray variability on multi-month timescales (e.g., Yang et al. 2016; Lansbury et al. 2017; Ricci et al. 2017). We model the spectra simultaneously using XSPEC (version 12.9.1p; Arnaud 1996), adopting $\chi^2$ statistics for fitting, and grouping by a minimum of 10 and 20 counts per spectral bin for Chandra and XMM-Newton, respectively.

The X-ray spectrum rises to higher energies with an observed photon index of $\Gamma_{3.8\text{keV}} \approx -0.74$, suggesting obscuration by a high column density ($N_H$) of gas. We thus fit the spectrum with an absorbed power law model ($\text{cabs} \cdot \text{zwabs} \cdot \text{pow}$ in XSPEC formalism). For the in-
trinsic power law (`pow), we fix the photon index to a representative value of $\Gamma = 1.9$ and we allow different normalizations for Chandra and XMM-Newton, given the factor of 1.31 mentioned above. Two additional model components are required: an unobscured power law to parameterize the low-energy ($\lesssim 2$ keV) emission, consistent with electron-scattering of the primary AGN power-law; and a narrow redshifted gaussian to fit Fe Kα line emission at rest-frame $E = 6.4$ keV. This line component is required at the 4.1σ significance level, based on 50,000 simulations of the continuum-only spectrum (using `simftest` in XSPEC). We find no statistical requirement for adding ionized Fe Kα line components to the model (e.g., at 6.7 keV).

The best fit has $\chi^2/n = 1.18$, and the column density is well constrained as $N_H = (4.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$. The Fe Kα equivalent width of $EW_{\text{FeKα}} = 0.12 \pm 0.03$ keV, measured over the absorbed power-law continuum at $E > 4$ keV, is consistent with expectations from the sub-Compton-thick column density. Correcting for absorption, the AGN is intrinsically luminous, with a rest-frame 2–10 keV luminosity of $L_{2-10} = (0.8-1.0) \times 10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$.

The above $N_H$ is sufficiently high that Compton-scattered (i.e., “reflected”) continuum emission may contribute to the X-ray spectrum. We therefore additionally fit a physically motivated torus model (borus02; Baloković et al. 2018), to self-consistently model primary and reflected emission. A statistically acceptable fit is obtained in a basic model setup where the line-of-sight column density ($N_{\text{H,tor}}$) is untied from the average toroidal column density ($N_{\text{H,tor}}$), as expected for a non-uniform torus, and standard values are assumed for the iron abundance (set to solar), the torus covering factor (fixed at 0.5), and the inclination angle (fixed at 87°). The best fit has $\chi^2/n = 1.12$, $N_H = (5.9^{+0.6}_{-0.5}) \times 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$, $N_{\text{H,tor}} = (1.1^{+0.2}_{-0.3}) \times 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$, and $L_{2-10} = (1.1-1.4) \times 10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$.

Adding further confidence to these $N_H$ and $L_{2-10}$ values, the Teacup is detected in the Swift BAT 105-month survey (Oh et al. 2018) and the BAT spectrum and luminosity ($L_{14-195} = 1.8 \times 10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$) are consistent with the above best-fit models (Figure 2). The agreement with the BAT spectrum (obtained over $\approx 9$ years, up to 2013) suggests that there has been no overall trend of brightening or fading during the full $\approx 11$-year period of X-ray coverage, and that the Teacup has maintained a relatively constant average luminosity (within a factor of $\lesssim 2$).

### 3.2.2. The eastern bubble

For the eastern bubble, we extract a Chandra spectrum from the region shown in Figure 1, an annular sector at 4.7–9″ from the central quasar. The region has minimal contamination from the quasar PSF ($\approx 0.5$ photons, based on MARX simulations). The photon counts are low, with 37.5 net source counts (2.5 background counts) at 0.5–8 keV. We therefore adopt the C statistic

![Figure 2. X-ray spectra for the central quasar. The solid curves show the borus02 model best fit to the Chandra and XMM-Newton spectra, and the dashed lines show the model subcomponents. Swift BAT data, not used in the fitting, are shown for comparison. Inset: zoom-in of the Fe Kα line, in count-rate units.](image-url)
for fitting, and group the spectrum by a minimum of 1 count per bin.

The bubble spectrum is very steep ($\Gamma_{0.5-8} = 4.9^{+1.1}_{-1.0}$; Figure 3) and comparatively luminous at low energies, with $L_{0.5-2} = (1.3^{+0.3}_{-0.5}) \times 10^{41}$ erg s$^{-1}$, in agreement with thermal gas emission. We therefore apply the apec model for a collisionally ionized diffuse gas (Smith et al. 2001), which yields a statistically acceptable fit to the data ($C/n = 32/31$; Figure 3), and a normalization of $\eta = 3.9 \times 10^{-46}$. The gas temperature is constrained to be $kT = 0.4^{+0.3}_{-0.1}$ keV, or $T = (3.5-8.1) \times 10^6$ K. This simple single-phase model leaves some positive flux residuals at $\geq 2$ keV, where the X-ray emission is only weakly detected ($\approx 99.5\%$ confidence level; Section 3.1). This excess could plausibly represent an additional hotter gas phase, with $L_{2-10} \approx 1.5 \times 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$ and $kT \gtrsim 2.7$ keV (i.e., $T \gtrsim 3 \times 10^7$ K). The excess is unlikely due to star formation, as the luminosity expectation for such a small volume of the galaxy is significantly lower than $10^{46}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (e.g., Aird et al. 2017).

We also consider the possibility of a photoionized, rather than thermal, emitter. Based on fits with the photemis model (Kallman & Bautista 2001; which uses XSTAR tables), a photoionized gas is consistent with the bubble spectrum for an ionization parameter of $\log \xi = 1.7^{+0.3}_{-0.4}$ ($C/n = 32/31$).

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. A highly obscured, luminous quasar

We have shown that the Teacup quasar is currently accreting at a high luminosity, especially once the line-of-sight obscuration ($N_H \approx 5 \times 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$) is corrected for. The measured X-ray luminosity of $L_{2-10} = (0.8-1.4) \times 10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$ implies a high bolometric luminosity ($L_{bol}$), which is crucial to consider when connecting the energetics of the central engine to phenomena observed in the host galaxy.

In particular, the Teacup has previously been interpreted as a fading/dying quasar due to the observed high-ionization emission line regions (e.g., around the eastern bubble). Photoionization modelling of these regions suggests that the central AGN was more luminous in the past. For instance, Gagne et al. (2014) find a highest past luminosity of $L_{bol} \approx 2 \times 10^{46}$ erg s$^{-1}$, in agreement with the results of Villar-Martín et al. (2018) for gas at larger distances (> 15 kpc). The extent of any fading since then is crucially dependent on knowledge of the current bolometric luminosity. Fading by factors of $\approx 50-600$ have been inferred to have occurred over $\approx (0.4-1) \times 10^5$ yr timescales (e.g., Gagne et al. 2014; Keel et al. 2017; Villar-Martín et al. 2018), but this was based on an apparently low current luminosity ($L_{bol} \approx 2 \times 10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$).

The X-ray bolometric correction ($\kappa_{bol} = L_{bol}/L_{2-10}$) is likely to lie in the range $10 \lesssim \kappa_{bol} \lesssim 100$, based on typical values for AGN (e.g., Vasudevan & Fabian 2009; Vasudevan et al. 2009; Lusso et al. 2012). We therefore find, based on our $L_{2-10}$ constraints, that $8 \times 10^{44} \lesssim L_{bol} \lesssim 1.4 \times 10^{46}$ erg s$^{-1}$. This agrees with the luminosity inferred from mid–far-IR SED fitting, $L_{bol} \approx 2 \times 10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (Harrison et al. 2014). Based on the possible $L_{bol}$ values, there is no strong requirement for the Teacup to have undergone a dramatic fading over $\approx 100,000$ yr. Assuming an upper limit to the past luminosity of $L_{bol}^{past} \lesssim 2 \times 10^{46}$ erg s$^{-1}$, the required fading factor is $\approx 25$.

4.2. Understanding the superbubble

The eastern bubble is luminous in radio emission and spatially coincident high-ionization optical-line emission. Here we have shown that luminous X-ray emission traces the same structure. Below we discuss possible emission mechanisms to explain the X-ray properties.

4.2.1. Photoionized gas

As shown in Section 3.2.2, a photoionized gas model can describe the bubble X-ray spectrum, for an ionization parameter of $\xi \approx 50$ erg cm s$^{-1}$. The large distance ($R \approx 10$ kpc) is problematic in terms of ionization by the central quasar, however, as the gas density must be low, with $n = L_X/\xi R^2 \approx 0.002$ cm$^{-3}$ (e.g., Kallman & McCray 1982). The implied volume of the gas, estimated from the photemis emission measure (EM = $\int n_e n_H dV$), is then $\gtrsim$ three orders of magnitude too large compared to the observed volume of the bubble shell. If we assume the quasar had a higher past

---

2 https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/xanadu/xspec/wstat.ps
3 https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/software/xstar/xstar.html
$L_X$ of $10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (i.e., the same as PDS 456, the most luminous AGN at $z < 0.3$), the required volume is still more than an order of magnitude too high. We therefore prefer the solution presented in Section 4.2.2.

4.2.2. Thermal gas resulting from an AGN outflow

The Teacup radio bubbles were likely formed by jets or quasar winds from the central AGN (e.g., Harrison et al. 2015), which we have confirmed to be intrinsically energetic (Section 4.1). The coupling of such outflows with the interstellar medium can explain the eastern bubble X-ray spectrum, which is consistent with a collisionally dominated thermal gas with $T = (3-8) \times 10^6$ K (Section 3.2.2). In the nearby Universe, there are lower luminosity Seyferts with AGN-driven radio bubbles/lobes, where X-ray-emitting gas is found with similar temperatures (e.g., Croston et al. 2008; Mingo et al. 2011, 2012; Paggi et al. 2012). In these cases the gas is thought to be shock-heated by AGN-driven outflows. The Teacup also bears resemblance to the mechanically driven bubbles/cavities observed at the centers of galaxy groups and clusters (e.g., Birzan et al. 2004; Russell et al. 2013). These systems can be morphologically similar to the Teacup in the radio (e.g., Simionescu et al. 2018) and X-ray (e.g., Fabian et al. 2006) bands individually, and there are also a few examples where bright optical line-emitting gas encases the bubble (e.g., Canning et al. 2013). It is not common, however, to observe such a tight spatial correlation between the bright radio and X-ray emission (Figure 1).

We also find a hard X-ray excess in the bubble (Section 3.2.2), which may represent a fainter, hotter X-ray-emitting gas phase, with $T \gtrsim 3 \times 10^7$ K. This agrees with expectations for a tenuous but energetic quasar wind (e.g., Strickland & Stevens 2000; Greene et al. 2014; Nims et al. 2015; Costa et al. 2018) that itself shocks cooler gas clouds (e.g., at the edge of the expanding bubble). The latter then emit strongly in low-energy ($T \approx \text{few} \times 10^6$ K) X-rays and optical-line emission (e.g., Strickland & Stevens 2000). However, deeper X-ray observations are required to confirm the nature and spatial distribution of this hard X-ray excess.

Here we consider the physical properties implied for the eastern bubble. Motivated by the X-ray, optical, and radio images, we assume that the gas occupies a $1.7$ kpc thick spherical shell. The volume within the bubble extraction region (Figure 1) is then $V = 3 \times 10^{66}$ cm$^3$. Given the apec normalisation ($\eta$), this implies an electron density of $n_e \approx 0.056$ cm$^{-3}$, and a gas mass of $M \approx 1.6 \times 10^8 M_\odot$. Taking the temperature of $kT = 0.4$ keV, and a particle number density of $n = 1.92n_e$, the total thermal energy of the gas is large with $U = (3/2)n k T V \approx 3.1 \times 10^{56}$ erg. Assuming that the energy injection from the central quasar has an age of $t = 20$ Myr, based on the expected local sound speed, the power of the bubble is $P \approx 4.9 \times 10^{41}$ erg s$^{-1}$. Figure 4 compares this inferred power to the X-ray luminosity of the bubble ($L_X \approx 10^{43}$ erg s$^{-1}$; Section 3.2.2). As shown, the ratio $P/L_X$ is in agreement with the relationship between heating power and cooling X-ray luminosity found for bubbles/cavities in ellipticals, groups and clusters undergoing mechanically dominated AGN feedback (e.g., Fabian 2012; McNamara & Nulsen 2012; Panagoulia et al. 2014). Although these bubbles do not typically resemble the Teacup morphology across all wavelengths, Figure 4 provides some evidence for similarities between the physical mechanisms of AGN feedback in these systems.

5. SUMMARY

In this work we have characterized the Teacup AGN and host galaxy, in terms of their X-ray properties. The main results are as follows:

4. $\eta = 10^{-14} (4\pi)^{-1} [D_{\Lambda}(1+z)]^{-2} \int n_e n_H dV$, where $D_{\Lambda}$ is the angular diameter distance.

5. The local speed of sound is determined as $c_s = \sqrt{\gamma k T / \mu m_H}$, where $\gamma = 5/3$, $\mu = 0.61$, and $m_H$ is the atomic mass of hydrogen.
The high resolution Chandra images reveal a striking loop of X-ray emission, extending to \( \approx 10 \) kpc. This is spatially coincident with the well-known eastern bubble, luminous in radio emission and ionized gas.

Modelling the Chandra and XMM-Newton spectra of the central quasar, we find it to be highly obscured, with \( N_H = (4.2 - 6.5) \times 10^{23} \) cm\(^{-2}\), and intrinsically luminous, with \( L_{2-10\text{keV}} = (0.8 - 1.4) \times 10^{44} \) erg s\(^{-1}\). The quasar is inferred to be currently accreting at a high bolometric luminosity (\( L_{\text{bol}} \approx 10^{45} - 10^{46} \) erg s\(^{-1}\)). We therefore find no strong requirement for a fading/dying quasar scenario to explain the ionized gas emission in the eastern bubble.

X-ray emission from the eastern bubble is spectrally consistent with a thermal (e.g., shock-heated) gas of temperature \( kT = 0.4^{+0.3}_{-0.1} \) keV. There is also evidence for a fainter component of \( kT \gtrsim 2.7 \) keV gas, in agreement with a quasar wind, but deeper observations are required to study this hotter gas phase.

The ratio between the inferred bubble power (\( P \approx 4.9 \times 10^{41} \) erg s\(^{-1}\)) and the X-ray luminosity (\( L_X \approx 10^{44} \) erg s\(^{-1}\)) is remarkably similar to that seen for bubbles/cavities in ellipticals, galaxy groups, and clusters undergoing mechanically dominated AGN feedback. Our observations of the Teacup provide evidence of similar feedback processes at work in a quasar.
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