BAT AGN Spectroscopic Survey. VIII. Type 1 AGN with Massive Absorbing Columns
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Abstract

We explore the relationship between X-ray absorption and optical obscuration within the BAT AGN Spectroscopic Survey (BASS), which has been collecting and analyzing the optical and X-ray spectra for 641 hard X-ray selected (E > 14 keV) active galactic nuclei (AGNs). We use the deviation from a linear broad Hα-to-X-ray relationship as an estimate of the maximum optical obscuration toward the broad line region (BLR) and compare the \( A_v \) to the hydrogen column densities (N\(_H\)) found through systematic modeling of their X-ray spectra. We find that the inferred columns implied by \( A_v \) toward the BLR are often orders of magnitude less than the columns measured toward the X-ray emitting region, indicating a small-scale origin for the X-ray absorbing gas. After removing 30% of Sy 1.9s that potentially have been misclassified due to outflows, we find that 86% (164/190) of the Type 1 population (Sy 1–1.9) are X-ray unabsorbed as expected based on a single obscuring structure. However, 14% (26/190), of which 70% (18/26) are classified as Sy 1.9, are X-ray absorbed, suggesting that the BLR itself is providing extra obscuration toward the X-ray corona. The fraction of X-ray absorbed Type 1 AGNs remains relatively constant with AGN luminosity and Eddington ratio, indicating a stable BLR covering fraction.
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1. Introduction

The unified model of active galactic nuclei (Antonucci 1993; Urry & Padovani 1995, AGNs) attributes the differences between Type 1 and Type 2 AGNs to changes in the orientation of our line of sight with respect to a large obscuring structure encircling the AGN. The common model for the obscuring structure is a torus consisting of cold gas and dust with recent work strongly suggesting a dusty distribution (e.g., Alonso-Herrero et al. 2003; Nenkova et al. 2008; Nikutta et al. 2009; Höing & Kistshimoto 2010; Mor & Netzer 2012; Markowitz et al. 2014). Near the central supermassive black hole (SMBH) and within the inner radius of the torus is thought to be the broad line region (BLR) which consists of high-velocity clouds that produce the typical broad emission lines (full width at half maximum, FWHM \( \gtrsim 2000 \text{ km s}^{-1} \)) seen in Type 1 AGNs. Type 1 AGNs, therefore, are observed at angles above the torus with a direct view of the BLR, while Type 2 AGNs, which only show narrow emission lines, are observed through the torus that obscures our view of the BLR (for a complete review of the unified model, see Netzer 2015).

A completely independent method for differentiating between Type 1 and Type 2 AGNs is by directly measuring the neutral hydrogen column density (N\(_H\)) from X-ray spectra. Hard X-ray emission (>10 keV) appears to be ubiquitous, albeit reduced due to absorption in all but the most highly obscured AGNs (Compton thick; N\(_H\) \( \gtrsim 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-2} \)); e.g., Koss et al. 2016), and is thought to originate from a compact corona near the SMBH (e.g., Haardt et al. 1994). Intervening neutral gas along our line of sight absorbs X-rays up to an energy cutoff that is dependent on the column of gas.

Therefore, both UV-optical and X-ray observations are useful tracers of the dust and gas distribution around AGNs and any relationships that exist between obscuration/absorption and AGN properties can provide insight into how the AGN controls and effects the environment within which it lives. Under the simple picture of a static dusty torus around an AGN, both optical and X-ray measurements of the gas column density should agree. To a large extent this is true, as many studies find that Type 1 AGNs show little to no X-ray absorption, while most Type 2 AGNs are X-ray absorbed with N\(_H\) \( \gtrsim 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) (e.g., Smith & Done 1996; Turner et al. 1997; Risaliti et al. 1999; Garcet et al. 2007; Mainieri et al. 2007; Tajer et al. 2007; Antonucci 2012; Merloni et al. 2014; Davies et al. 2015) in accordance with the unified model. Of course, the unified model, while broadly successful in explaining the diversity of AGNs, is simplified and investigations of differences between Type 1 and Type 2 AGNs that cannot be explained by this paradigm can help to reveal the complex nature of AGNs.

Of particular interest are the frequency and specific cases where the optical and X-ray classifications disagree. Type 2 AGNs that are X-ray unabsorbed have long been targets of study, and the debate over whether they represent AGNs lacking BLRs is still ongoing (Panessa & Bassani 2002; Page et al. 2006; Stern & Laor 2012; Merloni et al. 2014). Here, we...
focus on the opposite case, Type 1 AGNs that appear to be X-ray absorbed.

Previous studies have found Type 1 AGNs with large X-ray absorbing columns; however, both the fraction and interpretation have varied. Perola et al. (2004) found that 10% of broad line AGNs are X-ray absorbed within the HELLASS2XM1 1 degree field survey, while Tozzi et al. (2006) estimated that at least 20% of AGNs in the Chandra Deep Field South have inconsistent optical and X-ray classifications. Both Tajer et al. (2007) and, more recently, Merloni et al. (2014) instead find that around 30% of optically unobscured AGNs are X-ray absorbed. Merloni et al. (2014), interestingly, also showed an increasing fraction of X-ray absorbed, but optically unobscured AGNs at higher X-ray luminosities.

There are also several explanations for observing X-ray absorbed broad line AGNs that only require small, or no, modifications to the unified model. An easy explanation is that our line of sight is grazing the edge of the torus, where perhaps the cloud distribution is less dense but the covering fraction of the X-ray corona is much larger than the BLR due to the corona’s smaller physical size. Another related possibility is that a cloud, perhaps from the torus or the BLR itself, has entered our line of sight causing a relatively brief increase in the X-ray absorbing column but leaving the BLR emission unaffected. Both explanations would also explain the relative rarity of X-ray absorbed Type 1 AGNs.

Davies et al. (2015) suggested a luminosity dependence on the gas properties of the torus. At low luminosities, dust in the torus extends all the way down to the inner edge while at higher luminosities, dust-free gas dominates at small radii and changes to the standard dusty torus at larger radii. Thus, the X-ray absorbed fraction, does not change with luminosity but the optically obscured fraction should decrease with increasing luminosity as more lines of sight open up toward the BLR. This explains the luminosity dependencies seen in Merloni et al. (2014) but keeps the popular unified model intact with only a slight modification.

What has been lacking in previous studies of the relationship between optical obscuration and X-ray absorption is relatively bias-free selection of AGNs and consistent classifications and measures of the AGN properties. Many of the studies previously mentioned have relied on AGN selection in the 2–10 keV band, which can be heavily affected by even moderate X-ray absorption ($N_H \sim 10^{23}$ Koss et al. 2016) and thereby bias the sample against X-ray absorbed objects. Furthermore, definitions of optically obscured and unobscured, as well as X-ray absorbed and unabsorbed, have either changed or relied on less reliable methods such as SED fitting to define optical obscuration or hardness ratios to define the level of X-ray absorption.

In this work, we draw on a sample of low-redshift AGNs selected at ultra-hard X-rays (14–195 keV) that largely avoid biases due to both X-ray absorption and host galaxy contamination. Furthermore, this large sample of AGNs has been systematically analyzed in both the optical and X-ray regime (Koss et al. 2017; Ricci et al. 2017a) leading to well-defined classifications and measurements of their properties, including the X-ray absorbing column and broad line emission. We use these measurements to investigate the prevalence of strong X-ray absorption in Type 1 AGNs and discuss the implications of our results in the context of the unified model and the structure of AGNs.

2. Sample and Data

Our parent sample consists of all AGNs in the BAT AGN Spectroscopic Survey (BASS; Koss et al. 2017; Ricci et al. 2017a). The BASS team has analyzed both new and archival optical spectra for a large fraction (77%; 641/836) of the AGNs detected as part of the 70-month Swift Burst Alert Telescope (BAT; Gehrels et al. 2004; Barthelmy et al. 2005) catalog (Baumgartner et al. 2013). Swift/BAT has been continuously surveying the entire sky at high energies (14–195 keV); producing a nearly complete sample of AGNs up to the Compton thick limit (Ricci et al. 2015) and reducing selection effects associated with host galaxy contamination and obscuration. Koss et al. (2017) found that the average reddening, measured using the Balmer decrement, for the BASS AGN is significantly higher than optically selected AGNs from SDSS and that a significant fraction of BASS AGNs lacked any Balmer lines. This all points to hard X-ray selected AGN samples, including more obscured or optically contaminated AGNs that optical spectroscopic surveys would not select.

For this work, we need reliable measurements of the broad H$\alpha$ flux, intrinsic hard X-ray flux, and X-ray absorbing column density. Therefore, we chose all AGNs with detected broad H$\alpha$ from the original BASS analysis, as well as AGNs that were part of the BASS X-ray spectral analysis presented in the BASS X-ray catalog (Ricci et al. 2017a). The key measurements obtained from the X-ray spectral analysis are $N_H$ estimates and k- and absorption corrected 14–150 keV flux (hereafter referred to as the intrinsic X-ray flux). Details of the X-ray spectral analysis can be found in Ricci et al. (2017a).

We limited our sample based on the following requirements with fractions of the parent sample included in parentheses:

1. Seyfert classification according to Winkler (1992): 594/641 (93%, see below and the Appendix for our modifications).
2. Nonblazar based on exclusion from the Roma Blazar Catalog (Massaro et al. 2009; 581/641, 91%).
3. X-ray flux and $N_H$ measurement (638/641, 99%).
4. Measured distance (634/641, 99%).
5. Quality flag of 1 or 2 for the spectral fitting of the H$\alpha$ region (226/641, 35%).

The last requirement above, regarding quality flags ensured that we removed all sources whose spectra either did not cover the H$\alpha$ spectral region or the spectral fitting were unreliable. For a detailed description of the optical spectral fitting and explanation of each flag, see the BASS Data Release 1 publication (Koss et al. 2017). Briefly, a quality flag of 1 indicates a good fit with small residuals, while a quality flag of 2 indicates an acceptable fit with larger residuals, which is representative of the emission line profiles overall. As expected, the quality flag requirement is the most restrictive given that it filters out nearly all Type 2 AGNs as well as some Type 1 AGNs with either poor spectra or high redshifts that move H$\alpha$ out of the spectral range.

Broad H$\alpha$ and intrinsic X-ray fluxes were converted to luminosities using either the redshift independent distances compiled from the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database when available or luminosity distances calculated based on

---

10 https://www.bass-survey.com
11 http://ned.ipac.caltech.edu/
the measured redshifts from the spectral analysis and our chosen cosmology (\(H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}\), \(\Omega_m = 0.3\)).

Finally, we wanted to ensure that the measured broad H\(\alpha\) component was truly originating from the BLR. Trippe et al. (2010) showed that intermediate-type AGNs, especially Sy 1.8s and 1.9s, can often be misclassified. While Sy 1–1.5s have a corresponding broad H\(\beta\) to match their broad H\(\alpha\) component, Sy 1.9s by their very definition do not. Therefore, it is possible that what may seem like a broad H\(\alpha\) component could in fact be due to another process entirely unrelated to the BLR, such as an outflow, especially for sources with low measured FWHM (\(\sigma_v < 2000 \text{ km s}^{-1}\)) for broad H\(\alpha\). High-velocity wings present in both H\(\alpha\) and [N II] could be disguised as a faint broad H\(\alpha\) component in moderate resolution spectra due to the heavy blending between H\(\alpha\) and the [N II] doublet. In the Appendix, we reanalyzed the H\(\alpha\)+[N II] complex for the 57 Sy 1.9s that originally fit the above criteria and determined that for 18/57 (~30%) Sy 1.9s, an outflowing component could reasonably explain the originally measured broad H\(\alpha\) component. While not definitive proof that these AGNs have been misclassified, they could potentially bias our results, especially since many are X-ray absorbed. Thus, we have chosen to remove these 18 Sy 1.9s from our sample.

Our final sample consists of 190 Type 1 AGNs with 20, 66, 65, and 38 Sy 1s, 1.2s, 1.5s, and 1.9s respectively.\(^{12}\)

### 3. Results

We first start by showing the \(N_{\text{HI}}\) distribution for our Type 1 AGN sample in Figure 1 regardless of whether the source has a broad H\(\alpha\) measurement and include as well all of the Sy 2s from BASS for reference. While there is an increase in the number of X-ray absorbed AGNs moving from Sy 1s to 2s, the biggest increase certainly occurs for the Sy 1.9 sub-sample. If we arbitrarily create a cutoff at \(N_{\text{HI}} = 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}\) for X-ray unabsorbed and absorbed AGNs, the fraction of X-ray absorbed AGNs is <0.12, 0.08\(^{+0.08}_{-0.05}\), 0.05\(^{+0.16}_{-0.13}\), 0.43\(^{+0.16}_{-0.15}\), and 0.96\(^{+0.07}_{-0.02}\) for Sy 1s, 1.2s, 1.5s, 1.9s, and 2s, respectively, where the uncertainties have been calculated assuming binomial statistics (Gehrels 1986). Therefore, the level of X-ray absorption in Sy 1.9s seems to be intermediate between Sy 1–1.5s and Sy 2s based on the fraction of sources with high X-ray absorption. This is certainly not new and has been observed before in smaller samples (e.g., Risaliti et al. 1999) and is the reason Sy 1.9s are routinely grouped along with Sy 2s to form a general “absorbed” AGN sample.

Naively, one would expect the same structure (i.e., dusty torus) to cause both optical obscuration of the BLR and absorption of the X-ray emission. We would then expect to observe a level of optical obscuration in Sy 1.9s consistent with the X-ray \(N_{\text{HI}}\) measurements. Measuring the optical obscuration toward the BLR, however, is difficult and usually involves a number of assumptions about the geometry and ionization state of BLR clouds. The standard method is to assume Case B recombination and use the ratio of broad H\(\alpha\) to H\(\beta\) emission as an estimate of the BLR extinction. By the very definition of a Sy 1.9 AGN (i.e., absence of broad H\(\beta\)), however, this method is not viable for all sources of our sample because it can provide only lower limits to the optical obscuration. Furthermore, the assumption of Case B recombination in the BLR has been shown to be a questionable assumption (e.g., Schnorr-Müller et al. 2016).

\(^{12}\) The BASS sample interestingly does not contain any Sy 1.8s.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure1}
\caption{\(N_{\text{HI}}\) distribution for the Sy 1s, 1.2s, 1.5s, and 1.9s in our Type 1 AGN sample and Sy 2s in the full BASS sample. Red dashed lines indicate the median \(N_{\text{HI}}\) for each subsample excluding \(N_{\text{HI}} > 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-2}\) to avoid incompleteness. \(N_{\text{HI}} = 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}\) is the lowest column density that is able to be measured in the X-ray due to Galactic absorption.}
\end{figure}

Instead, we rely on the existence of a linear relationship between the bolometric AGN luminosity and the broad H\(\alpha\) luminosity. Stern & Laor (2012) studied more than 3000 broad
line AGNs from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS), finding that the relation between far-UV (near the peak of the AGN SED) and broad Hα is linear, especially for the highest luminosity bins. At lower broad Hα luminosity, the relation does slightly flatten such that they observe more FUV emission than expected; however, the deviation is small and they show that it is likely due to host galaxy contamination based on the changing broad Hα relationships with other wavelengths. Thus, they conclude that the covering fraction of the BLR is likely independent of AGN luminosity and the broad Hα luminosity can reliably be used as a tracer of the bolometric luminosity. Especially useful for this work is the fact that in their analysis the tightest correlation with broad Hα occurred with the 2 keV monochromatic luminosity, which is the most likely regime to be unaffected by any host galaxy contribution.

Elitzur et al. (2014) dispute this and show that by subclassing the SDSS broad line AGNs, intermediate-type AGNs exhibit reduced levels of broad Hα compared to their X-ray emission. They interpret this as a reduction in the covering factor ($\langle x_r \rangle_{H\alpha}/L_{Bol}$) for the BLR as the Eddington ratio ($\langle x_r \rangle_{Bol}/M_{Edd}$) decreases, which they also find is similarly reduced for intermediate-type AGNs. Our need, however, is only an upper limit on the optical obscuration toward the BLR. If the intrinsic relationship is linear, then we should see a reduction in $L_{6563}/L_{Bol}$ and can use the deficit as a measure of the extinction. If, on the other hand, the intrinsic relationship is not linear and $L_{6563}/L_{Bol}$ decreases with luminosity, then the deviation from a linear relationship is not indicative of any optical obscuration and the extinction toward the BLR is negligible. Therefore, by assuming a linear relationship, we are actually being conservative in our measurement of the BLR extinction.

To begin, we assume that the intrinsic X-ray luminosity is an accurate tracer of the bolometric AGN luminosity as has been shown in previous studies (e.g., Vasudevan & Fabian 2007; Winter et al. 2012). In Figure 2, we show the correlation between the broad Hα and intrinsic X-ray luminosities for Sy 1–1.2s as blue dots. The correlation is highly significant with a Pearson correlation coefficient of 0.85 and $p$-value of $<0.001$. We fit a simple line using linear least squares between the broad Hα luminosity and intrinsic X-ray luminosity finding the following relation:  

$$\log L_{6563} = 1.06 \log L_{14–150\text{ keV}} - 4.32. \tag{1}$$

We plot Equation (1) in Figure 2 as a blue line along with shading to indicate our measured ±0.4 dex scatter. As red squares, we show our Sy 1.9 sample. A large fraction of the Sy 1.9s lie systematically below the relationship defined by the Sy 1–1.2s and well outside the estimated scatter. The black dotted line indicates a reduction of 2 dex in the broad Hα luminosity and highlights roughly the maximum decrease we observe for Sy 1.9s. We also plot Sy 1.5s as black diamonds to show that, while they were not included in our calculation of the best fit, Sy 1.5s also lie along the line and within the scatter. Finally, sources outlined by black circles are X-ray absorbed, defined above as having $N_H > 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$.

Assuming that the reduction in broad Hα luminosity compared to the X-ray luminosity is completely due to obscuration as was first done in Ward et al. (1988), we calculate the visual extinction, $A_V$, given an extinction law. For this work, we use the empirically determined extinction law from Wild et al. (2011) that was also used in our previous study investigating BLR obscuration (Schnorr-Müller et al. 2016):

$$\frac{A_V}{A_X} = 0.6(\lambda/5500)^{-1.3} + 0.4(\lambda/5500)^{-0.7}, \tag{2}$$

where $\lambda$ is the rest wavelength for Hα ($6563$ Å) and $A_{X}\text{abs} = -2.5 \log(L_{6563}/L_{\text{BLR,obs}})$. In the last relation, $L_{6563}/L_{\text{BLR,obs}}$ is the expected broad Hα luminosity based on Equation (1) and $L_{6563}/L_{\text{BLR,obs}}$ is the observed broad Hα luminosity. Using these equations, the maximum reduction in the broad Hα luminosity we observe (black dotted line) corresponds to only an $A_V \sim 6$ mag with an uncertainty in $A_V$, $\sigma_{A_V} = 1.2$ mag based on the 0.4 dex scatter seen in Figure 2. If we also assume a Galactic $N_H/A_V$ ratio of $1.87 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (Draine 2011), we expect a maximum $N_H \sim 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, the cutoff we used for our definition of X-ray absorbed. Therefore, based on the optical obscuration toward the BLR, we would expect virtually all of our Sy 1.9s to be X-ray unabsorbed. Instead, Figures 1 and 2 show that over half of the Sy 1.9s have $N_H$ values with an uncertainty in $N_H$ and high $A_V$ Type 1 AGNs. Below $A_V = 3$ mag, most of the AGNs either scatter around the gray shaded line, which represents the spread of typical gas-to-dust ratios (GDR) found in our Galaxy ($N_H/A_V = 1.79 \pm 2.69 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$), or lie along $N_H = 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ or $A_V = 0$ mag. Above $A_V = 3$ mag, all but one AGN is a Sy 1.9 and all either lie on the Galactic GDR line or above it, sometimes with several orders of magnitude more column density than expected for a Galactic GDR.

![Figure 2](image_url)
Figure 3. Comparison between the X-ray absorbing column density ($N_H$) and the optical extinction measured using the broad Hα-to-X-ray relationship. The symbols are the same as those in Figure 2 and the gray shaded region is the expected relationship for the range of Galactic dust-to-gas ratios found in the literature (N_H /A_V = 1.79–2.69 $\times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$). The black dashed line indicates the usual cutoff discriminating X-ray unabsorbed and absorbed AGNs. The uncertainty in our $A_V$ measurement, $\sigma_{A_V} = 1.2$ mag, is shown in the lower left corner.

Figure 4. Comparison of $A_V$ from this work (blue dots), Burtscher et al. (2016; orange squares), and Schnorr-Müller et al. (2016, green diamonds). Error bars are 1.2 mag for this work, 3 mag for Burtscher et al. (2016), and between 0.1 and 1.3 mag for Schnorr-Müller et al. (2016, see Table 4).

4. Discussion

4.1. Comparison with Hα/Hβ Ratios

An important question in our analysis is whether our estimates of the optical extinction are reliable. While the values of $A_V$ seem to match those found in previous studies using independent methods, we can still test whether our measurements of $A_V$ make sense based on the Balmer decrement.

For the Sy 1–1.5s, we can simply use the measured broad Hβ from BASS DR1. For the Sy 1.9s, where broad Hβ is absent, we derived upper limits using a Monte Carlo Markov Chain analysis on the Hβ spectral region with the Python package EMCEE (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013). We fixed the FWHM and velocity of the narrow Hβ component to the values from BASS DR1 and fixed the FWHM for the broad Hβ component to that of broad Hα. Therefore, the only free parameters in the modeling is the amplitude of the broad and narrow component and the velocity of the broad component. For these parameters, we simply used a uniform prior between 0 and infinity for the amplitudes, and allowed the line center to vary between $-1000$ and $1000$ km s$^{-1}$. Upper limits on the broad Hβ flux were then calculated using the 99th percentile of the marginalized probability distribution for the broad Hβ amplitude, which equates to about a 3σ upper limit.

To test for compatibility between Hα/Hβ ratios and our $A_V$, we first assume that our measured optical extinction from the broad Hα-to-X-ray relationship is correct, and then use it to infer the intrinsic line ratio (or lower limit for Sy 1.9s) for each object by correcting both the Hα and Hβ fluxes for extinction based on the extinction law given by Equation (2). Figure 5 shows the results with the left panel displaying the relationship between the broad Hα and Hβ flux (upper limits for Sy 1.9s) for individual objects. Dashed lines indicate lines of a constant line ratio. The right panel shows the distribution of inferred intrinsic Hα/Hβ ratio for Sy 1–1.5s (black line) and lower limits for Sy 1.9s (red dashed line).

We find that, for our Type 1 AGNs, our derived optical extinctions result mostly in intrinsic ratios of 1–7 with most objects scattered around the Case B value of 3.1. Dong et al. (2008)
our estimates of conditions in intrinsic H
photoionization modeling of BLR clouds has shown that the much broader range of intrinsic H
et al. and could indicate a studies have a range of ratios that span a smaller range than ours
1.56 and 4.87 from a study of 90 Type 1 AGNs. Both of these
found a slightly higher median of 3.45 and a larger range between 2.3 and 4.2 and average of 3.06. La Mura et al.
(2016) recently found, through an analysis of 5000 AGNs, a much broader range of intrinsic H/$\alpha$/H/$\beta$ ratios (mean of 3.02 and scatter of 1.19), confirming the findings of Schnorr-Müller et al. (2016) and in line with the distribution we observe. Furthermore, photoionization modeling of BLR clouds has shown that the intrinsic H/$\alpha$/H/$\beta$ ratio can be as large as 17 for reasonable BLR conditions (Korista & Goad 2004), which is above where the lower limits for the Sy 1.9s extend to. We conclude from this that our estimates of $A_V$ result in intrinsic line ratios consistent with those observed in previous studies. We emphasize that regardless of whether our measured $A_V$ exactly matches those from other methods, they do not rise to the high values expected based on the observed X-ray absorption.

4.2. Outlier Sources

With confidence in our $A_V$ measurements, we take this opportunity to briefly discuss some AGNs that seem to contain extremely discrepant X-ray and optical absorbing columns. There are two classes we can focus on. One includes sources with high X-ray estimated $N_H$ values but low $A_V$, and the other includes low X-ray $N_H$ values but large $A_V$.

The former case is actually not unexpected given our preceding notion about the cause obscuration in AGNs. These sources, largely located in Figure 3 with log $N_H > 22$ and $A_V < 1.0$ mag can be explained as objects with a dominant column of dust-free gas along the line of sight. These fit into the general picture of the BLR being the source of X-ray obscuration as outlined in Davies et al. (2015). For these particular sources, there is simply a clear line of sight toward the BLR, but not toward the X-ray corona.

The flip side, however, presents a challenge to this picture. We identify six sources with log $N_H < 21$ and $A_V > 2.0$ (so we are about 2$\sigma$ away from $A_V = 0.0$), which implies a line-of-sight medium with the ability to obscure the BLR but not the X-ray corona. These sources are B3 0749 + 460A, WKK 6092, 1RXS J174538.1 + 290823, MR 2251–178, Mrk 1393, and MCG -06-30-015. Spatially, this seems hard to explain, given the long-held model of a compact X-ray corona and much larger scale BLR. Anything that has the ability to obscure the BLR should also be obscuring the corona. We rechecked both the broad H$\alpha$ and X-ray spectral fits to ensure that the analysis was performed correctly and nothing out of the ordinary was occurring with these objects. For all of the sources, the broad H$\alpha$ and X-ray spectral fits were sound.

We speculate instead that the optical extinction in these sources is caused by dusty, ionized (or warm) absorbers (e.g., Blustin et al. 2005; Laha et al. 2014) along their line of sight.

![Figure 5. Left: correlation between broad H$\alpha$ and broad H/$\beta$ for Sy 1–1.5s (blue points) and Sy 1.9s (red triangles) after correcting for extinction under the assumption that the values of $A_V$ from the broad H$\alpha$-to-X-ray relationship are correct. The broad H/$\beta$ measurements for Sy 1.9s are $3\sigma$ upper limits. The black dotted lines show lines of constant H$\alpha$/H/$\beta$ ratio for various ratios between 1 and 20. Right: distribution of intrinsic broad H$\alpha$/H/$\beta$ ratios for Sy 1–1.5s (black solid line) and lower limits for Sy 1.9s (red dashed line).](image)

![Figure 6. Fraction of X-ray absorbed Type 1 AGNs as a function of intrinsic X-ray luminosity for the BASS sample. Error bars and upper limits indicate the 95\% binomial confidence interval. The red points and line show the same fraction found in the higher redshift sample from Merloni et al. (2014). While the fraction of X-ray absorbed Type 1 AGNs increases strongly with luminosity in the high redshift sample, our low-redshift sample fraction either remains constant or perhaps decreases.](image)
instead of a column of neutral gas, which is what our \( N_H \) values are measuring. Indeed, Mrk 2251–178 is a well-known quasar with strong signatures of ionized absorption (Halpern 1984). MCG -06-30-015 was studied extensively in Reynolds et al. (1997) and concluded that dusty warm absorbers can plausibly explain the discrepancy between the reddening of optical emission lines/continuum and the lack of cold, neutral X-ray absorption. Mrk 1393 shows signs of ionized absorption in the X-ray spectral fitting of Ricci et al. (2017a). With column densities spanning the range of \( \log N_H = 21–22 \) (e.g., Winter et al. 2012), and an origin of the material from the dusty torus (e.g., Blustin et al. 2005), warm absorbers could likely be causing the observed 1–3 mag extinction. It is unclear whether the other 3 sources fit this model and will require a more extensive combined investigation of both the X-ray and optical data. Our team has an ongoing X-SHOOTER program to obtain higher quality optical-NIR spectra, including some of these sources.

4.3. Implications on the Structure and Geometry of AGNs

One possible implication from our simple analysis is that the dust and gas obscuring the central X-ray corona in Type 1 AGNs is internal to the BLR. In fact, as suggested in several studies (Merloni et al. 2014; Davies et al. 2015; Burcher et al. 2016), the X-ray obscuring structure is the neutral, dust-free gas within the BLR itself, a so-called “neutral torus” that is the inner extension of the dusty and molecular torus that creates the optical obscuration. These X-ray absorbed Type 1 AGNs, then are seen along lines of sight through the neutral torus, but not the dusty molecular torus, which would lead to a standard Type 2 AGN.

A key prediction for this scheme is an increase in the number of X-ray absorbed, Type 1 AGNs as a function of AGN luminosity. This would occur due to the increase of the dust sublimation radius. At low luminosity, the dust sublimation radius is closer in, reducing the fraction of lines of sight that only intersect the neutral torus but not the molecular torus. We can check this prediction with our Type 1 sample. We determined the fraction of X-ray absorbed Type 1 AGNs within four \( \log L_X \) bins and show the results in Figure 6. The error bars on the fraction represent the 95% binomial confidence interval, while the error bars on the X-ray luminosity represent the range within the bin. In the lowest luminosity bin between \( \log L_X = 41–42 \text{ erg s}^{-1} \) there are no X-ray absorbed Type 1 AGNs, but there are also only five total Type 1 AGNs, as we are hampered by the flux limit of the BAT survey. Therefore, we show the 95% confidence upper limit. In the second bin, \( 4/16 \) Type 1 AGNs are X-ray absorbed and the large error bars reflect the relatively small sample size.

Focusing on the two largest luminosity bins, which are centered on \( \log L_X = 43.5 \) and 44.4 and contain 96 and 73 AGNs, respectively, we do not find a clear increase in the X-ray absorbed fraction as expected if the extent of the neutral torus is increasing with higher luminosity. Instead, it appears that the fraction is constant or possibly decreases. Using Fisher’s exact test, we find a \( p \)-value of 0.36, indicating that we cannot reject the null hypothesis that the X-ray absorbed fraction is the same in both bins. In fact, the \( p \)-value increases to 0.87 under the null hypothesis that the X-ray absorbed fraction is less than the lower luminosity bin. The results do not change if we combine all three of the lowest luminosity bins into one single bin and compare it to the highest luminosity bin.

This is also evident in Figure 6 which also shows a comparison between the results obtained from the BASS sample and that from Merloni et al. (2014). The “type 12” AGNs from their sample are the same X-ray absorbed Type 1 AGNs studied here, except at higher redshifts (0.3 < \( z < 3 \)). There is a clear rise in the type 12 fraction as a function of X-ray luminosity that is not reflected in our low-redshift sample. Several factors could account for the discrepancy. The parent BAT AGN sample from which our study is based only covers a relatively small volume compared to the Merloni et al. (2014) sample. Therefore, our study does not include many high luminosity AGNs since the number density drops rapidly, though our last bin contains 73 AGNs. Since high-quality X-ray spectra were not available for all sources, Merloni et al. (2014) relied on hardness ratios to determine the X-ray absorbing column density. As they show, this method has a large scatter when compared to spectral measurements with differences up to 2 dex possible. As such, it is currently unclear whether the X-ray absorbed, Type 1 fraction increases, decreases, or is constant at higher luminosities.

If we suppose our measurements, completely determined from both optical and X-ray spectra, are correct, then we can put them into the context of the recent work of Ricci et al. (2017b). They studied the general X-ray obscured fraction in the entire BASS sample, finding a significant decrease of the total X-ray absorbed fraction at a high Eddington ratio (\( \lambda_{\text{Edd}} \)). The explanation in Ricci et al. (2017b) is that radiative feedback from the AGN shapes the obscuring structure. At a low Eddington ratio, gas and dust are able to build up around the SMBH, increasing the covering factor of the “torus,” while at high Eddington, the AGN has cleared away large amounts of gas and dust. This results in a dramatic increase in unobscured AGNs at high Eddington as more lines of sight toward the BLR open up.

For Type 1 AGNs, we do not observe a similar radical decrease in the X-ray absorbed fraction that Ricci et al. (2017b) find for primarily Type 2 AGNs. Using SMBH masses from the BASS DR1 and a cutoff of \( \log \lambda_{\text{Edd}} = -1.5 \), we find an X-ray absorbed fraction of 28±6% and 18±2% for low and high Eddington Type 1 AGNs. This indicates that, while the opening angle of the torus increases at high Eddington ratios, the fraction of sight lines through the BLR only mildly decreases and suggests that the covering factor of the BLR remains relatively constant. This could be further proof that dust is the key component to couple the AGN’s power to its surrounding environment as the dust covering factor seems to respond more dramatically than the neutral, dust-free BLR.

5. Summary and Conclusions

In this paper, we have examined the X-ray absorbed fraction of Type 1 AGNs within a large, hard X-ray selected sample of low-redshift AGNs. Using the relationship between the broad H\( \alpha \) and X-ray luminosity as an estimate of the optical extinction, we show that the column densities of gas toward the X-ray coronal and BLR are largely discrepant, indicating that the X-ray absorbing material is either internal or coincident with the BLR. The following summarizes our results:

1. Over the whole BASS sample, the fraction of Type 1 AGNs (i.e., those that show at least broad H\( \alpha \)), that are
X-ray absorbed is between 10% and 20% depending on the chosen $N_H$ cutoff.

2. Up to 30% of Sy 1.9s could be misclassified due to high-velocity outflows masquerading as a BLR component.

3. The X-ray absorbed Type 1 fraction is relatively constant, indicating a constant BLR covering fraction.

4. This further leads to a slight decrease with Eddington ratio, similar but not as dramatic as what is seen for the total fraction of obscured AGNs in the entire BASS sample. This could be an indication that dust is a necessary ingredient for coupling AGN radiation to the surrounding ISM.
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Appendix

Reevaluating the Broad H$\alpha$ Component for Sy 1.9

We investigate the possibility that some Sy 1.9s, especially those with small FWHMs for their broad H$\alpha$ component, could instead be Sy 2s with a strong outflowing component. The high-velocity wings associated with the outflow would be present in both the H$\alpha$ and [N II] line profiles and could be misinterpreted as an underlying broad H$\alpha$ component associated with the BLR. This could partly explain the high $N_H$ values seen for a large fraction of Sy 1.9s.

NGC 5728 is a prime example of this misclassification. Within BASS, NGC 5728 was found to be a Sy 1.9 with an FWHM in broad H$\alpha$ of 1766 km s$^{-1}$. X-ray spectral analysis finds log $N_H = 24.13$, a seemingly perfect case of an AGN whose optical obscuration is much lower than the X-ray absorption. NGC 5728 has also been observed with VLT/X-Shooter and VLT/SINFONI as part of our ongoing Local Luminous AGN with Matched Analogues (LLAMA) program providing UV-NIR spectra with high spectral resolution ($R \sim 8000$) and NIR H + K band integral field unit imaging with high spatial resolution ($\sim 0.15$).

From this data set, it is revealed that NGC 5728 contains a strong, spatially and spectrally resolved, wind. [Si VI] and Br$\gamma$ line emission maps from SINFONI (Figure 7) show the wind structure stretching from the SE to NW of the nucleus, which matches the location and position angle of ionization cone seen in previous Hubble Space Telescope narrowband H$\alpha$+[N II] and [O III] imaging (Wilson et al. 1993). The SE half is

![Figure 7](image-url)
redshifted, while the NW half is blueshifted with each reaching up to a projected velocity of 400 km s\(^{-1}\). The similarity in the flux and velocity maps of [Si \(\text{VI}\)] and Br\(\gamma\) indicate that the same process is driving the line emission for both species, likely AGN photoionization given the high ionization potential to produce [Si \(\text{VI}\)] (167 eV). Both the redshifted and blueshifted components of the outflow are seen in the X-Shooter spectrum as well. Figure 8 shows the [O \(\text{III}\)] \(\lambda5007\), and H\(\alpha\)+[N \(\text{II}\)] spectral regions. We first fit the [O \(\text{III}\)] \(\lambda5007\) profile with four Gaussian components that reproduce the blueshifted broad bump and narrow peak and the redshifted narrow peak and wing. The velocities and velocity dispersion of these components are \((v, \sigma_v) = (-250 \text{ km s}^{-1}, 145 \text{ km s}^{-1})\) and \((-207 \text{ km s}^{-1}, 24 \text{ km s}^{-1})\) for the broad and narrow blueshifted components, respectively, and \((196 \text{ km s}^{-1}, 89 \text{ km s}^{-1})\) and \((393 \text{ km s}^{-1}, 215 \text{ km s}^{-1})\) for the redshifted narrow and wing components respectively. Using only these four components with fixed velocity and dispersion plus a 0 \text{ km s}^{-1} velocity component with fixed velocity to account for a galactic disk component and fixing the line ratio of the [N \(\text{II}\)] to its theoretical value of 2.98, we can reliably reproduce the very complex H\(\alpha\) +[N \(\text{II}\)] profile without the addition of any broad H\(\alpha\) component. This indicates that NGC 5728 is in fact a Sy 2 with a strong ionized gas outflow, consistent with the high X-ray absorption.

Our analysis of NGC 5728 led us to reevaluate the remaining BASS Sy 1.9s for the possibility that their broad H\(\alpha\) components are actually part of an outflow. We repeated the methodology we used for NGC 5728, fitting the [O \(\text{III}\)] profile with up to three Gaussian components, then fixing the velocity and velocity dispersions of these components to fit the H\(\alpha\) +[N \(\text{II}\)] complex, while also adding a systemic component. Because of the lower spectral resolution, we simultaneously fit both the [O \(\text{III}\)] \(\lambda4959\) and [O \(\text{III}\)] \(\lambda5007\), fixing the line ratio to the theoretical value of 2.98. The final fit and residuals were inspected to determine whether there was evidence or not for an additional BLR component. In Figure 9, we show examples for a source with no evidence for a BLR component (top row) and strong evidence for a BLR component. Out of 57 Sy 1.9s in our sample, we find that 32 show strong evidence for a broad H\(\alpha\) component, 6 show weak evidence, and 18 show no evidence. For one source (BASS ID 929), we were not able to perform this analysis because [O \(\text{III}\)] \(\lambda5007\) is not detected. This indicates that up to 30\% of Sy 1.9s could in reality be Sy 2s with a strong outflow. Figure 10 plots the \(N_\text{H}\) distribution for the Sy 1.9s with no evidence of a BLR component (blue shading) along with the remaining \(N_\text{H}\) distribution for those with strong and weak evidence (orange shading). We also show the Sy 2 \(N_\text{H}\) distribution from Figure 1 (dashed line) that matches well the distribution for Sy 1.9s with no BLR component. A K–S test on the two distributions indicates a 92% probability for the null hypothesis that they are drawn from the same parent \(N_\text{H}\) population.

In no way do we suggest that this analysis is conclusive and all 18 of the Sy 1.9s with no evidence of an underlying broad component have an outflow. Rather, with only moderate spectral resolution, it is possible to explain the H\(\alpha\)+[N \(\text{II}\)] profile for them using only components present in the [O \(\text{III}\)] \(\lambda5007\) profile and an additional systemic component. Without at least higher spectral resolution data, we cannot make a conclusive statement for these objects. However, because the possibility remains that the broad H\(\alpha\) component does not originate in the BLR, we choose to remove these 18 AGNs from the rest of the analysis. The BASS collaboration is currently in the process of observing 75 BASS AGNs with
Figure 9. Example reanalysis for the [O III] and Hα+[N II] profiles of the Sy 1.9s. Top row shows the reanalysis of BASS 670 for which we were able to fit the Hα +[N II] complex using the [O III] Gaussian components plus an additional systemic component, thus indicating no compelling evidence for a BLR contribution to Hα. The fit of the [O III] doublet is shown in the left panel with the data in black, separate components in blue and red, and the total model in purple. The right panel shows the fit to the Hα+[N II] complex with the combined [O III] model shown by dashed blue, orange, and red lines and the additional systemic component as solid lines of the same color. The black and purple lines show the data and total fit, respectively. The bottom row is the same as above except for BASS 1161 for which there is strong evidence for the presence of a broad Hα component.
Figure 10. $N_H$ distribution for Sy 1.9s that are possibly hosting outflows instead of a broad Hα component (blue shaded histogram) compared to the $N_H$ distribution for the remaining Sy 1.9s with evidence for a broad component (orange shaded histogram). The black dashed line indicates the $N_H$ distribution for Sy 2s as shown in Figure 1.

VLT/X-Shooter and the incidence of outflows will be discussed in future surveys.
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