Durham Research Online

Deposited in DRO:

25 September 2018

Version of attached file:

Published Version

Peer-review status of attached file:

Peer-reviewed

Citation for published item:

Piper, S. F. and Bishop, R. R. and Rowley-Conwy, P. A. and Elliott, L. and Church, M. J. (2018) 'Fire in the Moor : Mesolithic carbonised remains in riverine deposits at Gleann Mor Barabhais, Lewis, Western Isles of Scotland.', *Journal of the North Atlantic.*, 35 . pp. 1-22.

Further information on publisher's website:

<https://doi.org/10.3721/037.006.3501>

Publisher's copyright statement:

Any further replication or distribution of the article, either in whole or in part, except for personal research purposes, is not allowed except with the written permission of the publisher, the Eagle Hill Institute.

Additional information:

Use policy

The full-text may be used and/or reproduced, and given to third parties in any format or medium, without prior permission or charge, for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes provided that:

- a full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- a [link](#) is made to the metadata record in DRO
- the full-text is not changed in any way

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

Please consult the [full DRO policy](#) for further details.

Journal of the North Atlantic

Fire in the Moor: Mesolithic Carbonised Remains in Riverine Deposits at Gleann Mor Barabhais, Lewis, Western Isles of Scotland

Stephanie F. Piper, Rosie R. Bishop, Peter A. Rowley-Conwy,
Lorne Elliott, and Mike J. Church

No. 35

2018

An archaeology and environmental history journal focusing on the peoples of the North Atlantic, their expansion into the region over time, and their interactions with their changing environments.

Journal of the North Atlantic

Board of Editors

Simun V. Arge, Faroe Islands
 Ian Armit, England, UK
 Jette Arneborg, Denmark
 Colleen Batey, Scotland, UK
 Gerald F. Bigelow, USA
 Steven A. Birch, Scotland, UK
 Colin Breen, Northern Ireland
 Mike J. Church, England, UK
 Barry Cunliffe, England, UK
 Christyann Darwent, USA
 Jane Downes, Scotland, UK
 Andrew J. Dugmore, Scotland, UK
 Kevin J. Edwards, Scotland, UK
 William W. Fitzhugh, USA
 Mark Gardiner, Northern Ireland, UK
 Keith Goldfarb, USA, **Editor-in-Chief**
 Erika Guttman-Bond, The Netherlands
 Agnar Helgason, Iceland
 Lisa Hodgetts, Canada
 Joerg-Henner Lotze, USA, **Publisher**
 Niels Lynnerup, Denmark
 Ditlev L. Mahler, Denmark
 Thomas H. McGovern, USA
 Jacqui A. Mulville, Wales, UK
 Georg Nyegaard, Greenland
 Ulla Odgaard, Denmark
 Astrid E.J. Ogilvie, USA
 Tadhg O'Keeffe, Ireland
 Bjørnar Olsen, Norway
 Richard D. Oram, Scotland, UK
 Aidan O'Sullivan, Republic of Ireland
 Robert W Park, Canada
 Michael Parker-Pearson, England, UK
 Else Roesdahl, Denmark
 Alexandra Sanmark, England, UK
 Niall Sharples, Wales, UK
 John Sheehan, Ireland
 Ian A. Simpson, Scotland, UK,
 Przemyslaw Urbanczyk, Poland
 Orri Vésteinsson, Iceland
 Alex Woolf, Scotland, UK
 James Woollett, Canada

The *Journal of the North Atlantic* (JONA) is a multi-disciplinary, peer-reviewed and edited archaeology and environmental history journal focusing on the peoples of the North Atlantic, their expansion into the region over time, and their interactions with their changing environments. The journal—published online in the BioOne.org database and on the JONA website, and indexed in a full range of journal databases—serves as a forum for researchers, and as an information resource for instructors, students, and the intellectually curious who would like to learn about the latest research and study opportunities within the region.

The journal publishes a wide diversity of research papers, as well as research summaries and general interest articles in closely related disciplines, which, when considered together, help contribute to a comprehensive multi-disciplinary understanding of the historical interplay between cultural and environmental changes in the North Atlantic world. Specifically, the journal's focus includes paleo-environmental reconstruction and modelling, historical ecology, archaeology, ecology of organisms important to humans, anthropology, human/environment/climate interactions, climate history, ethnography, ethnohistory, historical analyses, discussions of cultural heritage, and place-name studies.

The journal publishes individual papers on an article-by-article basis. Whenever a manuscript has completed its peer review process and the article galley has been approved by the author, it will be immediately published online in the BioOne database and on the JONA website. This publishing model is also available for special volumes such as conference and symposium proceedings or other collections of papers. In effect, this means that articles are grouped online over time, i.e., the table of contents of volumes will grow as articles are posted online, which has the advantage of rewarding prompt authors, while enabling tardier authors to retain the option of being included in a special volume without delaying its publication.

The Journal of the North Atlantic's publishing format is versatile enough that authors can include supplementary files with their articles. These supplements may include dataset, figure, and table files (e.g., files requiring a larger than normal journal page size, such as large maps), as well as text and protocol files, audio and video files (e.g., for ethnographic studies), and even Powerpoint files.

The *Journal of the North Atlantic* (Online ISSN #1935-1933, Print ISSN #1935-1984), with an international editorial board, is a collaborative publishing effort of the Eagle Hill Institute, PO Box 9, 59 Eagle Hill Road, Steuben, ME 04680-0009 USA. Phone 207-546-2821, FAX 207-546-3042. E-mail: office@eaglehill.us. Website: www.eaglehill.us/jona. Copyright © 2018, all rights reserved. On-line secure subscription ordering: rate per year is \$40 for individuals, \$32 for students, \$250 for organizations. **Authors:** Instructions for authors are available at www.eaglehill.us/jona. The Eagle Hill Institute (Federal ID # 010379899) is a tax exempt 501(c)(3) nonprofit corporation of the State of Maine, USA.

Cover Image: Recording the eroding riverbank section at DLS'13 #30, Gleann Mor Barabhais, Lewis.

Fire in the Moor: Mesolithic Carbonised Remains in Riverine Deposits at Gleann Mor Barabhais, Lewis, Western Isles of Scotland

Stephanie F. Piper^{1,*}, Rosie R. Bishop^{1,2}, Peter A. Rowley-Conwy¹, Lorne Elliott¹, and Mike J. Church¹

Abstract – This paper presents the results of a palaeoenvironmental investigation of riverine deposits containing charred heathland plant material, recovered during an archaeological survey of Gleann Mor Barabhais, Lewis, Western Isles of Scotland. This survey was conducted to identify Mesolithic occupation in the interior of the island and was undertaken as part of a wider project investigating the Mesolithic of the Western Isles. The recovery of carbonised material of Mesolithic date is discussed in light of the long-standing debate on detecting hunter-gatherer impact on environments using palaeoenvironmental records. The findings are compared to regional pollen sequences, where peaks in micro-charcoal levels and associated reductions in arboreal pollen are interpreted as evidence for anthropogenic fire ecology. These have been identified in areas where archaeological evidence for human occupation is absent. It is argued that this site reflects deliberate burning of vegetation by humans, most likely a small hearth, and therefore represents the first direct inferred evidence for the Mesolithic in the interior of the Western Isles.

Introduction

Locating Mesolithic interior sites in Atlantic Scotland

The overall distribution of Mesolithic sites on the islands and mainland of western Scotland is coastally biased (Hardy and Wickham-Jones 2002, Wickham-Jones 1990, Wicks and Mithen 2014). This imbalance potentially reflects a combination of settlement choice by Mesolithic people in the region, coupled with greater visibility and ease of access for research by archaeologists in coastal areas (Wickham-Jones 1990:168). Two key geomorphic/pedogenic processes affect the Mesolithic archaeology of the Western Isles, which began during the early to mid-Holocene. Firstly, the majority of interior regions are covered by blanket peat, which can often be several metres thick, obscuring early Holocene ground surfaces. The highly acidic nature of these soils also creates unsuitable conditions for the preservation of organic materials such as bone (Edwards 1996:34, Fairnell and Barrett 2007:469). Secondly, the development of the machair (calcareous shell sand) has also buried evidence of Mesolithic occupation (Bennett et al. 1990:281; Edwards 1996:34, 2004:61; Edwards and Mithen 1995:349; Edwards et al. 2005:436; Gregory et al. 2005). Thus, non-invasive archaeological survey techniques, such as fieldwalking and geophysics, cannot be routinely implemented to locate Mesolithic sites in the Western Isles.

Furthermore, in the interior areas of the Scottish mainland, modern agricultural methods have significantly affected the visibility and successful identification of Mesolithic sites. For example, intensive

ploughing of the lowland areas compromises the survival of early sites; conversely, “greening” (leaving to pasture) of the less accessible highland landscape results in minimal plough soil that can only be investigated through specific survey techniques, such as field-walking, to find new sites (Edwards and Mithen 1995:349). Despite these issues, evidence of inland Mesolithic occupation has emerged as a result of NPPG5 and PAN42 legislation, which has facilitated developer-funded excavation in advance of commercial infrastructure projects (Phillips and Bradley 2004; The Scottish Government 1994, 1998). Fieldwalking and research-based investigation along major river and loch systems in mainland southern Scotland have yielded significant evidence for occupation of the lowland interior (e.g., Edwards et al. 1983, Ward 2010), and recent evidence has come to light for Mesolithic activity in the uplands of the Cairngorms (Fraser 2003, 2014; Fraser et al. 2015; Warren et al. 2018). The success of these research surveys are corroborated by research in Yorkshire and Norway where numerous Mesolithic upland/inland sites have been identified, situated close to lakes and river systems (Bang-Andersen 2003, Donahue and Lovis 2003:312, Fretheim 2009:379, Persson 2009). In the Inner Hebrides, responsive investigations have focused on natural, human, and animal induced erosion events, which have also successfully identified new Mesolithic sites on Skye and Islay (Hardy 2009, Kozikowski et al. 1999, Mithen 2000:57).

There are several key aspects to the success of these investigations. Rivers not only provide access to essential fresh water and a ready supply of edible aquatic resources, but also facilitate transport links

¹Department of Archaeology, Durham University, South Road, Durham, DH1 3LE, UK. ²School of Archaeology, University College Dublin, Dublin 4, Ireland. *Corresponding author – stephanie.piper@durham.ac.uk.

and are likely to have functioned as inter-connecting route-ways through the interior during the Mesolithic, making them prime locations for hunter-gatherer occupation (Bonsall et al. 2009:71, Edwards et al. 1983, Ward 2010:3, Warren 2005:63). The erosive fluvial processes of these rivers over millennia has produced natural transects through the mid- to late-Holocene peat development, exposing relic ground surfaces in section (Wickham-Jones and Firth 2000:123). As a consequence of the unfavourable preservation conditions for organic material, lithic debris and carbonised plant material are frequently the only surviving Mesolithic cultural evidence recovered from inland sites in Scotland. It is these ephemeral assemblages that have been recovered from the eroding sections of loch edges or river banks (Affleck 1984a, 1984b; Ansell 1968, 1969). The presence of lithics undeniably attests to human presence, whereas carbonised plant material may result from anthropogenic activities or natural events (cf. Edwards 1996, Tipping 1996). The recovery of carbonised plant material however, provides necessary dating evidence for these sites.

Recently, conclusive evidence for Mesolithic occupation in the Western Isles confirmed that hunter-gatherers had reached this most westerly point of north-west Europe a little after 8000 B.P. (Gregory et al. 2005). Two site types have been identified by a sustained programme of field survey and targeted excavation by a team from Durham University: open palimpsests of occupation material within the mid-Holocene ground surface of the Toe Head peninsula in Harris (Bishop et al. 2011, Church et al. 2012a), and open air shell middens on the Bhaltois Peninsula, Lewis (Bishop et al. 2013a, Church and Rowley-Conwy 2013, Church et al. 2012b, Snape-Kennedy et al. 2013). In both areas, the Mesolithic sites were discovered in coastal erosion sections, an important method of site identification in North Atlantic archaeology (cf. Church et al. 2005, 2013).

In light of the surveys that have successfully identified Mesolithic sites in fluvial environments in the interior of mainland Scotland, and in coastal erosion sections in the Western Isles, an archaeological survey was conducted along the longest river in the Isle of Lewis (Welcome to Scotland 2018). The main research questions this survey aimed to address were:

- Which major periods did the archaeological sites identified in the river survey represent?
- Can Mesolithic archaeological sites be identified in the interior of the Western Isles through targeted surveying of eroding fluvial environments?

The principal aim of the fieldwork was to test whether the survey could be used to identify activity of Mesolithic date in the interior of the island. If this methodology was successful, the results would have significant implications for understanding hunter-gatherer activities in these islands, expanding the known range of hunter-gatherer occupations beyond coastal settlements, into the interior, and would show whether or not the method has further potential for identifying inland hunter-gatherer sites.

Methods

Survey

A desk-based assessment was conducted to identify a low-energy river system within a wide, shallow valley. This type of river system is relatively stable, with high rates of vertical fine-grained sediment accretion and minimal incisive erosion that leads to post-depositional mixing (Howard and Macklin 1999). The stability of low-energy river systems provides the greatest potential for preservation of in situ archaeological remains. Gleann Mor Barabhais is the longest river in Lewis, which penetrates ca. 10 km into the island's interior, and was selected as the most promising area to survey based on its geomorphic position. Furthermore, historical and modern fishing accounts attest to the abundance of salmon in the river, which would have no doubt attracted Mesolithic hunter-gatherer-fishers (Fish Hebrides 2018, MacRae 1836, Martin 1703). The locations and details of all previously recorded archaeological sites within a 10 m radius of the river were obtained from Historic Environment Scotland's online database CANMORE, although these solely comprised post-Medieval structures (see Appendix 1).

A walk-over survey was conducted over ten days during September 2013. Both banks of Gleann Mor Barabhais and a substantial tributary of the river along Gleann Airigh na Gile were surveyed during this time. There were numerous fresh erosion scars from bank collapse that were inspected, owing to recent high river levels. All visible archaeological features within this vicinity of the river were recorded, regardless of age. Eroding sections of the river bank were closely examined for material that may indicate Mesolithic activity (Figs. 1, 2). Archaeological deposits containing Mesolithic material were expected to be situated below the peat and above glacial till. This was the most likely stratigraphic position for sites of this age, because all of the Mesolithic sites that have so far been identified in the Western Isles have been situated in this stratigraphic position. Whilst some peat may have begun to de-

velop as early as the Mesolithic in some areas, widespread accelerated peat development did not begin in this region until the late Neolithic (Fossitt 1996). A handheld GPS was used in conjunction with an Ordnance Survey Landranger map (1:50,000 scale) to record the location of sites.

Excavation

Only a single section of the riverbank was identified as likely evidence for pre-Medieval activity (Site Code = DLS'13 #30; NGR NB37464648; Piper et al. 2013, 2014). The section was cleaned, photographed and recorded using standard single context recording (Fig. 3). An illustration of the section identifying the different stratigraphic units was drawn at 1:10 (Fig. 4). Two column samples (Sample 1 and Sample 2; S.1 and S.2 hereafter) were taken from the eroding section to provide detailed site-formation information (Macphail et al. 1990), and a single bulk sample of ca. 3.5 litres (Sample 3; S.3 hereafter) was also excavated to ensure the recovery of environmental remains (Jones 1991). The column samples were wrapped in cling-film and placed in sealed bags for transportation to the Environmental Laboratories at

the Department of Archaeology, Durham University. The samples were kept in cold storage (4° C) prior to laboratory analysis.

Bulk sample processing

The bulk sample was sub-sampled for loss-on-ignition and magnetic susceptibility analysis, prior to bucket flotation by hand to recover artefacts and ecofacts (Kenward et al. 1980). The flots were caught by 1 mm and 0.5 mm mesh sieves and a 1 mm mesh sieve retained the residue. Both the flots and residue were dried in a drying cabinet at 40° C, before being fractioned using 4 mm, 2 mm and 1 mm geological test sieves. All palaeobotanical material was recovered using a Leica M80 stereo microscope at 7.5–60x magnification.

Palaeobotanical analysis

Charcoal was only extracted from the >4 mm fraction due to difficulties in identifying fragments below this size (Pearsall 2000). The identification of wood species was conducted by examining the transverse, radial and tangential sections at up to 600x magnification, using a Leica DMLM stereo microscope. The identification of plant macrofossils

Figure 1. Gleann Mor Barabhais survey area. Ordnance Survey data © Crown. Copyright/database right 2014. An Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.

Figure 2. Sampling in progress at DLS'13 #30, showing the sample location in its wider landscape context.

Figure 3. DLS'13 #30 in situ, after cleaning.

was aided by modern reference material held in the Department of Archaeology, Durham University. Nomenclature follows Stace (2010).

Sedimentary analysis

Sedimentary analysis was undertaken on a 5 ml sub-sample of the bulk sample (S.3) and at 1 cm increments for each of the column samples (S.1 and S.2). Basic descriptions of the physical characteristics of each sample were recorded comprising soil texture, following DEFRA guidelines (2006), and colour which was estimated using a Munsell colour chart (Fig. 5). Mineral magnetic analysis was conducted on 1 cm³ sub-samples using a Bartington MS2G Single Frequency Sensor with the volume specific magnetic susceptibility (κ_{v}) calculated following Dearing (1994). Sequential loss-on-ignition was conducted on 1 cm³ sub-samples following Heiri et al. (2001).

Results

Survey results

A total of thirty features were recorded during the survey of Gleann Mor Barabhais, seven of which had been previously identified and were present in the NMR (CANMORE). Three sites detailed in the NMR which fell within the survey area were not located during the investigation, and others differ substantially from the records held. Twenty-nine of the sites most likely date between the Medieval and modern periods. These included lazy beds, shielings and earthworks (often in association with one another). Walls built to stabilise the river banks were also recorded (Appendix 1).

Figure 4. Exposed section of DLS'13 #30 (1:10) showing sampling locations.

Excavation results

Of the thirty recorded sites, only a single feature (DLS'13 #30) displayed evidence for potential early anthropogenic activity of the type the survey was designed to locate (Fig. 1; Piper et al. 2013, 2014). A ca. 6 cm deposit of dark brown/black silty-clay with charcoal flecks was identified overlying a thin layer of grey clay and well-sorted gravel, and was in turn overlain by series of alluvial laminations (Fig. 4). The deposit was visible for ca. 5 m along an eroding section of the river bank. A 0.95 m stretch of the section was cleaned and targeted for bulk-sampling for the recovery of archaeological material (S.3, Fig. 4). No archaeological features were detected during sampling of the deposit and no artefacts or faunal material was recovered. The deposit is present between 0–7.2 cm in depth in S.1, and 2.6–9 cm in depth in S.2 (Fig. 5).

Botanical and sedimentary analysis

Eleven pieces of small deciduous roundwood charcoal were recovered from the 4 mm residue fraction of DLS'13 #30 (Table 1). The charcoal was very poorly preserved, with post-depositional iron-oxide mineral deposits largely obscuring diagnostic features, precluding the recording of age and size data. Despite this, the fragments were positively identified as heather (*Calluna vulgaris* (L.) Hull) based on the diffuse pore arrangement and the fact the largest of these pores appeared in the upper third of a single growth year (Hather 2000, Schweingruber 1990). Additionally, the sample contained the charred remains of wet heathland species including a single seed of Bearberry (*Arctostaphylos uva-ursi* L. Spreng.), culm nodes and a culm base of grass-type (Poaceae spp.), two rhizomes and an abundance of sclerotia (resting bodies) of *Cenococcum geophilum*.

The band of silty clay loam sampled for potential archaeological evidence has a high organic content (56–65%), with magnetic susceptibility ranging from slightly negative to extremely low and positive (Fig. 6). This contrasts to the overly-

Table 1: Carbonised plant macrofossils recovered from the bulk sample.

Scientific identification	Common name descriptor	Quantification
<i>Calluna vulgaris</i> (L.) Hull	Heather roundwood charcoal fragment	11F (0.67 g.)
<i>Arctostaphylos uva-ursi</i> (L.) Spreng.	Bearberry seed	1
Poaceae undiff.	Grass culm node	3
Poaceae undiff.	Grass culm base	1
Indeterminate (<2 mm)	Indeterminate rhizome	2
<i>Cenococcum geophilum</i>	Fungal sclerotia	>120F

ing alluvium, which is very low in organic content (10–30%), and has slightly elevated levels of magnetic susceptibility. In the underlying thin band of grey clay the percentage of organic content is equal to that of the overlying alluvium and the level of magnetic susceptibility peaks, although is still low. There is virtually no organic content (<10%) in the basal gravel layer and the magnetic susceptibility is very low (Fig. 6). Magnetic susceptibility and loss-

on-ignition could not be conducted between 9–9.4 cm in S.1 as the layer is heavy in stone inclusions and not enough material could be recovered for analysis. The lack of magnetic enhancement of these layers, compared against the mineral magnetic values for different sediments already established for the region (Church et al. 2007; Peters et al. 2000, 2004), and the sedimentary context of the material, indicates that burning did not occur in situ (Dearing 1994).

Figure 5. Basic description of soil colour and texture from DLS'13 #30. Sample 1 (top): the layer containing the carbonised material is present between 0-7.2 cm, above the dashed line. Sample 2 (bottom): the layer containing the carbonised material is present between 0.26-9.0 cm, below the dashed line.

Radiocarbon dating

A single fragment of heather roundwood charcoal (*Calluna vulgaris* (L.) Hull) was submitted for single entity AMS radiocarbon dating at the Scottish Universities Environmental Research Centre (SUERC). Calibration of the date was conducted using OxCal 4.1.7 (Bronk Ramsey et al. 2010), with atmospheric data derived from Reimer et al. (2013). This charcoal fragment was dated, at 95.4% probability, to 4460–4355 cal. BC (5583±27 BP, SUERC-55370, Fig. 7). The information reported here follows the reporting recommendations for radiocarbon dates as proposed by Millard (2014).

Discussion

Inspection of the eroding banks of Gleann Mor Barabhais and its immediate environment successfully identified a range of evidence for anthropogenic activities of varying ages, but principally dating to the Medieval to post-Medieval periods (Appendix 1). The one exception to this was the deposition event at DLS'13 #30, which was radiocarbon dated to 4460–4355 cal. BC. This places this burning event within the Late Mesolithic of Scotland (Ashmore 2004), and within the current range for known Mesolithic occupation along the coast of Lewis (Church et al. 2012b).

The identification of this Mesolithic material during the survey was significant because it provided the opportunity to investigate an area of “fine grained sedimentation in which archaeology is unlikely to be located through conventional archaeological prospection techniques” (Howard and Macklin 1999). However, as no archaeological features or artefacts were recovered from the sampled area, there is no definite evidence for human activity. Combined with the clear evidence for

redeposition, this cannot legitimately be described as an archaeological site. Despite this, the accumulation of charred botanical material is valuable in reconstructing the palaeoenvironment around this area of Gleann Mor Barabhais. The carbonised plant material itself may derive from either a natural or anthropogenic fire event, the arguments for which are discussed below.

Fire in Gleann Mor Barabhais

Sedimentary analysis of the samples taken from DLS'13 #30 indicates that the site comprises a series of silty-clay alluvial laminations. The laminated nature of the stratigraphy and low magnetic susceptibility demonstrate that the material was not burnt in situ, but was re-deposited in a flood event, away from the original area of burning (Nichols et al. 2000, Scott et al. 2000). The date of 4460–4355 cal. BC from the charcoal provides a terminus post quem for the aggradation of this floodplain deposit, which is high in organic content, and suggestive of upstream erosion of moorland soils. The effects of burning events on soil stability, principally causing an increased susceptibility of soils to water runoff and erosion, are well documented (i.e., Fox et al. 2007, Kutiel et al. 1995, Mataix-Solera et al. 2011, Yoder 1936).

The sampled area is situated ca. 5 km inland from the present coastline (Fig. 1), and during this time, the sea level would have been as much as 5 m lower than today (Jordan et al. 2010:131; Ritchie 1979, 1985:174-175). The material is likely to have originated from a geomorphic catchment which extends a minimum of 7 km further up-river and may be as much as 10 km wide, assuming the material was fluvially re-deposited by the Barabhais river or one of its tributaries in the surrounding area (Fig. 8). Despite this potentially wide catchment area, the charred plant macrofossils may be of relatively local

Figure 6. Magnetic susceptibility and loss-on-ignition results alongside respective soil micromorphology samples from DLS'13 #30.

origin, perhaps deriving from an area of heathland within the immediate vicinity of the excavated area. Therefore, the source of the material must have been within the interior of Lewis.

To summarise, it can be reasonably inferred that:

- a fire event occurred within the interior of Lewis, a landscape which comprised areas of heathland;
- the fire event occurred upstream of DLS'13 #30, within a potential catchment area of the Barabhais river that extends over 36.5 km² (Fig. 8);
- aggregate instability in the surrounding landscape led to soil erosion and runoff during a period of rainfall or flooding, which contained both sediment and charred plant macrofossils from this fire;
- the material carried by the runoff was redeposited during one or more low-energy hydrological events as the downstream floodplain accreted;
- this re-deposition episode may have been contemporary with, or post-date, the Late Mesolithic period, when the charred remains were produced.

The palaeoenvironmental evidence from DLS'13 #30 provides a very small snapshot of an open heathland area in inland Lewis. The plant macrofossil assemblage is on a macro scale, in contrast to traditional palaeoenvironmental evidence from the Western Isles, which has been based upon pollen diagrams derived from palaeoenvironmental cores. These data are extrapolated from peaks in micro-charcoal—"low-level background rain of carbonised particles" (Innes and Simmons 2000)—deriving from mainly off-site burning (e.g., Birks and Madsen 1979, Bohncke 1988, Brayshay and Edwards 1996, Edwards 1990, Edwards et al. 1995, Fossitt 1996, Lomax 1997, Tipping 1996). Specifically, evidence for the Mesolithic flora of the Lewisian landscape is present in pollen diagrams from Callanish-3, Loch Builaval Beag and Loch na Beinne Bige, ca. 20 kilometres away from Gleann Mor Barabhais (Bohncke

1988, Fossitt 1996, Lomax 1997). These indicate that heathland only contributed to a very small proportion of total land pollen in the area at this time, although local expansions in *Calluna* heathland are recorded at Loch Builaval Beag and Callanish-3 between ca. 8400–7900 B.P. (Edwards 1996, Tipping 1996). It is likely, therefore, that the immediate landscape of these locales comprised heathland areas within a largely wooded environment (Bohncke 1988, Fossitt 1996, Fyfe 2007, Lomax 1997). Further south, the pollen sequence from Little Loch Roag indicates that this area of western Lewis was predominantly open heath and grassland (Birks and Madsen 1979). Heather is tolerant of a wide-range of moisture conditions—from heathland mor humus or peat bog, to the open birch woodland environments—that are well represented in the pollen diagrams from Lewis (Edwards 1996, Simmons 1996:108).

The presence of the heather and Bearberry remains suggests that the upstream catchment of Gleann Mor Barabhais comprised an open heathland environment, potentially with early bog formation (Stace 2010). However, there is a clear need for a new pollen sequence to be produced for the interior of Barabhais Moor, which specifically assesses the nature and chronology of the Holocene blanket bog and heathland development, in order to test this further. Investigating the evolution of fluvial environments in the Western Isles also requires attention, given the lack of data from this region (i.e., Johnstone et al. 2006, Macklin et al. 2010).

Fire ecology in Mesolithic moorlands?

The plant macrofossil assemblage recovered from the deposits at DLS'13 #30 comprised a quantity of heather charcoal, in addition to charred rhizomes, culm nodes and culm bases of grasses, and fungal sclerotia. The relatively small area and concentrated nature of the charred deposit suggests that the charred macrofossils were derived from a small-scale burning event, such as a domestic hearth. Together, the species identified are recognised as archaeologically characteristic of burnt peat or turf (Hall 2003). The heather may have been collected separately as fuel for a small hearth, or the assemblage may have derived from the localised burning of peat or turf as fuel (Church et al. 2007). The burning of peat/turf for fuel is well documented in the Western and Northern Isles, as well as other islands of the North Atlantic, from the Neolithic to modern times (Bishop et al. 2009, 2013b, 2014, 2015; Bond 2007a, 2007b; Church 2002; Church et al. 2005, 2007; Dickson 1998; 1999; Smith 1999), and the Bronze Age in England

Figure 7. Calibration plot of radiocarbon dated charcoal from DLS'13 #30.

Figure 8. Topographic map detailing the location of DLS'13 #30 and likely catchment for the source of charred archaeobotanical remains recovered from the site. Ordnance Survey data © Crown Copyright/database right 2014. An Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.

(Branigan et al. 2002). There is little evidence for the burning of turf in the Mesolithic however. It has been suggested that that incorporation of charred tubers of lesser celandine (*Ranunculus ficaria* L.) within the Mesolithic deposits at Staosnaig, Colonsay may have been due to the burning of turf, rather than collected for human consumption (cf. Mithen et al. 2000). Turves may have also have been used in a domestic hearth or as part of a structure used for smoking or drying food at the Mesolithic site of Northton, Isle of Harris (Bishop 2013).

Potential evidence for Mesolithic anthropogenic burning of the landscape on a larger scale (beyond small-scale burning in domestic hearths) has been identified in the palaeoenvironmental record. There is an abundance of evidence for Mesolithic-age fire incidence connected with disturbance phases in local vegetation cover throughout Britain, particularly in the Pennine region (e.g., Albert and Innes 2015; Blackford et al. 2006; Caseldine 1999; Caseldine and Hatton 1993; Innes and Blackford 2003, 2009; Innes et al. 2010, 2011, 2013; Innes and Simmons 2000; Ryan and Blackford 2010; Zvelebil 1994). Largely, these studies have focused on the effects of deforestation through human agency as deliberate land-management strategies designed to promote open areas of newly regenerating woodland which would increase browsing opportunities, and thus the predictability and productivity of large terrestrial herbivores (e.g., Clarke 1976, Dimbleby 1962, Edwards 1990, Innes and Blackford 2003, Jacobi et al. 1976, Simmons 2001:46, Simmons and Innes 1987, Zvelebil 1994); in addition to increasing yields from edible plant species, including hazel (Bishop et al. 2014, 2015; Mellars 1976; cf. Warren et al. 2014 on the Irish evidence). Mesolithic-age human impact on the environment in terms of vegetation disturbance has also been recorded within the islands of the Inner Hebrides, such as Kinloch, Rum (Hirons and Edwards 1990); Loch a'Bhogaidh, Islay (Edwards and Berridge 1994); and Auchareoch, Arran (Affleck et al. 1988), during periods of archaeologically corroborated Mesolithic occupation of these islands. In such instances, this evidence is interpreted as deliberate land management strategies by hunter-gatherers.

There are, however, few studies discussing Mesolithic fire incidence in open areas such as heathland. Analysis of a number of cores taken from intertidal peats along the west coast of South Uist have indicated burning episodes associated with *Calluna* heathland during the Late Mesolithic (Ballantyne and Ward 2008, Bennett et al. 1990, Edwards 1996:34, Edwards et al. 1995, Mulder 1999, Simmons 1996:158), but as yet, there is no archaeo-

logical evidence for a Mesolithic presence on South Uist. Evidence for human impact on the landscape involving fire has also been inferred from North Locheynort, Loch an t-Sil, Reineval, and Borge on Benbecula at this time (Brayshay and Edwards 1996, Edwards 1990, Edwards et al. 1995, Whittington and Edwards 1997). Conversely, the archaeological record supports interpretations of fire ecology by way of heathland management during the Mesolithic in England (Caseldine and Hatton 1993, Simmons 2001), Wales (Fyfe 2007, Smith and Cloutman 1988), and Norway (Hjelle et al. 2010, Prösch-Danielsen and Simonsen 2000). It is notable that *Calluna* is "... readily inflammable in many stages of its growth and under most likely weather conditions" (Simmons 1996:122). Experimental, simulated burning of heathland has indicated that controlled burning can improve the regeneration of *Calluna* (Whittaker and Gimingham 1962); moreover, continued burning of moorland would be necessary to some extent to maintain clearings (Mighall et al. 2008:625).

The maximum conceivable catchment from which this assemblage derives is over 36.5 km² (Fig. 8), based on the modern topography and river systems. Whilst the spatial extent of the deposit is not known beyond the dimensions recorded in section, it is probable that the charred palaeoenvironmental material that accumulated within this floodplain deposit is derived from a much more localised area. This is based upon the relatively discrete area of the deposit itself, which is high in organic content and with fine laminations, which suggests a slow accumulation rate (Greenwood 1991, Tipping and Halliday 1994, Warner 1988). The presence of macroscopic heather charcoal, which waterlogs very quickly, in combination with much smaller and less robust material (i.e., culm nodes and rhizomes) suggests that there has been minimal dispersal or winnowing of the material (Blackford 2000, Clark and Patterson 1997, Scott et al. 2000, Warner 1988). Consequently, it appears that the small quantity of the carbonised material recovered at DLS'13 #30 reflects small-scale low intensity burning on a localised level, potentially within less than 500 m from the sampled area, rather than widespread landscape-level burning resulting from deliberate management strategies (Froyd 2006, Ohlson and Tryterud 2000, Pitkänen et al. 1999, Whitlock and Larsen 2001).

Openness in Mesolithic environments: natural or anthropogenic?

It is generally agreed amongst palaeoecologists that open areas would have occurred within Mesolithic woodland ecosystems as a conse-

quence of natural factors such as disease or environmentally-induced dieback, fire, wind throw, and senescence, thus facilitating heathland development (Bradshaw et al. 2003, Brown 1997, Edwards and Whittington 2003, Peterken 1996, Svenning 2002, Tipping 1994). However, there are also two popular models which are frequently cited with regard to the presence of open areas in the Mesolithic landscape. The “wood pasture” model (Vera 2000) proposes a patch-work of woodland and pasture “maintained by large herbivore grazing regimes” (Fyfe 2007). The second “high-forest” model, proposed by Peterken (1996), favours a closed-canopy landscape with some open areas. Bradshaw et al. (2003) argue that in both models “dense populations” of large ungulates are necessary in maintaining these areas of openness. It should be stressed that in this instance, these models cannot be applied to DLS’13 #30. The issues are twofold. Firstly, these models centre on the creation of clearings within predominantly wooded habitats, whereby the pollen peaks indicating that the presence of rapidly recolonizing species such as *Calluna* are a byproduct (intentional or otherwise) of repeated burning (Mallik and Gimingham 1983). To reiterate, the palaeoenvironmental evidence from DLS’13 #30 represents burning of an area of heathland rather than an area of woodland. Secondly, such models focus on the presence of ‘big game’ species. There is currently no definitive zooarchaeological evidence for the presence of large terrestrial ungulates in the Mesolithic of the Western Isles.

The natural expansion of heathland communities in the Uists has largely been attributed to “progressive soil deterioration” in the absence of substantial woodland, due to the climatically marginal nature of these island landscapes (Mulder 1999:276–278), a phenomenon observed in other island systems in the wider North Atlantic, such as the Faroes (Lawson et al 2007). Accordingly, a hypothesis for a natural cause of fire at DLS’13 #30 must also be investigated. Peterken (1996) and Brown (1997) have argued that heathland species, including heather and gorse, are more susceptible to natural fires such as those caused by lightning strike. Climatic instability and high natural fire frequency during very dry periods of the early Holocene is often postulated as an explanation for elevated charcoal levels in palaeoenvironmental records of north-west Europe (Brown 1997:136, Huntley 1993, Tipping 1996). The dating evidence from the charred material from DLS’13 #30 accords with a period of abrupt climatic changes that oscillate between cool and wet to warm and dry, as exemplified in the pollen and charcoal records

from numerous sites in mainland Scotland and the Hebrides (Tipping 1996, Tipping and Milburn 2000, Tipping et al. 2008). Although summertime temperatures during these warmer periods may have been as little as 1° C above present, models have indicated that even such an insignificant rise in temperature, when coupled with a dramatic fall in precipitation, can have marked effects on vegetative composition and its susceptibility to disturbance (Briffa and Atkinson 1997:108, Moore 2000, Overpeck et al. 1990). Light surface fires of ground-level vegetation are a frequent part of natural fire dynamics for example, and evidence from the Scottish Highlands indicates a direct correlation between heathland expansion and natural fire incidence that may have been exploited by hunter-gatherer communities (Bradshaw et al. 1997, Froyd 2006, Moore 2000). Consequently, supporting evidence for significant human impact on the environment in the Mesolithic of Lewis is challenging to interpret. Low levels of charcoal and woodland decline at Loch Builaval Beag were interpreted as indicative of natural, climatic change (Fossitt 1996:188), similarly the evidence from Loch na Beinne Bige does not attest to significant anthropogenic disturbance of the environment, only the possibility of small, local fires (Lomax 1997:240, 265). Only the medium levels of charcoal abundance at Callanish-3 have been interpreted as anthropogenic in origin (Bohncke 1988). It should be noted however, that light surface fires are also the most frequently created fires by humans, therefore distinguishing between an anthropogenic or natural cause is highly problematic without very fine-resolution sampling (Moore 1996, 2000).

Therefore, having rehearsed the various interpretive models for existence of the carbonised material, we believe that the open heathland landscape within the vicinity of the site is likely a result of natural causes. The distinctive composition of the charred palaeoenvironmental assemblage from DLS’13 #30 suggests that it most probably derives from anthropogenic activities within the river catchment, such as burning heather and turf as a fuel within a hearth, rather than evidence of a natural fire or ‘catastrophic’ and deliberate large-scale fire strategy for the purposes of managing heathland resources.

Evaluating river-focused archaeological field survey

The methodology employed in the survey along the river was successful in identifying Mesolithic-age deposits, within the geomorphic position predicted, on the basis of the stratigraphic position of other Mesolithic sites identified as part of

coastal erosion surveys in the region. Although the method did not produce definite evidence of Mesolithic human settlement, the investigation has shown the potential of the method for identifying Mesolithic sites in the interior of the Western Isles.

However, there are potential challenges in identifying archaeological sites of Mesolithic date in the region using this approach. The geomorphological processes and environmental changes that have occurred on Lewis since the mid-Holocene have greatly altered the landscape. Though the low-energy lowland and perimarine river systems of the Barabhais region in Lewis are likely to have been relatively stable (Howard and Macklin 1999), they will have certainly seen some change: sea level rise since the Mesolithic period will have altered the water table and consequently drainage patterns (Howard and Macklin 1999, Woodman 1997:370). Furthermore, it cannot be readily assumed that the heavily managed modern rivers in the region, which are maintained to support angling tourism, were comparable to Mesolithic river systems (Warren 2005:56). Overcoming this issue would require extensive modelling of relic river systems that incorporate multi-proxy approaches by fluvial geomorphologists to date sedimentation rates of relic beds, and reconstruct alterations in watercourses and floodplain environments (Brown 2003, Brown et al. 2016, Howard and Macklin 1999, Macklin et al. 2010, Woodman 1997:370).

In addition to the natural barriers that have hampered these types of investigation, theoretical hurdles must also be overcome. Investigations in Britain and Norway have demonstrated that evidence for Mesolithic occupation is closely associated with watercourses (Bang-Andersen 2003, Boaz 1998, Donahue and Lovis 2003, Edwards et al. 1983, Persson 2009, Ward 2010). The methodology described in this paper to predict the locations of Mesolithic sites is based on the long-standing view that Mesolithic mobility encompassed regular movements between coastal and inland regions, whether residential moves of the whole group (Clark 1972), logistic forays by specialist task-groups (Binford 1980), or a more complex mobility pattern combining both models, such as has been proposed for the Mesolithic occupation of the Pennines (Donahue and Lovis 2006). These ethnographically derived models and their application to the archaeological record have been criticised as creating a coast-inland dichotomy that has pervaded, unimpeded, over interpretations of Mesolithic sites in Britain (Preston 2013, Spikins 2000). Even so, models such as Spikins' Social Territories Model (1996) and the Pennine Nexus Hypothesis (Preston 2013), formulated as alternatives, are restrictive in their

application as both are tied to river networks. The data therefore becomes part of a hermeneutic cycle: sites are found by rivers, therefore models are created based on these data; surveys are conducted based on this model, which in turn result in further data that reinforce the model. Warren (2005:64–65) has criticised the neglect by archaeologists of overland routes as likely means of movement through the landscape, stating that the Holocene woodland has been characterised as “dark and impenetrable: overgrown, foreboding places” This is despite evidence from the Tweed valleys in south-east Scotland which indicates Mesolithic settlements were situated away from the coast and rivers (Warren 2005:141). Further work investigating the interior of the Western Isles must therefore heed such warnings, whilst it must be understood that in the absence of any other suitable method of investigation, successful implementation of the river-survey methodology will only continue to support this bias.

Conclusion

The charred remains from DLS'13 #30 represent evidence for burning of *Calluna* heathland that is situated within the catchment of Gleann Mor Barabhais in the interior of Lewis during the Late Mesolithic. It is likely that a degree of aggregate landscape instability caused the charred material to be eroded from its original location and incorporated within flood deposits further downstream.

There are three different hypotheses which could explain the presence of the charred palaeobotanical material at DLS'13 #30:

- 1) the material represents small-scale burning of turf or heather fuel in an anthropogenic setting, such as a domestic hearth;
- 2) the material represents deliberate land management strategies by Mesolithic people in order to maintain heathland with the purpose of manipulating floral resources by way of fire ecology;
- 3) the material represents a natural event, such as fire by lightning strike.

Based on the evidence, it is not possible to ascertain whether the charred plant remains from DLS'13 #30 derive from anthropogenic interference such as domestic hearth material, rather than active vegetation clearance (Edwards 1990:77, Simmons 1996:158), or a landscape fire resulting from deliberate land-management strategies (Edwards 1990, 1996; Jacobi et al. 1976; Tallis and Switsur 1990). It is also possible that the fire in the landscape is simply a natural occurrence (Tipping 1996). However,

given the relatively small quantity of the carbonised material recovered and that the assemblage resembles charred fuel remnants recovered from other archaeological sites in the North Atlantic region, the authors favour the first interpretation.

The methodology employed in the survey along the river, which was based upon investigations of eroding river banks in Britain in order to identify Mesolithic sites, was successful in identifying Mesolithic-age deposits. Although the sampling did not yield definitive evidence for Mesolithic human occupation, it is hoped that future investigation of the interior will reveal Mesolithic remains, despite the present difficulties of investigation.

Acknowledgements

Sponsors

The doctoral research of Stephanie Piper was funded by the Arts and Humanities Research Council (Grant number AH/K502996). The project was kindly supported by a grant from the Rosemary Cramp fund, and took place during a three-week fieldwork season in September 2013 investigating the Mesolithic of the Western Isles (PI: MJC), which is funded by Durham University, National Science Foundation of America (Grant number 1202692) and Historic Environment Scotland.

Permissions and logistical support

Permission to survey along Gleann Mor Barabhais was kindly granted by Sir Robert Clerk, Factor of the Barvas Estate, with assistance provided by Angus Macleod, Gamekeeper, and Roy Davidson, Clerk of the Grazing for Lower Barvas. Thanks are also extended to Elise McLellan for data on S.3. We would also like to thank the two anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments.

Literature Cited

- Affleck, T.L. 1984a. Loch Doon (Dalmellington parish): Mesolithic flint and chert artifacts. *Discovery and Excavation in Scotland* (1984):34.
- Affleck, T.L. 1984b. Loch Doon Starr 1 (Straiton parish): Mesolithic site. *Discovery and Excavation in Scotland* (1984):33–34.
- Affleck, T.L., K.J. Edwards, and A. Clarke. 1988. Archaeological and palynological studies at the Mesolithic pitchstone and flint site of Aurchareoch, Isle of Arran. *Proceedings of the Society of Antiquaries of Scotland* 118:37–59.
- Albert, B., and J.B. Innes. 2015. Multi-profile fine-resolution palynological and micro-charcoal analyses at Esklets, North York Moors, UK, with special reference to the Mesolithic-Neolithic transition. *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany* 24:357–375.
- Ansell, M.L. 1968. Starr: Inland Mesolithic site. *Discovery and Excavation in Scotland* (1968):13.
- Ansell, M.L. 1969. Loch Doon, Black Craig: Mesolithic sites. *Discovery and Excavation in Scotland* (1969):31.
- Ashmore, P. 2004. A date list (to October 2002) for early foragers in Scotland. Pp. 95–157, *In* Saville, A. (Ed.) *Mesolithic Scotland and its Neighbours*. Society of Antiquaries of Scotland, Edinburgh, UK. 458 pp.
- Ballantyne, R., and M. Ward. 2008. Smeircleit (Tipperton): Assessment survey. *Discovery and Excavation in Scotland, New Series* 9:184–185.
- Bang-Andersen, S. 2003. Southwest-Norway at the Pleistocene/Holocene transition: Landscape development, colonisation progress, site types, settlement patterns. *Norwegian Archaeological Review* 36:5–37.
- Bennett, K.D., J.A. Fossitt, M.J. Sharp, and V.R. Switsur. 1990. Holocene vegetational and environmental history at Loch Lang, South Uist, Western Isles, Scotland. *New Phytologist* 114:281–298.
- Binford, L.R. 1980. Willow smoke and dogs' tails: Hunter-gatherer settlement systems and archaeological site formation. *American Antiquity* 45:4–20.
- Birks, H.J.B., and B.J. Madsen. 1979. Flandrian vegetational history of Little Loch Roag, Isle of Lewis, Scotland. *Journal of Ecology* 67:825–842.
- Bishop, R.R. 2013. Plant Gatherers, Plant Managers or Agriculturalists? The Importance of Wild and Domestic Plants in Mesolithic and Neolithic Scotland. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Archaeology, Durham University. 477 pp.
- Bishop, R.R., M.J. Church, C. Clegg, L. Johnson, S. Piper, P.A. Rowley-Conwy, and L. Snape-Kennedy. 2013a. Tràigh na Beirigh 2. *Discovery and Excavation in Scotland, New Series* 14:198–199.
- Bishop, R.R., M.J. Church, A.J. Dugmore, C.K. Madsen, and N.A. Møller. 2013b. A charcoal-rich horizon at Ø69, Greenland: Evidence for vegetation burning during the Norse landnám? *Journal of Archaeological Science* 40:3890–3902.
- Bishop, R.R., M.J. Church, and P.A. Rowley-Conwy. 2011. Northton, Harris. *Discovery and Excavation in Scotland, New Series* 11:186.
- Bishop, R.R., M.J. Church, and P.A. Rowley-Conwy. 2009. Cereals, fruits and nuts in the Scottish Neolithic. *Proceedings of the Society of Antiquaries of Scotland* 139:47–103.
- Bishop, R.R., M.J. Church, and P.A. Rowley-Conwy. 2014. Seeds, fruits and nuts in the Scottish Mesolithic. *Proceedings of the Society of Antiquaries of Scotland* 143:9–72.
- Bishop, R.R., M.J. Church, and P.A. Rowley-Conwy. 2015. Firewood, food and niche construction: The potential role of Mesolithic hunter-gatherers in actively structuring Scotland's woodlands. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 108:51–75.
- Blackford, J.J. 2000. Charcoal fragments in surface samples following a fire and the implications for interpretation of subfossil charcoal data. *Paleogeography, Paleoclimatology, Paleoecology* 164:33–42.
- Blackford, J.J., J.B. Innes, J. Hatton, and C. Caseldine. 2006. Mid-Holocene environmental change at Black Ridge Brook, Dartmoor, SW England: A new appraisal based on fungal spore analysis. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology* 141:189–201.

- Boaz, J. 1998. Pioneers in the Mesolithic: The initial occupation of the interior of eastern Norway. Pp. 125–152, *In* J. Boaz (Ed.) *Hunter-Gatherer Site Variability: Changing Patterns of Site Utilization in the Interior of Eastern Norway, Between 8000 and 2500 B.P.* Universitets Oldsaksamlings Skrifter Nyrekke, Oslo, Norway. 362 pp.
- Bohncke, S.J.P. 1988. Vegetation and habitation history of the Callanish area, Isle of Lewis, Scotland. Pp. 445–461, *In* H.H. Birks, H.J.B. Birks, P.E. Kaland, and D.Moe (Eds.). *The Cultural Landscape—Past, Present and Future*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK. 540 pp.
- Bond, J.M. 2007a. The plant remains. Pp.171–207, *In* J. Hunter, J.M. Bond, and A.N. Smith (Eds.) *Investigations in Sanday, Orkney Volume 1: Excavations at Pool, Sanday—A Multi-Period Settlement from Neolithic to Late Norse times*. Orcadian, Kirkwall, UK. 418 pp.
- Bond, J.M. 2007b. Plant remains. Pp. 154–169, *In* J. Hunter, J.M. Bond, and A.N. Smith (Eds.) *Investigations in Sanday, Orkney Volume 2: Tofts Ness, Sanday—An Island Landscape Through 3000 Years of Prehistory*. Orcadian, Kirkwall, UK. 558 pp.
- Bonsall, C., R. Payton, M.G. Macklin, and G.A. Ritchie. 2009. A Mesolithic site at Kilmore, near Oban, western Scotland. Pp. 70–77, *In* N. Finlay, S. McCartan, N. Milner, and C.R. Wickham-Jones (Eds.). *From Bann Flakes to Bushmills: Papers in Honour of Professor Peter Woodman*. Oxbow Books, Oxford, UK. 224 pp.
- Bradshaw, R.H.W., K. Tolonen, and M. Tolonen. 1997. Holocene records of fire from the boreal and temperate zones of Europe. Pp. 347–365, *In* J.S. Clark, H. Cachier, J.G. Goldammer, and B.J. Stocks (Eds.). *Sediment records of biomass burning and global change*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany. 489 pp.
- Bradshaw, R.H.W., G.E. Hannon, and A.M. Lister. 2003. A long-term perspective on ungulate-vegetation interactions. *Forest Ecology and Management* 181:267–280.
- Branigan, K., K.J. Edwards, and C. Merrony. 2002. Bronze Age fuel: The oldest direct evidence for deep peat cutting and stack construction? *Antiquity* 76:849–855.
- Brayshay, B.A., and K.J. Edwards. 1996. Late-glacial and Holocene vegetational history of South Uist and Barra. Pp. 13–26, *In* D.D. Gilbertson, M. Kent, and J. Gratton, (Eds.) *The Outer Hebrides: The Last 14000 Years*. Sheffield Academic Press, Sheffield, UK. 275 pp.
- Briffa, K., and T. Atkinson. 1997. Reconstructing Late-Glacial and Holocene climates. Pp. 84–111, *In* M. Hulme, and E. Barrow (Eds.) *Climates of the British Isles: Past, Present and Future*. Routledge, London, UK. 454 pp.
- Bronk Ramsey, C., M. Dee, S. Lee, T. Nakagawa, and R. Staff. 2010. Developments in the calibration and modelling of radiocarbon dates. *Radiocarbon* 52:953–961.
- Brown, A.G. 2003. Time, space and causality in floodplain archaeology. Pp. 15–24, *In* A.G. Howard, M.G. Macklin, and D.G. Passmore (Eds.) *Alluvial Archaeology in Europe*. A.A. Balkema Swets and Zeitlinger, Lisse, Netherlands. 326 pp.
- Brown, A.G., F. Petit, and L.A. James. 2016. Archaeology and human artefacts. Pp. 40–55, *In* H. Piegay, and M. Kondolf (Eds.) *Tools in Fluvial Geomorphology*. 2nd Edition. John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, UK. 560 pp.
- Brown, T. 1997. Clearances and clearings: Deforestation in Mesolithic/Neolithic Britain. *Oxford Journal of Archaeology* 16:133–146.
- Caseldine, C. 1999. Archaeological and environmental change on prehistoric Dartmoor—Current understanding and future directions. *Quaternary Proceedings* 7:575–583.
- Caseldine, C., and J. Hatton. 1993. The development of high moorland on Dartmoor: Fire and the influence of Mesolithic activity on vegetation change. Pp. 119–131, *In* F.M. Chambers (Ed.) *Climate Change and Human Impact on the Landscape*. Chapman and Hall, London, UK. 303 pp.
- Church, M.J. 2002. The archaeological and archaeobotanical implications of a destruction layer in Dun Bharabhat, Lewis. Pp. 67–75, *In* E. MacKie (Ed.) *In the Shadow of the Brochs: The Iron Age in Scotland*. Tempus, Stroud, UK. 240 pp.
- Church, M.J., S.V. Arge, S. Brewington, T.H. McGovern, J.M. Woollett, S. Perdikaris, I.T. Lawson, G.T. Cook, C. Amundsen, R. Harrison, K. Yekaterina, and E. Dunbar. 2005. Puffins, pigs, cod and barley: Palaeoeconomy at Undir Junkarinsflótti, Sandoy, Faroe Islands. *Environmental Archaeology* 10:179–197.
- Church, M.J., S.V. Arge, K.J. Edwards, P.L. Ascough, J.M. Bond, G.T. Cook, S.J. Dockrill, A.J. Dugmore, T.H. McGovern, C. Nesbitt, and I.A. Simpson. 2013. The Vikings were not the first colonizers of the Faroe Islands. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 77:228–232.
- Church, M.J., R.R. Bishop, E. Blake, C. Nesbitt, A. Perri, S. Piper, and P.A. Rowley-Conwy. 2012a. Temple Bay, Harris. *Discovery and Excavation in Scotland*, New Series 13:186.
- Church, M.J., R.R. Bishop, E. Blake, C. Nesbitt, A. Perri, S. Piper, P.A. Rowley-Conwy, L. Snape-Kennedy, and J. Walker. 2012b. Tràigh na Beirigh, Uig. *Discovery and Excavation in Scotland*, New Series 13:190.
- Church, M.J., C. Peters, and C.M. Batt. 2007. Sourcing fire ash on archaeological sites in the Western and Northern Isles of Scotland, using mineral magnetism. *Geoarchaeology* 22:747–774.
- Church, M.J., and P.A. Rowley-Conwy. 2013. Pabaigh Mòr. *Discovery and Excavation in Scotland*, New Series 14:198.
- Clark, J.G.D. 1972. *Star Carr: A Case Study in Bioarchaeology*. Addison-Wesley Modular Publications, New York. 42 pp.
- Clark, J.S., and W.A. Patterson III. 1997. Background and local charcoal in sediments: Scales of fire evidence in the paleorecord. Pp. 23–48, *In* J.S. Clark, H. Cachier, J.G. Goldammer, and B. Stocks (Eds.) *Sediment Records of Biomass Burning and Global Change*. NATO ASI Vol.151. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany. 492 pp.
- Clarke, D. 1976. Mesolithic Europe: The economic basis. Pp. 449–481, *In* G.D.G. Sieveking, I.H. Longworth, and K.E. Wilson (Eds.) *Problems in Economic and Social Archaeology*. Duckworth, London, UK. 626 pp.

- Dearing, J. 1994. Environmental Magnetic Susceptibility. Chi Publishing, Kenilworth, UK. 111 pp.
- DEFRA 2006. Rural Development Service Technical Advice Note 52: Soil Texture. HM Government, Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, UK. 6 pp.
- Dickson, C. 1998. Past uses of turf in the Northern Isles. Pp. 105–109, *In* C.M. Mills, and G. Coles (Eds.) *Life on the Edge: Human Settlement and Marginality*. Oxbow Books, Oxford, UK. 187 pp.
- Dickson, C. 1999. The plant remains. Pp. 229–244, *In* O. Owen, and C.E. Lowe (Eds.) *Kebister: The Four-Thousand-Year-Old Story of One Shetland Township*. Society of Antiquaries of Scotland, Edinburgh, UK. 332 pp.
- Dimbleby, G.W. 1962. *The Development of British Heathlands and their Soils*. Clarendon Press, Oxford, UK. 121 pp.
- Donahue, R.E., and W.A. Lovis. 2003. Initial evaluation of Grahame Clark's model of Mesolithic transhumance in northern England: A perspective from the Pennine Uplands. Pp. 310–315, *In* L. Larssen, H. Kindgren, K. Knutsson, D. Loeffler, and A. Åkerlund (Eds.) *Mesolithic on the Move: Papers Presented at the Sixth International Conference on the Mesolithic in Europe, Stockholm 2000*. Oxbow Books, Oxford, UK. 752 pp.
- Donahue, R.E., and W.A. Lovis. 2006. Regional settlement systems in Mesolithic northern England: Scalar issues in mobility and territoriality. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 25:248–258.
- Edwards, K.J. 1990. Fire and the Scottish Mesolithic: Evidence from macroscopic charcoal. Pp. 71–79, *In* P.M. Vermeersch, and P. van Peer (Eds.) *Contributions to the Mesolithic in Europe: Papers Presented at the Fourth International Symposium, Leuven 1990*. Leuven University Press, Leuven, Belgium. 474 pp.
- Edwards, K.J. 1996. A Mesolithic of the Western and Northern Isles of Scotland? Evidence from pollen and charcoal. Pp. 23–38, *In* T. Pollard, and A. Morrison (Eds.) *The Early Prehistory of Scotland*. Edinburgh University Press, Edinburgh, UK. 300 pp.
- Edwards, K.J. 2004. Palaeoenvironments of the Late Upper Palaeolithic and Mesolithic periods in Scotland and the North Sea area: New work, new thoughts. Pp. 55–72, *In* A. Saville (Ed.) *Mesolithic Scotland and its Neighbours: The Early Holocene Prehistory of Scotland, its British and Irish Context, and some Northern European Perspectives*. Society of Antiquaries of Scotland, Edinburgh, UK. 480 pp.
- Edwards, K.J., M. Ansell, and B.A. Carter. 1983. New Mesolithic sites in south-west Scotland and their importance as indicators of inland penetration. *Transactions of the Dumfriesshire and Galloway Natural History and Antiquarian Society* 58:9–15.
- Edwards, K.J., and J.M.A. Berridge. 1994. The Late-Quaternary vegetational history of Loch a'Bhogaidh, Rhinns of Islay S.S.S.I., Scotland. *New Phytologist* 128:749–769.
- Edwards, K.J., and S. Mithen. 1995. The colonisation of the Western Isles of Scotland: Evidence from the palynological and archaeological records. *World Archaeology* 26:348–365.
- Edwards, K.J., and G. Whittington. 2003. Vegetation change. Pp. 63–82, *In* K.J. Edwards, and I.B.M. Ralston (Eds.) *Scotland After the Ice Age: Environment, Archaeology and History, 8000 BC–AD 1000*. Edinburgh University Press, Edinburgh, UK. 336 pp.
- Edwards, K.J., G. Whittington, and K.R. Hiron. 1995. The relationship between fire and long-term wet heath development in South Uist, Outer Hebrides, Scotland. Pp. 240–248, *In* D.B.A. Thompson, A.J. Hester, and M.B. Usher (Eds.), *Heaths and Moorland: Cultural Landscapes*. Her Majesty's Stationary Office for Scottish National Heritage, Edinburgh, UK. 420 pp.
- Edwards, K.J., G. Whittington, and W. Ritchie. 2005. The possible role of humans in the early stages of machair evolution: Palaeoenvironmental investigations in the Outer Hebrides, Scotland. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 32:435–449.
- Fairnell, E.H., and J.H. Barrett. 2007. Fur-bearing species and Scottish islands. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 34:463–484.
- Fish Hebrides. 2018. Barvas Estate. <http://www.fishpal.com/Scotland/Hebrides/BarvasEstate/?dom=Hebrides>. Accessed May 9 2018.
- Fossitt, J.A. 1996. Late Quaternary vegetation history of the Western Isles of Scotland. *New Phytologist* 132:171–196.
- Fox, D.M., F. Darboux, and P. Carrega. 2007. Effects of fire-induced water repellency on soil aggregate stability, splash erosion, and saturated hydraulic conductivity for different size fractions. *Hydrological Processes* 21:2377–2384.
- Fraser, S. 2003. Chest of Dee, (Crathie and Braemar parish), prehistoric lithic scatter. *Discovery and Excavation in Scotland, New Series* 4:16.
- Fraser, S. 2014. Crathie and Braemar, Upper Dee Tributaries Project, Geophysical survey, trial excavation and fieldwalking. *Discovery and Excavation in Scotland, New Series* 14:17–19.
- Fraser, S., R. Knecht, G. Noble, G. Warren, and C. Wickham-Jones. 2015. Aberdeenshire, Upper Dee Tributaries Project, excavation and fieldwalking. *Discovery and Excavation in Scotland, New Series* 15:20–22.
- Fretheim, S.E. 2009. Feast in the forest: Creating a common cultural identity in the interior of the Scandinavian Peninsula in the Late Mesolithic. Pp. 378–384, *In* S. McCartan, R.J. Schulting, G. Warren, and P.C. Woodman (Eds.) *Mesolithic Horizons: Papers Presented at the Seventh International Conference on the Mesolithic in Europe, Belfast 2005*. Oxbow Books, Oxford, UK. 980 pp.
- Froyd, C.A. 2006. Holocene fire in the Scottish Highlands: Evidence from macroscopic charcoal records. *The Holocene* 15:235–249.
- Fyfe, R. 2007. The importance of local-scale openness within regions dominated by closed woodland. *Journal of Quaternary Science* 22:571–578.
- Greenwood, D.R. 1991. The taphonomy of plant macrofossils. Pp. 141–169, *In* S.K. Donovan (Ed.) *The Processes of Fossilization*. Bellhaven Press, London, UK. 303 pp.

- Gregory, R.A., E.M. Murphy, M.J. Church, K.J. Edwards, E.B. Guttman, and D.D.A. Simpson. 2005. Archaeological evidence for the first Mesolithic occupation of the Western Isles of Scotland. *The Holocene* 15:944–950.
- Hall, A. 2003. Recognition and Characterisation of Turves in Archaeological Occupation Deposits by Means of Macrofossil Plant Remains. Centre for Archaeology Report. English Heritage, UK. 125 pp.
- Hardy, K. 2009. Survey and test pitting around the Inner Sound. Pp. 49–76, *In* K. Hardy, and C.R. Wickham-Jones (Eds.) *Mesolithic and Later Sites around the Inner Sound, Scotland: The Work of the Scotland's First Settlers Project 1998–2004*. Scottish Archaeological Internet Reports 31:49–76.
- Hardy, K., and C.R. Wickham-Jones. 2002. Scotland's First Settlers: The Mesolithic seascape on the Inner Sound, Skye and its contribution to the early prehistory of Scotland. *Antiquity* 76:825–833.
- Hather, J.G. 2000. The Identification of the Northern European Woods: A Guide for Archaeologists and Conservators. Archetype, London, UK. 206 pp.
- Heiri, O., A.F. Lotter, and G. Lemcke. 2001. Loss on ignition as a method for estimating organic and carbonate content in sediments: Reproducibility and comparability of results. *Journal of Palaeolimnology* 25:101–110.
- Hirons, K.R., and K.J. Edwards. 1990. Pollen and related studies at Kinloch, Isle of Rhum, Scotland, with particular reference to possible early human impacts on vegetation. *New Phytologist* 116:715–727.
- Hjelle, K.L., L.S. Halvorsen, and A. Overland. 2010. Heathland development and relationship between humans and environment along the coast of western Norway through time. *Quaternary International* 220:133–146.
- Howard, A. J., and M.G. Macklin. 1999. A generic geomorphological approach to archaeological interpretation and prospection in British river valleys: A guide for archaeologists investigating Holocene landscapes. *Antiquity* 73:527–541.
- Huntley, B. 1993. Rapid early-Holocene migration and high abundance of hazel (*Corylus avellana* L.): Alternative hypotheses. Pp. 205–216, *In* F.M. Chambers (Ed.) *Climate Change and Human Impact on the Landscape*. Chapman and Hall, London, UK. 303 pp.
- Innes, J.B., and J.J. Blackford. 2003. The ecology of Late Mesolithic woodland disturbances: Model testing with fungal spore assemblage data. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 30:185–194.
- Innes, J.B., and J.J. Blackford. 2009. An evaluation of palaeoecological data as evidence of cultural transition in the final Mesolithic millennium of northern and northwestern Europe. Pp. 573–590, *In* P. Crombé, M. van Strydonck, J. Sergeant, M. Boudin, and M. Bats (Eds.) *Chronology and Evolution in the Mesolithic of Northwest Europe*. Cambridge Scholars Publishing, Cambridge, UK. 811 pp.
- Innes, J.B., J.J. Blackford, and P.A. Rowley-Conwy. 2013. Late Mesolithic and early Neolithic forest disturbance: A high resolution palaeoecological test of human impact hypotheses. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 77:80–100.
- Innes, J.B., J.J. Blackford, and I.G. Simmons. 2010. Forest disturbance and possible landuse regimes during the Late Mesolithic in the English uplands: Pollen, charcoal and non-pollen palynomorph evidence from Bluewath Beck, North York Moors, UK. *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany* 19:439–452.
- Innes, J.B., J.J. Blackford, and I.G. Simmons. 2011. Mesolithic environments at Star Carr, the Eastern Vale of Pickering and environs: Local and regional contexts. *Journal of Wetland Archaeology* 11:85–108.
- Innes, J.B., and I.G. Simmons. 2000. Mid-Holocene charcoal stratigraphy, fire history and palaeoecology at North Gill, North York Moors, UK. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 164:151–165.
- Jacobi, R.M., J.H. Tallis, and P.A. Mellars. 1976. The Southern Pennine Mesolithic and the ecological record. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 3:307–320.
- Johnstone, E., M.G. Macklin, and J. Lewin. 2006. The development and application of a database of radiocarbon-dated Holocene fluvial deposits in Great Britain. *Catena* 66:14–23.
- Jones, M. 1991. Sampling in Palaeoethnobotany. Pp. 53–62, *In* van W. Zeist, K. Wasylikowa, and K.-E. Behre (Eds.) *Progress in Old World Palaeoethnobotany*. A.A. Balkema, Rotterdam, Holland. 350 pp.
- Jordan, J.T., D.E. Smith, S. Dawson, and A.G. Dawson. 2010. Holocene relative sea-level changes in Harris, Outer Hebrides, Scotland, UK. *Journal of Quaternary Science* 25:115–134.
- Kenward, H.K., A.R. Hall, and A. Jones. 1980. A tested set of techniques for the extraction of plant and animal macrofossils from waterlogged archaeological deposits. *Science and Archaeology* 22:3–15.
- Kozikowski, G.R., M. Wildgoose, S. Birch, and M. Hemmingway. 1999. Kati's Bay, Orbst, Skye (Duirinish parish): Mesolithic open site. *Discovery and Excavation in Scotland* (1999):53.
- Kutiel, P., H. Lavee, M. Segev, and Y. Benyamini. 1995. The effect of fire-induced surface heterogeneity on rainfall-runoff-erosion relationships in an eastern Mediterranean ecosystem, Israel. *Catena* 25:77–87.
- Lawson, I.T., M.J. Church, K.J. Edwards, G. Cook, and A.J. Dugmore. 2007. Peat initiation in the Faroe Islands: Climate change, pedogenesis, or human impact? *Earth and Environmental Science Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh* 98:15–28.
- Lomax, T.M. 1997. Holocene Vegetation History and Human Impact in Western Lewis, Scotland. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, School of Geography, University of Birmingham, UK. 296 pp.
- Macklin, M.G., A.F. Jones, and J. Lewin. 2010. River response to rapid Holocene environmental change: Evidence and explanation in British catchments. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 29:1555–1576.
- Macphail, R.I., M.A. Courty, and P. Goldberg. 1990. Soil micromorphology in archaeology. *Endeavour* 14:163–171.
- MacRae, W. 1836. Island of Lewis, Parish of Barvas, Presbytery of Lewis, Synod of Glenelg. Pp. 141–150, *In* The New Statistical Account of Scotland No. XII Containing Part of the County of Ross and Cromarty,

- with Map, and Part of the County of Forfarshire. William Blackwood and Sons, Edinburgh, UK. 385 pp.
- Mallik, A.U., and C.H. Gimingham. 1983. Regeneration of heathland plants following burning. *Vegetatio* 53:45–58.
- Martin, M. 1703. *A Description of the Western Islands of Scotland*. H. Rhodes, London, UK. 540 pp.
- Mataix-Solera, J., A. Cerdà, V. Arcenegui, A. Jordán, and L.M. Zavala. 2011. Fire effects on soil aggregation: A review. *Earth-Science Reviews* 109:44–60.
- Mellars, P. 1976. Fire ecology, animal populations and man: A study of some ecological relationships in prehistory. *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society* 42:15–45.
- Mighall, T.M., S. Timpany, J.J. Blackford, J.B. Innes, C.E. O'Brien, W. O'Brien, and S. Harrison. 2008. Vegetation change during the Mesolithic and Neolithic on the Mizen Peninsula, Co. Cork, south-west Ireland. *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany* 17:617–628.
- Millard, A.R. 2014. Conventions for reporting radiocarbon determinations. *Radiocarbon* 56:555–559.
- Mithen, S. 2000. Archaeological fieldwork methods. Pp. 57–60, *In* Mithen, S. (Ed.) *Hunter-Gatherer Landscape Archaeology: The Southern Hebrides Mesolithic Project 1988–98*. McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research, Cambridge, UK. 651 pp.
- Mithen, S., N. Finlay, W. Carruthers, S. Carter, J. Hather, M. Lake, R. Mason, G. Marshall, P. Ashmore, G. Cook, N. Debenham, A. Newton, G. Patterson, and C. Whitehead. 2000. Staosnaig, Colonsay: Excavations 1989–1995. Pp. 359–441, *In* S. Mithen (Ed.) *Hunter-Gatherer Landscape Archaeology: The Southern Hebrides Mesolithic Project 1988–98*. McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research, Cambridge, UK. 651 pp.
- Moore, J. 1996. Damp squib: How to fire a major deciduous forest in an inclement climate. Pp. 62–73, *In* T. Pollard, and A. Morrison (Eds.) *The Early Prehistory of Scotland*. Edinburgh University Press, Edinburgh, UK. 300 pp.
- Moore, J. 2000. Forest fire and human interaction in the early Holocene woodlands of Britain. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 164:125–137.
- Mulder, Y.L.A. 1999. Aspects of Vegetation and Settlement History in the Outer Hebrides, Scotland. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Archaeology and Prehistory, University of Sheffield, UK. 343 pp.
- Nichols, G.J., J.A. Cripps, M.E. Collinson, and C. Scott. 2000. Experiments in waterlogging and sedimentology of charcoal: Results and implications. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 164:43–56.
- Ohlson, M., and E. Tryterud. 2000. Interpretation of the charcoal record in forest soils: Forest fires and their production and deposition of macroscopic charcoal. *The Holocene* 10:519–525.
- Overpeck, J.T., D. Rind, and R. Goldberg. 1990. Climate-induced changes in forest disturbance and vegetation. *Nature* 343:51–53.
- Pearsall, D.M. 2000. *Paleoethnobotany: A Handbook of Procedures*. Academic Press, California, USA. 725 pp.
- Persson, P. 2009. Mesolithic inland settlement in southern Norway. Pp. 243–247, *In* S. McCartan, R.J. Schulting, G. Warren, and P.C. Woodman (Eds.) *Mesolithic Horizons: Papers Presented at the Seventh International Conference on the Mesolithic in Europe, Belfast 2005*. Oxbow Books, Oxford, UK. 980 pp.
- Peterken, G.F. 1996. *Natural Woodland*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK. 522 pp.
- Peters, C., M.J. Church, and G.M. Coles. 2000. Mineral magnetism and archaeology at Galson on the Isle of Lewis, Scotland. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth. Part A, Solid Earth and Geodesy* 25:455–460.
- Peters, C., M.J. Church, and C. Batt. 2004. Application of mineral magnetism in Atlantic Scotland archaeology 1: Techniques, magnetic enhancement and the identification of fuel sources. Pp. 86–95, *In* R.A. Housley, and G.M. Coles (Eds.) *Atlantic Connections and Adaptations: Economies, Environments and Subsistence in Lands Bordering the North Atlantic*. Oxbow Books, Oxford, UK. 288 pp.
- Phillips, T., and R. Bradley. 2004. Developer-funded fieldwork in Scotland, 1990–2003: An overview of the prehistoric evidence. *Proceedings of the Society of Antiquaries of Scotland* 134:17–51.
- Piper, S., P.A. Rowley-Conwy, and M.J. Church. 2013. Gleann Mor Barabhais. *Discovery and Excavation in Scotland, New Series* 14:190–191.
- Piper, S., P.A. Rowley-Conwy, and M.J. Church. 2014. Gleann Mor Barabhais. *Discovery and Excavation in Scotland, New Series* 15:196.
- Pitkänen, A., H. Lehtonen, and P. Huttunen. 1999. Comparison of sedimentary microscopic charcoal particle records in a small lake with dendrochronological data: Evidence for the local origin of microscopic charcoal produced by forest fires of low intensity in eastern Finland. *The Holocene* 9:559–567.
- Preston, P.R. 2013. Bones, stones or ethnography? Challenging the Mesolithic mobility models for Northern England. Pp. 29–48, *In* P.R. Preston, and K. Schörlé (Eds.) *Mobility, Transition and Change in Prehistory and Classical Antiquity*. Archaeopress, Oxford, UK. 183 pp.
- Prösch-Danielsen, L., and A. Simonsen. 2000. The Deforestation Patterns and the Establishment of the Coastal Heathland of Southwestern Norway. *AmS-Skrifter* 15, Stavanger, Norway. 52 pp.
- Reimer, P.J., E. Bard, A. Bayliss, J.W. Beck, P.G. Blackwell, C. Bronk Ramsey, C.E. Buck, H. Cheng, R.L. Edwards, M. Friedrich, P.M. Grootes, T.P. Guilderson, H. Hafflidason, I. Hajdas, C. Hatté, T.J. Heaton, D.L. Hoffmann, A.G. Hogg, K.A. Hughen, K.F. Kaiser, B. Kromer, S.W. Manning, M. Niu, R.W. Reimer, D.A. Richards, E.M. Scott, J.R. Southon, R.A. Staff, C.S.M. Turney, and J. van der Plicht. 2013. *IntCal13 and Marine13 Radiocarbon Age Calibration Curves 0–50,000 Years cal BP*. *Radiocarbon* 55:1869–1887.
- Ritchie, W. 1979. Machair chronology and development in the Uists. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh Section B. Biological Sciences* 77:107–122.
- Ritchie, W. 1985. Inter-tidal and sub-tidal deposits and sea

- level changed in the Uists, Outer Hebrides. *Scottish Journal of Geology* 21:161–176
- Ryan, P., and J.J. Blackford. 2010. Late Mesolithic environmental change at Black Heath, south Pennines, UK: A test of Mesolithic woodland management models using pollen, charcoal and non-pollen palynomorph data. *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany* 19:545–558.
- Schweingruber, F.H. 1990. Microscopic Wood Anatomy: Structural Variability of Stems and Twigs in Recent and Subfossil Woods from Central Europe. Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research, Birmensdorf, Switzerland. 226 pp.
- Scott, C., J.A. Cripps, M.E. Collinson, and G.J. Nichols. 2000. The taphonomy of charcoal following a recent heathland fire and some implications for the interpretation of fossil charcoal deposits. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 164:1–31.
- Simmons, I.G. 1996. The Environmental Impact of Later Mesolithic Cultures. The Creation of Moorland Landscape in England and Wales. Edinburgh University Press, Edinburgh, UK. 256 pp.
- Simmons, I.G. 2001. Ecology into landscape: Some English moorlands in the Later Mesolithic. *Landscapes* 2:42–55.
- Simmons, I.G., and J.B. Innes. 1987. Mid-Holocene adaptations and Later Mesolithic forest disturbance in northern England. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 14:385–403.
- Smith, A.G., and E.W. Cloutman. 1988. Reconstruction of Holocene vegetation history in three dimensions at Waun-Fignen-Felen, an upland site in South Wales. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences* 322:159–219.
- Smith, H. 1999. The plant remains. Pp. 297–336, *In* M. Parker Pearson, and N. Sharples (Eds.) *Between Land and Sea: Excavations at Dun Vulan, South Uist*. Sheffield Academic Press, Sheffield, UK. 400 pp.
- Snape-Kennedy, L., M.J. Church, R.R. Bishop, C. Clegg, L. Johnson, S. Piper, and P.A. Rowley-Conwy. 2013. *Tràigh na Beirigh 9. Discovery and Excavation in Scotland, New Series* 14:199.
- Spikins, P. 1996. Rivers, boundaries and change: A hypothesis of changing settlement patterns in the Mesolithic of northern England. Pp. 87–107, *In* T. Pollard, and A. Morrison (Eds.) *The Early Prehistory of Scotland*. Edinburgh University Press, Edinburgh, UK. 300 pp.
- Spikins, P. 2000. Ethno-facts or ethno-fiction? Searching for the structure of settlement patterns. Pp. 105–118, *In* R. Young (Ed.) *Mesolithic Lifeways: Current Research from Britain and Ireland*. University of Leicester, Leicester, UK. 231 pp.
- Stace, C. 2010. *New Flora of the British Isles*. 3rd Edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK. 1248 pp.
- Svenning, J.C. 2002. A review of natural vegetation openness in north-western Europe. *Biological Conservation* 104:133–148.
- Tallis, J.H., and V.R. Switsur. 1990. Forest and moorland in the South Pennine Uplands in the Mid-Flandrian Period: II. The hillslope forests. *Journal of Ecology* 78:857–883.
- The Scottish Government. 1994. PAN42 (Planning Advice Note 42: Archaeology—The Planning Process and Scheduled Monument Procedures). Available on line at <http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Publications/1994/01/17081/21711>. Accessed March 19 2017. 24 pp.
- The Scottish Government. 1998. NPPG5 (National Planning Policy Guideline 5: Archaeology and Planning). Available on line at <http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Publications/1998/10/nppg5>. Accessed March 19 2017. 1 pp.
- Tipping, R. 1994. The form and fate of Scotland's woodlands. *Proceedings of the Society of Antiquaries of Scotland* 124:1–54.
- Tipping, R. 1996. Microscopic charcoal records, inferred human activity and climate change in the Mesolithic of northernmost Scotland. Pp. 39–61, *In* T. Pollard, and A. Morrison (Eds.) *The Early Prehistory of Scotland*. Edinburgh University Press, Edinburgh, UK. 300 pp.
- Tipping, R., and S.P. Halliday. 1994. The age of alluvial fan deposition at a site in the Southern Uplands of Scotland. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 19:333–148.
- Tipping, R., and P. Milburn. 2000. Mid-Holocene charcoal fall in southern Scotland—temporal and spatial variability. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 164:177–193.
- Tipping, R., P. Ashmore, A.L. Davies, B.A. Haggart, A. Moir, A. Newton, R. Sands, T. Skinner, and E. Tisdall. 2008. Prehistoric *Pinus* woodland dynamics in an upland landscape in northern Scotland: The roles of climate change and human impact. *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany* 17:251–267.
- Vera, F.W.M. 2000. *Grazing Ecology and Forest History*. CAB International, Oxford, UK. 528 pp.
- Ward, T. 2010. The Mesolithic of South Lanarkshire Special Report. Biggar Archaeology Group, Biggar, UK. Available on line at: http://www.biggararchaeology.org.uk/rp_mesoBiggar.shtml. Accessed February 07 2017. 18 pp.
- Warner, B.G. 1988. Methods in Quaternary ecology #3. Plant macrofossils. *Geoscience Canada* 15:121–129.
- Warren, G. 2005. *Mesolithic lives in Scotland*. Tempus, Stroud, UK. 160 pp.
- Warren, G., S. Fraser, A. Clarke, K. Driscoll, W. Mitchell, G. Noble, D. Paterson, R. Schulting, R. Tipping, A. Verbaas, C. Wilson, and C. Wickham-Jones. 2018. Little House in the Mountains? A small Mesolithic structure from the Cairngorm Mountains, Scotland. *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports* 18:936–945.
- Warren, G., S. Davis, M. McClatchie, and R. Sands. 2014. The potential role of humans in structuring the wooded landscapes of Mesolithic Ireland: A review of data and discussion of approaches. *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany* 23:629–646.
- Welcome to Scotland. 2018. River Barvas. <http://www.welcometoscotland.com/things-to-do/activities/fishing/western-isles/river-barvas>. Accessed May 09 2018.
- Whitlock, C., and S. Larsen. 2001. Charcoal as a fire

- proxy. Pp. 75–97, *In* J.P. Smol, H.J.B. Birks, and W.M. Last (Eds.) *Tracking Environmental Change Using Lake Sediments. Volume 3: Terrestrial, Algal, and Siliceous Indicators*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands. 371 pp.
- Whittaker, E., and C.H. Gimingham. 1962. The effects of fire on the regeneration of *Calluna vulgaris* (L.) Hull. from seed. *Journal of Ecology* 50:815–822.
- Whittington, G., and K.J. Edwards. 1997. Evolution of a machair landscape: Pollen and related studies from Benbecula, Outer Hebrides, Scotland. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Earth Sciences* 87:515–531.
- Wickham-Jones, C.R. 1990. Rhum: Mesolithic and Later Sites at Kinloch, Excavation 1984–86. Society of Antiquaries of Scotland, Edinburgh, UK. 183 pp.
- Wickham-Jones, C.R., and C. Firth. 2000. Mesolithic settlement of Northern Scotland: First results of fieldwork in Caithness and Orkney. Pp. 119–132, *In* R. Young (Ed.) *Mesolithic Lifeways: Current Research from Britain and Ireland*. University of Leicester, Leicester, UK. 231 pp.
- Wicks, K., and S. Mithen. 2014. The impact of the abrupt 8.2ka cold event on the Mesolithic population of western Scotland: A Bayesian chronological analysis using “activity events” as a population proxy. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 45:240–269.
- Woodman, P.E. 1997. *Archaeological Predictive Modelling using GIS: A Case Study from the Scottish Mesolithic*. Unpublished Ph.D. thesis, Department of Archaeology, University of Reading, UK. 543 pp.
- Yoder, R.E. 1936. A direct method of aggregate analysis of soils and a study of the physical nature of erosion losses. *Agronomy Journal* 28:337–351.
- Zvelebil, M. 1994. Plant use in the Mesolithic and its role in the transition to farming. *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society* 60:35–74.

Appendix 1: Catalogue of sites recorded during the survey of Gleann Mor Barabhais.

Site No.	NGR (centred)	Site Type	Previously Recorded?	SMR No.	NMR No.	Eroded	Estimated Date	Description/Interpretation
1	NB 35051 49392	Structure and lazy beds	No	N/A	N/A	No	Medieval-Modern	A rectangular structure oriented N-S with a possible entrance to the N although this is indistinct. Exterior dimensions: 23.5 m x 11.3 m, interior dimensions: 18.5 m x 8.2 m. The W wall appears to have been robbed out to construct a modern wall immediately behind. Only a single course of very large (>50 cm) sub-rounded stones (0.8 m high) is visible at ground level on the S wall and in the lower coursing of the modern wall. Sixteen lazy beds orientated E-W are associated with the structure.
2	NB 35350 49165	Lazy beds	No	N/A	N/A	Yes	Medieval-Modern	A large area of lazy beds ca. 500 m x 120 m running in several directions. Several of the beds are truncated by the river and the eroded section was observed from Site 4, where the original ground surface was visible below the beds.
3	NB 35485 49077	Structures and lazy beds	No	N/A	N/A	Yes	Medieval-Modern?	Area of lazy beds extending over an area ca. 100 m ² , some truncated by the river. A series of 11 sub-rectangular structures were also identified associated with the lazy beds. The ridges of the beds have been used as E and W walls of the structures, with entrances to the N and S. There is minimal evidence of stone coursing (only a single stone identified in the complex); turf walling appears to have been added to the tops of the ridges to create additional height and to create entrances. Structure 1: 3.4 m x 4.2 m; Structure 2: 3.9 m x 3.8 m; Structure 3: 5.2 m x 4.6 m; Structure 4: 4.9 m x 3.9 m; Structure 5: 4.5 m x 5.6 m; Structure 6: 6.2 m x 5.5 m; Structure 7: 9.5 m x 5.5 m; Structure 8: 9.2 m x 5.3 m; Structure 9: 6 m x 5.5 m; Structure 10: 17.5 m x 6.2 m; Structure 11: 5.8 m x 6.4 m. Age and function unknown.
4	NB 35278 49332	Lazy beds	No	N/A	N/A	Yes	Medieval-Modern	Lazy beds running in several directions over ca. 150 m. Several beds were truncated by the river and investigation of the eroded sections revealed sandy deposits with little organic content and no cultural material was visible. Agricultural.
5	NB 35087 49464	Lazy beds	No	N/A	N/A	No	Medieval-Modern	Five lazy beds orientated NW-SE over an area ca. 10 m x 20 m. Agricultural.
6	NB 35611 48770	Lazy beds	No	N/A	N/A	No	Medieval-Modern	Two, possibly three lazy beds orientated NE-SW over a ca. 10 m ² area. Agricultural.
7	NB 36081 48183	Wall	No	N/A	N/A	No	Modern	A wall (13.5 m x 1 m) oriented N-S close to the bank of the river, comprised of a single course of large (>20 cm) sub-rounded stones visible at ground level, however a maximum of three courses were visible in the bank section above water level at a height of 1 m. Likely function as bank stabilisation.
8	NB 36348 47995	Wall	No	N/A	N/A	Yes	Modern	Two linear walls oriented NW-SE comprising large (>20 cm) to sub angular stones with a maximum of two courses visible (varying height of 0.3-0.6 m). The landward wall extends for 17.8 m before a 90° return towards the SW, which extends for 3m, followed by an indeterminate change of course to the original orientation. The second wall, closer to the river extends for ca. 17 m. Both walls are ca. 0.54 m in width and although there has been some animal erosion and scatter of stones there is clear facing of both walls on their NE side. Likely function as bank stabilisation.
9	NB 36270 48031	Wall	No	N/A	N/A	No	Modern	A stretch of wall 10.4 m x 0.6 m built into the bank close to the river, oriented E-W. A maximum of three courses of large (>20 cm) sub-angular stones were visible (0.5 m high). Likely function as bank stabilisation.
10	NB 36536 47841	Wall	No	N/A	N/A	No	Modern	A small stretch of wall ca. 5 m x 0.5 m running E-W comprising large (>20 cm) sub-angular stones. A single course is visible (ca. 0.3 m in height) at ground level at the western extent for ca. 2 m before becoming more indeterminate for the remainder of the extent towards the east. Likely function as bank stabilisation.
11	NB 36663 47622	Lazy beds	No	N/A	N/A	No	Medieval-Modern	Five lazy beds orientated NW-SE and running parallel to the river for ca. 200 m. Agricultural.

Appendix 1: Continued.

Site No.	NGR (centred)	Site Type	Previously Recorded?	SMR No.	NMR No.	Eroded	Estimated Date	Description/Interpretation
12a	NB 36990 47113	Wall	No	N/A	N/A	Yes	Modern	A wall (10.9 m x 1.3 m) orientated NW-SE comprising large (>20 cm) sub-angular stones with a maximum of three courses (ca. 0.5 m high) visible. The wall may have originally been higher as there was a significant amount of tumbled stones in front of the NW face indicating collapse. Likely function for bank stabilisation.
12b	NB 36735 47498	Wall	No	N/A	N/A	No	Modern	A substantial extent of wall (25 m x 2.8 m) comprising a single visible course of large (>20 cm) sub-angular stones (0.2 m high) orientated NW-SE. A possible earlier phase was noted to the NE face with facing stones set well into the ground. The single course of facing stones set back from this indicates a later phase of maintenance. It is probable that this wall is still being reinforced as the N, S, and E extent were very well defined with no grass coverage or tumble. Likely function as bank stabilisation.
13	NB 37031 46894	Structures and lazy beds	Yes	3189	131382	Yes	Medieval-Modern	Two structures in association with a system of lazy beds. Structure 1: exterior dimensions 11.3 m x 7.7 m, interior dimensions 8.9 m x 4.4 m. This is the smaller of the two structures and is visible as earthworks ca. 0.6 m in height with a few large (>20 cm) stones visible which may attest to stone-walling. A possible entrance is situated in the S facing wall. Structure 2 comprises much more substantial earthworks, although still only 0.8 m in height. The external dimensions measure 17.7 m x 8.9 m and the internal dimensions 12.8 m x 3.8 m—this large discrepancy in measurements indicates a high degree of collapse. As with Structure 1 there are a few large (>20 cm) sub-angular stones visible attesting to stone walling. There is a N facing entrance which is contained by a small, semi-circular stone walled feature of medium sized, sub-angular stones (>10 cm), which measures 4.2 m x 4.2 m. This 'annexe' has a possible W facing entrance. The structures confirm the NMR record. Some lazy beds are truncated by the river and adjoining head dyke system. Situated directly opposite Site 16 and may possibly be associated with the same phase of settlement.
14	NB 37317 46652	Wall	No	N/A	N/A	No	Modern	A wall (ca. 6 m x 1 m) running N-S comprising large (>20 cm) sub-angular stones with a maximum of three courses (ca. 0.5 m high). Likely function as bank stabilisation.
15	NB 37445 46572	Lazy beds	No	N/A	N/A	Yes	Medieval-Modern	Eight lazy beds orientated N-S covering an area of ca. 100 m ² . Two were truncated by the river and it was possible to see the relic ground surface below the beds, although no cultural material was identified. Agricutural.
16	NB 37109 46940	Lazy beds	No	N/A	N/A	No	Medieval-Modern	Four, possibly five lazy beds ca. 50 m in length orientated NW-SE. These were situated directly opposite Site 13 and may possibly be associated with the same settlement phase. Agricutural.
17	NB 37048 47115	Lazy beds	No	N/A	N/A	Yes	Medieval-Modern	Four lazy beds ca. 50 m in length orientated NW-SE, two of which were truncated by the river at their southern extent. Agricutural.
18	NB 36725 47573	Wall	No	N/A	N/A	No	Modern	A stretch of wall (18.6 m x 0.4 m) forming part of the river bank comprising very large (>50 cm) sub-angular stones. A maximum of four courses were visible above the water level (ca. 0.8 m high). River bank revetment.
19	NB 37537 46661	Structures and lazy beds	Yes	3191	131384	Yes	Medieval-Modern	Four structures associated with a system of lazy beds extending over an area ca. 200 m x 50 m. Structure 1 comprises earthworks, sub-circular in plan and measuring 6.9 m x 7.3 m. A few large (>20 cm) sub-angular stones are also visible which may suggest stone walling. A possible semi-circular annexe is present to the NW although this, and any entrance is indeterminate. Structure 2 is positioned to the S of Structure 1 and comprises very indeterminate sub-circular earthworks measuring 5 m x 3.4 m. Both these structures are situated on the E bank of a stream/head dyke that bisects the site, with Structures 3 and 4 on the east bank. Structure 3 is visible by irregularly shaped earthworks, with no stonework visible. It may have been rectilinear in plan with a curved south wall and measures 14.4 m x 9.2 m. Structure 4 is also only visible as earthworks with an elevated circular area to the N with a lower, rectilinear 'annexe' to the S. The whole structure measures 14.7 m x 7.6 m. Some lazy beds to the E truncated by the stream, no cultural material was visible in the section. Majority of the lazy beds are orientated N-S. The survey has confirmed that there are four structures still visible of the original possible ten unroofed shieling huts that were identified on the 1st Edition OS map, but were not present on the current 1:10000 map (1974).

Appendix 1: Continued.

Site No.	NGR (centred)	Site Type	Previously Recorded?	SMR No.	NMR No.	Eroded	Estimated Date	Description/Interpretation
20	NB 38436 45375	Structures	Yes	3197	131390	No	Medieval-Modern?	A series of four structures, two of which (Structures 1 and 2) are situated on the top of a steep bank, whereas the other two (Structures 3 and 4) are situated by the river, directly below Structures 1 and 2. Structure 1 comprises circular earthworks with very indeterminate edges, therefore the dimensions of 7.3 m x 7 m are very approximate. There was no stonework visible for this structure. Structure 2, to the S of Structure 1, also comprises indeterminate sub-circular earthworks with approximate dimensions of 5.3 m x 4.4 m. Some large (>20 cm) stones were intermittently visible. Structure 3, to the E of Structure 1, comprises large earthworks (15.1 m x 12.5 m) of substantial height (ca. 2 m) and is ovoid in plan with an irregularly shaped 'amexe' (6.2 m x 7.6 m) to the N. A single stone was visible in the centre of the structure and may represent collapsed stone walling. Structure 4, to the E of Structure 2, comprises ovoid earthworks 7.3 m x 6.8 m and no stonework was visible. This survey indicates that there are more structures remaining than the single unroofed building reported as present on the current 1:10000 (1974) OS map, of the original six roofed shieling huts that were visible on the 1st Edition.
21	NB 38648 44997	Shieling	Yes	3218	131411	No	Medieval-Modern	A single structure comprising roughly ovoid earthworks and standing stonework 5.8 m x 5.1 m. At the NE extent a maximum of four courses of large (>20 cm) sub-angular stones are visible forming a D-shaped 'alcove' with a very large (>30 cm) flat lintel-like slab which had partially slumped by the collapse of some of the supporting stonework within the interior of the structure. Confirms the current CANMORE entry.
22	NB 38955 44345	Shieling	No	N/A	N/A	No	Medieval-Modern?	A small stone rectilinear structure measuring 3.5 m x 3.1 m likely to be a shieling orientated N-S. The large (>20 cm) to medium (>10 cm) sub-angular stone walling stands to a maximum of four courses (0.5 m high). A possible entrance is situated in the W wall.
23	NB 38100 46139	Lazy beds	No	N/A	N/A	Yes	Medieval-Modern	Ten lazy beds covering an area ca. 100 m x 50 m orientated NE-SW. The southern extent of the lazy beds were truncated by the river and two beds were eroded down the centre by a small tributary stream. Agricultural.
24	NB 37902 46095	Lazy beds	No	N/A	N/A	No	Medieval-Modern	Fifteen lazy beds covering an area ca. 100 m x 50 m running in several directions. Agricultural.
25	NB 37522 46499	Lazy beds	No	N/A	N/A	No	Medieval-Modern	Fourteen lazy beds orientated N-S and six beds orientated E-W covering an area ca. 100 m ² . These are situated directly opposite Site 19 and may be part of the same settlement phase. Agricultural.
26	NB 38889 44252	Structures	Yes	12156	136372	No	Medieval-Modern?	Two structures identified. The first is a small sub-circular earthwork (3.7 m x 4.2 m) with no stonework visible and a small linear feature extending from the southern extent towards Structure 2. This structure is sub-rectangular in plan comprising a maximum of seven courses of large (>20 cm) sub-angular stones and a turf bank supporting the exterior. The exterior measures 5.9 m x 4.8 m and the interior 3.4 m x 1.9 m. A single alcove is situated in the eastern wall in the interior, directly opposite the entrance in the western wall. The structure is listed in the NMR a possible shieling hut that can be seen on both the 1st Edition (1852) and current 1:10000 (1972) maps. The association and function of the earthworks to the N is unknown.
27	NB 38418 43585	Earthworks	No	N/A	N/A	No	Unknown	Ovoid earthwork with a possible entrance facing NW, measuring 5 m x 6.3 m. Indeterminate linear earthwork to the W. Situated directly opposite Site 28. Form and function unknown.
28	NB 38384 43592	Structure	Yes	12153	136323	No	Medieval-Modern	A structure ovoid in plan that may be a beehive shaped building from the shape of the walls (clearly not slumping). The structure is oriented E-W with entrances in the N and S walls, four alcoves are built into the W wall. There are a maximum of seven courses of large (>20 cm) sub-angular stones 1 m in height. The external dimensions measure 5.3 m x 4.4 m and the internal dimensions measure 2.8 m x 1.9 m. It is situated directly opposite Site 27, although any association cannot be determined. This was the only structure identified of a possible five unroofed structures depicted on the current 1:10000 (1972) map, and are partly the remains of four roofed and thirteen unroofed possible shieling huts that were present on the 1st Edition OS map (1852).

Appendix 1: Continued.

Site No.	NGR (centred)	Site Type	Previously Recorded?	SMR No.	NMR No.	Eroded	Estimated Date	Description/Interpretation
29	NB 38728 44227	Structure	Yes	12155	136325	Yes	Medieval-Modern	A sub-rectangular structure oriented N-S with two entrances in the E and W walls, which are biased towards the S end of the structure. Four alcoves in a two-by-two arrangement are built into the N wall, which has slumped considerably. There are two alcoves built into the E wall to the N of the entrance. The exterior dimensions measure 4 m x 4.9 m and the interior dimensions measure 3 m x 1.9 m. The wall survives to a height of 0.9 m with maximum of five courses of large (>20 cm) sub-angular stones visible. This is most likely to be the single structure present on the current 1:10000 map, which is all that remains of four unroofed structures depicted on the 1st Edition OS map (1852).
30	NB 37465 46484	Possible OGS	No	N/A	N/A	Yes	Mid-Holocene?	A dark-brown/black organic layer overlying a thin layer of grey clay and glacial till and underlying orange-brown alluvium, extending for ca. 5 m in an eroding section of the river bank and sheep scrape. This may be a possible Holocene ground surface based on the stratigraphy and possible anthropogenic activity is attested by the presence of charcoal flecks in the deposit.
n/a	NB 3810 4595	Shieling Hut (possible)	Yes	3200	131393	n/a	Post-Medieval	Not identified. CANMORE entry: described as "What may be a single unroofed shieling-hut is depicted the 1 st Edition of the OS 6-inch map (Island of Lewis, Ross-shire 1852, sheet 14), but is not shown on the current edition of the OS 1:10000 map (1974)."
n/a	NB 3867 4524	Shieling Hut (possible); Enclosure	Yes	3201	131394	n/a	Post-Medieval	Not identified. CANMORE entry: described as "What may be a single unroofed shieling-hut and one enclosure depicted the 1 st Edition of the OS 6-inch map (Island of Lewis, Ross-shire 1852, sheet 14), but they are not shown on the current edition of the OS 1:10000 map (1974)."
n/a	NB 3846 4413	Shieling Hut (possible)	Yes	12154	136324	n/a	Post-Medieval	Not identified. CANMORE entry: described as "What may be two unroofed shieling-huts depicted on the 1 st Edition of the OS 6-inch map (Island of Lewis, Ross-shire 1852, sheet 14). One unroofed building which may be the shieling huts is shown on the current edition of the OS 1:10000 map (1972)."