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ABSTRACT

We report the discovery and analysis of only the third Lyman-limit system in which a high-quality resolution, echelle spectrum reveals no metal absorption lines, implying a metallicity $\lesssim 1/1000$ solar. Our HIRES spectrum of the background quasar, PSS1723+2243, provides a neutral hydrogen column density range for LLS1723 of $N_{\text{HI}} = 10^{17.9-18.3} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ at redshift $z_{\text{abs}} \approx 4.391$. The lower bound on this range and the lack of detectable absorption from the strongest low-ionization metal lines are combined in photoionization models to infer a robust, conservative upper limit on the metallicity: $\log (Z/Z_{\odot}) < -4.14$ at 95 per cent confidence. Such a low metallicity raises the question of LLS1723’s origin and enrichment history. Previous simulations of the circumgalactic medium imply that LLS1723 is a natural candidate for a cold gas stream accreting towards a galaxy. Alternatively, LLS1723 may represent a high-density portion of the intergalactic medium containing either pristine gas – unpolluted by stellar debris for 1.4 Gyr after the big bang – or the remnants of low-energy supernovae from (likely low-mass) Population III stars. Evidence for the circumgalactic scenario could be obtained by mapping the environment around LLS1723 with optical integral-field spectroscopy. The intergalactic possibilities highlight the need for – and opportunity to test – simulations of the frequency with which such high-density, very low metallicity systems arise in the intergalactic medium.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Galaxies form and evolve through interactions with their gaseous environments: the circumgalactic medium (CGM) and the intergalactic medium (IGM). The CGM and IGM bear the imprints of several astrophysical processes such as the initial formation of Population III (PopIII) stars in the proto-galaxies, accretion of enriched gas which fuels the formation of PopII/I stars inside the galaxies, and expulsion of gas due to the death of these stars as supernovae. All these processes are sources of contamination with metals. Therefore, gas ‘clouds’ found in these environments (with large neutral hydrogen column densities, $N_{\text{HI}} \gtrsim 10^{17.2} \text{ cm}^{-2}$) should, in general, be expected to have metallicities that reflect such processes. However, using quasar absorption spectroscopy, two gas clouds with no apparent associated metal lines were discovered serendipitously at $z \sim 3$ by Fumagalli, O’Meara & Prochaska (2011a), with upper limits for their metallicity of $\log_{10}(Z/Z_{\odot}) \lesssim -4$.

These two ‘clouds’ are Lyman limit systems (LLSs): neutral hydrogen gas column densities of $17.2 < \log_{10}(N_{\text{HI}}/\text{cm}^{-2}) < 20.3$ so that they are optically thick bluewards of the Lyman limit ($\lesssim 912$ Å). The physical properties of LLSs have been investigated in several surveys by different groups at $z \lesssim 1$ (e.g. Lehner et al. 2013, 2016; Wotta et al. 2016) and $z \gtrsim 2$ (e.g. Steidel 1990; Fumagalli et al. 2013; Cooper et al. 2015; Prochaska et al. 2015; Fumagalli, O’Meara & Prochaska 2016a; Lehner et al. 2016). In particularly,
the metallicity distribution of LLSs at low redshift spans the range $-2.0 \lesssim \log_{10}(Z/\odot) \lesssim 0.4$ and may be bimodal, with low and high metallicity branches at $\log_{10}(Z/\odot) \sim -1.6$ and $-0.3$ (Lehner et al. 2013). At higher redshift, which is the focus of this paper, LLSs exhibit an even broader metallicity distribution, spanning over 4 mag (Fumagalli et al. 2016a). This distribution shows that high-redshift LLSs are in general metal-poor, with a peak at $\log_{10}(Z/\odot) \approx -2$, but that very metal-poor LLSs, with $\log_{10}(Z/\odot) < -3$, are relatively rare. Indeed, only two LLSs have been reported to have $\log_{10}(Z/\odot) \approx -3.4$ – relatively rare. Indeed, only two LLSs have been reported to have $\log_{10}(Z/\odot) \approx -3.4$ – which are derived from secure metal-line detections (Crighton, O’Meara & Murphy 2016; Lehner et al. 2016). These systems were identified in large samples of existing high-resolution ($R \sim 50000$) quasar spectra from the HIRES spectrograph (Vogt et al. 1994) on the Keck I telescope (O’Meara et al. 2015) and UVES (Dekker et al. 2000) on the UT2 Very Large Telescope (VLT) (Murphy et al. 2018). The very low metallicities of these LLSs, and the two apparently metal-free systems of Fumagalli et al. (2016a) raises the question of their origins.

Higher metallicity LLSs [i.e. $\log_{10}(Z/\odot) > -3$] are normally considered to originate in the CGM (e.g. Sargent, Steidel & Boksenberg 1989; Lehner et al. 2016; Fumagalli et al. 2016a; Wotta et al. 2016). In this picture, very low metallicity LLSs could potentially represent streams of relatively unenriched cold gas being accreted into the circumgalactic environment (e.g. Kereš et al. 2005; Dekel & Birnboim 2006; Dekel et al. 2009; Faucher-Giguère & Kereš 2011; Fumagalli et al. 2011b; van de Voort et al. 2012). Nevertheless, an alternative possibility is that at least some very low metallicity LLSs arise in the IGM. This scenario was recently explored as the possible origin of LLS1723, distinguishing between the CGM and IGM scenarios outlined above. Our main conclusions are summarized in Section 6.

2 TARGET SELECTION, OBSERVATIONS, AND DATA REDUCTION

As stated in Section 1, our goal was to conduct a dedicated search of very metal-poor LLSs, and to study their properties and understand their possible origins. Our initial sample was composed of 10 LLSs. Two are from the sample of Cooper et al. (2015) and eight are from the survey of Prochaska et al. (2015):

(i) Cooper et al. (2015) analysed and provided metallicity estimates for 17 LLSs at $z > 2$ observed with the MagE spectrograph (Marshall et al. 2008) on the Magellan Clay telescope. This was a sub-sample drawn from the 96 LLSs with no detected metal lines in the full sample of 194 found by Prochaska, O’Meara & Worsecke (2010) in Data Release 7 (Abazajian et al. 2009) of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) quasar spectra. This full sample of 194 LLSs should not contain strong metallicity biases; however, given the sample selections above, the effective unbiased sample size is $\approx 1796 \times 194 = 34$ LLSs. From the sample of 17 LLSs we selected two because their metallicity upper limit were found to be $\log_{10}(Z/\odot) < -3$ by Cooper et al. (2015).

(ii) For the 157 LLSs found in the survey of Prochaska et al. (2015), and Fumagalli et al. (2016a) determined the metallicity for each LLS, so this sample should not contain strong metallicity biases. We selected eight LLSs with metallicity estimates $\log_{10}(Z/\odot) \lesssim -3$: two of these eight had existing high-resolution spectra available in which metal lines were detected, which allowed Fumagalli et al. (2016a) to infer the metallicity; the other six only had lower resolution spectra obtained with ESI (Sheinis et al. 2002) on the Keck II telescope or MIKE (Bernstein et al. 2003) on the Magellan Clay telescope, in which no metal lines were detected and therefore only metallicity upper limits could be derived.

We targeted the eight LLSs without existing high-resolution spectra (two from Cooper et al. 2015 and six from Prochaska et al. 2015) with HIRES during three observing runs in 2016–2017, obtaining signal-to-noise ratios $\gtrsim 60$ per $\approx 2.3 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ pixel in the continuum near the expected wavelength of the strongest metal absorption lines. One of these LLSs, LLS1723 appeared to be free of metal lines in the HIRES spectra and indicated a significantly lower metallicity than the others, so we report our analysis of this system here. The remaining systems will be analysed and reported in future papers.

The very metal-free absorption system, LLS1723, was first identified in Prochaska et al. (2015), towards the $z_{em} = 4.515$ quasar PSS1723+2243 (hereafter J1723+2243), based on absorption features at redshift $z_{abs} = 4.391$, using an ESI spectrum. At the estimated absorber redshift, the strongest metal absorption lines of C II, C IV, Si II, and Si IV were not detected in the ESI spectrum. Using this same spectrum, the physical properties of LLS1723 were studied by Fumagalli et al. (2016a), among which the posterior probability distribution function for the metallicity of the absorbing gas was derived using photoionization models. With access to these estimates of LLS1723’s neutral hydrogen column density ($N_{HI}$), metallicity and ion column densities, we chose to target J1723+2243 as part of our search of very metal-poor systems with HIRES.

We observed J1723+2243 (right ascension $17\text{h}23\text{m}23.2\text{s}$, declination $+22\degree43\arcmin58\arcsec$) in the J2000 epoch with HIRES on 2017 UT June 13, for a total exposure time of 20665 s, obtained with six exposures. HIRES was configured with the red cross-disperser, and we chose a slit width of 1.148 arcsec (C5 decker) to provide a resolving power of $R = 37500$. The six exposures were taken with two wavelength settings which, when combined, cover with gaps $4786–9217 \text{ Å}$ with small ($\sim 2–30 \text{ Å}$) gaps between echelle or-
ders at wavelengths beyond 6922 Å, and two larger gaps (≈80 Å at 6168 and 7764 Å, respectively) between the three CCDs in the HIRES detector. The initial reduction steps performed with the MAKEE data reduction pipeline. To locate the spatial centre of each echelle order, trace exposures were obtained using a flat-field observation through a pinhole decker (D5). Flat-field exposures, observed through the science slit, were used to correct for pixel-to-pixel sensitivity variations and for the blaze function. Each quasar exposure was followed by a thorium–argon (ThAr) lamp exposure without changing the spectrograph set-up. MAKEE establishes the wavelength scale for each echelle order of a quasar exposure using a sixth-order polynomial fit to the ThAr line wavelengths versus their detector position along the order. However, for the redder orders, MAKEE failed to do so: not enough lines were identified on the ThAr exposures in MAKEE’s library of reference wavelength solutions for different settings. Because some of the key metal-line transitions for LLS1723 were expected in these reddest orders, we manually identified ThAr lines, and iteratively built up new reference solutions for the exposures in our particular wavelength settings. The final wavelength solutions had residuals with root-mean-square (rms) deviations from the mean of less than 0.2 pixels (≈0.5 km s$^{-1}$), and MAKEE was able to provide a 1D extracted spectrum for each exposure.

The extracted quasar exposures were combined to form the final spectrum using UVES$\text{POPLER}$ (Murphy 2016) with the same approach as used in Murphy et al. (2018). Briefly, each echelle order of each exposure was redispersed on to a common wavelength scale converted to vacuum in the heliocentric reference frame, with a dispersion of 2.3 km s$^{-1}$ per pixel. For each echelle order, the UVES$\text{POPLER}$ code automatically scales the flux and error arrays so that the flux optimally matches that in overlapping orders from all exposures. However, in regions of very low, or zero flux, this approach fails. Therefore, we manually scaled the flux arrays of the echelle orders falling just below the Lyman limit to match the relative scaling of the echelle orders just above it in their corresponding exposures. This is important because, as described in Section 3.1, the lack of detected flux below the Lyman limit determines our lower limit on $N_{\text{HI}}$ for LLS1723 – see bottom panel of Fig. 1. As a consistency check, we used the ESI spectrum of J1723+2243, which did not show evidence of remaining flux for the same region – see Section 3.1 and inset of bottom panel in Fig. 1. The scaled flux arrays of all exposures are combined by UVES$\text{POPLER}$, with their inverse variances acting as weights, in an iterative way to remove deviant pixels.

UVES$\text{POPLER}$ automatically estimates a first-guess continuum using a polynomial fitting approach. Although this continuum was reasonable for the region redwards of the Ly$\alpha$ emission line of J1723+2243, this was not the case for the Ly$\alpha$ forest region, particularly the Ly$\alpha$ and Lyman limit regions of LLS1723. This is a typical feature for quasar spectra at $z \approx 4$ given the large opacity of the Ly$\alpha$ forest. Therefore, we manually set the continuum for these regions. In general, we selected very narrow wavelength windows showing the least Ly$\alpha$ forest absorption and connected them with the polynomial continuum fitting feature of UVES$\text{POPLER}$. However, for the region around the Ly$\alpha$ line of LLS1723, depicted in the top two panels of Fig. 1, this approach was unstable due to the lack of enough such peaks in the spectrum. We therefore manually selected a node and a spline function to fit a continuum around the Ly$\alpha$ line of LLS1723. However, this is still anchored to the observed flux in two places and so, given the expected high number-density of the Ly$\alpha$ forest at $z \approx 4.4$, we are still likely underestimating the continuum level. As detailed in Section 3.1, this ensures that even our upper bound $N_{\text{HI}}$ for LLS1723 provides a conservative metallicity upper limit. Clearly, this manually set continuum will have a considerable human dependence. To help gauge this variance, two continua were created by two different authors: a ‘main continuum’ from which all results in this paper are derived, and an ‘alternative continuum’ which we use to check the variance in our main results; these are depicted as solid orange and dashed blue lines top two panels of Fig. 1. Despite the clear differences, the metallicity upper limit we derive for LLS1723 does not change significantly using the alternative continuum, as described in Section 4. The continuum-to-noise ratio of the final spectrum is 31 per 2.3 km s$^{-1}$ pixel at LLS1723’s Lyman limit, 58 per pixel at its Ly$\alpha$ line, and 63 per pixel at the most important metal-line transition, Si$\text{II} \lambda 1260$.

### 3 Analysis

#### 3.1 Hydrogen lines analysis

We modelled the Lyman series of LLS1723 using Voigt profiles with custom PYTHON visual tools. Our model is a single phase gas cloud characterized by three parameters: the absorption redshift $z_{\text{abs}}$, the neutral hydrogen column density $N_{\text{HI}}$, and the Doppler broadening parameter $b$. Using an ESI spectrum, Prochaska et al. (2015) derived initial estimates for these parameters: $z_{\text{abs}} = 4.391$, $\log_{10}(N_{\text{HI}}/\text{cm}^{-2}) = 18.25 \pm 0.25$, and $b = 30 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. At $z_{\text{abs}} = 4.391$, the Ly$\alpha$ forest is very thick, so many Lyman series lines were checked in order to constrain the position ($z_{\text{abs}}$) and width of the line ($b$), guided by a model with the initial parameter estimates from Prochaska et al. (2015) overlayed on our HIRES spectrum. The Lyman transitions that most constrain $z_{\text{abs}}$ and $b$ are Ly$\beta$, Ly$\gamma$, Ly$\delta$, and Ly$\alpha$ as depicted in Fig. 2. We adjusted the values of $z_{\text{abs}}$ and $b$ until they best matched the small rises in flux at $\pm 10 - 15 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, seen most clearly in Ly$\beta$ and Ly$\gamma$. This restricted the absorption redshift to $z_{\text{abs}} \approx 4.391$ and a maximum Doppler parameter of $b \approx 8 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. However, it is clear in Fig. 2 that the small flux rises at $\pm 10 - 15 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ may not be significant, and that a slightly larger range of redshifts and/or $b$ parameters may be allowed. The steep flux rises at $\pm 20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ seen in Ly$\gamma$ and Ly$\delta$ in Fig. 2 restrict the combined change in $z_{\text{abs}}$ and $b$. We parametrize this uncertainty by shifting the absorber by $\pm 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, to $z_{\text{blue}} = 4.390905$ and $z_{\text{red}} = 4.391265$, and reassessing our $N_{\text{HI}}$ measurements below. The alternative approach, of increasing the $b$ parameter to $\approx 20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, has no effect on the lower limit on $N_{\text{HI}}$ or the metal-line column densities derived below, and so does not affect the associated metallicity estimate appreciably.

Having established the redshift of LLS1723, its $N_{\text{HI}}$ value can be assessed. However, precisely estimating $N_{\text{HI}}$ for LLSs with $\log_{10}(N_{\text{HI}}/\text{cm}^{-2}) \leq 19$ is usually challenging because the Ly$\alpha$ line does not have strong damping wings (it is on the flat part of the curve of growth) and the Lyman series lines are saturated. These problems are evident in Figs 1 and 2. Instead, we have used the Lyman limit and the Ly$\alpha$ line to provide a plausible range of values for $N_{\text{HI}}$, corresponding to a lower and an upper limit respectively of $\log_{10}(N_{\text{HI}}/\text{cm}^{-2}) = 17.9 \pm 0.1$ and $18.3 \pm 0.1$. We discuss these in turn below.

For our main result – that LLS1723 has a very low metallicity – the lower limit on $N_{\text{HI}}$ is most important to establish robustly as it gives the highest possible metallicity upper limit. The lack of significant flux observed below the Lyman limit provides a strict
lower limit on $N_{\text{HI}}$ for LLS1723, as shown in the bottom panel of Fig. 1. This will be insensitive to the $b$ parameter and also the precise value of the redshift within the ±10 km s$^{-1}$ range discussed above. Using the redshift and $b$ parameter established above (4.391 085 and 8 km s$^{-1}$), we adjusted the $N_{\text{HI}}$ value until the model was minimally consistent with the lack of flux at 4900–4920 Å just below the Lyman limit. The inset in the bottom panel of Fig. 1 shows this most clearly. The lack of flux was further established using the lower resolution ESI spectrum in the same wavelength range, also depicted in the bottom panel of Fig. 1. An uncertainty of 0.1 dex in log$_{10}(N_{\text{HI}}/\text{cm}^{-2})$ was determined visually for the $N_{\text{HI}}$ lower limit to approximately match the scatter in the HIRES and

Figure 1. Overview of the hydrogen absorption from LLS1723. First panel: HIRES quasar spectrum of J1723+2243, with our two possible continua superimposed: the main continuum used for our fiducial analysis (yellow solid line) and the alternative continuum (blue dashed line) we use as a consistency check. Some Lyman series absorption lines from LLS1723 are marked with blue vertical ticks and labels. Second panel: Smaller region around the Ly$\alpha$ absorption line of LLS1723 illustrating the two different continua in more detail. Third panel: Ly$\alpha$ absorption line for LLS1723 in the HIRES spectrum of J1723+2243, normalized by the main continuum of the previous two panels. Two possible H I models for LLS1723 are represented: the lower limit derived from the Lyman limit (lowest panel) shown in purple, and the upper limit derived from the Ly$\alpha$ line in this panel shown in cyan. The shading around each model indicates the systematic 0.1 dex column density uncertainty. Both share the same redshift, $z_{\text{abs}} = 4.381 085$, and Doppler broadening parameter, $b = 8$ km s$^{-1}$. We use the lower limit on H I to derive a conservative upper limit for the metallicity of LLS1723. Fourth panel: Lyman limit for LLS1723 in the HIRES spectrum, normalized by the main continuum. The inset highlights the lack of detected flux below the Lyman limit in the HIRES spectrum (black histogram) and ESI spectrum (orange histogram). The lower limit H I model is shown by the purple solid line in the main panel and inset, with the shading corresponding to its systematic 0.1 dex column density uncertainty. In all panels, the zero level is indicated by red dashed lines, and the 5σ flux uncertainty array is indicated with a green dotted line.
As shown on the third panel of Fig. 1, the flux peak at 6557 Å based on the observed transmission near the Lyα line of LLS1723. The figure also shows that ±1 km s\(^{-1}\) uncertainty on the continuum placement. As described in Section 2, we fitted two different continua to the Lyα lines and cyan dashed lines correspond respectively to the models with \(N_{\text{HI}} = 4.390 905\) and \(z_{\text{red}} = 4.391 265\); both with \(\log10(N_{\text{HI}}/\text{cm}^{-2}) = 17.9 \pm 0.1\) and \(b = 8 \text{ km s}^{-1}\). These two models illustrate the uncertainty in redshift of LLS1723 by shifting \(z_{\text{abs}}\) by ±10 km s\(^{-1}\). In all panels, the zero level is indicated by red dashed lines, and the 5σ flux uncertainty array is indicated with a green dotted line.

ESI flux values and so that their mean clearly fell below the lowest allowed value [i.e. \(\log10(N_{\text{HI}}/\text{cm}^{-2}) = 17.8\)], as shown by the shaded region of Fig. 1, bottom panel. The top and bottom panels of Fig. 1 also show that the continuum placement at the Lyman limit is uncertain by as much as ±15 percent. However, changing the continuum level by this amount required an adjustment of the \(N_{\text{HI}}\) lower limit by <0.05 dex, well within the 0.1 dex uncertainty range already established. Finally, we searched the HIRES spectrum of J1723+2243 for other LLSs that may cause total absorption below the Lyman limit of LLS1723, but we did not find any plausible candidates within ~30 Å. That is, the lack of flux observed below LLS1723’s Lyman limit appears to be due only to LLS1723 and not other unrelated LLSs at a nearby redshift: our \(N_{\text{HI}}\) lower limit of \(\log10(N_{\text{HI}}/\text{cm}^{-2}) = 17.9 \pm 0.1\) is robust.

We obtained the upper limit, \(\log10(N_{\text{HI}}/\text{cm}^{-2}) = 18.3 \pm 0.1\), based on the observed transmission near the Lyα line of LLS1723. As shown on the third panel of Fig. 1, the flux peak at 6557 Å limits the total absorption allowed in the model at that point. We increased the \(N_{\text{HI}}\) value until that constraint was satisfied, as shown by the cyan line and shading in Fig. 1. The figure also shows that the \(N_{\text{HI}}\) lower limit model is constrained by the 6557 Å flux peak. Like the \(N_{\text{HI}}\) lower limit, the uncertainty we assign to the upper limit is systematic in nature but, in this case, is dominated by the uncertainty on the continuum placement. As described in Section 2, we fitted two different continua to the Lyα region of the HIRES spectrum, plotted as the ‘main’ and ‘alternative’ continua in Fig. 1. These continua provided very similar \(N_{\text{HI}}\) upper limits, differing only by ±0.05 dex. However, our general approach to establishing these two continua were quite similar; in both cases, the continuum is an interpolation between the least absorbed flux peaks in the surrounding spectral regions. Given the high expected number-density of Lyα forest lines at this redshift, this approach almost certainly underestimates the continuum level. Increasing the main continuum level by a 10 per cent, which we regard as conservative, requires the \(\log10(N_{\text{HI}}/\text{cm}^{-2})\) upper limit to be increased to 18.4. Therefore, we ascribe an uncertainty of 0.1 dex to the \(N_{\text{HI}}\) upper limit.

As discussed above, we must reassess these lower and upper limits on \(N_{\text{HI}}\) for the ±10 km s\(^{-1}\) uncertainty in the H I redshift. The lower limit on \(N_{\text{HI}}\) is insensitive to this uncertainty because it relies entirely on the lack of observed flux below the Lyman limit. However, shifting the upper limit model for \(N_{\text{HI}}\) by ±10 km s\(^{-1}\) changes the amount of absorption allowed at the 6557 Å flux peak: \(\log10(N_{\text{HI}}/\text{cm}^{-2}) = 18.35 \pm 0.1\) for \(z_{\text{blue}} = 4.390 905\) and \(\log10(N_{\text{HI}}/\text{cm}^{-2}) = 18.20 \pm 0.1\) for \(z_{\text{red}} = 4.391 265\). We test the effect of these changes on the metallicity results in Section 4 and find them to be very small; they also do not affect our main conclusions which are derived from the \(N_{\text{HI}}\) lower limit.

### 3.2 Metal lines analysis

A careful inspection of the HIRES spectrum of J1723+2243 did not reveal any metal absorption lines at the redshift of the H I absorption \(z_{\text{abs}} = 4.391 085\). Fig. 3 depicts the strongest (highest oscillator strength) transitions of the most abundant metal species expected to be observed. No compelling detection is evident among these transitions. While the non-detections of O i λ1302 and Si i λ1260 are clear, there are several weak, narrow features surrounding the C ii λ1334 transition apparent in Fig. 3. However, these are all attributable to telluric absorption lines and they are weakest within ±5 km s\(^{-1}\) of expected C ii λ1334 wavelength. For these lowion transitions, we determined 2σ upper limits on their column densities using the apparent optical depth method of Savage & Sembach (1991), with \(z_{\text{abs}} = 4.391 085\) and \(b = 8 \text{ km s}^{-1}\), integrated over the ±5 km s\(^{-1}\) velocity interval indicated on Fig. 3. These upper limits are listed in Table 1.

The highly ionized transitions in Fig. 3 all show some possible absorption signatures. However, for Si iv λ1393 transition falls at the extreme blue edge of the bluest extracted echelle order on the middle chip of HIRES’s CCD mosaic. The decline in flux from +30 to −20 km s\(^{-1}\) is likely to be an artefact of the flux extraction process and not likely to be real absorption. Indeed, somewhat different spectral shapes for this artefact are seen in the four different exposures contributing to the final spectrum in this range, further indicating its spurious origin. Nevertheless, this still provides the strongest 2σ upper limit on the Si iv column density because the \(\lambda 1402\) transition falls on the red edge of the Fe ii λ1608 transition of an unrelated absorption system at \(z_{\text{abs}} = 3.697\); this is responsible for the deep absorption seen around −20 km s\(^{-1}\) and, most likely, the much small amount of absorption at \(v \approx 0 \text{ km s}^{-1}\). To obtain a conservative upper limit on the Si iv column density, we treat the absorption in each transition as if it were really Si iv, and derive the values listed in Table 1. However, it is likely that these greatly overestimate the true Si iv column densities and we test how this affects our metallicity estimates by removing the Si iv upper limit in Section 4.

Both of the C iv doublet transitions fall in regions with many telluric absorption lines. While it is possible that the broad trough of absorption bluewards of C iv λ1548 is partially caused by C iv in LLS1723, its total column density is limited by the corresponding region in C iv λ1550. That region in C iv λ1550 has several clear sky absorption features as well which further reduces the possible contribution of real C iv absorption in both transitions. There is a strong telluric feature at 10 km s\(^{-1}\) in C iv λ1548 but no strong absorption is seen at corresponding velocities in C iv λ1550. As with Si iv, our approach is to obtain a 2σ upper limit on the C iv column density.
and redwards to $z_{\text{red}} = 4.391$ 265. As expected from the discussion above, the low-ion column densities are robust to this redshift uncertainty, while the Si IV λ1402 and C IV doublet transition column densities are more sensitive to it. However, the final Si IV column density used in our photoionization modelling (Section 4) is entirely derived from Si IV λ1393 which changes little ($<0.04$ dex) at these alternative redshifts, and the maximum change in the C IV column density is 0.3 dex. We use these alternative column density upper limits, in combination with the corresponding changes to the $\log_{10}(N_{\text{H}}/\text{cm}^{-2})$ upper limit at the same redshifts (18.35 and 18.20, respectively; see Section 3.1), to check how the metallicity results change in Section 4.

4 PHOTOIONIZATION MODEL RESULTS

Unlike the much more hydrogen rich and self-shielded damped Lyman alpha systems (DLAs), LLSs are mostly ionized and the detected metal atoms/ions do not account for the entire metal column densities. Therefore, we used the photoionization simulation software, CLOUDY (version 13.03) (Ferland et al. 2013), to characterize the ionization state of LLS1723 and, ultimately, infer its metallicity, $Z/Z_{\odot}$. Our CLOUDY modelling approach followed that of Crighton et al. (2015): the gas cloud is modelled as a single phase slab, with a constant density and illuminated on one side by the UV background of Haardt & Madau (2012, hereafter HM12) at $z_{\text{abs}} = 4.391$ 085. We generated a grid of photoionization models covering hydrogen volume densities $-4.2 < \log_{10}(n_{\text{H}}/\text{cm}^{-3}) < -1$, H I column densities $17.5 < \log_{10}(N_{\text{HI}}/\text{cm}^{-2}) < 18.5$, and metallicities $-6 < \log_{10}(Z/Z_{\odot}) < -2$, all with respective steps of 0.2 dex. We assumed a solar abundance pattern according to the values from Asplund et al. (2009).

We compared the upper limits of Table 1 to the values predicted by CLOUDY, as a function of $N_{\text{HI}}, n_{\text{H}}$ and $Z/Z_{\odot}$. To do so, we used the same Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) sampling approach of Crighton et al. (2015) using the EMCEE code (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013). This approach seeks to maximize the likelihood, $\ln L(N_{\text{HI}}, n_{\text{H}}, Z/Z_{\odot})$, and determine the posterior distributions of $Z/Z_{\odot}, n_{\text{H}}$, and the ionization parameter $U$ defined as $\Phi/n_{\text{H}}$ with $c$ the speed of light and $\Phi$ the flux of ionizing photons from the UV background.

Without any metal lines confidently detected, $U$ is not constrained in our model. We must therefore apply a prior on the density of the cloud ($n_{\text{H}}$) in the MCMC analysis. For LLSs, the range of densities found in previous, better constrained photoionization models, was found to be $-3.5 < \log_{10}(n_{\text{H}}/\text{cm}^{-3}) < -1.5$ (Fumagalli et al. 2011a; Cooper et al. 2015; Fumagalli et al. 2016a), corresponding to a range of ionization parameters $-4.5 < \log_{10} U < -2.5$ at the redshift of LLS1723. This range of densities represents the likely extremes that characterize LLSs arising in the IGM and circumgalactic environments, respectively: $\log_{10}(n_{\text{H}}/\text{cm}^{-3}) \approx -3.5$ would represent an extremely diffuse intergalactic cloud which is unlikely even to form an LLS, whereas $\log_{10}(n_{\text{H}}/\text{cm}^{-3}) \approx -1.5$ would characterize a very dense environment, similar to those in which DLAs arise (e.g. Cooke, Pettini & Jorgenson 2015; Fumagalli et al. 2016a). We therefore adopt a flat prior over this density range in our MCMC analysis.

Fig. 4 shows the CLOUDY model predictions using the upper limits of Table 1 for our fiducial model. All the species listed are well-modelled, in the sense that their predicted CLOUDY column density

Figure 3. Regions of the J1723+2243 spectrum (black histogram) where the strongest metal absorption lines of LLS1723 are expected, centred at $z_{\text{abs}} = 4.391$ 085. The solid red lines are single-component Voigt profiles with $b = 8$ km s$^{-1}$ at $z_{\text{abs}} = 4.391$ 085 with column densities set to the 2σ upper limits in the first column of Table 1. The grey shading shows the 1σ uncertainty in the flux. The vertical orange dashed lines indicate the velocity interval of $\pm 5$ km s$^{-1}$ over which the column density upper limits were integrated using the apparent optical depth method. Note that for C IV and Si IV our approach is to obtain a 2σ upper limit on the ionic column density by assuming that the total absorption seen at $v = 0$ km s$^{-1}$ is real, by assuming that the total absorption seen at $v \approx 0$ km s$^{-1}$ is really due to C IV in LLS1723. These values are listed in Table 1. We note that treating the broad absorption trough bluewards of C IV λ1548 as real absorption results in a total C IV column density very similar to the upper limit listed in Table 1. Therefore, we treat the C IV column density as an upper limit for our main results but also test how treating it as a detection affects them in our photoionization modelling (Section 4).

Fig. 3 shows a Voigt profile (red line) for each transition which represents the corresponding column density upper limit in Table 1 plotted at $z_{\text{abs}} = 4.391$ 085 and with a Doppler $b$ parameter of $8$ km s$^{-1}$. These visually demonstrate the suitability of the upper limits derived above. They also help to illustrate how the upper limits would change if LLS1723 were at slightly lower or higher redshift. In Table 1, we show how these limits change given the $\pm 10$ km s$^{-1}$ redshift uncertainty discussed in Section 3.1 (see Fig. 2), i.e. shifting the redshift bluewards to $z_{\text{blue}} = 4.390$ 905

1See https://www.nublado.org/.
Table 1. Metal column density upper limits (2σ), \(N_{\text{HI}}\) column density ranges and corresponding metallicity upper limits. We used the apparent optical depth method for the metal lines, integrated over a velocity interval of \(\pm 5\) km s\(^{-1}\), assuming optically thin transitions. The values listed in the second column correspond to the fiducial model used for our main results. The last two columns correspond to the uncertainty in the redshift of LLS1723 introduced in Section 3.1. The uncertainty was parametrized by shifting the absorber by \(\pm 10\) km s\(^{-1}\), to \(z_{\text{blue}} = 4.390\,905\) and \(z_{\text{red}} = 4.391\,265\), with a fixed \(b = 8\) km s\(^{-1}\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ion</th>
<th>(z_{\text{abs}} = 4.391,085)</th>
<th>log(_{10}(N/cm^{-2}))</th>
<th>(z_{\text{blue}} = 4.390,905)</th>
<th>(z_{\text{red}} = 4.391,265)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Si (\lambda 1260)</td>
<td>(&lt;10.66)</td>
<td>(17.9 \pm 0.1)</td>
<td>(&lt;10.63)</td>
<td>(17.9 \pm 0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si (\lambda 1393)</td>
<td>(&lt;12.04^*)</td>
<td>(18.3 \pm 0.1)</td>
<td>(&lt;12.06^*)</td>
<td>(18.3 \pm 0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si (\lambda 1402)</td>
<td>(&lt;12.29)</td>
<td>(17.9 \pm 0.1)</td>
<td>(&lt;12.70)</td>
<td>(17.9 \pm 0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C II (\lambda 1334)</td>
<td>(&lt;11.96)</td>
<td>(18.35 \pm 0.1)</td>
<td>(&lt;11.89)</td>
<td>(18.35 \pm 0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si (\lambda 1548)</td>
<td>(&lt;12.38^*)</td>
<td>(12.70)</td>
<td>(&lt;12.55^*)</td>
<td>(12.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C IV (\lambda 1550)</td>
<td>(&lt;12.76)</td>
<td>(12.00)</td>
<td>(&lt;12.70)</td>
<td>(12.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O I (\lambda 1302)</td>
<td>(&lt;12.00)</td>
<td>(12.00)</td>
<td>(&lt;12.70)</td>
<td>(12.00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. Comparison between the ionic column density upper limits of Table 1 and the prediction of 20 CLOUDY models drawn at random from the parameter distributions (solid red lines) for the fiducial model: \(z_{\text{abs}} = 4.391\,085\) and log\(_{10}(N_{\text{HI}}/cm^{-2}) = 17.9 \pm 0.1\).

values fall below our upper limit estimates: that is, our single phase model of LLS1723 appears a reasonable description of the data. For this highest metallicity model, the Si II and, to a lesser extent, C II upper limits prove to be the most important constraints on the total metal content of the absorber, whereas the MCMC sample is not strongly constrained by O I or the high ions (Si IV and C IV). This aligns well with the reliability of the different metal-line upper limits derived from Fig. 3: the Si II \(\lambda 1260\) transition occurs in a relatively ‘clean’ region of the spectrum, not blended with strong telluric features or absorption features at other redshifts.

Fig. 5 shows the distribution of \(Z_{\odot}\), \(n_H\), and \(U\) derived by the MCMC sampling algorithm using our fiducial model. This shows our main result: even using the lower limit on the \(N_{\text{HI}}\) column density (log\(_{10}(N_{\text{HI}}/cm^{-2}) = 17.9 \pm 0.1\)) the 95 per cent confidence upper limit on the metallicity of LLS1723 is log\(_{10}(Z/Z_{\odot})\) \(<-4.14\). This is a remarkably low value; similarly low values have only been found serendipitously in two other LLSs (Fumagalli et al. 2011a).

The physical implications of such a very low upper limit will be discussed below in Section 5. The remainder of this section is dedicated to different consistency checks in order to test the robustness of this metallicity upper limit. Fig. 5 also demonstrates the relatively flat MCMC distributions for \(n_H\) and \(U\) over the prior range set for \(n_H\) (\(-3.5 < \log_{10}(n_H/cm^{-3}) < -1.5\)). Note that this large density range, together with the range of neutral fractions allowed by the photoionization model (log\(_{10}(x_H \approx -3 \text{ to } -1.4)\)) implies a very large possible range of line-of-sight thicknesses for the cloud, \(L \equiv N_{\text{HI}}/x_{\text{HI}}\), i.e. log\(_{10}(L/kpc) \approx 0.5\text{ to } 5.2\), which itself offers no insight into the origin of LLS1723.

To test the dependence of the metallicity estimate of LLS1723 on \(N_{\text{HI}}\), we used the upper limit in its value, log\(_{10}(N_{\text{HI}}/cm^{-2}) = 18.3 \pm 0.1\), instead of the fiducial value [log\(_{10}(N_{\text{HI}}/cm^{-2}) = 17.9 \pm 0.1\]) and kept the rest of the model parameters and metal-line column density upper limits the same. As the metallicity is expected to decrease the metallicity upper limit for LLS1723. This is indeed the case: with the upper limit on \(N_{\text{HI}}\), the photoionization model gives a 95 per cent confidence upper limit on the metallicity of log\(_{10}(Z/Z_{\odot})\) \(< -4.31\).
The ±10 km s\(^{-1}\) redshift uncertainty discussed in Section 3.1 introduced two new sets of column density upper limits, as listed in Table 1. The low-ion column densities are robust to the redshift uncertainty, and the Si\(\text{IV}\) λ1402 and C\(\text{IV}\) doublet column densities changed only by a small amount. As demonstrated in Fig. 4, these high ions do not constrain the metallicity in our models very strongly. Therefore, we should expect the metallicity upper limit of LLS1723 to be robust to the changes in column density upper limits from the uncertainty in redshift. For the \(N_{\text{HI}}\) lower limit of \(\log_{10}(N_{\text{HI}}/\text{cm}^{-2}) = 17.9 ± 0.1\), we obtained a 95 per cent confidence metallicity upper limit of \(\log_{10}(Z/Z\odot)_{\text{blue}} < -4.16\) and \(\log_{10}(Z/Z\odot)_{\text{red}} < -4.16\) for the blue and red redshift limits in Table 1. As discussed in Section 3.1, the upper limit on \(N_{\text{HI}}\) changes for these two different redshifts. With \(\log_{10}(N_{\text{HI}}/\text{cm}^{-2}) = 18.35 ± 0.1\) at the blue limit, the metallicity obtained from the photoionization model is \(\log_{10}(Z/Z\odot)_{\text{blue}} < -4.36\); with \(\log_{10}(N_{\text{HI}}/\text{cm}^{-2}) = 18.20 ± 0.1\) at the red limit, the metallicity obtained is \(\log_{10}(Z/Z\odot)_{\text{red}} < -4.31\). That is, in all these alternative models, the metallicity of LLS1723 is lower than in our fiducial model.

In Section 3.2, we outlined the possibility that C\(\text{IV}\) was marginally detected in the broad trough of absorption seen bluewards of \(\nu = 0\) km s\(^{-1}\) in Fig. 3. In that case, the total column density of C\(\text{IV}\) was very similar to the fiducial upper limit provided in Table 1. Using this value as a detection, rather than an upper limit, the photoionization model returns a metallicity measurement (not upper limit) for LLS1723 of \(\log_{10}(Z/Z\odot) = -4.04 ± 0.26\) (1σ uncertainty). While this is slightly higher than the upper limit for our fiducial model, it is not altering our interpretation that LLS1723 is a very metal-poor absorber. However, in this case our photoionization model produces a mis-match between the predicted column densities of Si\(\text{II}\) and C\(\text{IV}\): the former is overpredicted by \(>0.2\) dex while the later is underpredicted by \(>0.5\) dex. The other ions did not strongly constrain these models, just as in the fiducial case. This mis-match indicates that, if the putative C\(\text{IV}\) absorption is real, then a multiphase model for LLS1723 is required. Here, the low ions would be associated with the most neutral phase of the absorber, containing most of the H\(\text{I}\), and the high ions would represent a separate, more ionized phase. This follows the trend observed in large LLS samples that the low ions better match the H\(\text{I}\) velocity structure (e.g. Lehner et al. 2013; Wotta et al. 2016). This implies that our fiducial model, whose metallicity is constrained by the low ions, still provides a reliable metallicity upper limit. A simple consistency check demonstrates this clearly: removing the C\(\text{IV}\) and Si\(\text{IV}\) upper limits completely from the MCMC analysis results in an almost unchanged metallicity upper limit, i.e. \(\log_{10}(Z/Z\odot) < -4.12\).

Finally, we checked the impact of the shape of the UV background on our metallicity upper limit for LLS1723. Our fiducial model assumes the HM12 background, which is significantly softer at \(z_{\text{abs}} = 4.391085\) than the previous version implemented in CLOUDY, often referred to as HM05, which is a revised version of that originally published by Haardt & Madau (1996). The effect of these different backgrounds on LLS metallicities derived from CLOUDY photoionization models has been extensively discussed (e.g. Lehner et al. 2013; Werk et al. 2014; Crighton et al. 2015; Wotta et al. 2016; Prochaska et al. 2017). For instance, Wotta et al. (2016) found that the HM12 background at \(z < 1\), where it is harder than the HM05 background, results in a higher metallicity than the HM05 background by 0.3 dex on average; i.e. the harder background yields a higher metallicity. It is therefore important to consider how changing the UV background shape affects our metallicity upper limit.

Nevertheless, without any metal-line detections, and the metallicity upper limit constrained mostly by the low ions, it is unlikely that this will impact our results significantly. As a first demonstration of this, we computed new CLOUDY model grids, in the same parameter space as our fiducial grids, using the HM05 background at the redshift of LLS1723, and derived a new metallicity upper limit using the same MCMC approach. There was no substantial change from our fiducial result: \(\log_{10}(Z/Z\odot)_{\text{HM05}} < -4.15\) at 95 per cent confidence. We also tested this by introducing a variable slope, \(\alpha_{\text{UV}}\), to the HM12 UV background following Crighton et al. (2015): the HM12 background is reproduced with \(\alpha_{\text{UV}} ≡ 0\). If we allow \(\alpha_{\text{UV}}\) to be determined by the MCMC process, a flat posterior probability distribution is obtained for \(\alpha_{\text{UV}}\) in the range between \(-2.5\) (very soft background) and \(+1.5\) (very hard background). Even with this extra degree of freedom, the metallicity upper limit is again essentially unchanged from our fiducial model: \(\log_{10}(Z/Z\odot) < -4.13\) with 95 per cent confidence.

5 DISCUSSION

Our result is that the non-detection of metals in LLS1723 implies a 95 per cent confidence metallicity upper limit of \(\log_{10}(Z/Z\odot) < -4.14\). As discussed in Section 4, this is likely a conservative upper limit because our neutral hydrogen column density estimate, \(N_{\text{HI}} = 17.9 ± 0.1\), stems from the assumption that there is no residual flux below the Lyman limit (see Fig. 1); using the higher \(N_{\text{HI}}\) allowed by the Ly\(\alpha\) line alone would reduce the metallicity estimate. This very low metallicity raises questions about the origin of LLS1723 and we discuss the possibilities below.

Fig. 6 summarizes the metallicity distribution of LLSs and DLAs in the literature. The distribution has been measured by recent, large-scale studies, with 157 measurements at \(z \sim 1.8–4.4\) from HIRES, ESI, and MIKE by Prochaska et al. (2015) and Fumagalli et al. (2016a), and a further 31 at \(2.3 < z < 3.3\) observed with HIRES by Lehner et al. (2016). These appear to form a broad, unimodal distribution of metallicities, with the bulk of the population at \(z > 2\) having \(\log_{10}(Z/Z\odot) ≈ -2\). The metallicity probability density function for all LLSs derived from the photoionization analysis of Fumagalli et al. (2016a) implies that approximately 10 per cent of LLSs at \(z > 2.5–3.5\) have \(\log_{10}(Z/Z\odot) < -3\). However, only a single LLS in which metals have been detected has been found to have a detailed metallicity measurement below \(\log_{10}(Z/Z\odot) = -3\), i.e. LLS1249 at \(z ≈ 3.5\) illustrated in Fig. 6 (Crighton et al. 2016). In this sense, it may be surprising that three apparently metal-free LLSs have been discovered – LLS0958B and LLS1134 by Fumagalli et al. (2011a), and LLS1723 in this work – which have metallicities \(\log_{10}(Z/Z\odot) < -3.8\). Of course, our target selection and observing campaign were specifically designed to identify very low metallicity LLSs. This was clearly successful. However, as discussed in Section 2, our target selection drew on a sample of effectively ~191 LLSs without strong metallicity biases. Therefore, including the other two apparently metal-free cases, which were serendipitously discovered, it is clear that LLSs at \(3 < z < 4.5\) with metallicity \(\log_{10}(Z/Z\odot) < -3.4\) for another LLS, LLS0958A at \(z_{\text{abs}} = 3.223\) towards the quasar SDSS J095852.19+120245.0. However, this estimate does not include the full velocity structure of observed metal absorption. We find that including all the metal absorption increases the inferred metallicity to \(\log_{10}(Z/Z\odot) ≈ -2.8\), which is above our nominal threshold of ~3 for near-pristine LLSs (Robert et al. in preparation). Therefore, we have not included this LLS in Fig. 6. This LLS is discussed further at the end of this section.

\(\dagger\)Lehner et al. (2016) reported a metallicity of \(\log_{10}(Z/Z\odot) ≈ -3.4\) for another LLS, LLS0958A at \(z_{\text{abs}} = 3.223\) towards the quasar SDSS J095852.19+120245.0. However, this estimate does not include the full velocity structure of observed metal absorption. We find that including all the metal absorption increases the inferred metallicity to \(\log_{10}(Z/Z\odot) ≈ -2.8\), which is above our nominal threshold of ~3 for near-pristine LLSs (Robert et al. in preparation). Therefore, we have not included this LLS in Fig. 6. This LLS is discussed further at the end of this section.
Accreting into galactic haloes have column densities in the LLS considerably higher than that our upper limit for LLS1723. This and error bars represent the LLS sample of Fumagalli et al. (2016a) and show the metallicity DLA known – the CGM components (and not, for example, the IGM), and the low-column densities of DLAs make them very likely to arise in these metals from supernovae in the host galaxy. Indeed, the much higher CGM gas: these CGM components would be highly enriched with virialized gas located in the CGM. With such a low metallicity, this section is then dedicated to investigate this question.

In the context of LLSs arising in the CGM, their population may include gas ejected from galaxies, cold accretion streams or other, virialized gas located in the CGM. With such a low metallicity, LLS1723 is unlikely to be outflowing gas or virialized, well-mixed CGM gas: these CGM components would be highly enriched with metals from supernovae in the host galaxy. Indeed, the much higher column densities of DLAs make them very likely to arise in these CGM components (and not, for example, the IGM), and the lowest metallicity DLA known – the $z \approx 3.1$ absorber discovered by Cooke et al. (2017) (included in Fig. 4) – has $\log_{10}(Z/Z_{\odot}) \approx -3.2$, considerably higher than that our upper limit for LLS1723. This leaves the cold stream component as a likely origin for an LLS like LLS1723 in a circumgalactic environment. This agrees with a prediction of cosmological simulations: the streams of cold gas accreting into galactic haloes have column densities in the LLS range (e.g. Faucher-Giguère & Kereš 2011; Fumagalli et al. 2011b; van de Voort et al. 2012), so we expect some LLSs to be very low metallicity or, possibly, completely pristine gas being polluted for the first time by CGM gas at $z \sim 3-4.5$. Therefore, LLS1723 may indeed be part of a cold accretion stream. We should however note that modelling the CGM in a non-idealized context is difficult, despite the advances in numerical simulation over the years. The CGM in such works is usually under-resolved, and this could impact the ability to reproduce its properties inferred through observations. For instance, van de Voort et al. (2019) found that the covering fraction of LLSs increases with the resolution of the CGM: from 8 percent to 30 percent within 150 kpc from the galaxy centre.

On the other hand, the current small sample of very metal-poor LLSs might instead arise in a different environment: the IGM. There are several reasons to expect this scenario. The first is the redshift evolution of the number of LLSs per unit redshift, $l(z)$. In Fumagalli et al. (2013), the evolution of $l(z)$ at $z > 3.5$ cannot be explained with only a contribution from the CGM, i.e. a contribution from the IGM is speculated. Another motivation for the intergalactic scenario comes from the lowest metallicity LLS with detected metals, LLS1249: on the basis of the $\rm{[C/\text{Si}]}$ ratio (i.e. compared to metal-free nucleosynthesis calculations, e.g. Heger & Woosley 2002) and metal-line shifts from the hydrogen lines, Crighton et al. (2016) argued that LLS1249 may be an intergalactic remnant of a PopIII explosion, unpolluted by subsequent generations of stars. However, with only two detected metal species and metallicity $\log_{10}(Z/Z_{\odot}) \sim -3.4$, the possibility that this system is circumgalactic, and mainly polluted by PopII/I stars, remains. Finally, previous studies of the chemical abundance of the IGM using the Ly$\alpha$ forest (e.g. Aguirre et al. 2001; Schaye et al. 2003; Aguirre et al. 2004; Simcoe & Rauch 2004; Aguirre et al. 2008; Simcoe 2011) suggest that a large fraction of the IGM at high redshift ($z \gtrsim 2$) is metal-poor. For instance, Simcoe (2011) estimated that $\sim 50$ per cent of the Ly$\alpha$ forest has $\log_{10}(N_{\text{H}_2}/cm^{-2}) \lesssim 15.5$, the fact that LLS1723’s metallicity is typical of the IGM is at least consistent with an IGM origin.

Therefore, an intergalactic environment for the apparently metal-free LLSs (LLS0958B, LLS1134, LLS1723) is particularly interesting to consider: in principle, their extremely low metallicities may imply they are either (i) completely metal-free gas clouds, (ii) remnants of PopIII explosions, or (iii) clouds polluted by PopII/I debris at extremely low levels. Given the lack of metal abundance information in such systems, it is difficult to definitively distinguish between these possibilities. However, existing numerical simulations can provide a guide to their relative likelihood, and we discuss these below.

Appendently metal-free clouds like LLS1723 may be completely pristine, intergalactic gas – surviving vestiges of the early Universe that have never entered a large enough overdensity to be polluted by stellar debris. Cosmological simulations of structure formation, including pollution from PopIII stars, feature a very patchy and inhomogeneous metal enrichment (e.g. Tornatore, Ferrara & Schneider 2007; Maio et al. 2010; Wise et al. 2012; Jaacks et al. 2018). Highly metal-enriched regions and pristine regions coexist in the simulation volume, but the latter only survive unpolluted in more isolated, low-density regions, i.e. the IGM. These regions still exist, and are able to host PopIII star formation events, even at the end of the simulation; e.g. down to $z \sim 2.5$ in Tornatore et al. (2007). The very low metallicity upper limit in LLS1723, and the rarity of

![Figure 6. Summary of the metallicity distribution of LLSs (squares) and DLAs (circles) in the literature. Upper limits are indicated by arrows. The metallicity upper limit (95 per cent confidence) for LLS1723 from this work is highlighted in blue. Upper limits from Fumagalli et al. (2011a) for two apparently metal-free LLSs, LLS0958B and LLS1134, are shown in black. The lowest metallicity measurement for an LLS from Crighton et al. (2016), LLS1249, is shown in black with its associated 1σ error bar. The red squares and error bars represent the LLS sample of Fumagalli et al. (2016a) and show the median and 25–75 per cent range of the composite posterior probability density function in redshift bins containing at least 25 LLSs each. The green circles are DLAs from Cooke et al. (2011), Rafelski et al. (2012), and Jorgenson, Murphy & Thompson (2013). The lowest metallicity measurement for a DLA from Cooke, Pettini & Steidel (2017), DLA0903, is shown in green with its associated 1σ error bar. The shaded orange region shows the expected metallicity range for gas enriched by PopIII supernovae from the simulations of Wise et al. (2012).]
such clouds (~1.6 per cent from our estimate above), are clearly compatible with this scenario.

However, it is also possible that PopIII stars could have enriched intergalactic LLSs, but to levels below $\log_{10}(Z/Z_\odot) \approx -4$. Clearly, the enrichment level will depend on many factors, including the mass of the progenitor star, its explosion energy and the mechanisms and time-scales for mixing the ejecta with the surrounding pristine gas. Therefore, understanding the detailed fate of PopIII remnants is complex, and numerical simulations require very high spatial resolution (below the parsec level) to accurately model it; this limits the parameter space that can be explored. Nevertheless, in existing simulations, the mass of the progenitor star, which governs the amount of metal ejected, appears to largely determine whether enrichment below $\log_{10}(Z/Z_\odot) \lesssim 4$ is possible. For instance, in the simulation by Ritter et al. (2016), which has a minimum cell size of 0.02 pc, a single 60 $M_\odot$ PopIII star explodes as a low-energy supernova ($\approx 10^{51}$ erg) at $z \approx 19$ and enriches its low-mass host halo ($\approx 10^9 M_\odot$) to a metallicity of $2 \times 10^{-4} Z_\odot$, not far above the metallicity limit for LLS1723. At the other end of the PopIII mass scale, individual pair-instability supernovae at $z = 13–16$, with masses in the range 140–260 $M_\odot$, enrich their host haloes to metallicities above $Z \approx 10^{-3} Z_\odot$ in the simulation by Wise et al. (2012); similar results were found by Maio et al. (2010). Therefore, LLS1723 may have been enriched, but to undetectable levels, by a low-mass PopIII star, but pollution by high-mass PopIII stars appears less likely.

It also appears unlikely that PopII/I stars would enrich an intergalactic, pristine cloud to metallicities below $\log_{10}(Z/Z_\odot) \approx -4$. Such stars will form from PopIII-enriched gas which, as described above, likely already has $Z \gtrsim 10^{-4} Z_\odot$, before substantial PopII star formation occurs (e.g. Maio et al. 2010), and so the added enrichment from PopII/II explosions will significantly exceed the metallicity upper limit found for LLS1723. Therefore, if LLS1723 is intergalactic, most simulations indicate that we should not expect it to have been enriched by PopII/I stars.

Given the above considerations from simulations, it appears most likely that LLS1723 is either

(i) an intergalactic environment, and either completely pristine gas (no stellar pollution) or has been polluted by supernovae from low-mass PopIII stars only at very high redshifts (i.e. $z \gtrsim 10$); or

(ii) cold stream gas encountering the circumgalactic medium for the first time at the observed redshift ($z \approx 4.4$). In this case, the gas may have the same enrichment history, or lack of it, as in the intergalactic case, but may now undergoing pollution by the $z = 4.4$ CGM as well.

Understanding the origin of the very low metallicity LLSs will therefore partly rely on distinguishing between these intergalactic and circumgalactic possibilities. A simple test between these scenarios would be to map the Lyα-emitting galaxy environment around systems like LLS1723 using optical integral field spectroscopy: if the system is intergalactic then no galaxies will be found close to the quasar line of sight at the system’s redshift; if the system is circumgalactic then, depending on the flux limits of the observations, a galaxy (or galaxies) will be detected nearby.

Before the advent of optical integral field spectrographs such as KCWI (Morrissey et al. 2018) on the Keck II telescope and MUSE (Bacon et al. 2010) on the VLT, such a test was time consuming and difficult, requiring multiband imaging (to pre-select galaxies near the system’s redshift) followed by spectroscopy of candidate galaxies. However, using MUSE, Fumagalli et al. (2016b) demonstrated that relatively short (~5 h) integral field observations in a single pointing was sufficient to map the Lyα-emitting galaxy environment down to luminosities $L_{\text{Ly} \alpha} \geq 3 \times 10^{41}$ erg s$^{-1}$ ($< 0.1 L_{\text{Ly} \alpha}^{*}$) in a ~160 kpc radius around two low-metallicity $z_{\text{abs}} \approx 3$ absorbers towards the quasar SDSS J095852.19+120450. One of these, LLS0958A, showed no nearby galaxies, potentially indicating an intergalactic environment. Interestingly, this LLS has weak-but-dropped metal lines (Lehner et al. 2016, see footnote 4). Given our discussion above, this may indicate it has a PopIII origin; however, as with LLS1249 (Crighton et al. 2016), only the [C/III] ratio could be determined, so this origin cannot be confirmed by comparison with nucleosynthetic yield models. The other LLS studied by Fumagalli et al. (2016b) is LLS0958B, one of the apparently metal-free systems (like LLS1723) shown in Fig. 6. Five Lyα emitters at the redshift of LLS0958B were detected, three of which appear aligned in projection and may indicate a filamentary intergalactic structure.

That is, LLS0958B may indeed correspond to case (ii) above: cold stream gas entering a circumgalactic environment for the first time. Even from just these two examples, it is clear that we should not expect just one type of galaxy environment for very low metallicity LLSs, and they underscore how galaxy mapping around such systems can assist in understanding their origin.

6 CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we reported the discovery of a new, apparently metal-free LLS, LLS1723, located at redshift $z_{\text{abs}} = 4.391$ towards the quasar PSS1723+2243 at $z_{\text{em}} = 4.515$, based on observations with the HIRES spectrograph. LLS1723 is part of our dedicated search of very metal-poor LLSs in order to study their origins. LLS1723 exhibited no detected metal absorption lines in a previous ESI spectrum from Prochaska et al. (2015), suggesting a very low metallicity, $\log_{10}(Z/Z_\odot) < -3$. We did not convincingly detect any metal lines in HIRES spectrum, confirming this initial selection and providing an order-of-magnitude lower metallicity upper limit.

Our conservative upper limit on the metallicity of LLS1723 is characterized by a hydrogen column density of $\log_{10}(N_{\text{HI}}/\text{cm}^{-2}) = 17.9 \pm 0.1$, defined by the lack of observed flux below its Lyman limit. Upper limits on the strongest metal-line column densities were established with the apparent optical depth method, with that from Si II $\lambda 1260$ proving to constrain the metallicity most strongly. With the combined use of a grid of CLOUDY photoionization models and MCMC sampling techniques, we derived the upper limit of $\log_{10}(Z/Z_\odot) < -4.14$ at 95 per cent confidence. A series of consistency checks confirmed that this upper limit is both robust and conservative. For instance, a possible detection of high ions in our HIRES spectrum of LLS1723 (specifically C IV) leads to only a marginally higher metallicity estimate ($-4.04$), but also implies that a two-phase model of LLS1723 would be required to simultaneously match the low and highly ionized metal column densities. In such a two-phase model, the low-ionization phase would still have a metallicity constrained by Si ii $\lambda 1260$, as in our fiducial model, and so would have $\log_{10}(Z/Z_\odot) < -4.14$. The hydrogen column density of LLS1723 may also be as large as $\log_{10}(N_{\text{HI}}/\text{cm}^{-2}) = 18.3 \pm 0.1$, as limited by a flux transmission peak near its Lyα absorption line. In that case, the metallicity upper limit would reduce to $-4.31$, which would be the lowest limit among the three apparently metal-free LLSs currently known.

Focussing on its very low metallicity, we discussed in Section 5 the possible origin scenarios for LLS1723, considering both circumgalactic and intergalactic environments. In the case of a circumgalactic origin for LLS1723, the most likely scenario appears to be cold-stream gas encountering the CGM for the first time at $z =$
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4.4. We considered very unlikely the possibility of LLS1723 being part of a galactic outflow or virialized, well-mixed circumgalactic gas. If instead LLS1723 arises in an intergalactic environment, it may well be a truly metal-free gas cloud that has remained unpolluted since the big bang for 1.4 Gyr. This appears compatible with the survival of rare pristine regions in numerical simulations, and would also explain the apparent (though clearly uncertain) rarity of potentially metal-free LLSs. Alternatively, LLS1723 may be an intergalactic gas cloud that has been enriched to a metallicity below our upper limit of \(\log_{10}(Z/Z_{\odot}) < -4.14\). One possible way to have such a low level of metal pollution is via low-energy supernova of low-mass PopIII stars. Very massive PopIII supernova, especially very energetic pair-instability supernovae, would most likely enrich surrounding gas clouds to levels above \(\log_{10}(Z/Z_{\odot}) \sim -3\). We also considered the enrichment of a pocket of intergalactic gas by PopII/I supernovae; however, this appears unlikely given that PopII/I stars would form in region already enriched above \(\log_{10}(Z/Z_{\odot}) \sim -4\), and that their supernova ejecta would be unlikely to reach a pocket of intergalactic pristine gas. Arguments for both cases relied on the interpretation of properties displayed by numerical simulations.

The interpretations of the origins for LLS1723 above are drawn from the general results of numerical simulations of the CGM and stellar nucleosynthetic enrichment of gas clouds. However, the possibility that LLS1723 and other very low metallicity systems may arise in the IGM motivates detailed simulations that predict the frequency with which LLSs should arise in the IGM, not just in circumgalactic environments. Predictions for the relative cosmological abundance of intergalactic LLSs with different enrichment histories – pristine, low-energy PopIII enrichment etc. – would be invaluable for interpreting a sample of apparently metal-free and near-pristine LLSs. Observationally, mapping the galaxy distribution around such systems may assist in better understanding their origin. New optical integral field spectrographs, such as MUSE and KCWI, are already demonstrating this to be a promising approach (Fumagalli et al. 2016b). Nevertheless, the fact that these early results show quite different galaxy distributions around two very low metallicity LLSs already shows that a systematic approach on a well-defined, statistical sample is likely to be required for reliable interpretations to be drawn.

Finally, this work has demonstrated that very metal-poor LLSs can be discovered and studied in a targeted way, and opens the possibility for creating such a well-defined, statistical sample in future. This would help define the true metallicity distribution of LLSs at \(z \geq 2\), which in recent surveys (e.g. Fumagalli et al. 2016a; Lehner et al. 2016) appears unimodal, though very broad. This may be expected if LLSs share a common origin in the CGM. However, if a second population arises in the IGM – apparently metal-free systems like LLS1723, and near-pristine systems like that in Crighton et al. (2016) – they would constitute a second mode that may occupy a separate metallicity range to the higher metallicity LLSs arising in the CGM. Such a separation would assist comparison with the results of LLS simulations because the specific origin of each LLS may not need to be established individually and exhaustively; a statistical comparison may be sufficient to draw meaningful conclusions.
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