Using stable carbon isotopes to quantify radiocarbon reservoir age offsets in the coastal Black Sea
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ABSTRACT

Constraining radiocarbon reservoir age offsets is critical to deriving accurate calendar-age chronologies from radiocarbon ($^{14}$C) dating of materials which did not draw carbon directly from the atmosphere. The application of $^{14}$C dating to such materials is severely limited in hydrologically sensitive environments like the Black Sea because of the difficulty to quantify reservoir age offsets, which can vary quickly and significantly through time, due to the dynamics of the biogeochemical cycling of carbon. Here we reconstruct radiocarbon reservoir age offsets ($R_{\text{shell-atm}}$) of Holocene bivalve shells from the coastal Black Sea relatively to their contemporaneous atmosphere. We show that the radiocarbon reservoir age offset and the stable carbon isotope composition of bivalve shells are linearly correlated in this region. From a biogeochemical standpoint, this suggests that inorganic stable carbon isotope and radiocarbon compositions of Black Sea coastal waters are controlled by the balance between autochthonous primary productivity and heterotrophic respiration of allochthonous pre-aged terrestrial organic matter supplied by rivers. This provided an important implication for Black Sea geochronology as the reservoir age offset of $^{14}$C-dated bivalve shell can be inferred from its stable carbon isotope composition. Our results provide a fundamental and inexpensive geochemical tool which will considerably improve the accuracy of Holocene calendar age chronologies in the Black Sea.

INTRODUCTION

The radiocarbon reservoir age offset ($R$) of an organism is the difference between its $^{14}$C age and that of the atmospheric CO$_2$ at the time this organism was alive (Stuiver and Polach, 1977; Ascough et al., 2005; Jull et al., 2013; Soulet et al., 2016). Radiocarbon reservoir age offsets are in “$^{14}$C years”. Very importantly, any $^{14}$C age obtained from an organism that did not incorporate its carbon directly from the atmosphere must be corrected for a reservoir age
offset to provide an accurate estimate of its calendar age using the atmospheric radiocarbon
calibration curve (Reimer et al., 2013). These corrections are crucial as they can range from a
few hundreds to thousands of $^{14}$C years (Siani et al., 2000, 2001; Bondevik et al., 2006; Kuzmin
et al., 2007; Soulet et al., 2011a).

Recent studies suggested that some geochemical characteristics of an organism could
be related to its reservoir age offset. For instance, it has been shown that the radiocarbon
reservoir age offset of modern Baltic Sea Macoma bivalve shell was inversely related to its
shell $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratio (Lougheed et al., 2016). Accurately determining the calendar age of $^{14}$C-
dated archeological remains of human populations that draw their carbon from various sources
as a result of mixed diet is challenging. For example, individuals from a population that mostly
eats fish can have their stable carbon and nitrogen compositions impacted (Schoeninger et al.,
1983; Schoeninger and Deniro, 1984) and even exhibit a reservoir age offset (Dewar and
Pfeiffer, 2010; Olsen et al., 2010; Ascough et al., 2012; Wood et al., 2013). It has been shown
from two archeological sites from the region of Lake Baikal that the radiocarbon reservoir age
offset of human bones was linearly correlated to their nitrogen isotopic composition ($\delta^{15}$N)
(Schulting et al., 2014), and for one site to both their $\delta^{15}$N and stable carbon isotopic
compositions ($\delta^{13}$C) (Bronk Ramsey et al., 2014; Schulting et al., 2014). Another study showed
that radiocarbon reservoir age offset of human bones from a medieval Icelandic cemetery can
be inferred using the nitrogen, carbon and sulfur isotopic compositions of the bones (Sayle et
al., 2016). These studies are fundamental in the field of geochronology because they showed
that it is possible to untangle the very complicated reservoir age offset correction issue.

The Black Sea is currently connected to the global oceans solely via the narrow and
shallow Bosporus Strait (Fig. 1), leading to restricted water exchanges with the Mediterranean
Sea and permanent stratification (Özsoy and Ünlüata, 1997). During the last glacial lowstand
and for much of the deglacial sea-level rise, the Mediterranean connection was closed and the
Black Sea was a large lake (e.g., Ross et al., 1970; Badertscher et al., 2011). The understanding of the glacial-deglacial hydrologic changes of the Black Sea has been recently refined (Major et al., 2006; Bahr et al., 2006; Kwiecien et al., 2009; Soulet et al., 2011a, 2013), as well as of its Holocene evolution (e.g., van der Meer et al., 2008; Giosan et al., 2012; Coolen et al., 2013). However, a robust chronological framework for the Holocene Black Sea is still lacking, thereby limiting interpretation and still precluding an understanding of the sequence of events that led to the reconnection of the Black Sea to the Mediterranean Sea that occurred sometime during the early Holocene (Ryan et al., 1997; Aksu et al., 2002; Major et al., 2006; Giosan et al., 2009; Soulet et al., 2011b; Yanko-Hombach et al., 2014). The uncertainty surrounding the timing of the reconnection – and by extension, the Black Sea chronological framework – is related to unconstrained radiocarbon reservoir age offset correction. This weakness has long been recognized (Jones and Gagnon, 1994; Giosan et al., 2009; Kwiecien et al., 2008; Soulet et al., 2011a) but it has never been fully solved.

Here, we reconstruct radiocarbon reservoir age offsets of bivalve shells from the coastal Black Sea and relate them to their δ^{13}C values, in an attempt to define radiocarbon reservoir ages on the basis of the relationship, with the potential to considerably improve the chronological framework of the Black Sea sediment archives.

METHODS AND SAMPLES

Reconstructions of radiocarbon reservoir age offsets typically rely upon ^{14}C determinations on terrestrial/marine (or lacustrine) pairs or multiple pairs (Ascough et al., 2005; Jull et al., 2013; Soulet, 2015; Soulet et al., 2016). From cores collected in the western coastal Black Sea (Fig. 1), five single pairs of articulated bivalve shells and pieces of terrestrially-derived plant material were sampled from the same sediment layers in order to ensure
contemporaneous deposition, and their $^{14}$C ages and stable carbon isotope values were determined.

**Radiocarbon and stable carbon isotope measurements**

As a pre-cleaning, the bivalve shells were sonicated and rinsed in deionized water at least 10 times. Then the shell carbonate was converted into CO$_2$ following National Ocean Sciences Accelerator Mass Spectrometry (NOSAMS) facility’s standard phosphoric acid hydrolysis procedure (McNichol et al., 1994). The analyzed terrestrial plant materials were subjected to NOSAMS standard acid-base-acid pre-treatment and converted to CO$_2$ through the sealed tube combustion method (McNichol et al., 1994). The CO$_2$ was then converted to graphite and analyzed for its $^{14}$C composition by Accelerator Mass Spectrometry (AMS) at NOSAMS. Two shell samples and two terrestrial plant material samples were prepared and graphitized at Centre de Datation par le RadioCarbone (CDRC, Lyon, France) following procedures similar to those performed at NOSAMS and measured by AMS at the Laboratoire de Mesure du Radiocarbone (LMC14-ARTEMIS, Saclay, France). Results are corrected for the $^{13}$C/$^{12}$C ratio as measured on the AMS (Santos et al., 2007) and are reported in Fm notation. Fm is identical to the $A_{SN}/A_{ON}$ metric (Stuiver and Polach, 1977), the $^{14}a_N$ notation (Mook and van der Plicht, 1999), and to the F$^{14}$C notation (Reimer et al., 2004). Corresponding conventional $^{14}$C ages ($\rho$) reported in $^{14}$C years Before Present (AD 1950) were calculated according to:

$$\rho = -8033 \ln(Fm)$$ (1)

The stable carbon isotope values of the dated samples were obtained from a 10%-aliquot taken out of the produced CO$_2$ using an Optima or VG Prism series II stable Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometer (IRMS) at NOSAMS. $^{13}$C/$^{12}$C ratios are reported in the $\delta^{13}$C notation (% relative to Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite, or VPDB).
Reservoir age offset calculation

Radiocarbon reservoir age offsets of the bivalve shells, relative to their contemporaneous atmosphere ($R_{\text{shell-atm}}$), were calculated based on the $^{14}$C ages of the paired bivalve shell ($\rho_{\text{shell}}$) and terrestrially-derived plant material ($\rho_{\text{plant}}$) (e.g., Ascough et al., 2005; Jull et al., 2013; Soulet et al., 2016):

$$R_{\text{shell-atm}} = \rho_{\text{shell}} - \rho_{\text{plant}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The $^{14}$C ages of the terrestrially-derived plant material are assumed to correspond to the $^{14}$C age of the atmosphere at the time the bivalves were living. Five $R_{\text{shell-atm}}$ values were calculated according to this method, based on the following paired samples of Black Sea shells and terrestrially-derived plant materials found co-located in the same sediment layer:

i) A pair of an articulated juvenile *Dreissena* sp. bivalve and a sample of fragile foliar material, picked from a peat where the bivalve was found embedded and dated to 10,600–11,080 (95%) cal yr BP (core SG in the modern Danube delta). The bivalve, being a juvenile, was small and could have easily been transported onto the marsh surface where the peat was developing.

ii) A pair of a *Monodacna caspia* bivalve (single valve) and a fragile piece of *Phragmites* reed dated to 9,040–9,420 (95%) cal yr BP (core MD04-2774; offshore the modern Danube delta).

iii) Two paired samples of an articulated *Mytilus galloprovincialis* bivalve and charcoal from a ~15cm-thick archeological layer recovered in several cores (SOZ-7 cores; Alepu lagoon, Bulgaria) (Flaux et al., 2016). The archeological site, dated to 5,050–5,300 (95%) cal yr BP (beginning of Early Bronze Age), was a pile-dwelling settlement recently discovered in the present Alepu lagoon (Flaux et al., 2016). The piles were 1 m long and 5 to
6 cm diameter and are made of oak. The bark was still in place showing that the wood was used
directly after felling. The small diameter of the piles suggest that they were less than 40 years
old at felling (Flaux et al., 2016). The piles indicate the beginning of the pile-dwelling
occupation and were dated to 4550 ± 30 $^{14}$C yr BP. The charcoal pieces that we used to calculate
the reservoir age offsets were found in the archeological layer of the site occupation that lasted
less than 80 years (Flaux et al., 2016). The two charcoal samples used were dated to 4525 ± 30
and 4475 ± 30 $^{14}$C yr BP. These $^{14}$C ages are not significantly different from those of the piles
(Flaux et al., 2016). This suggests that the charcoals must originate from wood that lived during
the occupation, and thus characterized by very little old wood effect, if any.

iv) A pair of an articulated Dreissena polymorpha bivalve and a sample of small
fragments of twigs dated to 4,540–4,820 (95%) cal yr BP (core NE-1 in the inner Danube delta).

One additional R$_{shell}$-atm value was obtained from the calendar age of a mussel (Mytilus
galloprovincialis) collected alive in 1931 (offshore of west Crimea) (Jones and Gagnon, 1994).
In this case, the $^{14}$C composition of the atmosphere in 1931 was obtained from linear
interpolation of the radiocarbon calibration curve IntCal13 (Reimer et al., 2013), then the R$_{shell}$-
atm value was calculated according to the above equations (substituting the subscript “plant” by
“IntCal13”). The radiocarbon reservoir age offset of two bivalve shells collected alive during
the 19$^{th}$ century in the Black Sea are reported in Siani et al. (2000) but stable carbon isotopes
were not published, and thus cannot be included in this study.

All radiocarbon and reservoir age offset data are compiled in the supplementary
material (Table S1).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**
Calculated $R_{\text{shell-atm}}$ values ranged widely between 340 and 1,100 $^{14}$C years. This finding demonstrates that reservoir age offsets varied substantially in Black Sea coastal environments during the Holocene. The $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{shell}}$ values also ranged widely between $-8$ to $+1$‰ VPDB. We also found that the $R_{\text{shell-atm}}$ values are linearly correlated to the $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{shell}}$ values ($r^2=0.87$; p-value < 0.01; n=6) (Fig. 2):

$$R_{\text{shell-atm}} = 473(\pm 58) - 68(\pm 13) \times \delta^{13}$C$_{\text{shell}}$$  \hfill (3)

**Biogeochemical significance of the $R_{\text{shell-atm}}$-$\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{shell}}$ line**

In order to understand the biogeochemical implications of the line, we explore the potential $^{14}$C-$^{13}$C end-members of the coastal Black Sea. Here, we use the isotopic form of the reservoir age offset. This metric called the $\delta^{14}$R value (Soulet et al., 2016) is calculated based on the exact same $^{14}$C measurements used to calculate $R_{\text{shell-atm}}$:

$$\delta^{14}$R$_{\text{shell-atm}} = 1000 \left( \frac{F_{m_{\text{shell}}}}{F_{m_{\text{plant}}}} - 1 \right) \%$$  \hfill (4)

The link between $\delta^{14}$R and R is straightforward:

$$R_{\text{shell-atm}} = -8033 \ln \left( \frac{F_{m_{\text{shell}}}}{F_{m_{\text{plant}}}} \right)$$  \hfill (5)

The $\delta^{14}$R-$\delta^{13}$C relationship (Fig. 3) is also a line ($r^2=0.87$; p-value < 0.01; n=6):

$$\delta^{14}$R$_{\text{shell-atm}} = -57.3(\pm 6.6) + 7.8(\pm 1.5) \times \delta^{13}$C$_{\text{shell}}$$  \hfill (6)

Over the observed range of $\delta^{13}$C values ($-8$ to $+1$‰ VPDB), the difference in the $R_{\text{shell-atm}}$ inferred from Eq. 3 or Eq. 6 is minimal (<10 $^{14}$C years). Instead, for very negative $\delta^{13}$C values the difference in calculated $R_{\text{shell-atm}}$ can be up to thousands of $^{14}$C years. This is because $R_{\text{shell-atm}}$ is a logarithmic function of the $F_{m}$ values. This is why we must use the $\delta^{14}$R-$\delta^{13}$C relationship to understand the biogeochemical processes explaining the line.
Bivalves form their carbonate shell primarily from the dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) component of the water column, over several months to a few years as longevity of the bivalves studied here (*Mytilus galloprovincialis* and *Dreissena rostriformis*) is ~10 years and probably less (Karatayev et al., 2006; Okaniwa et al., 2010). Thus, the shell isotopic composition reflects an integrated carbon isotopic composition of the DIC of the water in which they formed (Leng and Marshall, 2004). The δ\(^{14}\)R\(_{\text{shell-atm}}\)-δ\(^{13}\)C\(_{\text{shell}}\) line (Eq. 6) indicates a \(^{13}\)C enrichment of the water DIC when the water DIC \(^{14}\)C composition becomes closer to that of the atmosphere (i.e., δ\(^{14}\)R\(_{\text{shell-atm}}\) becomes closer to 0‰). In other words, the \(^{14}\)C composition of the water DIC becomes equilibrated with that of the atmosphere, when its stable isotope composition becomes enriched in \(^{13}\)C. The enrichment in \(^{13}\)C may also result in DIC stable carbon isotope composition equilibration with that of the atmosphere but with a fractionation in δ\(^{13}\)C from atmospheric CO\(_2\) to bicarbonate of 7 to 8‰ (Mook et al., 1974; Romanek et al., 1992).

The trend of the line suggests that the composition of the DIC of Black Sea coastal waters may have been driven mainly by the balance between autochthonous primary productivity and heterotrophic respiration of \(^{14}\)C-depleted (pre-aged) allochthonous terrestrial organic matters supplied by rivers. Indeed, the increased surface primary productivity during phytoplankton photosynthesis leads to \(^{13}\)C enrichment in the DIC (Hollander and McKenzie, 1991; Leng and Marshall, 2004). This also leads to increased transfer of atmospheric CO\(_2\) to surface water via green algae and phytoplankton demand for CO\(_2\) (Deuser, 1970; Hollander and McKenzie, 1991; Li et al., 2017), and may result in an equilibration of the surface water \(^{14}\)C composition with that of the atmosphere, meaning that δ\(^{14}\)R\(_{\text{shell-atm}}\) tends towards 0‰. The latter is supported by \(^{14}\)C activity measurements of the DIC of Black Sea coastal waters in May 2004 showing that it had a similar \(^{14}\)C activity to that of the atmosphere on the day of sampling (Fontugne et al., 2009), with δ\(^{14}\)R values of up to –4‰ (equivalent to a reservoir age offset of
only ~30 $^{14}$C years), i.e., very close to 0 ‰. It is also supported by the presence of bomb $^{14}$C in short chain saturated fatty acids and alkenones – both produced by phytoplankton – extracted from core top sediments collected in the early 2000s from the coastal Black Sea (Kusch et al., 2010, 2016).

The primary productivity vs. heterotrophic respiration balance hypothesis is further supported by the composition of the end-members defining the $\delta^{14}$R$_{shell-atm}$-$\delta^{13}$C$_{shell}$ linear relationship. Indeed, assuming a complete productivity-driven equilibration of the surface water with the atmosphere, i.e., $\delta^{14}$R$_{shell-atm} = 0$‰, the line predicts a $\delta^{13}$C$_{shell}$ value of 6.0 ± 1.9‰ VPDB for the carbonate phase (i.e., the mineral phase of the shell). At a range of temperatures typical for Black Sea surface waters, the $\delta^{13}$C of the carbonate phase is enriched by ~11-13‰ compared to CO$_2$ (Romanek et al., 1992). Thus, the $\delta^{13}$C value of a carbonate derived from atmospheric CO$_2$ with a pre-industrial $\delta^{13}$CO$_2$ value of −6.4‰ with respect to VPDB (Schmitt et al., 2012) would be of 4.5 to 6.5‰ VPDB. This range is in excellent agreement with the shell $\delta^{13}$C value of 6.0 ± 1.9‰ VPDB, predicted by the line in the case of CO$_2$ equilibration of the surface water with the atmosphere (Fig. 3). In addition, in the case of no autochthonous productivity at all, the organic matter utilized during heterotrophic respiration would have $\delta^{13}$C values of −25 to −27‰ VPDB, typical for Black Sea terrestrial organic matter (Kusch et al., 2010). Carbonate $\delta^{13}$C originating from pure respiration of terrestrial organic matter would thus range around −12 to −16‰ VPDB (Romanek et al., 1992), corresponding to predicted $\delta^{14}$R$_{shell-atm}$ values of −150 to −180‰. These latter values are compatible with surface sediment $\delta^{14}$R$_{sed-atm}$ of −200‰, calculated from total organic carbon $^{14}$C ages offshore of the Danube River (Kusch et al., 2010) (Fig. 3). Mineralization of pre-aged terrestrial organic matter by microbial respiration occurs in temperate lakes and streams of Quebec (McCallister and del Giorgio, 2012). Our results suggest that pre-aged terrestrial
organic matter as old as ~1500 $^{14}$C years supplied by rivers is also converted to CO$_2$ by heterotrophic bacteria in the Black Sea coastal waters.

A hard water effect (HWE) origin, i.e., reservoir age offset mainly explained by the contribution of $^{14}$C-depleted riverine DIC from the dissolution of outcropping carbonates, seems unlikely. Indeed, carbonate minerals can be weathered through two main pathways. The carbonic acid pathway:

$$\text{CaCO}_3 + \text{CO}_2(g) + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Ca}^{2+} + 2\text{HCO}_3^-$$ (7)

This pathway involves CaCO$_3$ and atmospheric CO$_2$ with $\delta^{14}$R values of $-1000$ and 0‰ respectively, and $\delta^{13}$C values of ~0 and $-6.5$‰ VPDB (pre-industrial). Thus, the carbonate derived from the resulting DIC (~HCO$_3^-$) would have a $\delta^{13}$C value of $-2$ to 0‰ VPDB (Romanek et al., 1992) and $\delta^{14}$R$_{\text{HWE-atm}}$ of $-500$‰ (Fig. 3).

The second carbonate weathering pathway involves sulfuric acid, itself produced by the oxidation of sulfide minerals like pyrite (Calmels et al., 2007):

$$2\text{CaCO}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \rightarrow 2\text{Ca}^{2+} + 2\text{HCO}_3^- + \text{SO}_4^{2-}$$ (8)

In this case the carbonate derived from the resulting DIC would have a $\delta^{13}$C value of ~0‰ VPDB and $\delta^{14}$R$_{\text{HWE-atm}}$ would be of $-1000$‰. None of these endmembers fits the coastal Black Sea $\delta^{14}$R-$\delta^{13}$C line (Fig. 3).

Oxidation of old methane could be another explanation, as it seems to impact on the $^{14}$C composition of DIC of the present day Black Sea waters in the deeper part of the basin (slope and deep basin) (Fontugne et al., 2009). However, for very negative $\delta^{13}$C values typical of methane oxidation (e.g. $-60$‰; Kessler et al., 2006), the extension of the line predicts a $\delta^{14}$R$_{\text{shell-atm}}$ of $-400$ to $-450$‰, in disagreement with $\delta^{14}$R values for Black Sea methane being as low as $-850$‰ (Kessler et al., 2006). Thus, oxidation of methane is unlikely to explain the reservoir age offset in the coastal settings of the western Black Sea.
Improving Black Sea geochronology

The coastal Black Sea $R_{\text{shell-atm}} - \delta^{13}C_{\text{shell}}$ line (Eq. 3; Fig. 2) has fundamental implications for the Black Sea geochronology. It is now possible to use bivalve shell $\delta^{13}C$ values as a proxy for reconstructing the reservoir age offset in the coastal Black Sea. Moreover, this $\delta^{13}C$-based tool can be applied on the same bivalve shell that is being $^{14}C$ dated, leading to a customized reservoir age offset correction, which is crucial to providing an accurate estimate of the calendar age for the $^{14}C$-dated shell. Using this proxy is inexpensive since most $^{14}C$ laboratories can provide the $\delta^{13}C$ values of the dated material. One should note that the $\delta^{13}C$ determination must be performed by IRMS on the CO$_2$ produced from the dated material and reported vs. VPDB. The $\delta^{13}C$ value measured on the AMS during radiocarbon measurement should not be used because of potential large instrumental fractionation effects (Santos et al., 2007).

At this stage of the research, i) any vital effect on the $\delta^{13}C$ value seems of second order importance, but the use of the $R_{\text{shell-atm}} - \delta^{13}C_{\text{shell}}$ line should be restricted to bivalve shells and for $\delta^{13}C$ values of 2 to $-10\%$; ii) the application of the line should be restricted to the Holocene, in coastal to near coastal settings of the western Black Sea; iii) the line applies for both marine and lacustrine periods of the basin evolution – suggesting that the composition of the endmembers did not change much through the Holocene and that the pathway of terrestrial carbon mineralization (from Black Sea lacustrine or marine biotic communities) is also of second order importance.

CONCLUSION

Our results provide new pieces of information about carbon cycling in the coastal Black Sea. They suggest that the balance between primary productivity and heterotrophic respiration of terrestrial carbon supplied by rivers is governing the carbon isotopic composition of the
Black Sea coastal waters since early Holocene. As a consequence, they provide an invaluable δ13C-based tool to quantify reservoir age offset for the Holocene Black Sea coastal settings. This will undoubtedly help refine our understanding of the hotly debated last reconnection of the Black Sea and more generally provide constrained calendar age-depth models to the numerous studied sediment archives of the Black Sea. Other basins, e.g., the Caspian Sea, the Aral Sea or large lakes, may potentially yield such correlation between the 14C reservoir age offset and δ13C value of shells and deserve future investigation. This study, in line with other pioneering studies (Bronk Ramsey et al., 2014; Lougheed et al., 2016; Schulting et al., 2014), shows that correlations between the reservoir age offset and another geochemical parameter from the same material must be sought, with the aim of untangling the problem of reservoir age offset when deriving calendar age chronologies from non-atmospheric 14C measurements.
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**FIGURES AND FIGURE CAPTIONS**

**Figure 1:** Western Black Sea area with sample locations and labels (Coordinates are available in Supplementary material; Table S1).
Figure 2: Linear regression of reservoir age offset (R_{shell-atm}) and $\delta^{13}$C of Black Sea bivalve shells and its 95%-confidence interval.
Figure 3: Linear regression of $\delta^{14}\text{R}_{\text{shell-atm}}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of Black Sea bivalve shells (green squares; $r^2=0.87$; p-value<0.01; n=6) – same samples as in Fig. 2. Carbonate-equivalent endmembers of: i) CO$_2$ atmosphere-water equilibration (blue rectangle), ii) CO$_2$ from heterotrophic respiration of terrestrial organic matter supplied by rivers (yellow rectangle), iii) hard water effect from dissolution of outcropping carbonates by carbonic acid or sulfuric acid (red rectangles), and iv) CO$_2$ from oxidization of methane (grey rectangle). Vertical dotted line is $\delta^{13}\text{C} = 0 \%_o$. 
### Table S1. Coastal Black Sea δ^13C data and reservoir age offsets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Core/Name</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Elevation (m)</th>
<th>Year (AD)</th>
<th>Depth in core (cm)</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Lab #</th>
<th>Shell δ^13C (‰ VPDB)</th>
<th>Shell (paa) (13C yr BP)</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Lab #</th>
<th>Atmospheric (paa) (13C yr BP)</th>
<th>Radiocarbon (13C yr BP)</th>
<th>δ^13C Radiocarbon (%)</th>
<th>Calibrated range (95%) (cal yr BP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crimean coast</td>
<td>SbG04</td>
<td>44°40'00&quot; N</td>
<td>33°30'00&quot; E</td>
<td>0 to -5</td>
<td>1031</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Mytilus galloprovincialis</td>
<td>OS-718</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>400 ± 34</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>152 ± 7</td>
<td>338 ± 85</td>
<td>-41.2 ± 4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durnhe delta</td>
<td>NE-1</td>
<td>45°15'20&quot; N</td>
<td>28°59'20&quot; E</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>350-351</td>
<td>Fragments of twigs</td>
<td>OS-117856</td>
<td>-6.44</td>
<td>4004 ± 10</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>412 ± 10</td>
<td>778 ± 27</td>
<td>-92.3 ± 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgarian coast</td>
<td>SOZ-7 ter(1)</td>
<td>42°22'55&quot; N</td>
<td>27°42'30&quot; E</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>590-583</td>
<td>Mytilus galloprovincialis</td>
<td>Lyon-10874</td>
<td>-0.98</td>
<td>5126 ± 34</td>
<td>Charcoal</td>
<td>Lyon-10873</td>
<td>4524 ± 27</td>
<td>614 ± 43</td>
<td>581 ± 43</td>
<td>-73.6 ± 5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgarian coast</td>
<td>SOZ-7 ter(2)</td>
<td>42°21'55&quot; N</td>
<td>27°42'30&quot; E</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>558-560</td>
<td>Mytilus galloprovincialis</td>
<td>Lyon-10872</td>
<td>-0.73</td>
<td>5056 ± 27</td>
<td>Charcoal</td>
<td>Lyon-10871</td>
<td>4475 ± 28</td>
<td>581 ± 39</td>
<td>-69.8 ± 4.6</td>
<td>-76.6 ± 5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durnhe delta</td>
<td>ME04-2774</td>
<td>44°57'27&quot; N</td>
<td>20°50'07&quot; E</td>
<td>-30</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>481-482</td>
<td>Mytilus galloprovincialis</td>
<td>Monodacma capax</td>
<td>-3.04</td>
<td>8040 ± 168</td>
<td>Phragmites australis</td>
<td>OS-108017</td>
<td>8258 ± 49</td>
<td>582 ± 110</td>
<td>-81.4 ± 13.7</td>
<td>-83.0 ± 13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durnhe delta</td>
<td>SG</td>
<td>44°54'14&quot; N</td>
<td>29°35'13&quot; E</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>4550-4551</td>
<td>Dreissena sp.</td>
<td>OS-77369</td>
<td>-7.92</td>
<td>10600 ± 60</td>
<td>Fragile fossil material from a peat layer</td>
<td>OS-68348</td>
<td>9500 ± 55</td>
<td>1100 ± 81</td>
<td>-128.0 ± 8.9</td>
<td>-128.0 ± 8.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Year of the collection of the material (the core or the alive shell) reported in Jones and Oggon (1984)
b Pre-bomb shell collected alive in 1931 (Jones and Oggon, 1984). The atmospheric age is inferred from Incal13 (Reimer et al., 2013) using ReaAge software (Soulé, 2015; Soulé et al., 2016).
c Calibrated using calib4 (Ramsey, 2013)
d Year AD 1931 is the year 19 calBP