CONTROLLING MECHANISMS FOR MOLYBDENUM ISOTOPE FRACTIONATION IN PORPHYRY DEPOSITS: THE QULONG EXAMPLE

Yang Li,1,† Alex J. McCoy-West,2,3 Shuang Zhang,4 David Selby,3,5 Kevin W. Burton,3 and Kate Horan3

1State Key Laboratory of Lithospheric Evolution, Institute of Geology and Geophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100029, China
2School of Earth, Atmosphere and Environment, Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria 3800, Australia
3Department of Earth Sciences, Durham University, Durham, DH1 3LE, UK
4Department of Geology and Geophysics, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06511, USA
5State Key Laboratory of Geological Processes and Mineral Resources, School of Earth Resources, China University of Geoscience, Wuhan, Hubei 430074, China

Abstract

Molybdenite-bearing porphyry deposits are the predominant supplier of molybdenum to industrialized society and one of the main hosts of Mo in the upper continental crust. The Mo isotope compositions (δ98/95Mo, normalized to NIST3134 equals 0‰) of molybdenite show considerable variation (–1.62 to +2.27‰), but the factors controlling this variability remain poorly constrained. This information is critical for underpinning genetic models of porphyry deposits, understanding elemental cycling, and utilizing the δ98/95Mo of marine sediments as a paleoredox proxy. Using the well-characterized Qulong porphyry Cu-Mo deposit (Tibet) as an example, here we discuss how rapid cooling, facilitated by mixing hot magmatic fluid with cold meteoric water, can be a controlling factor on efficient mineralization, and then tackle how fluid evolution regulates molybdenum isotope fractionation. Molybdenites, which preferentially partition isotopically light Mo (Rayleigh fractionation), precipitated from a single fluid will develop a heavier δ98/95Mo composition over time, and this also creates heterogeneous δ98/95Mo between molybdenite grains. Whereas a fluid undergoing multiple episodes of intensive boiling will gradually lose its isotopically heavy Mo to the vapor phase, molybdenites crystallizing successively from the residual liquid will then have lighter δ98/95Mo over time. However, when mineralization efficiency becomes too low, a negligible variation in δ98/95Mo of molybdenite is observed. Given that the mineralization efficiency (i.e., the amount of Mo crystallized as molybdenite from the fluid) rarely reaches 100% and molybdenite favors isotopically light Mo, the presence of a residual fluid with isotopically heavy Mo is inevitable. This residual fluid may then become trapped in alteration halos; hence, δ98/95Mo has the potential to aid in locating the mineralization center (e.g., lighter δ98/95Mo toward the orebody). The residual fluid may also feed surface hydrological systems and eventually impact Mo cycling. Our study highlights that understanding the controls of isotope fractionation is critical to bridge the gap between ore formation and elemental cycling, and that other transition metals (e.g., Cu, Fe, and Zn) may follow similar trajectories.

Introduction

Molybdenum is important to an industrialized society because of its critical role in the steel industry. One of the predominant sources of Mo is molybdenite-bearing porphyry deposits within the upper continental crust (Sillitoe, 2010). Owing to the redox sensitivity of Mo, its isotopic composition in marine sediments is also a powerful proxy for quantitatively reconstructing the distribution of oxic and anoxic conditions in past oceans (Dickson, 2017; Kendall et al., 2017). Therefore, understanding the mechanisms controlling Mo enrichment and the associated isotope fractionation in porphyry deposits (Fig. 1) is vital because they (1) underpin genetic models of porphyry deposit formation (Sillitoe, 2010; Richards, 2011; Kouzmanov and Pokrovski, 2012), (2) provide important boundary conditions for estimating δ98/95Mo of the upper continental crust (Hannah et al., 2007; Greber et al., 2014; Breillat et al., 2016), and (3) constrain Mo cycling in surface environments, which is essential when utilizing δ98/95Mo of marine sediments as a paleoredox proxy (Dickson, 2017; Kendall et al., 2017).

Metalliferous aqueous fluids that form porphyry deposits are exsolved from magmatic reservoirs at the base of the upper crust (Kouzmanov and Pokrovski, 2012; Wilkinson, 2013; Chelle-Michou et al., 2017; Chiaradia and Caricchi, 2017). After exsolution, these magmatic aqueous fluids migrate upward and may undergo decomposition, boiling, cooling, and fluid mixing (Driesner and Heinrich, 2007; Richards, 2011; Kouzmanov and Pokrovski, 2012; Cooke et al., 2014). Among these processes, decomposition-induced phase separation is a key factor controlling the formation of porphyry deposits (Richards, 2011; Cooke et al., 2014). During pressure release-induced boiling, a single-phase magmatic aqueous fluid separates into liquid and vapor phases with contrasting physicochemical properties (e.g., density and composition). The vapor phase tends to migrate to shallow depths due to its low density, while the liquid phase preferentially accumulates in deeper parts of the hydrothermal system, giving rise to different mineralization styles (Zajacz et al., 2017). Despite being extensively studied, the transportation, precipitation, and accompanying isotope fractionation mechanisms of Mo during this process are poorly established. Based on experimental studies (Rempel et al., 2006) and variations in Mo isotopes (>0.65‰ per atomic mass unit) documented in Mo-bearing porphyry deposits (Hannah et al., 2007), Mo has been assumed to be transported in the vapor phase, with
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molybdenite being precipitated when there is a reduction in oxygen fugacity and temperature. However, a recent experimental study suggests that Mo can be efficiently withheld in the liquid phase during phase separation and favors a scenario of liquid transportation (Zajacz et al., 2017), which is in line with the enrichment of Mo in brine-rich fluid inclusions at the Questa porphyry Mo deposit (Klemm et al., 2008). With a robust understanding of Mo isotope fractionation in porphyry deposits, it is also possible to utilize Mo isotopes as a proxy to locate the mineralization center.

Here, we explore the factors controlling $\delta^{98/95}$Mo in molybdenite in porphyry deposits and discuss the implications for Mo cycling in the surface environment through the study of the Tibetan Qulong porphyry Cu-Mo deposit. The Qulong deposit is a very good candidate for this purpose given that it has been exceptionally well characterized in previous studies (Yang et al., 2009; Hu et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2016a; Li et al., 2017a, b, 2018) and therefore allows for an evaluation of the factors controlling $\delta^{98/95}$Mo evolution during the ore-forming process and yields implications for our understanding of ore formation and elemental cycling.

**Deposit Geology and Samples**

The Qulong porphyry Cu-Mo deposit, situated at the southwest margin of the Lhasa terrane, southern Tibet (Fig. 2A), is a representative porphyry deposit from the Gangdese porphyry copper belt formed in a postcollisional setting (Hou et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2018). This giant deposit contains >2,200 million tonnes of ore with an average grade of 0.5% for Cu and 0.03% for Mo, respectively (Li et al., 2017b). Most of the mineralization assemblages at Qulong are hosted by the 17.142 ± 0.023 Ma (2σ, with analytical and decay constant uncertainties) Rongmucuola pluton, which has a granodiorite-monzogranitic composition (Fig. 2B; Li et al., 2017a). Additional mineralization is associated with the Jurassic Yeba Formation volcanic rocks (Yang et al., 2009; Li et al., 2017b). Field observations and chronological studies suggest that mineralization at Qulong was broadly coeval with the emplacement of the 16.009 ± 0.024 Ma intermineral P porphyry and, to a lesser extent, with...
the syn-ore X porphyry, which is slightly younger than the P porphyry (Yang et al., 2009; Hu et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2016a; Li et al., 2017a). A syn-ore hydrothermal breccia pipe comprising two stages of breccia is documented. The breccia pipe is inferred to be slightly younger than the X porphyry (Yang et al., 2009; Li et al., 2017a). The Rongmucuola pluton was intruded by a post-ore diorite, which has a crystallization age of 15.166 ± 0.020 Ma (Li et al., 2017a).

High-precision Re-Os molybdenite geochronology suggests that the bulk of mineralization at Qulong was formed in three intermittent mineralization pulses at 16.126 to 16.050, 16.040 to 15.981, and 15.981 to 15.560 Ma, respectively (Li et al., 2017a). In agreement with field geology, fluid inclusion, and oxygen isotope studies (Yang et al., 2009; Li et al., 2017b, 2018), the three mineralization pulses are characterized by rapid cyclic cooling (>0.55°C/1,000 yr), with temperatures fluctuating at 425°C to 360°C, 365°C to 290°C, and 345°C to 285°C, respectively. Fluid evolution is constrained over an absolute time frame and is interpreted to illustrate that the amount of metals being deposited in the three mineralizations progressively decreases, with the majority of the mineralizations (i.e., >60%) occurring in the first mineralization pulse (Li et al., 2018). Evidence of fluid boiling is observed in the premineralization-stage barren quartz veins and two-stage metasomatic syn-ore breccia pipe (Yang et al., 2009; Li et al., 2017b). Rapid cooling initiated by mixing hot magmatic fluid with cold meteoric water has been proposed to be the main trigger of metal deposition (Li et al., 2018).

To characterize the controls on Mo isotope composition during the ore-forming process in magmatic-hydrothermal systems and to use this to yield implications in elemental cycling, 12 quartz-molybdenite veins (20 samples) previously studied by Li et al. (2017a) were investigated for δ98/95Mo. These samples are ideal for the aims of this research because their mineralization stage, alteration assemblages, formation age, temperature, and associated fluid source have been well characterized through core logging, high-precision (1,000-yr resolution) Re-Os molybdenite chronology, fluid inclusion, and ion probe quartz oxygen isotope studies (Li et al., 2017a, b, 2018).

Previous studies have documented variation in δ98/95Mo at the hand-specimen scale (Greber et al., 2014). To advance our understanding of the controls on δ98/95Mo at the centimeter scale, we have investigated how sample size affects δ98/95Mo. To do so, we analyzed samples of variable digestion masses and tracked δ98/95Mo variations along single molybdenite veins. In the former technique, a coarse-grained molybdenite sample (2 mm, sample 1605-80/6/7) and a fine-grained molybdenite sample (0.2 mm, sample 1605-80/2/7) were obtained as independent aliquots from the same vein. For the latter technique, multiple independent molybdenite separates were obtained from a single molybdenite vein; these were assumed to form rapidly at a timescale that is unresolved by current high-precision dating (<0.008 m.y.; Li et al., 2017a). Three veins from different mineralization stages were investigated here for such a purpose (1605-80, 1605-268, and 1605-155).

Two whole-rock samples (1605-80 and QL03) that show least evidence of mineralization were analyzed to constrain the δ98/95Mo composition of ore-related magma at Qulong. Sample 1605-80 belongs to the Rongmucuola pluton, which has a granodioritic composition, and sample QL03 belongs to the P porphyry, which is a monzogranite.

**Analytical Methods**

Molybdenite samples utilized here were studied previously by Li et al. (2017a) and were recovered as whole grains by an HF leaching method (Lawley and Selby, 2012). We suggest that the HF method has no impact on the δ98/95Mo of molybdenite for two reasons. First, no molybdenite dissolution was observed; second, the Re-Os molybdenite system displays a closed-system behavior during the leaching process (Lawley and Selby, 2012; Li et al., 2017a). This argument is also consistent with the homogeneous δ98/95Mo composition along single molybdenite-bearing veins (see below for detailed discussion).

Aliquots of purified molybdenite were weighed and sample digestion was achieved in 2 ml of 16-M HNO₃ and 1 ml of 12-M HCl at 150°C for 48 h. Once completely digested, the solution was dried at 60°C and then completely redissolved in 1 ml of 1-M HNO₃. A portion of the redissolved sample, equivalent to 300 ng of natural Mo, was aliquoted and spiked with a 97Mo-100Mo double spike. The spiked sample solution was brought up to 1 ml by adding 16-M HNO₃. Molybdenum isotope equilibrium between sample and spike was achieved at 150°C for at least 24 h. The sample was then dried and converted to chloride form for anion-exchange chromatography. Chemical separation of Mo from the matrix was carried out using a single-pass anion exchange procedure (Pearce et al., 2009; Neely et al., 2018). The columns containing 2 ml of Bio-Rad AG1-X8 resin were precleaned successively with 8-M HNO₃, 6-M HCl, 1-M HCl, 1-M HF, and 3-M HNO₃ and then conditioned in 0.5-M HCl. The samples were loaded to the columns in 5 ml of 0.5-M HCl, and a bulk wash was undertaken with 10 ml of 0.5-M HCl + 1-M HF and 8 ml of 4-M HCl. Then, an additional matrix elution step of 10 ml of 1-M HF was added to ensure complete Zn removal prior to the collection of Mo in 12 ml of 3-M HNO₃. Total procedural blanks for processing Mo in this study were 0.2 to 1.5 ng (n = 2), which are comparable to the long-term blanks at Durham University (~1 ng) and negligible in comparison to the total amount of sample processed (i.e., 300 ng natural Mo).

Molybdenum isotope analyses were conducted using a Thermo Scientific Neptune multi-collector-inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometer in the Arthur Holmes Laboratories at Durham University. Samples were introduced to the instrument using an Aridus II desolvator and a Savillex PFA20 nebulizer in 0.5-M HNO₃ at 150- to 200-ppb concentrations. The data were processed using IsoSpike (Creech and Paul, 2015), which is an add-in to the Iolite data processing package (Paton et al., 2011). All analyses herein were measured relative to the international reference solution SRM NIST3134 (Greber et al., 2012; Goldberg et al., 2013) and have been normalized so that δ98/95MoSRM equals 0‰.

Molybdenum isotope composition data are expressed using conventional δ notation in parts per thousand relative to the reference standard, whereby

\[
\delta^{98/95}\text{Mo} = \left(\frac{98^{\text{Mo}}_{\text{sample}}}{95^{\text{Mo}}_{\text{sample}}} \right) \cdot 1,000 \left(\frac{98^{\text{Mo}}_{\text{NIST3134}}}{95^{\text{Mo}}_{\text{NIST3134}}} \right) - 1,000. \tag{1}
\]

Analytical and instrumental mass fractionations were corrected using the 97Mo-100Mo double spike. Mass spectrometer
drift and long-term reproducibility of the data were monitored by measuring Mo isotope standards, including Romil and OU (Open University). The obtained $\delta^{98/95}$Mo values of Romil (0.04 ± 0.03‰, n = 41, 2 standard deviations [SD]) and OU (−0.34 ± 0.04‰, n = 9, 2 SD) were indistinguishable from previously published values, within analytical uncertainties (Goldberg et al., 2013; Neely et al., 2018).

The reproducibility of $\delta^{98/95}$Mo values in the molybdenite samples was further evaluated using three international Re-Os molybdenite age reference materials: JDC, HLP-5, and NIST RM8599 (Table 1; Du et al., 2004; Markey et al., 2007). The obtained $\delta^{98/95}$Mo values of the NIST RM8599 (Henderson), JDC, and HLP-5 were −0.11 ± 0.01‰ (n = 9, 2 SD), −0.02 ± 0.04‰ (n = 9, 2 SD), and −0.61 ± 0.02‰ (n = 9, 2 SD), respectively, which are the same within uncertainties as previous studies (Siebert et al., 2001; Malinovsky et al., 2005; Breilat et al., 2016; Zhao et al., 2016b). An additional in-house molybdenite Re-Os age control sample, 2706. SWB, from Endako porphyry Mo deposit, British Columbia, Canada (Selby and Creaser, 2001), yielded a value of −0.55 ± 0.04‰ (Table 1; n = 10, 2 SD). Conservatively, the long-term reproducibility of $\delta^{98/95}$Mo analyses herein is considered to be ±0.05‰ (2 SD).

### Results

**Mo isotope heterogeneity at centimeter scale**

We first evaluated the effects of digestion mass on the reproducibility of $\delta^{98/95}$Mo (Table 2). For fine-grained molybdenite (0.2 mm, sample 1605-80/2-7), reproducible $\delta^{98/95}$Mo data (Fig. 3A) were not obtained until the digestion mass was >5 mg (i.e., ≥20 grains). For coarse-grained molybdenite (2 mm, sample 1605-80/6-7), homogeneous $\delta^{98/95}$Mo values were obtained regardless of digestion mass (1, 5, and >50 grains, equivalent to 0.8, 4.1, and 70 mg, respectively; Fig. 3B). Therefore, for the remaining analyses, sufficient molybdenite (i.e., 10–70 mg) was dissolved to yield representative $\delta^{98/95}$Mo. We also investigated the variation in $\delta^{98/95}$Mo along individual quartz-molybdenite veins (Table 3). Multiple independent molybdenite aliquots from three different veins representing temporally distinct mineralization stages (sample 1605-80, 1605-268, 1605-155) were analyzed. All of them yielded homogeneous $\delta^{98/95}$Mo values within the vein (Fig. 3C-E).

**Time-resolved Mo isotope evolution at Qulong**

Twenty samples covering the entire mineralization sequence at Qulong show pronounced variations in $\delta^{98/95}$Mo: values range between −0.68 and +0.95‰ (Table 4). However, $\delta^{98/95}$Mo shows no clear dependence on either temperature or meteoric water contribution inferred from $\delta^{18}$O data (Fig. 4A, B; Li et al., 2018). The first mineralization pulse (16.126–16.050 Ma) shows decreasing $\delta^{98/95}$Mo, from +0.95 to −0.68‰, through time (n = 4, Fig. 5). The second mineralization pulse (16.040–15.981 Ma), with exception of two veins that have higher $\delta^{98/95}$Mo ( −0.16 and +0.44‰), yields gradually increasing $\delta^{98/95}$Mo, from −0.56 to +0.32‰ (n = 5). The third mineralization pulse (15.981–15.860 Ma) has relatively invariant $\delta^{98/95}$Mo of −0.02 to +0.08‰ (n = 6).

**Magmatic rocks at Qulong**

The Mo isotope composition of two apparently poorly mineralized igneous rocks was also investigated. Sample QL03 from the P porphyry has a $\delta^{98/95}$Mo of −0.21 ± 0.02‰ (n = 5). However, it has an Mo concentration of 425 ppm and contains disseminated molybdenite as confirmed petrographically; it should reflect the $\delta^{98/95}$Mo of mineralization instead of representing the primary $\delta^{98/95}$Mo of igneous rocks at Qulong. Sample 1605-80 from the Rongmucuola pluton contains only 2.45 ppm Mo (at upper end of global granites; Yang et al., 2017) and possesses a $\delta^{98/95}$Mo of 0.34 ± 0.04‰ (n = 5), which provides our best estimate of the $\delta^{98/95}$Mo of the original igneous rocks at Qulong. Due to the potential impact on the $\delta^{98/95}$Mo of igneous rocks by hydrothermal alteration and mineralization, these data are not discussed further.
Table 2. Testing Digestion Masses as a Controlling Factor on $\delta^{98/95}\text{Mo}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample no.</th>
<th>Aliquot</th>
<th>No. of grains</th>
<th>Weight (mg)</th>
<th>$\delta^{98/95}\text{Mo}$ (‰)</th>
<th>$\sigma_1$</th>
<th>No. of analyses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1605-80/2-7</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>&gt;80 grains</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>20 grains</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>8 grains</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D</td>
<td>4 grains (0.2 mm)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1605-80/6-7</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>&gt;80 grains (1–2 mm)</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>5 grains (2 mm)</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>1 grain (2 mm)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For each digested sample, multiple analyses were conducted by multicollector-inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry for the same solution, and the $\sigma_1$ were two standard deviations of these analyses.

Table 3. Homogeneous $\delta^{98/95}\text{Mo}$ Along Single Quartz-Molybdenite Veins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vein</th>
<th>Vein fraction</th>
<th>Weight (mg)</th>
<th>$\delta^{98/95}\text{Mo}$ (‰)</th>
<th>$\sigma_1$</th>
<th>No. of analyses</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>2 SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1605-80</td>
<td>1605-80/2-7</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1605-80/4-7</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1605-80/6-7</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1605-80/7-7</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1605-268</td>
<td>1605-268/1-3</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1605-268/2-3</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1605-268/3-3</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1605-155</td>
<td>1605-155/1-4</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1605-155/2-4</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1605-155/3-4</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1605-155/4-4</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\sigma_1$ refers to the two standard deviations of multiple instrumental analyses of a single sample (i.e., measuring the same solution several times), while 2 SD is the two standard deviations of multiple samples (e.g., several samples along a single vein).

Fig. 3. A, B) The effects of variable digestion masses on Mo isotope composition. The two samples (1605-80/2-7 and 1605-80/6-7) were aliquots separated independently from the same molybdenite vein (1605-80). For sample 1605-80/2-7, which comprises fine-grained (0.2 mm) molybdenite grains, reproducible $\delta^{98/95}\text{Mo}$ values were not obtained until the digestion masses were >5 mg (i.e., >20 grains). In contrast, the $\delta^{98/95}\text{Mo}$ values of the coarse-grained (2 mm) sample 1605-80/6-7 were reproducible regardless of digestion mass. The dashed blue lines represent the recommended $\delta^{98/95}\text{Mo}$ of sample 1605-80 at 2σ level. C-E) Multiple aliquots independently separated from single molybdenite veins were studied to test the homogeneity of $\delta^{98/95}\text{Mo}$ along single molybdenite veins. The three molybdenite veins (1608-80, 1605-268, and 1605-155) investigated here all yield homogeneous $\delta^{98/95}\text{Mo}$. Abbreviation: SD = standard deviation.
Table 4. δ\(^{98}/95\)Mo of 12 Quartz-Molybdenite Veins\(^1\) and Two Igneous Rocks at Qulong

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample no.</th>
<th>Weight (mg)</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>(\delta^{98/95})Mo</th>
<th>δ(^{18}O)fluid</th>
<th>Magmatic water</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>2σ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>313-145</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1st pulse</td>
<td>16.126</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313-460</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16.107</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001-640</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16.098</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1402-209(^6)</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>-0.68</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16.088</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1605-50/2-7</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16.050</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1605-50/4-7</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16.050</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1605-50/6-7</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16.050</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1605-50/7-7</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16.040</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1405-120</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16.036</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1605-70</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16.011</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1605-334</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15.981</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1605-268/2-3</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15.981</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1605-268/3-3</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15.981</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1605-155/1-4</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15.943</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1605-155/2-4</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15.943</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1605-155/3-4</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15.943</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1605-155/4-4</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15.943</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1605-53</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15.939</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1605-33</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15.860</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Whole rocks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Weight (mg)</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>(\delta^{98/95})Mo</th>
<th>δ(^{18}O)fluid</th>
<th>Magmatic water</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>2σ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QL03</td>
<td>500.0</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Rongmucuola plutonic</td>
<td>17.142</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QL1605-80</td>
<td>500.0</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>P porphyry</td>
<td>16.009</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\)Formation ages, temperatures, and estimations of fluid δ\(^{18}O\) and magmatic water contributions for these veins are also presented.

\(^2\)Formation ages are constrained by isotope dilution-thermal ionization mass spectrometry molybdenite Re-Os and zircon U-Pb geochronology; see Li et al. (2017a) for further details.

\(^3\)Formation temperatures are constrained by trapping temperatures of fluid inclusion assemblages; see Li et al. (2017b) for further details.

\(^4\)Oxygen isotopes of quartz and ore-forming fluids, with inferred contributions of magmatic water; see Li et al. (2018) for further details.

\(^5\)For each digested sample, multiple analyses were conducted by multicollector-inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry, and 2σ is two standard deviations of these analyses.

\(^6\)For samples 313-145 and 1402-209, only 0.5 and 8.9 mg of molybdenite were available.
Discussion

Fluid mixing facilitated rapid cooling as an efficient driver of mineralization

The formation of porphyry deposits requires efficient transportation of metals in their oxidized states by hydrothermal fluids, before being rapidly reduced to sulfides (Richards, 2011; Kouzmanov and Pokrovski, 2012; Sun et al., 2013; Cooke et al., 2014). The molybdenite-bearing veins studied here were formed at ~25 MPa (~2.5 km at hydrostatic pressure) and 280° to 425°C (Li et al., 2017b). These conditions are similar to those commonly reported for porphyry deposit formation worldwide (Richards, 2011; Kouzmanov and Pokrovski, 2012; Cooke et al., 2014). Critically, these temperature-pressure conditions mark the region where a metaliferous aqueous fluid changes its chemical-physical state between the supercritical state and liquid and vapor phases (Driesner and Heinrich, 2007). Aqueous fluid in its supercritical state is characterized by high metal solubility (Kessel et al., 2005); therefore, these conditions are favorable for the efficient transportation of metals. For example, it has been reported that up to 500 ppm Mo is soluble at 420°C (Klemm et al., 2008). During the formation of porphyry deposits, hydrothermal fluids need to be cooled from >600° to <450°C (Richards, 2011; Kouzmanov and Pokrovski, 2012; Cooke et al., 2014). Recent advances in constraining the timescale of

Fig. 4. A, B) The Mo isotope composition at Qulong shows no correlation with temperature or the proportion of meteoric water. The corresponding temperatures and contributions of meteoric water of the molybdenite veins were constrained through fluid inclusion and secondary ion mass spectrometry oxygen isotope studies of hydrothermal quartz grains coprecipitated with this molybdenite (Li et al., 2017b, 2018). Samples are divided based on mineralization pulse with the different pulses from first to third comprising 4, 10, and 6 samples, respectively.

Fig. 5. Time-resolved Mo isotope composition evolution of the three mineralization pulses at Qulong. The time frame and three inferred mineralization pulses were derived from high-precision molybdenite Re-Os geochronology (Li et al., 2017a). Molybdenite veins from the first mineralization pulse display decreasing δ^{98/95}Mo through time, while the second mineralization pulse is characterized by an increasing δ^{98/95}Mo trend, except for two veins that fall off this trend with higher values. The third mineralization pulse has relatively invariant δ^{98/95}Mo.
magnatic-hydrothermal system development indicate that porphyry systems are formed through multiple short-lived pulses over a few to tens of thousands of years (Mercer et al., 2015; Spencer et al., 2015; Buret et al., 2016; Li et al., 2017a). Rapid cooling is a necessity for ore formation. Upon cooling, the aqueous fluid enters the liquid state. This is accompanied by a significant drop in metal solubility; hence, efficient sulfide precipitation is expected (Kouzmanov and Pokrovski, 2012). This scenario is in line with the observation at Qulong that >60% metals were deposited within ~38 k.y.—an interval when temperatures dropped from >425° to <360°C (Li et al., 2018). Similarly, a significant decrease in the metal content of ore-forming fluids upon cooling occurred at Bingham, Morococha, and the Questa porphyry deposits, when the temperature of the fluids decreased from ~450° to ~300°C (Landtwing et al., 2005; Klemm et al., 2008; Kouzmanov and Pokrovski, 2012). Collectively, this suggests that cooling is an important mechanism for metal deposition in porphyry deposits.

The short timescales required for ore formation and the rapid cooling needed for metal deposition require a mechanism that can generate a large temperature gradient. Significant thermal contributions come from magma and fluid fluxes in cyclic systems (Mercer et al., 2015; Li et al., 2017a); thus, it is critical to have an efficient cooling mechanism. Given its low efficiency, thermal conduction cannot be the primary driver for cooling (Weis et al., 2012). Here, we explore the possibility of mixing hot magmatic fluid and cold meteoric water to facilitate efficient mineralization in porphyry systems. At Qulong, time-resolved fluid evolution provides quantitative constraints on the amount of meteoric water involved in the ore-forming process (Li et al., 2018). Assuming magmatic fluid and meteoric water have temperatures of ~600° and 20°C, respectively, mixing magmatic fluid with 10% meteoric water will yield a hydrothermal fluid with a temperature of ~488°C, with the temperature falling to ~376°C for a hydrothermal fluid bearing 20% meteoric water. These temperatures and meteoric water contributions are in excellent agreement with observations at Qulong (Li et al., 2017b, 2018). More importantly, the temperature drop associated with fluid mixing happens almost instantly. In tandem with the ubiquitous involvement of meteoric water in porphyry deposits (Fekete et al., 2016; Li et al., 2018), we argue that rapid cooling facilitated by mixing of hot magmatic and cold meteoric water is a primary driver for metal deposition.

**Grain-scale Mo isotope heterogeneity governed by Rayleigh fractionation**

Previous studies have shown that significant Mo isotope fractionation occurs during both fluid evolution and molybdenite precipitation (Mathur et al., 2010; Greber et al., 2011; Shafiei et al., 2015; Yao et al., 2016). Therefore, the details of how Mo isotopes fractionate during fluid evolution and molybdenite precipitation are of interest.

We have shown that, for fine-grained molybdenite (0.2 mm, sample 1605-80/2-7), reproducible δ⁹⁸/⁹⁵Mo data were only obtained when the digested mass was greater than 5 mg, which contrasts with the coarse-grained molybdenite (2 mm, sample 1605-80/6-7), where reproducible δ⁹⁸/⁹⁵Mo data were obtained regardless of sample size. These two samples were obtained independently from a single molybdenite vein and show no evidence of hydrothermal overprint, as evidenced by a homogeneous oxygen isotope composition of hydrothermal quartz grains associated with these molybdenites and identical alteration assemblages along the vein. In addition, no fluid inclusion assemblages recording fluid boiling are documented. For these reasons, variations in temperature, pressure, pH, fluid boiling, and fluid mixing are not plausible explanations to account for variable δ⁹⁸/⁹⁵Mo in the fine-grained sample on a local scale. A simple explanation could be that these molybdenite grains were crystallized from fluids with different δ⁹⁸/⁹⁵Mo. If this is the case, the fluid must be heterogeneous in terms of Mo isotope composition at small scales (i.e., ~0.2 mm) and homogeneous at large scales (i.e., >2 mm). Reducing Mo from its most oxidized state (i.e., Mo⁶⁺; Rempel et al., 2006) to Mo⁴⁺ facilitates isotope fractionation (Rayleigh fractionation) because isotopically light Mo preferentially enters into molybdenite (Tossell, 2005). Therefore, we propose that the heterogeneity of δ⁹⁸/⁹⁵Mo at local scales was generated by localized Rayleigh fractionation (Fig. 6). For example, once mineralization has been initiated, the crystallization of molybdenite grains will fill the open spaces in fractures and prohibit sufficient convection and propagation of ore-forming fluid at small scales (i.e., <0.2 mm). For each localized system, the molybdenite grains that crystallize first will have lower δ⁹⁸/⁹⁵Mo, as regulated by Rayleigh fractionation (Fig. 7A). This process implies that the fluid was homogeneous in terms of δ⁹⁸/⁹⁵Mo prior to mineralization; thus, the development of localized Rayleigh fractionation throughout the system affects δ⁹⁸/⁹⁵Mo at the millimeter scale but does not create heterogeneous δ⁹⁸/⁹⁵Mo at larger scales. This hypothesis is supported by the homogeneous Mo isotope composition along single molybdenite veins once sufficient molybdenite was analyzed (Fig. 3C-E). We recommend that, for future studies, sufficient (e.g., >10 mg) molybdenite should be analyzed to overcome heterogeneity at the centimeter scale and to yield representative Mo isotope data.

**Hydrothermal controls on Mo isotope fractionation through time**

Now we turn to assessing the factors controlling δ⁹⁸/⁹⁵Mo under the framework of time-resolved fluid evolution. The predominant magmatic source of metals in porphyry deposits (Kouzmanov and Pokrovski, 2012; Cooke et al., 2014) makes the involvement of meteoric water (Fig. 4B) from a mass balance standpoint insignificant in terms of changing the Mo isotope composition of the fluid, and it is not discussed further. A lack of dependence between δ⁹⁸/⁹⁵Mo and temperature (Fig. 4A) precludes temperature as a dominant factor governing Mo isotope fractionation. Thus, the most likely candidates for generating the time-resolved δ⁹⁸/⁹⁵Mo patterns in Figure 5 are Rayleigh fractionation and/or fluid boiling. During the propagation of metalliferous fluid, as predicted by Rayleigh fractionation, successive crystallization of molybdenite from that fluid will generate progressively heavier δ⁹⁸/⁹⁵Mo (Fig. 7B; Greber et al., 2014). Consequently, this mechanism can explain the trend of increasing δ⁹⁸/⁹⁵Mo over time that is observed during the second mineralization pulse at Qulong (Fig. 5), with the exception of two veins that host higher δ⁹⁸/⁹⁵Mo (see below.
for further discussion). However, the decreasing and invariant $\delta^{98/95}\text{Mo}$ values in the first and third mineralization pulses (Fig. 5), respectively, require additional explanations.

Decompression-induced boiling also facilitates Mo isotope fractionation, and isotopically heavy Mo will preferentially enter the vapor phase over the liquid phase (Yao et al., 2016). Molybdenum is usually withheld in the liquid phase during boiling (Zajacz et al., 2017), and the vapor phase tends to rise above the porphyry-style mineralization site. As such, it is reasonable to assume that molybdenite in porphyry deposits predominantly precipitates from liquid phase after boiling. In this regard, for a fluid undergoing multiple episodes of boiling, with isotopically heavy Mo preferentially being transported to the shallow levels, the residual liquid will progressively show lighter $\delta^{98/95}\text{Mo}$ (Fig. 7B). Molybdenite grains crystallized successively from this residual fluid are expected to have decreasing $\delta^{98/95}\text{Mo}$ with time unless the Mo isotope fractionation factor between molybdenite and fluid increases significantly with time. In all of the mineralization pulses, the temperature decreases through time, albeit with minor temporal fluctuations (Li et al., 2017a): this results in a decreasing fractionation factor over time. Therefore, we suggest that

In this regard, for a fluid undergoing multiple episodes of boiling, with isotopically heavy Mo preferentially being transported to the shallow levels, the residual liquid will progressively show lighter $\delta^{98/95}\text{Mo}$ (Fig. 7B). Molybdenite grains crystallized successively from this residual fluid are expected to have decreasing $\delta^{98/95}\text{Mo}$ with time unless the Mo isotope fractionation factor between molybdenite and fluid increases significantly with time. In all of the mineralization pulses, the temperature decreases through time, albeit with minor temporal fluctuations (Li et al., 2017a): this results in a decreasing fractionation factor over time. Therefore, we suggest that

![Diagram](https://pubs.geoscienceworld.org/segweb/economicgeology/article-pdf/114/5/981/4804383/4653_li_et_al.pdf)
successive boiling could generate decreasing $\delta^{98/95}\text{Mo}$ with time similar to that documented at Kerman porphyry deposit, Iran (Shafei et al., 2015), and observed in the first mineralization pulse here at Qulong (Fig. 5). The latter is partially supported by the presence of boiling fluid inclusion assemblages in the early-stage barren quartz veins (Li et al., 2017b). For the two veins that fall off the increasing trend in the second mineralization pulse (Fig. 5), the best explanation is that they were crystallized from vapor phases generated through intensive boiling (Fig. 7B). This is in accordance with the emplacement of the two-stage hydrothermal breccia (Yang et al., 2009; Li et al., 2017b). The ascent of the vapor phase with isotopically heavy Mo to shallow levels (Sillitoe, 2010; Zajacz et al., 2017) could result in the formation of molybdenite with heavier $\delta^{98/95}\text{Mo}$ in the upper portion of a hydrothermal system (e.g., epithermal deposits). Interestingly, two molybdenite samples from the Freiberg district, Germany, which is an epithermal deposit, have elevated $\delta^{98/95}\text{Mo}$ of 0.54 to 0.92‰ (Mathur et al., 2010) as predicted here.

From a mass balance perspective, generating significant Mo isotope fractionation through fluid boiling and Rayleigh fractionation requires a sufficient mass of Mo to be redistributed between the two phases involved (i.e., liquid vs. vapor in boiling; molybdenite vs. aqueous fluid in Rayleigh fractionation). For example, at 425° to 280°C, with a mineralization efficiency of ~10%, Rayleigh fractionation only generates molybdenites with a variation of ~0.069‰ in $\delta^{98/95}\text{Mo}$ (Fig. 8), which is comparable to the current analytical precision and thus negligible. In addition, the degree of Mo isotope fractionation is also controlled by temperature (Fig. 8) and, at high temperature, less fractionation is expected in comparison to that at low temperature. The progressive cooling history at Qulong, though with fluctuations (Li et al., 2017a), suggests that temperature is unlikely a primary control for the variable degrees of Mo isotope fractionation for the three mineralization pulses. For these reasons, the significant Mo isotope fractionation observed in the first and second mineralization pulses implies intensive boiling and a high mineralization efficiency, respectively, while low mineralization efficiency could explain the lack of significant fractionation during the third mineralization pulse. This statement is supported by the progressive decrease (~60–~10%) in mineralization potential through time, which was constrained by an oxygen isotope study (Li et al., 2018).

A mineralization efficiency perspective on Mo cycling

During fluid boiling, isotopically heavy Mo is preferentially enriched in the vapor phase and then rises above the porphyry-style mineralization region due to its low density (Zajacz et al., 2017). Therefore, after fluid boiling, the liquid phase bearing isotopically light Mo is the predominant source of Mo for porphyry deposits. In addition, the crystallization of molybdenite favors isotopically light Mo, as predicted by Rayleigh fractionation. For these reasons, molybdenites from porphyry deposits are expected to have lighter $\delta^{98/95}\text{Mo}$ in comparison to the initial ore-forming fluids. More importantly, the degree of enrichment in isotopically light Mo in porphyry deposits is largely controlled by mineralization efficiency (Fig. 7C). Although it has not been precisely constrained, mineralization efficiency rarely reaches 100%; hence, there must be a residual fluid hosting this isotopically heavy Mo after the formation of porphyry deposits. Interestingly, high-temperature hydrothermal systems with elevated $\delta^{98/95}\text{Mo}$ (0–1.81‰) have been documented recently in Iceland (Neely et al., 2018), although molybdenite has not yet been reported.

Molybdenite and the residual fluid are expected to have contrasting recycling paths. For example, the residual fluid could either be trapped by phyllic and hypogene argillic alteration halos surrounding porphyry deposits or feed the surface hydrological system and eventually enter the oceans. Since hydrothermal alteration assemblages extend much farther than orebodies, this heavier $\delta^{98/95}\text{Mo}$ inventory could be used as an efficient proxy to locate the mineralization center. For instance, $\delta^{98/95}\text{Mo}$ values are expected to decrease from orebarren alteration regions to an area that is well mineralized (i.e., molybdenite preferentially incorporates light Mo isotopes, producing lower $\delta^{98/95}\text{Mo}$ toward the orebody). Similarly, significant isotope fractionations of Fe, Cu, and Zn are observed during ore-forming processes (Graham et al., 2004; Li et al., 2010; Zhu et al., 2018), and we suggest that their isotopic compositions could also have implications in mineral exploration.

Additionally, it is well established that porphyry deposits are unevenly distributed both in space and time (Richards, 2011). With porphyry deposits being predominately formed at convergent margins during certain periods in the geologic past (e.g., Miocene, Cretaceous), the ore-bearing margins of these continents could have a lighter $\delta^{98/95}\text{Mo}$, and a flux of residual fluid with heavier $\delta^{98/95}\text{Mo}$ into the oceans may lead to a temporal increase in Mo isotope composition of the oceans. Such a spatial and temporal fluctuation in continental and marine $\delta^{98/95}\text{Mo}$, if sufficiently large, needs to be considered when
quantifying $\delta^{98/95}$Mo of the upper continental crust, constraining Mo cycling, and using $\delta^{98/95}$Mo of marine sediments as a paleoredox proxy. An in-depth investigation of the fate of this isotopically heavy residual fluid would require mass balance calculations and numerical modeling, which is beyond the scope of this study but should be considered in future studies.

Conclusions

Decompression and cooling are inevitable outcomes of deep-sourced metalliferous magmatic fluids reaching conditions typical of porphyry-style mineralization (i.e., 1–3 km, 300°–450°C). These temperature-pressure conditions occur where water-rich fluid makes the transition from a supercritical fluid state to liquid and vapor phases and impact Mo isotope fractionation during ore formation. The short timescales of ore formation and the inefficiency of conductive cooling lead us to propose that the rapid cooling needed for efficient mineralization is facilitated by mixing hot magmatic fluid with cold meteoric water. We have demonstrated that the homogeneity of $\delta^{98/95}$Mo within single molybdenite veins and the heterogeneous $\delta^{98/95}$Mo within samples were regulated by Rayleigh fractionation. The $\delta^{98/95}$Mo of molybdenite during fluid evolution at Qulong was controlled by three separate processes: boiling, Rayleigh fractionation, and mineralization efficiency. If the fluid underwent multiple episodes of intensive boiling, lighter $\delta^{98/95}$Mo will develop over time. Rayleigh fractionation-dominated systems will show a heavier $\delta^{98/95}$Mo, and the $\delta^{98/95}$Mo will basically be invariant with low mineralization efficiency.

The preferential loss of isotopically heavy Mo through fluid boiling, the favorable incorporation of isotopically light Mo in molybdenite, and the fact that mineralization efficiency rarely reaches 100% together imply the presence of a residual fluid with heavier $\delta^{98/95}$Mo. This residual fluid could be recorded by alteration halos surrounding porphyry deposits; hence, the Mo (and potentially Fe, Cu, and Zn) isotope composition of alteration assemblages could potentially be utilized as a proxy to locate the mineralization center. Finally, due to the heterogeneous distribution of porphyry deposits in space and time, variations in mineralization efficiency and the presence of residual fluids enriched in heavy $\delta^{98/95}$Mo should be considered in future studies addressing elemental cycling.
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