Effects of EGR rates on combustion and emission characteristics in a diesel engine with n-butanol/PODE/diesel blends

Haozhong Huang\(^{a\*}\), Zhongju Li\(^a\), Wenwen Teng\(^a\), Rong Huang\(^a\), Qingsheng Liu\(^a\), Yaodong Wang\(^b\)

\(^a\) College of Mechanical Engineering, Guangxi University, Nanning 530004, China

\(^b\) Sir Joseph Swan Institute for Energy Research, Newcastle University, Newcastle Upon Tyne, NE1 7RU, UK

\(^\*\) Corresponding author: Tel.:+86-771-3232294 mail: hhz421@gxu.edu.cn (H. Huang).
Abstract

An experimental investigation is conducted on the influence of EGR (Exhaust Gas Recirculation) rates (0-40%) on the combustion and emission characteristics of n-butanol/diesel/PODE$_{3-4}$ blends at low-temperature combustion mode in diesel engine. The results show that at identical EGR rate, compared to D100 (diesel fuel), the peak values both of the mean cylinder pressure and the heat release rate of BD20 (20% butanol and 80% diesel in volume) are increased, ignition delay is extended, and the brake thermal efficiency is enhanced. Concerning BD20 blended with PODE$_{3-4}$, the ignition delay is shortened, while both the brake thermal efficiency and the combustion efficiency increase. At the EGR rate below 30%, as the EGR rate grows, the effects on emission of soot, CO and HC are not significant, while the emission of NOx is sharply reduced; when the EGR rate is above 30%, as it grows, the emissions of soot, CO, and HC drastically rise. As EGR rate grows, the total particulate matter (PM) number concentrations of four fuels firstly decline and then rise, the total PM mass concentrations keep stable firstly and then rise drastically. As the proportion of added PODE$_{3-4}$ in BD20 grows, the particle geometric mean diameters further decrease.
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1. Introduction

Diesel engines are widely applied in engineering machinery because their high compression ratio, high thermal efficiency, and excellent stability. However, the difficulty in simultaneous reduction of soot and NOx is a severe challenge for the survival of diesel engines. To meet the increasingly severe exhaust regulations, the interest of researchers in developing advanced combustion modes, including PCCI [1], RCCI [2], GCI [3], LTC [4] increased. As a promising advanced combustion mode, the great potential of LTC in addressing the trade-off between NOx and soot emissions has been proved in many studies.

In recent years, with aggravating energy consumption and challenging fuel consumption regulations, the attention is increasingly focused on exploring renewable clean alternative fuels, such as alcohols [5-7], ethers [8-10], esters [11-13], and natural gas [14,15]. As a renewable substitute for diesel, the n-butanol has drawn extensive interest owing to its prominent fuel properties [16-18] compared to ethanol and methanol. Produced from the biomass feedstock fermentation process, n-butanol is confirmed as a biomass-based renewable fuel [19-21]. Considering its high oxygen content, adding n-butanol to diesel has been proven to be effective in reducing harmful emissions, mainly the soot emissions [22]. Due to its higher latent heat, n-butanol has lower in-cylinder combustion temperature and reduced NOx emission than ethanol [23]. A decreasing trend in NOx and soot emissions was obtained with moderate EGR and high n-butanol proportion [24]. However, adding n-butanol with low cetane number and low heat value, to diesel, increases the maximum pressure rise rate (MPRR); moreover, the brake specific fuel consumption (BSFC) is also high [25, 26]. The increment in PAHs (polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon) due to the increasing of n-butanol fraction was observed [27]. Thus, for further improving the performance and emissions of a diesel engine fueled with n-butanol/diesel blends and making it more suitable for diesel engine application, seeking a potential promising substitute for diesel or altering the fuel properties is essential.
Polyoxymethylene dimethyl ethers (PODE) is a potential renewable alternative biofuel with high cetane number, oxygen content, no C-C bond, and substantial soot reduction potential. PODE\textsubscript{3-4} with the number of CH\textsubscript{2}O unit between 3 and 4, obtained by synthesizing PODE\textsubscript{2}, PODE\textsubscript{3}, and PODE\textsubscript{4} with a mass distribution of 2.553\%:88.9\%:8.48\% [28]. It has achieved mass production, so that PODE blend can be used in modern diesel engines [29]. Many investigations on PODE have been carried out, demonstrating it is a potential substitute for diesel. Adding PODE to pure diesel improves ignitability of fuel blends [30], shortens the combustion duration and enhances the combustion efficiency [31, 32]. A decreasing trend in MPRR was observed upon adding PODE\textsubscript{3-4} to butanol/diesel blends [33]. The trade-off relationship between thermal efficiency and engine noise was eliminated, and simultaneous reduction in PM and NOx with high efficiency was achieved under the multiple premixed compression ignition mode fueling gasoline/diesel/PODE blends [34]. Tong et al. [35] and Li et al. [36] obtained ultra-low smoke and NOx by using PODE as a substitute under advanced combustion mode. Liu et al. [37, 38] achieved soot-free combustion using PODE/diesel blends, and CO and HC emissions decreased dramatically at the expense of a slight increment in NOx emission. Huang et al. [39] carried out an experiment on a four-cylinder turbocharged diesel engine, proving that adding PODE to n-butanol/diesel blends led to a reduction in the total particle mass concentration and the accumulated particulate matter number concentration. In a nutshell, fuel design and advanced combustion concept are potential solutions for the high-efficiency and clean combustion.

The low-temperature combustion achieved by introducing a large proportion of EGR rate is a very effective measure to reduce the NOx emissions of diesel engines. Also, from the literature reviewed above, n-butanol and PODE have been intensively investigated as excellent potential biofuels for diesel. However, most of the available papers are focusing on the characteristic of combustion performance and the emissions of engines fueled with n-butanol/diesel blends and PODE/diesel blends. Only a few research focus on adding PODE to n-butanol/diesel blends for further
improving combustion and emissions characteristic; therefore, it is valuable to explore the effect of fueling strategies on LTC with n-butanol/PODE/diesel blends. This study evaluates the potential to achieve high efficiency with low harmful emissions, particulate emissions of a turbocharged engine with n-butanol/PODE/diesel blends over a wide range of EGR rates. The results may provide valuable insight on the effect of PODE on diesel particulate emissions and may prove to be effective in improving PM emissions characteristic.

2. Experimental facility and steps

2.1. Research engine and device

The experimental engine is a 4-cylinder, turbocharger (VGT) Light-duty vehicle diesel engine stocked with the common-rail fuel injection system. Table 1 lists the main parameters of the engine, while Fig. 1 shows the schematic diagram of the experimental apparatus.

Open-type of BOSCH ECU, ETAS INCA6.2, and Bosch second generation EFI system were used to adjust parameters such as injection pressure, timing, fuel mass, and multiple injections accurately. Additionally, the software (INCA) was used to adjust the EGR rate by altering the opening of the EGR valve. A Kistler 6052CU20 piezo-transducer installed on the cylinder top measured the heat release rate (HRR) and cylinder pressure rise rate; the data from the transducer was processed to obtain the heat release rate.

2.2. Emission measurement

A Horiba MEXA7500DEGR measurement system measured the gaseous emission samples which include NOx, CO, HC, and CO2; an AVL 415S smoke meter analyzed the soot gas opacity. Based on the specifications of the Horiba, the quantity of NOx was measured using a chemiluminescent detector (CLD). CO was measured by a non-dispersive infrared analyzer (NDIR), and the HC amount was obtained using a flame ionization detector (FID). The CO2 volume concentrations of the intake port and exhaust manifold were both measured to define the EGR rate.
The particle size distribution, number concentration, and particle mass concentration were measured using the fast particle analyzer DMS500, which showed that the particles number/size spectrum varied from 5 to 1000 nm.

2.3. Fuels

In this study, four different types of fuels, i.e., pure diesel (D100), BD20, BDP10, and BDP20, were tested. Among them, the pure diesel was used as the primary reference fuel. BD20 was obtained by blending 20% n-butanol in diesel (v/v); BDP10 and BDP20 were prepared by blending 10% or 20% PODE3-4 into BD20 (v/v), respectively. BDP20 was chosen because of the great mutual solubility of 20% PODE with BD20 at 20 °C. Table 2 lists the main properties of the three kinds of basic fuels; Table 3 lists the components and main properties of the blending fuels.

2.4. Operation conditions and procedure overview

After a 15 minutes warm-up, the engine speed was adjusted to 1600 rpm and hold-onto. Without pilot-injection, about 25.25 mg fuel was injected into the cylinder at 7 deg BTDC (Before Top Dead Center) per engine cycle at 120 MPa injection pressure, and the brake mean effective pressure was 0.8 MPa (about 40% engine load) during the whole engine test. The other important parameters, i.e., intake pressure, intake temperature, and cooling water temperature were kept constant at 1.4 bar, 30±2 °C, and 85±3 °C, respectively. To get a detailed investigation of the influence of EGR rate on the engine performance and emission level in the multi-cylinder single injection CI engine with diesel/n-butanol/PODE3-4 blending fuels, an EGR sweep (from 0 to 40%) was performed. Table 4 lists the detailed engine operation conditions.

3. Test data and discussions

3.1 Impact of EGR rate on engine performances of blends regarding low-temperature combustion

Figure 2 compares the curves of cylinder pressure and heat release rate for the four fuels at varied EGR rates. When blending diesel with n-butanol, peak values of both the cylinder pressure and the heat release rate rise, and the starting point of heat release is delayed. The main reason is that n-butanol has a relatively low cetane
number while the latent heat of evaporation is relatively large; the delayed ignition
time delays the blending between fuel and air, so that more homogeneous blended
mixture can form. Thus, the ratio of premixed combustion increases and peaks of both
the heat release and the cylinder pressure rise. When blending BD20 with PODE$_{3-4}$,
PODE$_{3-4}$ may advance the heat release starting point, the peak value of heat release
moves forward, and the peak of mean cylinder pressure rises. PODE$_{3-4}$ has higher
cetane number and volatility than n-butanol; therefore, adding PODE$_{3-4}$ to BD20
increases the cetane number of the blend, enhances the quality of air-fuel mixture,
shortens the ignition delay, and brings forward the starting point of combustion heat
release and the peak of heat release. After adding PODE, the combustion chemical
reaction rate grows, more fuel burns per unit of time, and the mean cylinder pressure
becomes relatively large. Compared with n-butanol, the decline of cylinder pressure
due to the piston down-stroke at a large EGR rate can be avoided.

Figure 3(a) compares the ignition delay of the four fuels at varied EGR rates. Multiple
factors, such as compression temperature and pressure in engine operation, as well as
fuel characteristics, can affect the ignition delay. As the EGR rate grows, both the
cylinder pressure and temperature reduce, inducing a delay in the starting point of
heat release and extending the ignition delay. Due to the large evaporative latent heat
of n-butanol, the fuel absorbs a significant amount of heat during evaporation, so that
the temperature in cylinder reduces. Meanwhile, n-butanol has the lowest cetane
number while its self-ignition point is high; this delays the starting point of heat
release, and therefore the ignition delay of BD20 is the longest. Moreover, PODE$_{3-4}$
has high cetane number; the addition of PODE$_{3-4}$ shortens the ignition delay, and as
the proportion of PODE$_{3-4}$ grows, the ignition delay further reduces.

Figure 3(b) shows the maximum pressure rise rates of the four fuels at varied EGR
rate. As the EGR rate grows, the maximum pressure rise rate reduces because as EGR
rate grows, intake oxygen concentration declines, and the combustion chemical
reaction rate of the fuel reduces. At a relatively small EGR rate (<25%), BD20 has the
highest MPRR. The low cetane number of n-butanol, together with a long ignition
delay, increases the homogeneous mixture gas formed before the ignition, and increases the ratio of premixed combustion. When blending BD20 with PODE$_{3-4}$, the ignitability of fuel improves, the ignition delay shortens, the ratio of premixed combustion reduces, and therefore the maximum pressure rise rate declines. When the EGR rate further grows, the delay of the ignition time is too long; then the piston descends to the lowest level and the volume of the combustion chamber thus enlarges, so the pressure rise rate drops sharply.

Figure 3(c) shows the relationship between combustion durations and EGR rates. The physicochemical properties of the fuel, ambient temperature, and ambient pressure affect the duration of combustion. From the figure, blending diesel with n-butanol can reduce the combustion duration because of the relatively high volatility of n-butanol that would ease the mixing of fuel and air, while the oxygen in the n-butanol molecule can facilitate the combustion. Because of the even better volatility and higher flammability of PODE$_{3-4}$, adding PODE$_{3-4}$ to BD20 may increase the fuel-air mixing rate and the chemical reaction rate, and the combustion duration further reduces.

Figure 3(d) shows the relationship between brake thermal efficiencies at varied EGR rates. The brake thermal efficiency reduces with the growth of the EGR rate. As EGR rate grows, the inert gas content in cylinder rises, the fresh charging amount reduces, the cylinder combustion temperature declines, so the combustion heat release process of fuel is hindered, and the center of combustion is far away from the top dead center (TDC). At medium or small EGR rate (0-30%), due to the oxygen content in the n-butanol molecule and its good volatility, the brake thermal efficiency of BD20 is better than that of D100. Furthermore, the oxygen content is greater, the volatility is better, and the flammability is higher than that of BD20; therefore, brake thermal efficiencies of BDP10 and BDP20 further increase. When EGR rate further grows, the thermal efficiency of blends slightly differs from that of pure diesel because the excess air coefficient is too low at a large EGR rate, and EGR rate affects the thermal efficiency far more than the different fuel properties.

Figure 3(e) shows the changes in the relationship among the combustion efficiencies
and EGR rates. For medium or low EGR rate (0-30%), as the EGR rate grows, there is no significant difference in the combustion efficiencies and they keep relatively high values. When EGR rate further grows (>30%), the combustion efficiency drastically drops. Because at medium or low EGR rate, the fuels have very small emissions of soot, CO, and HC (see Figs. 4(b)-4(d)); the combustion losses reduce, so the combustion efficiencies keep high. At large EGR rate, the emissions drastically rise (see Figs. 4(b)-4(d)) and this may deteriorate the combustion. Because of the highest emissions of CO and HC of BD20, it has the lowest combustion efficiency. After adding PODE$_{3-4}$ to BD20, the combustion efficiency gets better, and the combustion efficiency rises with the increase of the proportion of PODE$_{3-4}$. Figure 3(f) shows the changes in the relationship between brake specific fuel consumptions and EGR rates. Increased EGR rate results in increased residual gas in the cylinder, deteriorated combustion and thus increased brake specific fuel consumption. Because the heat value is lower in the n-butanol than diesel, BD20 has a higher brake specific fuel consumption. Besides, the heat amount of PODE$_{3-4}$ is even lower than that of n-butanol, so the brake specific fuel consumption is further increased by adding PODE$_{3-4}$ to BD20.

3.2 Impact of EGR rate on regular emission characteristics of blends regarding low-temperature combustion

Figure 4(a) and Figure 4(b) show the emissions of NOx and soot of four fuels at varied EGR rates. From the charts, at medium or low EGR rate (<30%), as the EGR rate grows, the emission of NOx significantly reduces while that of soot keeps relatively small. At relatively large EGR rate (>30%), as EGR rate grows, the emission of NOx keeps relatively low while that of soot drastically increases. In fact, at medium or low EGR rate, excess air coefficient in the cylinder is relatively large, so the oxygen content is adequate and the combustion temperature in cylinder is relatively high. This condition eases the oxidation of soot and generation of NOx; at relatively large EGR rates, the low excess air coefficient deteriorates the combustion increasing the emission of soot. At the same EGR rate, the high volatility of n-butanol
and PODE\textsubscript{3-4} can facilitate the mixing of fuel and air. Moreover, a high oxygen content can facilitate the combustion, so the emissions of NO\textsubscript{x} when using BD20, BDP10, and BDP20 are higher than those of D100, while that of soot is lower. At the EGR rate of 40%, compared with D100, the use of BD20 and BDP20 contributes to a reduction of soot emission about 44% and 62.7%, respectively.

Figure 4(c) and Figure 4(d) show the changes in the relationship between emissions of CO and HC of the four fuels at varied EGR rates. From Figure 4(c) and Figure 4(d), the variation law of CO emission at different EGR rates is similar to that of HC emission. At EGR rate <30%, the emissions of CO and HC keep relatively low; increasing the EGR rate (>30%), the emissions of CO and HC drastically rise. In fact, at medium or low EGR rate, the excess air coefficient in the cylinder is relatively large, the oxygen concentration is adequate, the excessively concentrated region in the cylinder decreases, and the combustion temperature is relatively high, thus easing the oxidation of CO and HC. When EGR rate further grows (>30%), the fresh charging amount reduces, inert gases increase, and the combustion temperature in the cylinder reduces preventing the oxidation of CO and HC. At relatively large EGR rate, n-butanol has low cetane number low, the ignition delay of BD20 is too long, and the fuel-air mixture is excessively diluted. Therefore, the high-temperature combustion process slows, combustion temperature reduces, and great amount of CO and HC generates. Because the high cetane value and high oxygen content that characterize PODE\textsubscript{3-4}, its addition to BD20 improves the flammability of BDP10 and BDP20. Therefore, the emissions of CO and HC significantly reduce.

3.3 Impact of EGR rate on particulate matter emission characteristics of blends regarding low-temperature combustion

Figure 5 shows the change curves of particle size distributions for the four fuels at varied EGR rates. When the EGR rate is below 20%, the particulate matters mainly behave as nucleation particle distribution; above 30%, the particle size mainly manifests as accumulation particle size distribution. The main reason is that at small EGR rate, the high mean cylinder temperature is beneficial in oxidizing of large-size
particles into small-size nucleation particles so that the peak value of nucleation particle number concentration increases. Nevertheless, the further growth of EGR rate rapidly increases soot and HC (see Figs. 4(b) and 4(d)). Meanwhile, the volume of residual gases in the cylinder that contains multiple unburnt HC compounds, sulfates, and primary carbon particles increases, easing the rapid generation of accumulation particles.

Figure 6(a) shows the changes in the relationship between total particle number concentrations and EGR rates. As the EGR rate grows, the total particle number concentrations decline first and then rise, reaching their minimum values at the EGR rate of about 25%. Concerning EGR rate within the 0-25% range, as the EGR rate grows, the reduction of the peak values of nucleation particle number concentration results in the decline of total particle number concentrations. When EGR rate further grows, the increase of peak values of accumulation particle number concentration causes the total particle number concentrations rise.

Figure 6(b) shows the changes in the relationship between total particle mass concentrations and EGR rates. As EGR rate grows, the trends of total particle mass concentrations of the fuels are similar to those of soot emissions, keeping stable at first and then rising rapidly. The reason is that particle number and particle size determine the total particle mass concentration; the greater the number and the larger, the greater the total particle mass concentration. At small EGR rate, the nucleation particle number concentration is relatively high, but has small size (see Fig. 7), so the largest particles mainly affect the total particle mass concentration.

Figure 7 shows the changes in the relationship between geometric mean particle diameters of four different fuels at varied EGR rates. As the EGR rate grows, the geometric mean particle diameters of the fuels vary slowly at first and then rise rapidly. In fact, at large EGR rate, the insufficient oxygen concentration deteriorates the combustion, and carbon soot increases causing the increase of the biggest particles. When blending diesel with n-butanol, the particles geometric mean diameter reduces. Moreover, when adding PODE\textsubscript{3-4} to BD20 by 20%, the particles geometric
mean diameter further decreases because of the high volatility of PODE$_{3-4}$; PODE$_{3-4}$ is beneficial to well mixing of fuel and air, and thus more homogeneous mixture is formed; at the same time, the oxygen content in n-butanol and PODE$_{3-4}$ molecules can facilitate the oxidation of large-size particles into small-size particles.

Figure 8 shows the changes in the relationship between the number concentrations of nucleation particle and accumulation particle of four fuels at varied EGR rates. The nucleation particle number concentrations drop rapidly at first and then tend to flatten with the growth of the EGR rate, while the accumulation particle number concentrations vary slightly at first and then rise rapidly. The main reason is that as the EGR rate grows, the cylinder temperature declines, which restrains the generation of nucleation particles and facilitates the increase of accumulation particle number. At small EGR rate, diesel blended with n-butanol can reduce the nucleation particle number concentrations. PODE$_{3-4}$ is added to the blend with BD20 by 10%. Because its high volatility and high oxygen content, PODE$_{3-4}$ can help in improving the anoxic situation of blends in the locally excessively concentrated regions, reducing the emissions of fine HC particles and precursors of nucleation particles, and further decreasing nucleation particle number concentrations. When blending fuel with 20% PODE$_{3-4}$, the oxygen content concentration is even higher in the fuel, which is beneficial in the oxidation of large-size particles in late combustion stage, causing the growth of the number of small-size particles and nucleation particle number concentrations. However, the effect of the blend on accumulation particle number concentration is not significant.

Figure 9 shows the particulate number concentrations for various diameter ranges at various EGR rates. From the figures, at medium or low EGR rates (<20%) small-size particles (sub-50nm) dominate the emission of particles, whereas the effect of accumulation particles (see Fig. 5) prevails at large EGR rates (>30%). Figure 10 shows the ratio of small-size particle (sub-25nm) number concentration to total number concentration for each of the fuels. At the EGR rate of 0% or 20%, the ratio of the small-size particle (sub-25nm) number concentration to total particle number
concentration is very large. At the EGR rate of 30% or 40%, the ratio of small-size particle number concentration to total particle number concentration significantly reduces because at medium or low EGR rates the emissions of soot and HC are low (see Fig. 4(b) and Fig. 4(d)), and the high oxygen concentration boosts the oxidation of large-size particles during the combustion. Consequently, the ratio of small-size particle number concentration increases. At large EGR rates, the emissions of soot and HC deteriorate (see Fig. 4(b) and Fig. 4(d)) and the in-cylinder temperature also reduces, which restrains the oxidation of large-size particles (see Fig. 9).

4. Conclusions

This study mainly investigates the combustion and emission characteristics of four fuels (D100, BD20, BDP10, and BDP20) regarding the low-temperature combustion mode of a CI engine at varied EGR rates under medium engine loads. The following conclusions can be drawn.

1. At the same EGR rate, the comparison between D100 and BD20 fuels highlights that, for BD20, the peak values of mean cylinder pressure and heat release rate increase, ignition delay is extended, and combustion efficiency reduces. When adding PODE$_{3,4}$ to BD20, the ignition delay shortens, the peak values of heat release and mean cylinder pressure rise, the brake thermal efficiency and the combustion efficiency increase, and the specific fuel consumption rises.

2. At EGR rate lower than 30%, as the EGR rate grows, the effects on the emissions of soot, CO, and HC are not significant, while the emission of NOx drops sharply. Moreover, at EGR rate larger than 30%, as the EGR rate grows, the emissions of soot, CO, and HC rise rapidly. Compared with D100, for BD20 the emissions of CO, HC, and NOx rise, while that of soot decreases significantly. Finally, when adding PODE$_{3,4}$ to BD20, the emissions of soot, CO, and HC decline.

3. As EGR rate grows, the total particulate number concentrations of the four fuels decline at first and then rise, the total particle mass concentrations keep stable at first and then increase sharply, and the geometric mean diameters of particles change.
slowly at first and then rise rapidly. Compared with D100, the peak value of the nucleation particle number concentration of BD20 declines and the geometric mean diameter of particles reduces. The addition of PODE$_{3-4}$ to BD20 causes the peak value of the concentration of nucleation particle number decline at first and then rise, while the geometric mean diameter of particles further reduces. At medium or low EGR rate, the ratio of small-size particle (sub-25 nm) number concentration to total particle number concentration is significant for each of the four fuels. At large EGR rate, the ratio of small-size particle (sub-25 nm) number concentration to total particle number concentration reduces significantly.
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Table 1 Technical specifications of test engine.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of cylinders</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cylinder diameter (mm)</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of valves</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke (mm)</td>
<td>88.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displacement (L)</td>
<td>1.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum torque (N.m)</td>
<td>286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compression ratio</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated power (kW)/speed (r/min)</td>
<td>100/4000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 Detail physical and chemical properties of test fuels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Diesel&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>n-butanol&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>PODE&lt;sub&gt;3-4&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Molecular formula</td>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;12&lt;/sub&gt;-C&lt;sub&gt;25&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>C&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;H&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt;O</td>
<td>CH&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;O(CH&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O)&lt;sub&gt;n&lt;/sub&gt;CH&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cetane number</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>78.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research octane number</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen content (%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21.62</td>
<td>46.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (g/mL)</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>1.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low heat value (MJ/kg)</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>19.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boiling point (°C)</td>
<td>180-360</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>156.202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinematic viscosity (mm&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;.s&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt; @ 20°C)</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Source: ASTM D975.

<sup>b</sup> Source: Name [40].

<sup>c</sup> Source: Name [31].
Table 3 Component of blend fuels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component volume percentage</th>
<th>Cetane number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Component</td>
<td>diesel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BD20</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDP10</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDP20</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ taken from Ref. [39]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speed (rpm)</td>
<td>1600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMEP (MPa)</td>
<td>0.8 (45% load)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel injection (mg/hug)</td>
<td>25.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection pressure (MPa)</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injections</td>
<td>Single</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection time (°CA BTDC)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGR ratio (%)</td>
<td>0-40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake pressure (bar)</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake temperature (°C)</td>
<td>30±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coolant temperature (°C)</td>
<td>85±3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>