Syneruptive incorporation of martian surface sulphur in the nakhlite lava flows revealed by S and Os isotopes and highly siderophile elements: implication for mantle sources in Mars
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Abstract

Martian lava flows likely acquired S-rich material from the regolith during their emplacement on the planet’s surface. We investigated five of the twenty known nakhlites (Nakhla, Lafayette, Miller Range (MIL) 090032, Yamato 000593, and Yamato 000749) to determine whether these lavas show evidence of regolith assimilation, and to constrain the potential implications that this process has on chemical tracing of martian mantle source(s). To establish the proportionate influence of atmospheric, hydrothermal, and volcanic processes on nakhlite isotopic systematics we obtained in situ sulphur isotope data ($\Delta^{33}S$ and $\delta^{34}S$) for sulphide grains (pyrrhotite and pyrite) in all five nakhlite samples. For Nakhla, Lafayette, and MIL 090032, these data are integrated with highly siderophile element (HSE) abundances and Os-isotope compositions, as well as textural information constrained prior to isotopic analysis. This work thereby provides the first Re-Os isotope systematics for two different nakhlites, and also the first Re-Os isotope data for martian sample for which detailed petrographic information was constrained prior to digestion.

We report the largest variation in $\delta^{34}S$ yet found in martian meteorites ($-13.20\%e$ to $+15.16\%e$). The relatively positive $\Delta^{33}S$ and $\delta^{34}S$ values of MIL 090032 ($\delta^{34}S = +10.54 \pm 0.09\%e; \Delta^{33}S = -0.67 \pm 0.10\%e$) indicate this meteorite assimilated sulphur affected by UV-photochemistry. In contrast, the strongly negative values of Lafayette ($\delta^{34}S = -10.76 \pm 0.14\%e; \Delta^{33}S = -0.09 \pm 0.12\%e$) are indicative of hydrothermal processes on Mars. Nakhla, Yamato 000593, and Yamato 000749 sulphides have a narrower range of sulphur isotope compositions ($\Delta^{33}S$ and $\delta^{34}S \sim 0$) that is consistent with no assimilation of martian surface materials during lava flow emplacement. Consequently we used this second group of $\Delta^{33}S$ values to approximate the $\Delta^{33}S$ of the nakhlite source, yielding a $\Delta^{33}S$ value of $-0.1\%e$.

Nakhlite HSE patterns result from a sulphide-saturated melt where Ru-Os-Ir alloys/sulphide were likely crystallized during earlier phases of magmatic processing in Mars to result in the fractionated HSE patterns of the nakhlites. Our data, alongside a synthesis of previously published data, suggest assimilation of an enriched component to the primary nakhlite melt, potentially a late-stage crystallization cumulate from the martian magma ocean stage. In the context of this model, and within large
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1. INTRODUCTION

Magmas represent windows to comprehend the interior evolution and geochemical diversity of terrestrial planets. Magmatic activity and degassing are key processes for transferring sulphur, along with other elements, from the interior to the surface during the evolution of a planetary body. In the case of Mars, low water activity, low temperatures, and the lack of plate tectonics has favoured the preservation of a sulphur-rich regolith during the last ~2 billion years (King and McLennan, 2010). In fact, the martian regolith contains concentrations of SO₂ up to 8 wt.%(Clark et al., 1976; Foley et al., 2003).

The terrestrial and martian mantles have been reported to contain similar and chondrite-relative highly siderophile elements (HSE; Os, Ir, Ru, Rh, Pt, Pd, Au, and Re) abundances (Birck and Allegre, 1994; Warren and Kallemyen, 1996; Brandon et al., 2000, 2012; Dale et al., 2012; Tait and Day, 2018), which has important implications for accretion theory and astrophysical modelling of Solar System evolution (e.g., Bottke et al., 2010). However, sulphur abundance estimates for the martian mantle range between 400 and 2200 ppm (Wang and Becker, 2017 and references therein) – much higher than the Earth’s mantle (around 250 ppm; McDonough and Sun, 1995). The aim of this study was to use rhenium-osmium (Re-Os) isotope systematics and HSE abundances, alongside S-isotope systematics, to test whether the nakhlite group of martian meteorites preserve robust isotopic information from the martian interior (Morgan, 1986; Shirey and Walker, 1998; Righter et al., 2000; McSween and Huss, 2010; Walker, 2016).

Martian meteorites are the only available rocks from the surface of Mars. These meteorites can be divided into shergottites (basalts), nakhlites (clinopyroxene-rich rocks), and chassignites (olivine cumulates), with a few other exceptional meteorites. Berkley et al. (1980), Treiman (1986, 2005), and Bridges and Grady (2000) argued that nakhlite petrographic features are consistent with this suite of meteorites sampling a single cumulate pile. However, recent ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar geochronology suggests that their crystallization ages differ by ~93 Ma, consistent with the nakhlites being derived from a series of lava flows or sills that were emplaced between ~1416 and 1322 Ma, probably from the same source (Cohen et al., 2017; Udry and Day, 2018). In this manuscript, we refer to the nakhlites as a series of lava flows.

Franz et al. (2014) used a combination of bulk rock sulphur analyses (δ³²S, Δ³⁴S, Δ³³S) and in situ pyrrhotite mineral analyses (δ³⁴S, Δ³³S) to determine sulphur isotope anomalies in martian meteorites. These authors determined the Δ³³S of the shergottite mantle source to be 0.009‰, based on the mean value of 28 meteorites. This value closely corresponds to the value measured for the shergottite Yamato (Y)-980459, which reportedly retains the most reliable signatures of the martian mantle (e.g., Usui et al., 2012). However, Franz et al. (2014) were unable to calculate a robust value for the nakhlite mantle source region, as in situ secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) analyses of sulphide grains were acquired from only two of the nakhlites (Nakhla and Miller Range (MIL) 03346) and their Δ³⁴S values varied widely (0.06 ± 0.21‰ and −0.72 ± 0.13‰, respectively). This variation was reported to have been caused by sulphate assimilation during melt eruption, affecting subsequent pyrrhotite crystallization. Franz et al. (2014) argued that sulphides and sulphates in the martian meteorites can thus be affected by assimilation of sulphur derived from atmospheric UV photochemical reactions, which was deposited at the surface as oxidized sulphur and incorporated in the martian regolith. This data is in agreement with other previously published sulphur isotope data for the nakhlites, including evidence for mass independent fractionation (MIF), which suggests assimilation of sulphur occurred at some point during nakhlite formation (e.g., Farquhar et al., 2000, 2007; Greenwood et al., 2000a,b; Dottin III et al., 2018).

These sulphur isotope systematics can be used to discern if the HSE abundances in nakhlite magmas are affected by crustal assimilation, or if they represent Mars mantle reservoirs. The HSE systematics of martian meteorites have been used to infer chondritic relative abundances of these elements in the martian mantle, and to thereby trace late-accretion of broadly chondritic materials to Mars after the last major magma ocean phase at ~4.5 Ga (e.g., Brandon et al., 2000, 2012; Riches et al., 2011; Tait and Day, 2018). Crucially, the HSEs exhibit chalcophile element behaviour when metal is absent from the mineralogical assemblage, preferring to partition into sulphides (Day et al., 2016, and references therein), which is important in terms of HSE partitioning.

Rhenium-Os isotopic data are available for many shergottites and one chassignite, but with respect to the nakhlite meteorites there are only two analyses of Nakhla (Brandon et al., 2000; Dale et al., 2012). This lack of published data is, in part, due to the low abundance of HSE (0.1–2 ppb or less) in the nakhlites (Treiman, 1986; Jones et al., 2003). In addition, a large age correction needs to be applied (1.3 Ga since nakhlite crystallization), and the effects of crustal assimilation within Nakhla are not clear (McCubbin et al., 2013; Franz et al., 2014; Udry and Day, 2018). Therefore, Nakhla may not be a reliable indicator of the nakhlite’s true Os-isotopic mantle source composition. To address these challenges, we have expanded the nakhlite Re-Os isotope and HSE dataset to include Lafayette and one of the paired Miller Range nakhlites (MIL...
These two meteorites are potentially highly informative as they plot at the two extremes of S-isotope composition for the nakhlites (Farquhar et al., 2000; Greenwood et al., 2000a,b; Franz et al., 2014). We also analysed a fraction of Nakhl. This nakhlite is known to have S-isotope composition of aggregated sulphides intermediate to the Miller Range and Lafayette meteorites. Sulphur isotope ($\Delta^{33}S$ and $\delta^{34}S$) data were acquired from sulphide grains in Nakhl, Lafayette, MIL 090032, Y-000749, and Y-000593 to determine the amount of crustal assimilation that has occurred in each meteorite during eruption, and to define the possible $\Delta^{33}S$ range for the nakhlite mantle source.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1. Sample preparation and chemical mapping

Chips were used for Re-Os isotopic and HSE analysis, while thin sections were used for S-isotope analyses. The chips (~0.5 g) were from Nakhl (BM.191325), Lafayette (BM.1979755), and MIL 090032 (100); thin sections were from Nakhl (BM.191326#2), Lafayette (BM.1979755), MIL 090032 (28), Y-000593 (37), and Y-000749 (59). Samples were obtained from the Natural History Museum of London (Nakhl, Lafayette), NASA Johnson Space Center (MIL 090032), and JAXA (Y-000593, Y-000749). All samples were from the interior portions of the meteorite, lacking any fusion crust.

We used a novel methodology in which petrographic information was constrained, for the first time, prior to destruction of that same sample volume via acid digestion. This approach provides mineralogical context to inform our interpretations of HSE-abundance and Re-Os isotopic data. To achieve this, we polished the sample chips of Nakhla (0.4 g) and Lafayette (0.3 g) using disks of SiC and 0.3 $\mu$m $\mathrm{Al}_2\mathrm{O}_3$, then rinsed with MQ H2O. The procedure was undertaken to remove any potential contamination to the chips’ surface from earlier cutting and processing by the meteorite curators. As the final step of this treatment involved repeated rinsing in MQ H2O and visual inspection, we believe that any potential contamination of the sample by $\mathrm{Al}_2\mathrm{O}_3$ residue was removed. However, it remains possible that microscopic traces of $\mathrm{Al}_2\mathrm{O}_3$ could introduce Re, very small amounts of radiogenic Os, and potentially perturb the HSE and Os-isotopic systematics, in which case we would anticipate variable chondrite-relative HSE patterns for the analysed nakhlites. The MIL 090032 chip (0.5 g) had a flat surface and did not require polishing. These chips were not subject to carbon coating during the acquisition of high spatial resolution images and compositional mapping. Corresponding thin sections for each of the nakhlites used in this work were carbon coated to a thickness of 20–25 nm for compositional mapping by secondary electron microscopy prior to ion probe work.

A Zeiss field-emission Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM), housed in the Imaging Spectroscopy and Analysis Centre of the University of Glasgow, was used to characterise thin-sections and the flat side of the prepared chips. Operating conditions were: 8.5 mm working distance, 2.15 nA beam current, and 20 kV accelerating voltage. Acquired X-ray spectra were calibrated using mineral standards. Backscattered electron (BSE) images and energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) X-ray element maps (for Si, Mg, Fe, Ca) were obtained for the chips lacking carbon coating with the SEM operated in low vacuum. Backscattered electron images and EDS X-ray spectra were generated for carbon coated thin sections of Nakhl, Lafayette, MIL 090032, Y-000749, and Y-000593 with the SEM operated at high vacuum. Of particular interest were the sulphides (pyrrhotite/pyrite). Every recognized sulphide on the exposed surface was imaged and quantitatively chemically analysed to determine its mineralogy (see Supplementary Materials for further details).

2.2. Sulphur isotope systematics

To obtain the desired spatial resolution during in situ analysis of pyrrhotite and pyrite for $\delta^{34}S$, $\delta^{33}S$, and $\Delta^{33}S$, five polished thin-sections (one each of Nakhl, Lafayette, MIL 090032, Y-000749, and Y-000593) were analysed via SIMS. Samples were cleaned with ethanol and coated with gold to a thickness of ~20 nm, to permit conductivity, before loading into the sample chamber of the ion probe.

Sulphur isotope compositions were measured on the Cameca IMS 1280 ion microprobe (SIMS) at the Centre de Recherches Pétrographiques et Géochimiques (CRPG) in Nancy (France) by simultaneous measurements of $^{32}S$, $^{33}S$, and $^{34}S$ in multicollection mode with three off-axis Faraday cups (FCs) in slit 2 mode that allows for a mass resolution $M/\Delta M = 5000$. The FCs were intercalibrated before the analytical session to determine their relative yields. Samples were sputtered with a Cs$^+$ primary beam of 3-nA intensity, accelerated at 13 kV and focused on a spot of ~15 $\mu$m diameter. Pyrite, pyrrhotite and galena standards were used to determine the instrumental mass fractionation (IMF) and the reference mass instrumental law (allowing $\Delta^{33}S$ to be calculated). Typical $^{32}S$ count rates were between ~1.2 × 10$^3$ and 1.5 × 10$^3$ cps, depending on the sulphide standard analysed. A typical analysis consisted of 2 min of pre-sputtering with a 20 $\mu$m raster, followed by data acquisition for 40 cycles of 5 s each. Faraday cup backgrounds were measured during the pre-sputtering before each analysis and then used for correcting the data. Automatic mass and transfer deflector centerings were implemented in the analysis routine to ensure reproducible analytical conditions during the session. Each measurement takes around 7 min per spot. Typical ±2σ standard errors achieved under these conditions were ~0.1–0.3% for $\delta^{34}S$, ~0.1–0.2% for $\delta^{33}S$, and ~0.1–0.25% for $\Delta^{33}S$.

2.3. Analytical procedure for Os-isotope systematics and HSE

Nakhla, Lafayette, and MIL 090032 were analysed for HSE abundances and Re-Os isotope compositions. Textural characterisation of each sample fragment was retained prior to destruction of the same material fraction. All samples were weighed before and after crushing so that any loss of sample during this process could be quantified. The HSE
chemical separation for Nakhla and Lafayette was conducted in ultra-clean laboratories at the Durham Geochronology Centre (class 100), Durham University (see Supplementary Materials for further details). We used a mixed $^{190}$Os/$^{191}$Ir–$^{99}$Ru–$^{194}$Pt–$^{106}$Pd–$^{185}$Re basalt spike for Nakhla and Lafayette. This spike was selected because we anticipated fractionated HSE in the nakhlites relative to chondrite or peridotite-like HSE abundances; the basalt spike has high Re/Os thereby ensuring accurate spiking. The Total Procedural Blank (TPB) and sample digestions were processed in a high pressure asher and run at 270°C for 18 h to liberate the HSE from sample powders and to achieve sample-spark equilibration. Following this digestion step, extraction of Os from the solution was performed using a chloroform solvent extraction approach (after Cohen and Waters, 1996). Osmium was then back-extracted into HBr, and was purified via microdistillation (cf., Pearson and Woodland, 2000; Puchtel et al., 2008; Chu et al., 2015; Supplementary Materials).

MIL 090032 was analysed in the laboratories at the Freie Universität Berlin following similar laboratory processing protocols to those of Durham University. The polished surface of the sample chip was cleaned with some ethanol but not further abraded because the surface appeared porous and the chip fragile. Afterwards the chip was powdered in an agate mill. Sample digestion and chemical separation was conducted following the protocol of Fischer-Gödde et al. (2010, 2011). To remove Zr an additional clean-up step was applied for Pd following the protocol of Chu et al. (2015). Acquisition of $^{187}$Os/$^{188}$Os for this one sample fragment was unsuccessful.

The HSE were measured using an Element XR instrument (Berlin) and Element 2 (Durham). The sample was introduced via a conventional Scott-type glass spray chamber. An Aridus I membrane desolvation system was used for HSE at Berlin. Highly siderophile element signals were introduced via a conventional Scott-type glass spray chamber at Berlin and Durham, respectively, and MIL 090032 was analysed in the laboratories at the University of California (class 100), Durham University (see Supplementary Materials ).

The Total Procedural Blank (TPB) and sample digestions were processed in a high pressure asher and run at 270°C for 18 h to liberate the HSE from sample powders and to achieve sample-spark equilibration. Following this digestion step, extraction of Os from the solution was performed using a chloroform solvent extraction approach (after Cohen and Waters, 1996). Osmium was then back-extracted into HBr, and was purified via microdistillation (cf., Pearson and Woodland, 2000; Puchtel et al., 2008; Chu et al., 2015; Supplementary Materials).

3. RESULTS

3.1. Textural characterization of the chips

The Nakhla chip (Fig. 1a) was characterized by a high proportion of mostly euhedral augite (~80%; 1–1.5 mm), and a lesser volume of euhedral to subhedral olivine (~8%; 0.3–1 mm in maximum dimension) – extensive areas of the chip had no olivine crystals. Plagioclase made up ~5 vol.% of the sample, while mesostasis represented ~7 vol.% Texturally, a low degree (~10%) of fracturing was observed among the minerals. A single 0.3 mm long sulphate grain was present in a Si-rich area of the chip (Fig. 1a). Other smaller (<0.1 mm) sulphates and sulphides were present throughout the chip.

The Lafayette chip (Fig. 1b) was characterized by a higher proportion of euhedral to subhedral olivine (~15%; 200–800 μm crystal size) and a lower volumetric percentage of pyroxene (~75%; 500–1800 μm in maximum dimension) relative to the Nakhla chip; mesostasis was ~10 vol.%. Pyroxene was mostly subhedral and in some cases contained olivine inclusions of <50 μm. The chip was characterized by a low degree (<10%) of fracture and several small (<0.1 mm) sulphates and sulphides.

The MIL 090032 chip (Fig. 1c) was characterized by a high proportion of mostly euhedral pyroxene (~80%; 1000–1300 μm crystal sizes), with a minor amount of olivine (~10%; generally 500–700 μm in maximum dimension) and a magnetite/olivine-rich mesostasis (~10%) that contains numerous microcrystals (<5 μm) of olivine. The chip shows a low degree (~15%) of fracturing. In some areas, both olivine and pyroxene contained glassy inclusions of 30 μm in maximum dimension. Olivine was distributed heterogeneously and it occurred only in one area.

Our observations of these nakhlite chips are in agreement with previous petrographic observations of thin sections and chips of Nakhla (Treiman, 1990; Lentz et al., 1999; Corrigan et al., 2015; Udry and Day, 2018). Lafayette (Bunch and Reid, 1975; Boctor et al., 1976; Treiman et al., 1993; Corrigan et al., 2015; Udry and Day, 2018), and MIL 090032 (Day et al., 2005, 2006; Stopar et al., 2005; Udry et al., 2012; Corrigan et al., 2015; Udry and Day, 2018). However, the Nakhla chip studied here differs from previous observations in hosting a large sulphate grain, supporting heterogeneously distributed HSE-carrying trace phases in nakhlites.

3.2. Sulphur isotopes

Pyrrhotite and pyrite grains were identified adjacent to mesostasis (glass) in each thin section, and also occur as inclusions within augite (Fig. 2). These sulphides differ in
size between meteorites: Nakhla 20–40 µm; Lafayette 20–60 µm; MIL 090032 10–60 µm; Y-000593 50–250 µm; and Y-000749 15–40 µm. The sulphide grains are relatively pure, containing few inclusions, or exsolved blebs/lamellae, of other phases. Sulphides in the five nakhlites analysed here show significant variation in sulphur isotopic composition, with $\Delta^{33}S$ from −0.76 to 0.04‰ and $\delta^{34}S$ from −13.2 to 15.1‰ (Table 1). Sulphur isotopes analysed from five pyrrhotite grains in Nakhla give a range of $\Delta^{33}S$ from −0.12 to 0.02‰, with an average of $−0.05 \pm 0.08‰$, and an average $\delta^{34}S$ of $−1.59 \pm 0.10‰$. These values are in agreement with $\Delta^{33}S = −0.09‰$ analysed via acid extraction and with $\delta^{34}S$ of $−1.6‰$ analysed via chrome reducible sulphur extraction in sulphides from Nakhla by Farquhar et al. (2007). However, our data show a slightly more negative result relative to the data of Franz et al. (2014) (mean $\Delta^{33}S = 0.08‰$ with some grains as low as $−0.33‰$, and mean $\delta^{34}S = −0.51‰$). The SIMS measurements of...
Greenwood et al. (2000b) for pyrrhotite grains in Nakhla agree well with our values, having a range in $\Delta^{33}\text{S} = -0.4 \pm 0.5$ to $-0.07 \pm 0.5\%e$ and a $\delta^{34}\text{S} = -4.5 \pm 0.4$ to $1.4 \pm 0.4\%$.

Similar to Nakhla, two pyrrhotite grains in Y-000749 gave an average $\Delta^{33}\text{S} = 0.10 \pm 0.15\%e$ and $\delta^{34}\text{S} = 1.1 \pm 0.11\%$, while only one pyrrhotite was analysed for Y-000593 ($\Delta^{33}\text{S} = 0.18 \pm 0.07\%e$; $\delta^{34}\text{S} = 1.3 \pm 0.08$). Lafayette pyrite analysis (five grains) yielded a range of values in $\Delta^{33}\text{S} = -0.26$ to $0.04\%e$ and $\delta^{34}\text{S} = -13.2$ to $-8.53\%e$, with an average $\Delta^{33}\text{S} = -0.09 \pm 0.12\%e$, which approaches the $\Delta^{33}\text{S} = 0.07\%e$ in Lafayette pyrite analysed by Farquhar et al. (2000). Sulphur isotope data obtained for five grains of MIL 090032 show a $\Delta^{33}\text{S} = -0.76$ to $-0.62\%e$ and a $\delta^{34}\text{S} = 7.60$ to $15.1\%e$, with an average $\Delta^{33}\text{S} = -0.67 \pm 0.10\%e$ and $\delta^{34}\text{S} = 10.5\%e$. Previous measurements via chemical extractions from the four paired Miller Range nakhliites gave a range of $\Delta^{33}\text{S}$ from $-0.43$ to $-0.53\%e$, in particular an earlier study of the MIL 090136 material gave values that are in the range of our own analysis, with an average $\Delta^{33}\text{S} = -0.4\%e$ and $\delta^{34}\text{S} = 7.66\%e$ (Franz et al., 2014; Dottin III et al., 2018).

### 3.3. Rhenium-Os isotope systematics and HSE

Lafayette and Nakhla have broadly similar CI-normalized HSE patterns (Fig. 3a), with a general depletion of Os, Ir, and Ru relative to Pd and Re (Table 2). However, osmium normalised to CI-chondrite/10,000 (Os$_N$) is slightly more abundant (1.69) in Lafayette than in Nakhla (0.25). Osmium, Ir, Ru, and Pt are in agreement with earlier HSE-abundance studies of Nakhla (as reviewed by Jones et al., 2003), with the exception of Pd and Re that show higher abundances in our new data (2.24 and 0.117 ppb, respectively). In general, Os, Ir, Ru, and Pt in Nakhla fall in the range between previous HSE analyses of Nakhla by Dale et al. (2012) and Jones et al. (2003). Platinum, Pd, and Re of Nakhla and Lafayette show similarities with measured Pt and Pd in Chassigny (Jones et al., 2003). Nakhla has the highest (Re/Ir)$_N = 148$ and (Pt/Ir)$_N = 96$ among the studied set of samples, while Lafayette has the highest value in (Os/Ir)$_N = 3.44$ for these nakhliites (Fig. 5). The MIL 090032 CI-normalised HSE pattern is slightly different from the patterns of Nakhla and Lafayette, with higher absolute Os, Ir, and Ru, and Pt (4.54 ppb) having the highest CI-chondrite normalised value.

Nakhla and Lafayette display different Re and Os abundances and Re-Os isotope systematics (Table 2; Fig. 3b). Lafayette has a $^{187}\text{Re}/^{188}\text{Os}$ and $^{187}\text{Os}/^{188}\text{Os}$ of 6 $\pm$ 6 and 0.1849 $\pm$ 0.0003 (uncertainties are expressed as 2$\sigma$ internal precision), respectively. Nakhla is characterized by the highest $^{187}\text{Re}/^{188}\text{Os}$ value of 49 $\pm$ 49 and an $^{187}\text{Os}/^{188}\text{Os}$ of 0.4542 $\pm$ 0.0018. Despite large uncertainties on $^{187}$-
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>$\delta^{34}$S ($%$)</th>
<th>$\pm 2\sigma$</th>
<th>$\delta^{33}$S ($%$)</th>
<th>$\pm 2\sigma$</th>
<th>$\Lambda^{33}$S ($%$)</th>
<th>$\pm 2\sigma$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nakhla</td>
<td>Pyrrhotite</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nakhla</td>
<td>Pyrrhotite</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-1.15</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nakhla</td>
<td>Pyrrhotite</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nakhla</td>
<td>Pyrrhotite</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>-1.36</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nakhla</td>
<td>Pyrrhotite</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.97</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.87</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette</td>
<td>Pyrite</td>
<td>-13.2</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-6.78</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette</td>
<td>Pyrite</td>
<td>-10.1</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>-5.51</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette</td>
<td>Pyrite</td>
<td>-10.7</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>-5.65</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette</td>
<td>Pyrite</td>
<td>-8.5</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>-4.56</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette</td>
<td>Pyrite</td>
<td>-11.1</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-5.72</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td>-10.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>-5.64</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL090032</td>
<td>Pyrrhotite</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.65</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL090032</td>
<td>Pyrrhotite</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.65</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL090032</td>
<td>Pyrrhotite</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.76</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL090032</td>
<td>Pyrrhotite</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>-0.62</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIL090032</td>
<td>Pyrrhotite</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.69</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yamato000749</td>
<td>Pyrrhotite</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.83</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yamato000749</td>
<td>Pyrrhotite</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>-0.59</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yamato000749</td>
<td>Pyrrhotite</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-0.71</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.71</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yamato000593</td>
<td>Pyrrhotite</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yamato000593</td>
<td>Pyrrhotite</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-1.19</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.88</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data on $\delta^{34}$S, $\delta^{33}$S, and $\Lambda^{33}$S values are expressed in permil ($\%$) and associated $2\sigma$ standard errors are reported. Uncertainties count statistics.

The mean in sulphur isotope abundance for each meteorite is also shown. Sulphur isotope ratios are reported in standard $\delta$ notation with respect to Canyon Diablo Troilite (CDT), where $\delta^{34}$S = [(13S/32S)sample/(13S/32S)CDT − 1] × 1000, $\delta^{33}$S = [(13S/32S)sample/(13S/32S)CDT − 1] × 1000, and $\Lambda^{33}$S = [(13S/32S)sample/(13S/32S)CDT − [(13S/32S)sample/(13S/32S)CDT]0.515] × 1000.

Re$^{188}$Os and crystallisation ages > 1 Gyr, we tried to calculate the initial $^{187}$Os/$^{188}$Os composition of the sample with its percent deviation from the chondritic reference (Shirey and Walker, 1998) using the TOSs notation. The assumed crystallization ages of the most recent $^{39}$Ar/$^{40}$Ar dating (Cohen et al., 2017) were used for our calculations. The calculated TOs is strongly negative. Data on initial $^{187}$Os/$^{188}$Os and TOs are reported in Table 2.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Potential for terrestrial alteration and weathering

Some of the nakhlites analysed here could have been affected by alteration during their residence on Earth. In samples recovered from hot deserts the formation of terrestrial clay and carbonate (e.g., Crozaz et al., 2003) can modify lithophile isotope systematics (e.g., Symes et al., 2008) and potentially perturb the Re-Os isotope system. Nakhla was seen to fall, and was recovered shortly thereafter. The provenance of Lafayette is less clear, but its fresh fusion crust suggests it was collected soon after it fell (e.g., Graham et al., 1985). However, even weathering events lasting a few hours have been shown to cause Re and minor Os redistribution in chondrites from fresh falls (Walker et al., 2018). Terrestrial clays or carbonates are not found within either of these nakhlites, hence the Re-Os isotope system and their sulphides (as supported by our petrographic observations) should not be significantly affected by terrestrial alteration. In addition, initial $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratios of Nakhla and Lafayette (0.70254 and 0.70260, respectively) also show little variation (Gale et al., 1975; Shih et al., 1998), suggesting minor or no modification of Sr isotope due to clay and carbonate mineralization.

In contrast, the Miller Range and Yamato nakhlites are Antarctic finds, hence have had greater exposure to the terrestrial environment. The light rare earth element, cerium (Ce) can act as an alteration tracer via the conversion of Ce$^{3+}$ to Ce$^{4+}$ due to oxidation, with pigeonite being the most susceptible mineral (Crozaz et al., 2003). Cerium anomalies can be found in silicates of the Antarctic Yamato nakhlites (Crozaz et al., 2003), and terrestrially derived jarosite sulphate has been reported towards the exterior of Yamato 000749 (Changela and Bridges, 2011). However, Pb isotope systematics within these nakhlites reflect minimal terrestrial contamination (Yamashita et al., 2002).
4.2. Origin of sulphur isotopic anomalies in sulphides of nakhlites

The variation of $\Delta^{33}\text{S}$ and $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ in the nakhlites, in reference to our dataset, could be due to differences in the chemistry of the atmosphere and surface or alteration by subsurface martian fluids (Farquhar et al., 2000; Franz et al., 2014). To have confidence in which of our nakhlite HSE and Re-Os isotope data are the most representative of the martian magma source(s) for nakhlite meteorites, it is useful to know the extent to which each nakhlite might have been affected by regolith assimilation; where with 'regolith' we refer to heterogeneous, unconsolidated, and relatively superficial martian surface deposits.

4.2.1. Isotopic fractionation of $\Delta^{33}\text{S}$ in sulphides of nakhlites

Our analyses of Nakhl, Lafayette, MIL 090032, Y-000749, and Y-000593 sulphide grains gave mean $\Delta^{33}\text{S}$ values of $-0.05 \pm 0.08\%e$, $-0.09 \pm 0.12\%e$, $-0.67 \pm 0.10\%e$, $-0.10 \pm 0.15\%e$, and $-0.18 \pm 0.07\%e$, respectively (Fig. 4a). Assuming that some of these sulphide grains may be more isotopically fractionated than others, it is important to determine whether the sulphur isotopic signatures are representative of the sample as a whole, and if they are magmatic or non-magmatic in origin.

Appraisal of the petrographic characteristics of our studied samples prior to SIMS analyses showed that we sampled a representative set of pyrite and pyrrhotite grains in terms of textural associations, but that we observed a bias toward grains >10 $\mu$m in size. Virtually, the magma involved in the generation of the nakhlites can be considered as affected by a degree of crustal assimilation coincident with eruption onto the martian surface (e.g., Franz et al., 2014). The challenge is to disentangle the degree to which the chemistries of the analysed nakhlites were affected by assimilation during the transit of magmas through Mars’ crust and during eruptive processes.

Anomalous sulphur is constrained by its mass-independent fractionation (MIF) signature. Large variations in $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ can modify the $\Delta^{33}\text{S}$ of a sulphide by chemical mixing. However, it has been demonstrated that the values of $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ in martian meteorites do not vary enough to be able to explain the observed variation in $\Delta^{33}\text{S}$ (Farquhar et al., 2000; Farquhar et al., 2007; Franz et al., 2014). Detection of MIF or non-MIF signatures for sulphur could be constrained by the displacement of non-zero values of $\Delta^{33}\text{S}$ for each meteorite analysed here, where a magmatic only $\Delta^{33}\text{S}$ is characterized by near zero values (i.e., $\Delta^{33}\text{S} = 0$). Lafayette has zero ($0.03 \pm 0.08\%e$) to slightly negative ($-0.26 \pm 0.08\%e$) $\Delta^{33}\text{S}$ in its sulphide grains. This inter-grain variation in Lafayette is the largest heterogeneity in $\Delta^{33}\text{S}$ of the meteorite dataset that we analysed, implying the presence of both non-MIF (i.e., magmatic) and MIF signatures (assimilation) in Lafayette sulphur. In the case of MIF signatures, these were likely induced by assimilation of regolith-derived sulphur (including regolith affected by brines) during emplacement of Lafayette lava flow on the martian surface. Though relevant for the present-day Mars, data from NASA’s Curiosity rover shows a $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ with a
range far in excess of that observed among nakhlites (<47 to 28‰; Franz et al., 2017), it is reasonable to conclude that these observations imply that the assimilation of even a small percentage of Mars’ regolith at the time of nakhlite formation could significantly shift the sulphur isotopic composition of these nakhlites (by several ‰).

For Nakhla we obtained a $\delta^{33}\text{S}$ equal to zero ($0.05 \pm 0.08$‰, n = 5), suggesting a magmatic origin (non-MIF)

Table 2
Re-Os isotope systematics and HSE abundances (in ppb) for all samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Lafayette</th>
<th>Nakhla</th>
<th>MIL 090032</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mass (g)</td>
<td>0.417</td>
<td>0.405</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Os (blk %)</td>
<td>0.078 (0.70)</td>
<td>0.012 (4.20)</td>
<td>0.036 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir (blk %)</td>
<td>0.022 (0.10)</td>
<td>0.009 (0.26)</td>
<td>0.025 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru (blk %)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.04 (9.89)</td>
<td>0.27 (3.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt (blk %)</td>
<td>1.01 (0.40)</td>
<td>1.66 (0.25)</td>
<td>4.54 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd (blk %)</td>
<td>1.89 (1)</td>
<td>2.24 (0.87)</td>
<td>11.18 (17.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re (blk %)</td>
<td>0.098 (0.49)</td>
<td>0.117 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.065 (8.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rh (blk %)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.21 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au (blk %)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.081 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{186}\text{Os}/^{188}\text{Os}$ ($\pm 2\sigma$)</td>
<td>6 (6)</td>
<td>49 (49)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{187}\text{Os}/^{188}\text{Os}$ ($\pm 2\sigma$)</td>
<td>0.1849 (0.0003)</td>
<td>0.4542 (0.0018)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{187}\text{Os}/^{188}\text{Os}$ ($\pm 2\sigma$)</td>
<td>$-64 \pm 34.3$</td>
<td>$-636 \pm 5$</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt/Os</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>138.3</td>
<td>126.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Os/Ir</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Pt/Pd)N</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Pd/Os)N</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>131.3</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon$143Nd ($\pm 2\sigma$)</td>
<td>16.3 (0.4)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (Ma) ($\pm 2\sigma$)</td>
<td>1383 (7)</td>
<td>1330 (15)</td>
<td>1392 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab</td>
<td>Durham</td>
<td>Durham</td>
<td>Berlin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^{187}\text{Os}$ is given by $^{187}\text{Os} = 100 \times \left[ \left( \frac{^{187}\text{Os}}{^{188}\text{Os}} \right)_{\text{sample}} \left( \frac{^{187}\text{Os}}{^{188}\text{Os}} \right)_{\text{chondrite}} - 1 \right]$. HSE ratios normalized to Orgueil chondrite are denoted by (N). Initial $^{143}$Nd are from Nakamura et al. (1982) and Shih et al. (1998). Ages are from Cohen et al. (2017).

Fig. 4. Sulphur isotope ratios for the nakhlites, compared with previous data from Martian meteorites and Mars rover missions data. (a) $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ vs. $\Delta^{33}\text{S}$ isotope ratios for the nakhlites analysed in this work, compared with the same nakhlites analysed by previous authors. Labels serve to emphasise which processes are inferred to have affected the sulphur isotopic composition of each data cluster. 2σ uncertainties are shown, with X-axis uncertainties smaller than the symbols; (b) Comparison of $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ value between the present study (yellow symbols) and literature data from martian meteorites (Farquhar et al., 2000; Greenwood et al., 2000a; Franz et al., 2014; Franz et al., 2017) and the Curiosity rover (Franz et al., 2017). The $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ value of the martian mantle (from Franz et al., 2014) is shown by the dashed line. The red box identifies the nakhlites that have a $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ very close to the martian mantle $\delta^{34}\text{S}$ value and so have been least affected by regolith assimilation (Nakhla, Y-000749, and Y-000593). Some of the data used in the graphs are from previous studies (Farquhar et al., 2000; Greenwood et al., 2000a; Franz et al., 2014; Franz et al., 2017). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
signature) for all sulphur in Nakhla. This value is in accordance with a previously reported mean \( \Delta^{33}S = 0.06\%e \) for Nakhla sulphides (Franz et al., 2014). However, Nakhla is internally variable and some of the studied sulphides show more negative \( \Delta^{33}S \), with \( \Delta^{33}S \) as low as \(-0.33\%e\) and \(-0.4\%e\) (Greenwood et al., 2000a; Franz et al., 2014). We report similar near zero values of \( \Delta^{33}S \) from the three sulphide grains in Y-000749 (average \( \Delta^{33}S = -0.10 \pm 0.15\%e\); with one sulphide having a magmatic \( \Delta^{33}S = 0.00 \pm 0.13\%e\)) and from the sulphide grain in Y-000593 (\( \Delta^{33}S = -0.18 \pm 0.07\%e\)). However, we were not able to analyse sulphide grains smaller than 10 \( \mu \)m in these nakhlites and \( \Delta^{33}S \) values may be somewhat internally variable. Based on the analysed sulphide grains, we suggest a non-primordial mantle sulphur signal but still a dominantly magmatic origin for the sulphur isotopic systematics of Nakhla, Y-000749, and Y-000593, without large extents of regolith assimilation during their magmatic emplacement. Miller Range 090032 represents the meteorite with the most marked MIF signatures in sulphide grains, with a negative mean \( \Delta^{33}S \) of \(-0.67 \pm 0.10\%e\), with wide variability between grains (Fig. 4). Large variability in \( \Delta^{33}S \) in sulphides of the Miller Range paired nakhlites is also in agreement with Franz et al. (2014) and Dottin III et al. (2018). These values suggest that sulphides in MIL 090032 are affected by MIF signatures, probably caused by secondary processes that occurred on Mars (Mikouchi et al., 2003), as suggested by Franz et al. (2014) for the paired stone MIL 03346. Secondary processing possibilities are explored below.

### 4.2.2. Isotopic fractionation of \( \delta^{34}S \) in sulphides of nakhlites

The absence of crustal recycling on Mars may have been effective in leaving heterogeneous sulphur signatures on the surface. Sulphur isotope ratios can be fractionated by three main processes: hydrothermal (e.g., Ohmoto and Goldhaber, 1997), photochemical (e.g., Zmolek et al., 1999; Farquhar et al., 2001), and biological (e.g., Parnell et al., 2010). In addition to these processes, we discuss also possible magmatic processes that can fractionate \( \delta^{34}S \). Large isotopic fractionations of \( \delta^{34}S \) have been investigated recently by the NASA’s Curiosity rover from a 13 km long transect in Gale Crater (Franz et al., 2017). The measured \( \delta^{34}S \) ranged from \(-47 \pm 14\%e\) to \(28 \pm 7\%e\) (Fig. 4b); whether the negative values occurred in sulphides and the positive values occurred in sulphates or sulphites (mudstone and sandstone) is yet to be resolved. The reason Curiosity reported a greater range in \( \delta^{34}S \) than that observed in martian meteorites is probably due to the accumulation of fine crustal materials in Gale crater following long periods of fluvial and/or aeolian transport.

Nakhilite sulphur isotopic signatures have been interpreted as reflecting both equilibrium fractionation in a warmed martian groundwater environment (low \( \delta^{34}S \)) or atmospheric photochemical processes (high \( \delta^{34}S \)) (Franz et al., 2017). In particular, the \( \delta^{34}S \) enrichment is reported to derive from atmospheric processing of \( SO_2 \) and \( H_2S \) via martian volcanic activity (processes capable of producing positive \( \delta^{34}S \) signatures) with subsequent incorporation of these products into the martian surface/subsurface (Franz et al., 2017, see Fig. 2). This was also deduced experimentally (Franz et al., 2013) and from oxidation of \( SO_2 \) in volcanic ashes (Savarino, 2003).

Fractionation of \( \delta^{34}S \) differs for all the five nakhlites analysed here (Fig. 4b). However, it is important to notice that the \( \delta^{34}S \) variation could not be a full signature of the contaminant. It is possible that \( S \) loss processes can fractionate \( \delta^{34}S \), and such processes would not be expected to significantly shift the \( \Delta^{34}S \). Thus, the \( \delta^{34}S \) of the samples with the most anomalous \( \Delta^{34}S \) might reflect a different combination of processes, related to addition of sulphur, that would exert the first order control on \( \Delta^{34}S \), and then loss of sulphur that would exert a first order control on \( \delta^{34}S \) due to kinetic stable isotopic fractionation. Sulphides in Nakhla, Y-000749, and Y-000593 have the most convincing magmatic signatures, being characterized by a near zero mean \( \delta^{34}S \) (mean \(-1.59 \pm 0.10\%e\), \(-1.18 \pm 0.11\%e\), and \(-1.36 \pm 0.08\%e\), respectively), supported also from their magmatic \( \Delta^{34}S \) values described above. Conversely, MIL 090032 has an enriched \( \delta^{34}S \) (mean \(+10.54 \pm 0.09\%e\)), that is the largest intra-meteorite variation in sulphur isotopic fractionation and the largest positive \( \delta^{34}S \) value obtained in a martian material (+15.2 \pm 0.1\%e). These values suggest enrichment of \( \delta^{34}S \) due to martian atmospheric processes characterised by oxidation of \( H_2SO_4 \) or photolysis of sulphur compounds (gases) introduced by volcanic eruptions and outgassing (Savarino, 2003; Franz et al., 2017). This finding is similar to reported measurements of \( \delta^{33}S \) fractionation close to the vents of terrestrial volcanoes (+7.7 \pm 0.8\%e; Mather et al., 2006).

Lafayette has the greatest \( \delta^{34}S \) depletion yet described for a martian meteorite (average of \(-10.7 \pm 0.2\%e\)), with values of \( \delta^{34}S \) reaching \(-13.2 \pm 0.1\%e \) and lower than the Allan Hills (ALH) 84001, \( \delta^{34}S \) values (\(-9\%e\)) previously reported for pyrite grains (Greenwood et al., 2000a). These values are suggestive of late-stage equilibrium fractionation with martian groundwater, consistent with the Lafayette \( \Delta^{34}S \) results. Previous analysis of Lafayette reported values of \( \delta^{34}S \) of \(-3.2 \pm 2.1\%e \) (Greenwood et al., 2000b; Farquhar et al., 2000). The greater depletion in our \( \delta^{33}S \) values may reflect heterogeneous assimilation of sulphur from the martian regolith. Alternatively (or in addition), Lafayette sulphides have ‘spongy’ textures (Fig. 2c), which could be the product of alteration by shock melting with subsequent volatilisation effects (e.g., Gattacceca et al., 2013). Both Greenwood et al. (2000b) and Farquhar et al. (2000) proposed mixing of fluids with heavy (\( \delta^{34}S > 0 \)) and light sulphur (\( \delta^{34}S < 0 \)) as a hydrothermal process that can produce negative \( \delta^{34}S \). Some of the nakhlite lava flows may have been in contact with, or in close proximity, to a heavy sulphur source, while, in general, all may have been affected by mixing with fluids characterized by contrasting \( \delta^{34}S \) values.

At temperatures < 1200 °C the magmatic degassing of \( SO_2 \) can modify the sulphur isotope ratios of magmas (Sakai et al., 1982), depending on the \( /O_2 \) (Carroll and Webster, 1994). Two cases are possible: (1) if \( /O_2 \gg \) quartz-fayalite-magnetite (QFM) buffer, sulphate is the dominant sulphur phase in the magma. The consequent equilibrium isotopic fractionation factor will be positive
(\(\text{ASO}_2^2 - \text{SO}_2 > 0; \) Sakai et al., 1982), hence the residual melt is enriched in \(\delta^{34}\text{S}\) due to \(\text{SO}_2\) degassing, producing sulphides enriched in \(\delta^{34}\text{S}\). (2) If \(f(O_2) = \text{QFM}\) or \(f(O_2) < \text{QFM}\) sulphide is the dominant sulphur phase in the magma, with consequent negative equilibrium isotopic fractionation factor (\(\Delta S_2 - \text{SO}_2 < 0; \) Sakai et al., 1982). In this way, the melt may become depleted in \(\delta^{34}\text{S}\). This mechanism leads to crystallization of sulphides that are depleted in \(\delta^{34}\text{S}\). Magmatic degassing could have been the cause of the positive \(\delta^{33}\text{S}\) signature in MIL 090032, by shifting the \(\text{SO}_2\) in the silicate melt structure sulphates can be reduced to yield more positive \(\delta^{33}\text{S}\) values (see Labidi et al., 2015; Mandeville et al., 2009; Dottin III et al., 2018), that was subsequently reduced to form the sulphides.

4.2.3. Assimilation versus acquisition of martian regolith during lava emplacement

The \(\Delta^{33}\text{S}\) and \(\delta^{34}\text{S}\) values presented here (Fig. 4), along with the fact that nakhlite sulphides are associated with the mesostasis (hence are some of the last phases to crystallise) (Chevrot et al., 2011; Fig. 2), indicate assimilation of an S-rich martian regolith occurred within at least some of the nakhlite lava flows (Lafayette and MIL 090032). The range in \(\Delta^{33}\text{S}\) among the nakhlites may be broadly related to the total sulphur content of each lava flow, where nakhlites with larger \(\Delta^{33}\text{S}\) anomalies were in proximity of a “sulphur source”, contrary to nakhlites with smaller \(\Delta^{33}\text{S}\) anomalies (Dottin III et al., 2018). This sulphur source could be represented by the S-rich martian regolith (Foley et al., 2003). Sulphides also occur in several different sizes and modal abundances in all nakhlite meteorites. In the meteorite samples studied sulphide grain sizes range from 10 \(\mu\text{m}\) to 250 \(\mu\text{m}\) (Fig. 2). The recently recovered nakhlite Caleta el Cobre 022 has unusually abundant and coarse grained pyrrhotite (up to 200 \(\mu\text{m}\)) (Gattacceca et al., 2018). These inter-meteorite differences in sulphide grain sizes are therefore further evidence to support the suggestion that sulphur-rich material was acquired at different points of lava extrusion on the martian surface.

Nakhla and the two Yamato nakhlites contain dominantly magmatic sulphur isotope signatures (near zero \(\Delta^{33}\text{S}\) and \(\delta^{34}\text{S}\)), indicating a lack of regolith incorporation. A \(17^{\text{O}}\) excess of sulphate in Nakhla reportedly suggests it spent a significant period of time in contact with the Martian atmosphere (Farquhar et al., 2000). The simplest way of reconciling this atmospheric exposure with minimal regolith uptake is to place Nakhla at the top of a lava flow. Alternatively, it could have been present inside the carapace of a lava tube, where the main flow is in contact with the surface and easily acquires sulphide/sulphate material from the Martian regolith while the carapace of the lava tube is never in contact with the regolith but can be in contact with the atmosphere. This hypothesis could also explain the regolith uptake of MIL 090032, if it was part of the main lava tube. These two nakhlites have the same age (within uncertainty; Cohen et al., 2017), but they have different \(\delta^{34}\text{S}\) (Nakhla = –1.59 ± 0.10‰, MIL 090032 = +10.54 ± 0.09‰). This lava emplacement scenario is supported by the quench-textured mesostasis of MIL 090032 and the other paired MIL nakhlites, indicating surface exposure (Hallis and Taylor, 2011), possibly as a break-out event at the front or side of the lava flow (Hammer, 2009). Further, skeletal mineral phases, glass, and thin hedenbergite pyroxene rims in the MIL nakhlites has been taken as evidence of the fastest cooling rate among all the nakhlites (6 °C/h) (Hammer and Rutherford, 2005; Day et al., 2006). Alternatively, if the MIL nakhlites and Nakhla are not from the same lava flow, mineralogical evidence suggests that after quenching the MIL nakhlite lava flow may have been blanketed with another flow that slowed down the cooling rate (Domenechetti et al., 2013) – this flow may have been that containing Nakhla.

4.2.4. \(\Delta^{33}\text{S}\) of the nakhlite mantle source

Nakhla and the two Yamato meteorites have \(\delta^{34}\text{S}\) close to zero, and the smallest fractionation of \(\Delta^{33}\text{S}\). This signature is interpreted to have a magmatic origin, hence these meteorites represent the least isotopically disturbed of the nakhlites analysed here (from a sulphur isotope perspective, and in this way the most appropriate to constrain a possible \(\Delta^{33}\text{S}\) for the nakhlite mantle source region. The average \(\Delta^{33}\text{S}\) value obtained for Nakhla, Yamato 000749, and Yamato 000,593 sulphide grains is –0.1 ± 0.09‰. This is slightly more negative than the shergottite mantle source value (\(\Delta^{33}\text{S}\) of 0.009‰) calculated by Franz et al. (2014), possibly due to the fact that different mantle reservoirs were involved in the genesis of the shergottites and the nakhlites (e.g., Harper et al., 1995; Lee and Halliday, 1997; Wadhwa, 2001; Jones et al., 2003; Foley et al., 2005).

It is unlikely that a \(\Delta^{33}\text{S}\) of a mantle reservoir shifted by 0.1‰ from an initial value of zero. Instead, we attribute this \(\Delta^{33}\text{S}\) value to contamination by mass-independent sulphur that would have originated in the martian atmosphere (Farquhar et al., 2000). It is conceivable that such atmospheric sulphur (\(\text{SO}_2, \text{H}_2\text{S}\)), after its deposition as oxidized sulphur on the martian surface, could have affected the source of the nakhlite magmas by mobilization beneath the martian crust due to geochemical cycling, therefore suggesting the presence of a shallow magma chamber for the generation of the nakhlites.

4.3. Constraining the magmatic and chemical-physical processes in controlling Re-Os isotope systematics and HSE

Several different chemical-physical processes may have influenced the HSE-abundance Re-Os isotope systematics of the nakhlites. These processes can be distinguished essentially as: low-temperature disturbance(s), post-crystallization impact disturbance, and HSE partitioning under magmatic conditions. To discriminate which of these processes may have affected each of our samples, and to what degree, we discuss each sequentially and begin by appraising those processes that could modify the HSE and Re-Os isotope chemistry of a magmatic sample after its crystalisation.

4.3.1. Low-temperature and post-crystallization impact disturbance

Late-stage open-system effects on nakhlite HSE due to alteration on Mars’ or Earth’s surface have the potential
to disturb nakhlite Re-Os isotope systematics and to mobilise and/or add HSE present in potentially susceptible phases. For example, the possibility of low-temperature alteration in deserts could result in the addition of Re (Peucker-Ehrenbrink and Jahn, 2001) (Fig. 3). However, there is limited variation of inter-element HSE ratios and we conclude that nakhlite HSE-abundance has not been significantly affected by low-temperature alteration on Earth or Mars after their magmatic crystallisation.

Impact disturbance as considered here refers to the process through which materials of chondritic composition are transferred to martian magmatic materials due to impacts/shock events after their crystallisation. Such processes are capable of enriching the sample toward chondrite-relative HSE abundances following magmatic crystallisation (e.g. as was observed by Riches et al., 2012). All studied nakhlites are characterised by highly fractionated HSE patterns relative to CI-chondrite (e.g., Pd/Os = 19.6–131.3), have relatively low total osmium abundances (<0.08 ppb), and suprachondritic 185Os/188Os (0.18–0.45 ± 0.0010). These nakhlite HSE characteristics do not tend toward a potential chondritic contaminant and thereby preclude significant late-stage modification of the studied samples by chondritic materials on the martian surface or during their impact liberation.

4.3.2. Magmatic processes

All three nakhlites analysed in this work display similar HSE patterns. It is unlikely that these similarities in HSE patterns are the product of alteration on Earth or Mars, instead these primarily reflect magmatic fractionation during formation of the nakhlite parent magma(s) and lava crystallisation. These nakhlite HSE characteristics indicate that the incorporation of small amounts of martian regolith (as testified by S-isotope systematics) resulted in a generally negligible modification to the nakhlite HSE inventory, suggesting a low HSE abundance of the martian regolith. Had significant contributions of regolith modified the overall HSE abundances of the studied nakhlites, we would anticipate variable HSE systematics among the nakhlites and a degree of correlation with sulphide S-isotope compositions. Such nakhlite HSE variability and a clear relationship to corresponding sulphide S-isotope systematics is lacking. However, Os-isotope compositions of nakhlites are variable and are decoupled from the overall nakhlite HSE-abundance systematics. The intra-sample variability in Re concentration and Os-isotopic composition testified by two Nakhla fractions (0.117 ppb, this work; 0.052, Brandon et al., 2000) supports perturbation of the Re-Os isotope systematics in these magmas, but with little consequent effect to the abundances of Os, Ru, Ir, Pt, Pd, ± Au. Such a process requires interaction with a martian regolith of variable Re content and low Os abundance, but with highly radiogenic 185Os/188Os (>0.7 at total HSE abundances much less than 2 ppb and with 0.005 ppb Os in the ancient martian regolith) such that a small proportion of assimilated material has perturbed the Os-isotopic signature of the nakhlite lava flows. Fractionation of HSE during partial melting of the mantle and during possible late-sulphide saturation in evolved magmas will change HSE inventories in different ways (Jones et al., 2003; Sun et al., 2003; Fonseca et al., 2007; Mallmann and O’Neill, 2007). In the following sub-paragraphs we explore these possible processes.

4.3.2.1. Magmatic fractionation of HSE from the nakhlite and shergottite mantle source. Regression approaches using MgO content have been used to infer Mars mantle composition and give similar abundances of Re, Os, Ir, and Ru for the mantle of Earth and Mars (e.g., Warren et al., 1999; Tait and Day, 2018). In addition, martian meteorites are characterised by Re abundances that are high, somewhat close to the terrestrial basalts, and define a broad anti-correlation of HSE abundances with the degree of magmatic evolution (e.g., Jones et al., 2003). Several prior studies have appraised martian magmatic process through the HSE abundances of martian meteorites (e.g. Warren et al., 1999; Jones et al., 2003; Riches et al., 2011; Brandon et al., 2012; Dale et al., 2012; Filiberto et al., 2012; Tait et al., 2015; Tait and Day, 2018). In general, what the HSE datasets of these previous studies show are CI-normalised HSE patterns that vary from absolutely flat to increasingly fractionated (elevated Pd/Os) with decreasing total HSE abundance.

Nakhla, Lafayette, and MIL 090032 all show broadly similar HSE patterns (Fig. 3a). Though previous data showed that Nakhla and Chassigny are similar in their HSE patterns (Jones et al., 2003), here isotope dilution approaches show that Nakhla has more Pd and Re relative to Chassigny and that Lafayette and MIL 090032 are very similar to this pattern, rather than the HSE pattern of Chassigny. These substantial differences in the HSE fractionation evident among different classes of martian meteorite and thus their magmas, extended also to shergottite groups. Primitive shergottites (Mg/Mg + Fe > 0.7) have high Os/Pt, Ir/Pd and Ru/Pt (Brandon et al., 2012; Tait and Day, 2018). A broadly similar pattern was observed for the high MgO shergottites (Mg/Mg + Fe = 0.5–0.7), but with higher Re/Pt and Re/Pd than the primitive shergottites. Nakhlites analysed here diverge from the patterns of the primitive and high MgO shergottites in terms of relative abundances, but they approach the HSE patterns of the intermediate/lower MgO shergottites having high Re/Ir, Pt/Ir, and Pd/Ru (Fig. 5). These differences could reflect different initial magmatic conditions between the nakhlite and shergottite parental melt(s), as for example, melting temperature, fO2, and parental melt composition (including sulphur concentration and its relation to the point of sulphide saturation). We address the possible late-stage sulphide saturation as well as potential involvement of residual alloys during the production of magmas parental to nakhlite lavas below.

4.3.2.2. HSE fractionation due to late-stage sulphide saturation and residual alloys formation. Sulphides, silicate phases, and spinel can control the geochemical behaviour of the HSE during partial melting (Fonseca et al., 2007; Mallmann and O’Neill, 2007), with Os inclined to be partitioned into sulphide melts (Brennan, 2008). The solubility of Os and Ir has been constrained experimentally in sulphide
melts, over a range of $f_{O_2}$ ($-1.5 < \text{QFM} < +1.5$) and $f_{S_2}$ (Iron-Wüstitte (IW) $\sim -1$) at $T = 1300 \, ^{\circ}\text{C}$ (Fonseca et al., 2011). It is important to take into account that these experimental approaches can generally only replicate batch melting, and that a fractional melting scenario (±aggregation of those fractional melts) may be applicable to both ancient and recent martian magmatic systems. Nevertheless, experimental approaches provide a useful framework through which we can infer key aspects of nakhlite petrogenesis. The outcome of these experiments has shown that Os and Ir have low solubilities in silicate melts and that high $f_{O_2}$ conditions can inhibit the solubility of Os and Ir in sulphide melts (Fonseca et al., 2011). Oxygen fugacity for nakhlites is higher than shergottites. For Nakhla, $f_{O_2}$ is equal to the quartz-fayalite-magnetite (QFM) oxygen buffer (at an equilibration temperature of 810 $^{\circ}\text{C}$; Szymanski et al., 2010). For Lafayette the $f_{O_2}$ is equal to QFM + 0.1 (at an equilibration temperature of 780 $^{\circ}\text{C}$; Szymanski et al., 2010). For MIL 03346 (paired with MIL 090032) the proportion of Fe$^{3+}$ in augite was found to be in agreement with high-temperature equilibration near the QFM buffer (Day et al., 2005). Conversely, estimated $f_{O_2}$ for the shergottites are low and varies from $-1$ to $-4$ QFM (e.g., Herd, 2003). These differences in $f_{O_2}$ would limit the solubility of Os and Ir in nakhlite sulphide melts, due to their higher $f_{O_2}$ than shergottites.

Residual alloys crystallised from parent melts and the formation of platinum group minerals throughout a magmatic column are able to control fractionation of Os, Ir, Ru, Rh, Pt, and Pd during magma genesis (Mungall and Brenan, 2014). In particular, Mungall and Brenan (2014) reported how, at low pressure, partial melting of Earth’s mantle retains HSE in chondritic relative abundances (if correct as the starting proportions of these elements) until complete sulphide saturation, then evolving to very high Pt/Pd and low Pd/Ir – due to Pt and Ir alloy formation in the restite phase. Ruthenium, Os, and Ir are thought to be initially present in parts per million abundances in mantle sulphide melts, then, a decrease in $f_{S_2}$ during partial melting leads to the exolution of Ru-Os-Ir alloys from a refractory residue in the mantle (Fonseca et al., 2012). In particular, a sulphide melt appears to be required for Os-rich alloy formation since Os is likely the element that triggers the exolution of the Ru-Os-Ir alloys (Fonseca et al., 2012).

As described above, the higher $f_{O_2}$ in Nakhla, Lafayette, and MIL 090032 likely prevented the solubility of Os and Ir in possible late-stage sulphide melts, inducing formation of Pt- and Ir-alloys in the restite phase. Accordingly to Fonseca et al. (2011), the higher solubility of Os and Ir at high $f_{O_2}$ would imply the existence of a melt saturated in sulphides (sulphide melt) for the generation of the nakhlite parent magmas. In contrast to nakhlite magmas, for which present data sets and constraints are relatively limited, it is well established that the parent magmas of the relatively well-studied shergottites were sulphide-undersaturated in their mantle sources (Wang and Becker, 2017). In particular, Pd/Pt in shergottites tend to reflect chondritic abundances, but this is not the case for Os-Ir-Ru, as indicated by suprachondritic Pd/Ir or Pt/Ir (Wang and Becker, 2017). The reason of this difference could be attributed to the formation of residual alloys of Os-Ir-Ru in the martian mantle (similar to the Earth’s mantle; e.g., Fonseca et al., 2012 and references therein).

### 4.4. Implications for nakhlite mantle source and early Mars differentiation

Mantle heterogeneities have been proposed for Mars based on the trace element and $^{182}\text{Hf} - ^{182}\text{W}$, $^{146}\text{Sm} - ^{144}\text{Nd}$, $^{87}\text{Rb} - ^{87}\text{Sr}$, and $^{187}\text{Re} - ^{187}\text{Os}$ isotope systematics of magmatic products represented by martian meteorites (e.g., Harper et al., 1995; Lee and Halliday, 1997, 1995; Brandon et al., 2012).
2000, 2012; Wadhwa, 2001; Herd, 2003; Jones et al., 2003; Foley et al., 2005; Debaille et al., 2008, 2009; Herd et al., 2017; Lapen et al., 2017; Tait and Day, 2018; Day et al., 2018). In general, at least four mantle reservoirs have been previously argued to exist on Mars: two for the shergottites, one for the nakhlites/chassignites, and one for NWA 8159. These different mantle domains can be tapped by magmas and these magmas can mix producing different compositions as noticeable from the different groups of martian meteorites.

Magma mixing phenomena have been argued to be responsible of the generation of the depleted and enriched shergottites (Borg et al., 1997; Wadhwa, 2001; Herd, 2003; Borg and Draper, 2003; Shearer et al., 2008). However, the clear isotopic gaps that are present for their lithophile elements (Ferdous et al., 2017) are difficult to reconcile with magma mixing, since this process would not result in large isotopic gaps. This is especially noticeable for the nakhlites since they do not present clear signs of disequilibrium textures in their mineral assemblages. Instead, we propose a single magma composition for the generation of the nakhlites (as also suggested by their trace element compositions; Udry and Day, 2018), whether after the Nakhla eruption assimilation with an unknown enriched component affected the nakhlite source during 61 Myr, producing subsequently the Lafayette parent melt at 1321 ± 9 Ma (ages accordingly to Cohen et al., 2017).

Assimilation of crustal materials with markedly elevated $^{187}\text{Re}/^{188}\text{Os}$ could be a cause of the higher $^{186}\text{Re}/^{188}\text{Os}$ of Nakhla in respect to Lafayette. This enriched component possibly included ancient (primordial?) crustal materials, with consequent highly radiogenic Os isotopic compositions for which a very small fraction would significantly shift a magmatic Os isotopic signature but with potentially negligible effects on HSE abundances. On the other hand, this characteristic could also reflect phenomena of mantle metasomatism as recently argued for Mars magmatism (Day et al., 2018), or even inheritance from an interior reservoir that experienced variable earlier magmatic fractionation resulting in reservoirs with long-term high $^{187}\text{Re}/^{188}\text{Os}$ and/or complementary low $^{187}\text{Re}/^{188}\text{Os}$.

Mixing within mantle sources or enriched cumulates may have happened during early differentiation of Mars. By following this logic, the enriched crustal component of our hypothesis likely can be represented by a component generated during the last stages of a martian magma ocean (MMO). This possibility has been investigated for Nakhla and Lafayette by looking at their $^{187}\text{Os}/^{188}\text{Os}$ versus Nd and Sr isotope distributions. The TOs versus $\varepsilon^{143}\text{Nd}$ constrain a possible end-member for the nakhlite source mixing. The calculated TOs and $\varepsilon^{143}\text{Nd}$ values of the primitive martian crust and the evolved Martian crust at 180 Ma from Brandon et al. (2000) and Norman (1999) were used (Fig. 6). The distribution of the nakhlites in respect to the primitive and evolved martian crust is inconsistent with their magma sources being affected by assimilation of modern martian crust. The TOs vs. $\varepsilon^{143}\text{Nd}$ appear instead decoupled suggesting preferential/selective mixing of the nakhlite reservoir with an unknown enriched component that must have occurred early during Mars differenti-
atation, possibly represented by assimilated ancient MMO cumulates. However, drawing conclusions from the initial TOs for Nakhla and Lafayette are currently thwarted by large uncertainties for these relatively ancient magmas with low Os abundances. Similarly, the correlation between the Nd and TOs in shergottites suggested that the endmember sources were generated by mixing between residual melts and cumulates during the crystallization stages of a MMO (Debaille et al., 2008; Brandon et al., 2012).

Mixing within MMO cumulates and evolved liquids may explain our observed Re-Os isotope systematics as seen in Nakhla and Lafayette, as well as previously reported Rb and Sr isotope systematics. In fact, sulphides crystallisation from the last stage of MMO’s melts could result in locally fractionated Re/Os within the martian mantle thereby presenting the possibility to trace different mantle reservoirs and to discern this from assimilation of primordial crust characterized by long-term elevated Re/Os. In addition, the first MMO cumulates that crystallize would be extremely depleted in Rb, Sr and Os, with the last more evolved liquids characterised by a Nd of +0.64 (Debaille et al., 2009).

Magma ocean overturn could explain the early formation and isolation of these different local heterogeneities in the martian mantle (Debaille et al., 2009; Bouvier et al., 2018). This process likely happens as a result of instabilities due to the density stratification that occurs during the crystallisation of magma oceans on terrestrial planets (Elkins-Tanton et al., 2003).

5. CONCLUSIONS

Sulphur isotopic compositions were determined for sulphides in five nakhlites to ascertain the degree to which they have been affected by assimilation of the martian regolith. Nakhla, Yamato 000749, and Yamato 000593 are the meteorites that have most faithfully retained a magmatic signature, and which are therefore the most reliable for using Os in shergottites suggested that the endmember sources were generated by mixing between residual melts and cumulates during the crystallization stages of a MNO (Debaille et al., 2008; Brandon et al., 2012).

Mixing within MMO cumulates and evolved liquids may explain our observed Re-Os isotope systematics as seen in Nakhla and Lafayette, as well as previously reported Rb and Sr isotope systematics. In fact, sulphides crystallisation from the last stage of MMO’s melts could result in locally fractionated Re/Os within the martian mantle thereby presenting the possibility to trace different mantle reservoirs and to discern this from assimilation of primordial crust characterized by long-term elevated Re/Os. In addition, the first MMO cumulates that crystallize would be extremely depleted in Rb, Sr and Os, with the last more evolved liquids characterised by a Nd of +0.64 (Debaille et al., 2009).

Magma ocean overturn could explain the early formation and isolation of these different local heterogeneities in the martian mantle (Debaille et al., 2009; Bouvier et al., 2018). This process likely happens as a result of instabilities due to the density stratification that occurs during the crystallisation of magma oceans on terrestrial planets (Elkins-Tanton et al., 2003).

(3) Nakhlite HSE patterns are in agreement with the presence of a sulphide melt involved in nakhlite petrogenesis. Ruthenium-Os-Ir alloys likely formed in this sulphide-saturated melt and controlled the abundances of Ru, Os, Ir in the final lava products.

(4) Our Re-Os isotope data for nakhlites hint at perturbation and potential decoupling of nakhlite Os isotopic systems from other isotopic systems as a result of small degrees of assimilation of an S-rich regolith with low total HSE contents but highly radiogenic Os (<0.7 at total HSE abundances much less than 2 ppb and with 0.005 ppb Os in the ancient martian regolith).

(5) Nakhlites formed from a primary magma that we consider to have been generated from a well-mixed martian mantle that subsequently (at some point after the Nakhla emplacement) assimilated an enriched component during ascent toward the surface, and where this enriched mantle material was potentially represented by a late-stage crystallization cumulate from a martian magma ocean.
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