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ABSTRACT: This paper presents detailed geomorphological and sedimentological investigations of small recessional moraines at Fjallsjökull, an active temperate outlet of Öræfajökull, southeast Iceland. The moraines are characterized by striking sawtooth or hairpin planforms, which are locally superimposed, giving rise to a complex spatial pattern. We recognize two distinct populations of moraines, namely a group of relatively prominent moraine ridges (mean height ~1.2 m) and a group of comparatively low-relief inset smaller moraines. Using a representative subsample of the moraines, we establish that they form by either (i) submarginal deformation and squeezing of subglacial till or (ii) pushing of extruded tills. Locally, proglacial (glaciofluvial) sediments are also incorporated within the moraines during pushing. For the first time, to our knowledge, we demonstrate categorically that these moraines formed sub-annually using repeat uncrewed aerial vehicle (UAV) imagery. We present a conceptual model for sub-annual moraine formation at Fjallsjökull that proposes the sawtooth moraine sequence comprises (i) sets of small squeeze moraines formed during melt-driven squeeze events and (ii) larger push moraines formed during winter re-advances. We suggest the development of this process-form regime is linked to a combination of elevated temperatures, high surface meltwater fluxes to the bed and emerging basal topography (a depositional overdeepening). These factors result in highly saturated subglacial sediments and high porewater pressures, which induces submarginal deformation and ice-marginal squeezing during the melt season. Strong glacier recession during the summer, driven by elevated temperatures, allows several squeeze moraines to be emplaced. This process-form regime may be characteristic of active temperate glaciers receding into overdeepenings during phases of elevated temperatures, especially where their englacial drainage systems allow efficient transfer of surface meltwater to the glacier bed near the snout margin.
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Introduction

Moraines formed by seasonally driven processes at a glacier margin are important terrestrial archives, since the processes contributing to their formation can be clearly linked to glacio-logical and climatic conditions active in a given year (e.g. Bradwell, 2004a; Beedle et al., 2009; Lukas, 2012; Chandler et al., 2016a). In some cases, ice-marginal moraines are formed annually by short-lived seasonal (winter) re-advances during periods of overall ice-marginal retreat. Consecutively younger, inset annual moraines can form where glacier retreat during the summer exceeds successive winter re-advances over a number of years (e.g. Boulton, 1986; Lukas, 2012; Bradwell et al., 2013; Reinardy et al., 2013). Annual moraines have been reported by numerous researchers (e.g. Thórarinsson, 1967; Worsley, 1974; Karlén and Denton, 1975; Gordon and Timmis, 1992; Schomacker et al., 2012; Hiemstra et al., 2015; Luckman, 2017), but detailed insights into linkages between annual moraine-forming processes and glaciological, environmental and other boundary conditions remain relatively limited (e.g. Sharp, 1984; Boulton, 1986; Krüger, 1995; Evans and Hiemstra, 2005; Lukas, 2012; Reinardy et al., 2013; Chandler et al., 2016a; Wyshnystzky, 2017). Crucially, a detailed understanding of the processes contributing to seasonal/annual moraine formation, their spatio-temporal variations and the controls thereon (e.g. climatic, glaciological and topographic) is required to unravel the complexity of moraine formation in general. By obtaining detailed insights into the dynamic nature of moraine genesis in contemporary glacial environments, process-form models grounded on modern process...
observations (modern analogues) can be developed for moraines formed at the margins of palaeo-ice masses during the Quaternary. The sedimentological end products (ice-marginal moraines) in ancient glacial environments can thus be used to reconstruct past glacier dynamics (e.g. Ham and Attig, 2001; Sutherland et al., 2019).

Annual moraines are particularly prevalent in Iceland, and long sequences of (presumed) annual moraines are ubiquitous on the low-lying forelands of the maritime outlets of Óræfajökull and Vatnajökull, southeast Iceland (e.g. Thorarinsson, 1967; Price, 1970; Sharp, 1984; Boulton, 1986; Evans and Twigg, 2002; Evans and Hiemstra, 2005; Chandler et al., 2016a, 2016b, 2016; Evans et al., 2016a, 2017, 2019a). With their distinctive, intricate ‘sawtooth’ or crenulated planforms, these annual moraine sequences are spectacular phenomena and a characteristic signature of the active temperate glacial landsystem (cf. Evans and Twigg, 2002; Evans, 2003). Previous work has established that annual moraines in these settings are manifestations of the thickening of the subglacial deforming layer and production of submarginal till wedges, predominantly by seasonal cycles of squeezing and pushing (cf. Price, 1970; Sharp, 1984; Evans and Hiemstra, 2005; Chandler et al., 2016a; Evans, 2018). Operation of these coupled processes at heavily crevassed glacier margins gives rise to the distinctive planforms: extrusion of saturated till through radial crevasses (or pecten) and subsequent pushing result in moraines that reflect the morphology of the glacier margin (see Chandler et al., 2016a and references cited therein). While seasonal cycles of these processes have been linked to the formation of single push/squeeze moraines on an annual basis, Chandler et al. (2016a) recently reported the formation of sub-annual moraines (i.e. multiple moraine ridges per year) at Skálaefellsjökull; decoupling of the squeeze-push mechanism was suggested to be responsible for the formation of these ridge systems. However, further research is necessary to (i) establish the prevalence of sub-annual moraines at active temperate glaciers; (ii) understand the processes contributing to the formation of these small moraines; and (iii) elucidate controls on the moraine-forming processes and the frequency of moraine formation.

In this study, we examine the formation of small recessional moraines (hereafter ‘minor moraines’) at the southern margin of Fjallsjökull, southeast Iceland (Figure 1). The aims of this paper are threefold: (i) to determine processes contributing to the formation of minor moraines at Fjallsjökull based on sedimentological data from a representative selection of moraines; (ii) to establish the frequency of moraine formation using remotely sensed data, including a time series of images (2016–2019) captured during repeat uncrewed aerial vehicle (UAV) surveys; and (iii) to assess potential controls on the moraine-forming processes and frequency of moraine formation.

Figure 1. Location and overview of the Fjallsjökull foreland, southeast Iceland. The primary study area and location of our high-resolution geomorphological map of the Fjallsjökull minor moraines (see Figure 6) are shown by the white frame. Selected former positions of the glacier margin are indicated, based on aerial photographs acquired from Landmælingar Islands. The background hillshade relief model was produced from LiDAR point-cloud data provided by the Icelandic Meteorological Office. The historical Little Ice Age date is based on archival data, as reported in Thorarinsson (1943). [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
Study Area

Fjallsjökull (64°01′N, 16°25′W) is an active temperate outlet of Öræfajökull, situated in the southern part of Vatnajökull, southeast Iceland (Figure 1). The outlet glacier and its neighbour, Hrútarjökull, drain the eastern side of Öræfajökull; Fjallsjökull flows eastwards from its high-elevation ice divide at 2030 m a.s.l., descending steeply via an icefall between Ærfjall and Breiðamerkurjökull, before flowing out onto a low-elevation foreland (10–30 m a.s.l.). At its Little Ice Age (LIA) maximum, the outlet splayed out to form a piedmont lobe and was coalescent with Breiðamerkurjökull (to the northeast) (see Thórarinson, 1943; Evans and Twigg, 2002; Evans et al., 2009, 2019b). A maximum lichenometric age of 1727 CE was proposed by Bradwell (2004b) for the outermost Fjallsjökull moraines, whereas Evans et al. (2019b) derived an age of 1890 CE for the outermost Breiðamerkurjökull moraines. The latter is more consistent with historical archives that suggest Fjallsjökull reached its LIA maximum in 1870 CE before re-advancing to the same position in 1894 CE (cf. Thórarinson, 1943).

Westwards retreat of Fjallsjökull from its LIA limit during the 20th and 21st centuries (see Figure 1) has revealed a substantial overdeepening, and much of the glacier margin now terminates in the proglacial lake, Fjallsárlón (see also Magnússon et al., 2012). Recent research has shown that the basal topography and proglacial lake expansion has led to the development of an increasingly spatially complex ice flow regime over the past ~20 years, characterized by localized zones of higher flow velocity (‘fast flow’ corridors) that have propagated towards the calving front (Dell et al., 2019). In this paper, we focus on moraine-forming processes and associated glacier dynamics at the southern margin. At the time of our investigations, this sector was land-terminating and producing minor moraines on the distal slopes of a large ice-contact fan/ramp that forms the local adverse slope of a depositional overdeepening (Evans et al. 2018b).

Regular measurements of ice-front fluctuations have been conducted at the southern Fjallsjökull margin since 1934 (Figure 2; e.g. Eyþórsson, 1935, 1963; Sigurðsson et al., 2007). These measurements reveal rapid ice-margin retreat between 1935 and 1941 (~90 m a-1), before more gradual retreat until the 1960s (1942–1959: ~9 m a-1). A brief phase of rapid retreat followed between 1960 and 1963 (~46 m a-1). The ice-front record was then punctuated by short-lived advances in the 1960s (1964–1966: ~21 m a-1), 1970s (1974–1976: ~26 m a-1), 1980s (1982–1983: ~16 m a-1) and 1990s (1995–1996: ~19 m a-1). Fjallsjökull experienced sustained re-treat at its southern margin from the 1990s until the last available measurement in 2014 (~35 m a-1). Aerial photographs captured sporadically since 1945 indicate that minor moraines were formed at Fjallsjökull during the retreat periods recorded by the ice-front measurements (cf. Price, 1970), and at a particularly high frequency since the 1990s re-advance.

Datasets and Methods

Geospatial datasets

For the purposes of mapping the minor moraines at Fjallsjökull, and to provide bracketing positions for assessing recent moraine formation frequency, we acquired a range of remotely sensed data: (i) an airborne LiDAR dataset collected in 2011 and 2012 by the Icelandic Meteorological Office and the Institute of Earth Sciences, University of Iceland (Jóhannesson et al., 2013); (ii) pan-sharpened, multi-spectral satellite imagery (0.5 m pixel-1) captured by the WorldView-2 satellite in


Figure 2. Cumulative ice-front change at the southern Fjallsjökull margin, with grey shading indicating periods of re-advance. Based on ice-front measurements along the ‘Gamlasel’ transect, obtained from the Icelandic Glaciological Society database (http://spordakost.jorfi.is/index.shtml?lang=eng).

September 2014; and (iii) a time series of UAV-captured imagery, collected during surveys of the southern glacier margin in 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019. Below, we provide an overview of the UAV surveying and data-processing methods employed in this study. Detailed descriptions of the methods and parameters, as well as precision analysis of our UAV-derived models, are available in the Supporting Information.

UAV surveying and data processing

Repeat UAV surveys were conducted at the southern glacier margin in 2016–2019 (see Figure 1), with the specific aim of capturing high-resolution aerial imagery to (a) map minor moraines in detail and (b) establish the frequency of minor moraine formation in recent years. Our UAV surveying and data-processing approach followed the operational framework outlined by Ewertowski et al. (2019). We conducted UAV surveys using small, lightweight, consumer-grade quadcopters (DJI Phantom 3 and 4) over an area of up to ~0.5 km². Each survey was planned to capture images with high overlap and small ground-sampled distances (GSDs), which would enable high-precision and high-resolution models to be produced (see Supporting Information for further details). The surveys yielded imagery with GSDs of 2.17–3.28 cm pixel-1 (Table I).

The UAV-captured imagery was processed in Agisoft Metashape Professional Edition 1.5.3. This photogrammetric software combines Structure-from-Motion (SfM) and Multi-View Stereo (MVS) to extract 3D point clouds and orthomosaics from sets of overlapping 2D imagery through automated processes (see Westoby et al., 2012; Ryan et al., 2015). We processed the UAV imagery using a semi-automated Python script (https://github.com/gisportsmouth/PhotoScan-Automation-Script) to minimize user input to setting key parameters and manually identifying ground control points (GCPs). As part of the semi-automated process, gradual selection was applied to remove any outliers and highly erroneous tie points from the models. An iterative process was implemented with the aim of removing 80% of the tie points, thereby improving the
Quality of the final models (see Supporting Information for further details).

Positional ground control for the UAV surveys was established in 2019 by surveying artificial targets using a Topcon HiPer V GNSS in post-processing kinematic (PPK) mode (see Supporting Information). The GNSS data were post-processed using RINEX data from the ICeCORS network (National Land Survey of Iceland: www.lmi.is/en/icecors-network/). The post-processed data were exported in WGS 1984/UTM Zone 28N (EPSG: 32628), and then orthometric heights were calculated using the Coordinate Conversion and Datum Transformation in Iceland tool (cocodati: http://sandmerki.lmi.is/cocodati/).

The exterior orientation of the 2019 UAV model was established using 31 GCPs, while a further 22 points were used as independent, external checkpoints. For the 2016, 2017 and 2018 UAV models, positional information was established using GCPs (>19 control points; >9 check points) generated from the 2019 UAV orthophoto (i.e. the 2016, 2017 and 2018 were georeferenced to the 2019 model; see Supporting Information). The SIM processing yielded models with low total internal (0.05–0.14 m) and external (0.06–0.17 m) RMSEs, as well as low standard deviations of error (0.02–0.08 m) (Table 1). Analysis of the spatial variability of precision in our SIM-derived models, using the Monte Carlo approach of James et al. (2017), demonstrated that the models were of high precision and high quality in terms of image network geometry (see Supporting Information). Additionally, assessments of doming deformation in the models indicated that there was no doming distortion in the models. We used our UAV-derived models to generate orthophotos with GSDs of 2.22–3.36 cm pixel⁻¹ and digital elevation models (DEMs) with GSDs of 4.34–6.56 cm pixel⁻¹.

Geomorphic mapping

Geomorphic mapping of minor moraines on the Fjallsjökull foreland, along with associated glacial landforms and surficial units, was undertaken following mapping protocols outlined by Chandler et al. (2018). The mapping combined the interpretation of remotely sensed data and extensive field checking. We used DEMs derived from the LiDAR point cloud data to produce a glacial geomorphological map of the entire Fjallsjökull foreland. A DEM derived from the 2019 UAV-captured imagery was used for more detailed, high-resolution and up-to-date mapping of a minor moraine sequence formed at the southern margin during the past ~15 years. In the case of the LiDAR data, DEMs with pixel sizes of 1 and 2 m were interpolated from the raw point clouds, which have horizontal and vertical errors of <0.5 m. The DEMs were re-projected from ISN 2004/Lambert 2004 (EPSG: 5327) to the UTM Zone 28N (EPSG: 32628) reference system for mapping.

Mapping was performed digitally (i.e. through on-screen vectorization) in ESRI ArcMap. Historical aerial photographs (1945, 1965, 1982 and 1998) obtained from Landmælingar Íslands (the National Land Survey of Iceland) were consulted during the mapping, which was helpful in identifying areas of ice-cored terrain. Interpretation of remotely sensed data was conducted both before and after fieldwork (cf. Chandler et al., 2018). Glacial landforms and surficial geological units were mapped using the colour-coded scheme established at other Icelandic glacier forelands (Figure 3; e.g. Evans and Twigg, 2002; Evans et al., 2016a, 2017).

Sedimentological analysis

Exposures were created manually through moraines using a trenching tool to enable sedimentological analysis. Annotated, measured drawings of the cleaned sections were produced on square-millimetre paper, following established protocols (e.g. Lukas, 2005, 2012; Reinardy et al., 2013; Chandler et al., 2016a). To ensure maximum planimetric accuracy of the final section logs, photomosaics were also produced for each exposure and the field logs were later transferred and vectorized in Adobe Illustrator. Following standard procedures and criteria, individual sedimentary units were identified based on their physical properties, namely grain size, sorting, compaction, sedimentary structures, bed contacts and unit geometry (Evans and Benn, 2004). A lithofacies code, modified from Eyles et al. (1983) and Benn and Evans (2010), was employed for clear, effective and rapid description of the sedimentary logs (Figure 4). Clast shape and roundness were also analysed for each moraine following established methods, with C₄₀, RA, RWR and average roundness (AvR) indices calculated for each sample using a modified version of TriPlot (see Benn and Ballantyne, 1993, 1994; Graham and Midgley, 2000; Spedding and Evans, 2002; Lukas et al., 2013). In this study, AvR was calculated using the scale VA = 0 to WR = 5, rather than the scale VA = 1 to WR = 6 employed by Spedding and Evans (2002), in

---

**Table 1.** Summary of the UAV survey parameters and associated processing errors. Total (XYZ) root mean square errors (RMSEs), mean errors and standard deviation (SD) of error are reported relative to internal control points and external check points.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey year</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Survey area (km²)</td>
<td>0.480</td>
<td>0.312</td>
<td>0.419</td>
<td>0.548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camera model</td>
<td>FC300S</td>
<td>FC6310</td>
<td>FC6310</td>
<td>FC300X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average flight height (m)</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td>86.0</td>
<td>89.8</td>
<td>86.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. images</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>706</td>
<td>449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground sampled distance (cm pixel⁻¹)</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>3.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. control points</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. check points</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total internal RMSE (cm)</td>
<td>9.46</td>
<td>10.27</td>
<td>13.75</td>
<td>4.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total external RMSE (cm)</td>
<td>17.07</td>
<td>12.30</td>
<td>17.32</td>
<td>5.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total internal mean error (cm)</td>
<td>8.89</td>
<td>9.17</td>
<td>11.13</td>
<td>2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total external mean error (cm)</td>
<td>15.21</td>
<td>11.78</td>
<td>16.26</td>
<td>1.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total internal SD of error (cm)</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>8.22</td>
<td>5.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total external SD of error (cm)</td>
<td>8.22</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>6.24</td>
<td>6.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
order to facilitate direct comparisons with more recent studies (e.g. Evans, 2010; Evans et al., 2018a, 2018b, 2018c).

Results

Moraine distribution and geomorphology

Geomorphological mapping reveals an abundance of moraine ridges distributed across the Fjallsjökull foreland (Figures 5 and 6; cf. Evans et al., 2009). In the outer, eastern–southeastern part of the foreland (areas A–C; Figure 5), the moraine ridges are arranged as discontinuous, arcuate chains that reach several hundreds of metres in length. These arcuate chains consist of ridge fragments that are ~5–185 m long (average ~40 m). Between the individual moraine chains, fluted/drumlinized, low-amplitude push moraines (overridden moraines) are found, along with sets of flutings (Figure 5). Individual minor moraines in the outer part of the foreland are 1–5 m high and 15–40 m wide, and they display gently indented/crenulated planforms.

More complex, ‘sawtooth’ or crenulated platoforms are evident in two moraine fields in the central (area D) and northern (area E) parts of the foreland (Figure 5). These suites of moraines occur atop large mounds that attain maximum heights of 32 and 41 m. Minor moraines in areas D and E are typically ~0.5–1 m high and ~4–10 m wide; they form densely spaced, crenulated chains of moraines that extend for up to ~700 m, with moraine fragments ranging in length from ~5 to 335 m (Figure 5). Crest-to-crest spacings between individual chains of moraines vary between ~5 and 60 m. Moraines in area D have previously been subject to sedimentological analysis by Price (1970).

Closely spaced moraine ridges also occur in the southern part of the foreland (area F; Figure 5), especially on the...
proximal slope of a large moraine ridge that reaches up to ~90 m in height (cf. Price, 1970; Rose et al., 1997). Chains of moraines in this area are more fragmentary than the areas described above, with moraine fragments usually having lengths of ~5–50 m (average ~25 m); however, individual moraines can be connected to form chains that extend for >1 km. The moraines exhibit similar morphological characteristics to the minor moraines elsewhere on the Fjallsjökull foreland, typically attaining heights of ~0.5–2 m and widths of ~4–10 m.

The assemblage of minor moraines at the southern margin (area G; Figure 5) is situated on a topographic high to the north of a deep (>20 m) lake spillway that was excavated catastrophically in ~2003–2004. This mound slopes northwestwards towards the glacier margin, forming a reverse slope, and it descends eastwards from a maximum height of ~40 m down to a low-elevation outwash fan (~10 m) at the southwestern edge of Fjallsárlón (Figure 5). This topographic high point was interpreted as a large glacially overridden ice-contact fan/ramp by Evans et al. (2018b), based on the characteristics of the internal sediments exposed in the spillway walls.

Minor moraines in assemblage G have highly complicated planform geometries, with extremely sawtooth (or ‘hairpin-

Figure 5. Glacial geomorphological and surficial geological map of the Fjallsjökull foreland, based on LiDAR data (2011 and 2012) as well as field mapping and aerial photograph interpretation. Data from 2011 and 2012 were stitched together (indicated by the red dashed line) to provide a composite DEM of the entire foreland. The location of our high-resolution UAV mapping is shown by the black frame; the letters indicate moraine assemblages referred to in the text. For key, see Figure 3. (Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com)
shaped) moraines, localized superimposition, cross-cutting and bifurcations all being evident (Figures 6 and 7). In several cases, the sawtooth moraines continue as, or are connected to, long ridge limbs (up to ~35 m) that are orientated obliquely to the moraine crestlines and sub-parallel to ice flow; this gives rise to moraine limbs that resemble the long arms of hairpins. Flutings also occasionally intervene moraine ridges and continue onto their ice-proximal slopes. Chains of minor moraines in this area are more fragmentary than elsewhere on the Fjallsjökull foreland, consisting of short sawtooth/hairpin fragments that are often ~3–20 m in length (Figure 6). The highly complex nature of these minor moraines makes it challenging to connect them as coherent chains; however, more linear elements can be extrapolated locally to form chains that reach several hundreds of metres in length (up to ~500 m). Individual minor moraines in area G have heights of ~0.2–1.5 m and widths of ~2–7.5 m. Within this moraine field, the moraines can be broadly divided into two groups, based on differences in their morphologies (Figure 8). The first group consists of relatively large, prominent moraines with heights of ~0.7–1.5 m (mean ~1.2 m) and widths of ~3–7.5 m (mean ~5.1 m), while the second group consists of comparatively smaller, lower-relief moraines that attain heights of ~0.15–0.7 m (mean ~0.4 m) and widths of ~1–4 m (mean ~2.4 m). Moraines in the latter group are only easily identifiable and mappable on our high-resolution UAV-derived orthophotos and DEMs, whereas the larger moraines can be mapped from the coarser (>0.5 m pixel⁻¹) remotely sensed data. The two moraine populations are statistically distinguishable, exhibiting very highly statistically significant differences (p < 0.001) in both height and width. In cross-section, the moraines in area G are asymmetrical (steeper distal slopes) or have symmetrical, wedge-like, sharp-crested forms. Locally, the minor moraines have been subject to post-depositional breaching and are separated by small meltwater channels and outwash fans. Our repeat UAV-captured imagery also shows evidence of small, ephemeral

Figure 6. Glacial geomorphological and surficial geological map showing the complex moraine sequence at the southern Fjallsjökull margin (see Figure 5 for location). The mapping is based on interpretation of an orthophoto and a DEM derived from our UAV survey in May 2019. The locations of the moraine exposures described in the text are indicated by the numbered red dots. For key, see Figure 3. [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

Figure 7. Hillshade relief model extract showing an area of complex crenulated or sawtooth moraines near the southern Fjallsjökull margin. Note the long limbs of some moraines, which resemble the arms of hairpins. Also note the flutings, which lie beneath the moraines but terminate at different moraines. The hillshade was produced from the 2019 UAV-derived DEM. For location, see Figure 6.
streams draining meltwater ponded at the ice margin and wind-
ing through breaches in the moraine ridges. Additionally, local-
ized, short-lived ponding occurs in the swales between the
chains of minor moraines.

Moraine sedimentology

Moraine sections and test pits were created through 10 mo-
raines to assess moraine-forming processes through detailed
dedimentological analysis. Below, we present three example
moraine exposures (Figure 9), following the approach used in
recent studies of minor/annual moraine formation elsewhere
(e.g. Lukas, 2012; Reinardy et al., 2013; Chandler et al.,
2016a). Based on the larger sample from across the moraine
field, we regard the three examples as a representative
subsample of the dominant facies associations found within
moraines formed at the southern Fjallsjökull margin.

Figure 8. Morphometric analyses of minor moraines at the southern Fjallsjökull margin. Group 1 consists of moraines that are identifiable and mapp-
able on the coarse imagery (>0.5 m pixel⁻¹); Group 2 consists of moraines that are only identifiable and mappable on our UAV-captured imagery.
Box-and-whisker plots show the 25th and 75th percentiles (boxes) and the 5th and 95th percentiles (whisker ends). The mean (horizontal line) is also
shown. The heights and widths of the moraines were extracted from the 2019 UAV-derived DEM in ArcGIS. [Colour figure can be viewed at
wileyonlinelibrary.com]
remotely sensed data during this time period (see ‘Moraine chronology and formation frequency’ section below).

**Description.** An exposure created through the width of FJA-02 reveals that the moraine comprises two lithofacies (LFS 1 and 2; Figure 9a). The section predominantly contains LF 1, which is a moderately consolidated, dark grey, silty/sandy, matrix-supported diamicton (Dmm). This Dmm is largely massive and structureless, although there are areas of weakly developed fissility in the ice-proximal half of the section. Occasionally, there are small concentrations of pebbles within the Dmm, which form small gravel lenses/pods. Analysis of basalt clasts (n = 50) sampled from the massive diamicton (Dmm) indicates that clasts in this lithofacies are dominantly subangular to subrounded (RA = 0%; RWR = 2%; AVR = 2.32) and blocky in character (C40 = 2%), with oblate and prolate clasts almost entirely absent (Figure 9a). LF 2 comprises a massive to stratified, clast-supported diamicton (Dcm/Dcs) that is relatively loose and easy to excavate. It occurs in the uppermost part of FJA-02 and is largely restricted to its distal side (Figure 9a). In the top, central part of the exposure, the diamicton is structureless (Dcm) but laterally transitions to a stratified, clast-supported diamicton (Dcs) on the distal (left-hand) moraine slope, where it attains a maximum thickness of 0.25 m. The internal stratification of the Dcs is conformable with the contact between the Dcs and underlying Dmm as well as the moraine surface slope; the Dcs layers dip ~30° to the southeast.

**Interpretation.** The moderately consolidated Dmm with areas of weak fissility (LF 1) has characteristics resembling those reported from subglacial traction tills in other active temperate glacier forelands in Iceland (cf. Evans, 2000, 2018; Evans et al., 2006, 2016b, 2018a; Benediktsson et al., 2016; Jónsson et al., 2016). Moreover, the low angularity and blocky nature of the clasts found within LF 1 (Figure 9a) are consistent with active transport at the ice-bed interface (cf. Boulton, 1978; Benn and Ballantyne, 1994; Lukas et al., 2013; Evans et al., 2018a). We therefore interpret LF 1 as a subglacial traction till, as in
other ice-marginal/annual moraines elsewhere in Iceland (e.g. Sharp, 1984; Bradwell, 2004a; Evans and Hiemstra, 2005; Chandler et al., 2016a; Evans et al., 2017, 2018a). The loose character of the upper diamictic facies (LF 2), its internal stratification and its conformity with the lower contact and moraine surface slope are consistent with a glaciogenic debris flow deposit (cf. Lawson, 1982; Lukas, 2007, 2012; Evans, 2018). This gravitational modification of the distal moraine slope was likely the result of debris and meltwater inputs directly from the glacier margin; mass flowage of the existing diamicton also likely occurred as meltwater was received directly from the adjacent, melting glacier (cf. Sharp, 1984; Evans and Hiemstra, 2005). The internal composition and sedimentary architecture of moraine FJA-02 are comparable to those of ‘Type A’ moraines reported by Sharp (1984), which were argued to have formed through (a) extrusion of water-soaked subglacial traction till, (b) pushing of the extruded subglacial till and (c) sediment flow activity on the moraine distal slopes. Thus, we interpret moraine FJA-02 as a push/squeeze moraine that has experienced minor post-depositional modification of its distal slope by mass flow. Formation of this moraine through a combination of submarginal squeezing and ice-marginal pushing is also supported by its sawtooth planform geometry: the extrusion of water-soaked subglacial tills through marginal crevasses and into crenulations or pecten of the glacier margin, and subsequent pushing, would lead to a planform that mimics the morphology of the glacier snout (cf. Price, 1970; Sharp, 1984; Evans and Hiemstra, 2005; Chandler et al., 2016a; Evans et al., 2016a).

Case study 2: Moraine FJA-05
Moraine FJA-05 (UTM coordinates: 430643 m E, 7099092 m N) is a ~12 m-long, ~3–3.5 m-wide and ~0.7–0.8 m-high fragment that has a tight, butterfly-shaped planform; the moraine can be extrapolated laterally to form a discontinuous sawtooth moraine that reaches up to ~65 m in length. In cross-section, the moraine is asymmetrical along most of its length, with a steeper distal (32–36°) than proximal (28–30°) slope. Moraine FJA-05 is situated at the foot of a reverse slope down towards the present-day ice margin, in close proximity to a small area of ponding in front of an ice-cored esker (Figure 6). Based on our UAV-captured imagery, this moraine was formed between September 2017 and August 2018. An exposure was created at the northeastern end of moraine FJA-05 and perpendicular to the crestline (azimuth 036°–216°).

Description. The sediments exposed in FJA-05 (Figure 9b) comprise a variety of lithofacies: (i) massive, matrix-supported, silty/sandy, moderately compacted diamicts (Dmm) that contain areas of weakly developed fissility and clasts with maximum a-axis lengths of 0.2 m; (ii) layers and lenses of massive (GRm) and horizontally bedded (GRh) granule gravels that are 3–26 cm thick; (iii) thin, centimetre-scale layers of horizontally bedded coarse sand (Sm) and Te) that attain maximum thicknesses of 6 cm; and (iv) deformed layers/pods of massive or laminated silts (Fm, Fl) that reach thicknesses of 17 cm. The laminated silts (Fl) also contain occasional thin, millimetre-scale (up to ~5 mm) stringers of outsized coarse sand and granule gravel particles. The bulk of FJA-05 consists of the massive, matrix-supported diamicton (Dmm), which contains clasts that exhibit low levels of angularity (RA = 6%) and have blocky forms (C40 = 4%) (Figure 9b). Sorted sediment lenses (Sm, Fm, Fl) within this section predominately occur towards the base, alongside layers/lenses of granule gravels (GRm, GRh). The right-hand silt unit (Fm) is interfingered with granule gravel and contains a few sporadic pebbles. Locally, the sorted silt units wrap around the undersides of clasts. Higher up in the exposure (at 0.6–1.1 m), a ~5 cm-thick, discontinuous layer of horizontally bedded coarse sand (Sh) has been folded into a large-scale, close, antithetic fold with an amplitude of ~0.3 m and a wavelength of ~0.9 m (Figure 9b). Bedding within the folded sand layer is undisturbed. Measurements of the strike and dip of the contacts between the Sh and Dmm units allows the calculation of the fold axis, which dips at 36° towards the NE (032°). The left-hand (distal) side of the moraine is partially draped by a unit of horizontally bedded granule gravel that reaches up to 13 cm in thickness; the Dmm unit in the right-hand (ice-proximal) side of the moraine FJA-05 is overlain by a layer of massive granule gravel that attains a maximum thickness of 26 cm.

Interpretation. In agreement with the interpretation of the massive, matrix-supported diamicton (Dmm; LF 1) in moraine FJA-02 (above), the diamicts in FJA-05 are argued to have originally been deposited as subglacial traction tills. As in moraine FJA-02, and other Icelandic ice-marginal moraines, the subglacial traction till was most likely advected to the glacier margin and extruded through marginal crevasses before being incorporated into the moraine (cf. Price, 1970; Sharp, 1984; Evans and Hiemstra, 2005). The sorted sand lenses/layers (Sm, Sh) and granule gravels that occur within LF 1 in this exposure are suggested to have originally been deposited by meltwater either flowing along the glacier margin or in a submarginal position, with grain size variations and sorting reflecting changes in flow velocity (Maizels, 1993; Marren, 2002, 2005; Collinson et al., 2006). Switches from flowing meltwater to stagnant meltwater and ice-marginal ponding may be responsible for the deposition of the silt units (Fm, Fl). This is entirely consistent with evidence for (short-lived) ponds at the glacier margin on our UAV-captured imagery. The reconstructed orientation (032°) of the fold axis in FJA-05 is very similar to that of the moraine crestline (azimuth 036°–216°), and both are orthogonal to the local ice-flow direction (NW–SE). Since moraine crestsines and folds are both formed at right angles to applied stress, the geometric correspondence between the moraine crestline, fold axis and ice front indicates that this moraine was subject to lateral compressive stress (i.e. pushing). Thus, FJA-05 is interpreted as a push moraine. A push mechanism for construction of this minor moraine is wholly compatible with the lithofacies units exposed in moraine FJA-05: ice-marginal pushing would have facilitated the incorporation of both subglacial traction till (Dmm) and pre-existing, proglacial glaciofluvial sediments (Sm, Sh, Fm, Fl, GRm, GRh) in the moraine. The inclusion of glaciofluvial sediments within minor moraines has been linked to submarginal/subglacial freeze-on in Iceland and elsewhere (cf. Andersen and Sollid, 1971; Harris and Bothamley, 1984; Krüger, 1993, 1995, 1996; Matthews et al., 1995; Reinardy et al., 2013), but the large-scale fold and preservation of internal bedding within the folded sands in FJA-05 suggests that the sediments were unfrozen and subject to ductile failure (folding) during moraine formation (cf. Lukas, 2012). Indeed, the internal architecture of this moraine is inconsistent with the emplacement of glaciofluvial sediments by freeze-on, as such facies associations normally take the form of upglacier-dipping slabs within moraines (cf. Krüger, 1993, 1995, 1996; Reinardy et al., 2013). Had the sediment been frozen, brittle deformation and reverse faulting of the proglacial glaciofluvial sediments would also be expected (cf. Sharp, 1984; Krüger, 1994, 1995), but such deformation structures are absent in the exposure. The sedimentary architecture of FJA-05 therefore suggests that this moraine was constructed by uninhibited pushing and folding of extruded subglacial traction tills and pre-existing glaciofluvial sediments into a push moraine. The extremely
sawtooth (hairpin-shaped) planform of the moraine resulted from the operation of these processes at a heavily indented sector of the glacier margin (cf. Chandler et al., 2016a; Evans et al., 2016a).

Lastly, the granule gravels (GRm, GRh) present on the moraine slopes are suggested to reflect post-depositional gravitational modification of the moraine, possibly via the transfer of ice-slope colluvium onto the moraine crestline/surface and subsequent mass movement (cf. Sharp, 1984). Collectively, FJA-05 is thus interpreted as an ice-marginal push moraine that has been gravitationally modified.

Case study 3: Moraine FJA-06
Moraine FJA-06 (UTM coordinates: 430685 m E, 7099075 m N) is a sharp-crested moraine that is ~55 m long, ~3 m wide and up to ~0.7 m high. This moraine lies on a reverse slope that dips both northwards and northeastwards towards the present-day glacier margin. The moraine has a broadly linear but gently crenulated planform geometry, and it trends down the reverse slope from southwest to northeast. At its northeastern end, the moraine ridge bifurcates, with a moraine fragment forking off in an easterly direction. From the bifurcation, moraine FJA-06 can be laterally connected to a chain of moraine ridges that extend east/northeastwards for a further ~65 m. FJA-06 has a symmetrical, steep-sided cross-profile, with both the ice-proximal and distal slopes dipping at 36°. Based on available remotely sensed data, this moraine ridge was formed during 2014/2015.

Description. This exposure was excavated approximately orthogonal (176°) to the moraine crestline in a re-entrant, where the moraine arcs inwards from northeast to west and then turns south–southwestwards (Figure 9c). Of the moraine sections presented here and examined during fieldwork, moraine FJA-06 is the least complex, consisting entirely of a single lithofacies, which is a moderately compacted, dark grey/brown, silty, matrix-supported, massive diamicton (Dmm) (Figure 9c). Although the diamicton is largely massive and structureless, there are some areas of poorly developed fissility in the ice-proximal (left-hand) side of moraine FJA-06. Alongside this, there is an increase in consolidation from right to left in the exposure, with sediment in the right-hand (distal) part of the exposure being looser and easier to excavate. Cobble-sized clasts are relatively sparse in the Dmm and, as in moraines FJA-02 and -05, the clasts are predominantly subangular to subrounded (RA = 8%; RWR = 6%; AvR = 2.26) and blocky (CSD = 2%), with oblate and prolate clasts almost entirely absent (Figure 9c).

Interpretation. As argued for moraines FJA-02 and -05, the characteristics of the diamicton in moraine FJA-06 (e.g. moderate consolidation, weakly developed fissility) are consistent with those of subglacial traction tills found elsewhere in Iceland, including within ice-marginal moraines. The morphological characteristics of clasts within the diamicton (i.e. high levels of blockiness, low angularity) are also entirely consistent with transport in the subglacial traction zone (cf. Benn and Ballantyne, 1994; Lukas et al., 2013; Evans et al., 2018a, 2018b, 2018c). On this basis, we interpret the Dmm in moraine FJA-06 as a subglacial traction till. The emplacement of subglacial traction till and exhibits a symmetrical, wedge-like form, we suggest moraine FJA-06 is the geomorphological expression of submarginal till wedge thickening, mainly via the advection and extrusion of subglacial till from beneath the glacier margin (i.e. by submarginal squeezing; cf. Price, 1970; Evans and Hiemstra, 2005). The squeeze moraine may subsequently have undergone ice-marginal pushing (cf. Sharp, 1984; Chandler et al., 2016a), although the absence of a distinct asymmetric cross-profile and widespread deformation structures in the moraine implies that any ice-marginal pushing was relatively ineffective.

Debris transport pathways
Covariance analysis of basalt clast samples from the three moraine sections described above and two additional moraines was undertaken to assess debris transport pathways, following well-established procedures (e.g. Benn and Ballantyne, 1993, 1994; Evans, 2010; Brook and Lukas, 2012; Lukas et al., 2013). The moraine samples were compared with control samples from Fláajökull, an active temperate outlet glacier of the southern margin of Vatnajökull (see Evans et al., 2016a). Fláajökull exhibits dominant subglacial and subglacial/till control envelopes, and they are clearly distinguishable from the fluvial and supra/extraglacial control samples (Figure 10). Indeed, the moraine samples appear to be characteristic of active temperate outlet glaciers in southeast Iceland (e.g. Evans, 2000), and the Fláajökull control samples are therefore considered suitable reference values. We also compared the moraine samples against aggregate envelopes for push moraine, glaciocenotic and undefined/overprinted till samples from elsewhere in Iceland (see Evans et al., 2018a).

All the moraine samples from Fjallsjökull show very low levels of angularity (RA = 0–8%) and high levels of blockiness (CSD = 2–8%), with oblate and prolate clasts almost entirely absent; roundness values are similarly low (RWR = 0–10%; AvR = 2.26–2.60). Visually, there was also an abundance of striated and faceted blocky clasts within all the moraines. As argued in preceding sections, these shape characteristics are consistent with active transport of the clasts in the subglacial traction zone (e.g. Boulton, 1978; Benn and Ballantyne, 1994; Lukas et al., 2013; Evans et al., 2016b, 2018a). This initial interpretation of clast shape data is substantiated by comparison of the moraine samples with the control envelopes on covariance plots of both RA-CSD and RWR-CSD: the moraine samples plot closest to the subglacial/till control envelopes, and they are clearly distinguishable from the fluvial and supra/extraglacial control samples (Figure 10). Indeed, the moraine samples appear to record a strong signature of subglacial wear, corresponding more closely with the clast forms displayed within overprinted tills (cf. Evans et al., 2018a). The clast shape data therefore indicate the dominance of subglacially transported material within the minor moraines, which agrees with the sedimentological evidence for moraine formation primarily by squeezing and/or pushing of subglacial traction tills.

© 2020 The Authors. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd
Moraine chronology and formation frequency

The approximate age of the minor moraines at the southern Fjallsjökull margin, as well as moraine formation frequency, are assessed here using available remotely sensed data. The assemblage of minor moraines is bounded to the south/southeast by a lake spillway and an outwash fan (see Figures 5 and 6), both of which were formed around 2003 based on aerial photographs captured by Loftmyndir ehf (photo 0336-20-0432; www.map.is/loftmyndir). The southern glacier margin was situated against the northern edges of the lake spillway and outwash fan at that time, which provides a bracketing age for the minor moraines (i.e. the moraines must have formed at some stage between 2003 and 2019).

The glacier margin experienced overall retreat up until 2012 (Figure 11), when the LiDAR data for the Fjallsjökull foreland were collected (see ‘Geospatial datasets’ section). Retreat along the southern margin was variable during this 9-year interval, ranging from ~85 to 300 m (~9–33 m a\(^{-1}\)). Minor moraines were formed unequally along the southern margin in 2003–2012, reflecting the differential retreat of the ice margin (Figure 11a). A limited number of moraines \((n = 5)\) were formed in the easternmost parts of the assemblage. Further westwards, eight prominent (\(\sim 1–1.5\) m high), densely spaced (\(\sim 5–20\) m) moraine ridges occur between the 2003 and 2012 glacier limits; narrow, low-amplitude (\(\sim 0.2–0.4\) m high) moraines are found between these pronounced ridges, but the low-amplitude moraines are only identifiable and mappable on our high-resolution UAV-captured imagery (see Figure 6).

In the western-central parts of the assemblage, where ice-marginal retreat was greatest, more than nine distinct minor moraines were formed during the 9-year period (Figure 11). The high number of complex, sawtooth or hairpin-shaped moraines are most clearly visible on UAV-captured imagery for the eastern part of the survey area. Further westwards, three or four moraine ridges are most frequently during the first year (September 2016–2017), when three to five moraine ridges were constructed along debris-free parts of the ice margin \((\sim 430\) m-long sector). Minor moraine formation became more restricted and fragmentary in subsequent years, owing to re-advance along the eastern part of the margin (see Figure 12) and southwards migration of an ice-cored esker on the glacier surface (see Figure 6). Where overall re-advances \((4–12\) m a\(^{-1}\)) of the glacier margin took place, minor (sub-annual) moraines formed during the previous seasonal cycle were largely overridden and obliterated, but with localized moraine superimposition also occurring (Figure 13). Despite these small net overimposed and obliterated, but with located moraine superimposition also occurring.
ice-marginal re-advances, multiple minor moraines (three or more ridges) were still formed at some sections of the glacier margin in each year. In the case of the last interval (2018–2019), sub-annual moraine formation occurred in a 9-month period (August 2018–May 2019) and it is possible that further minor moraines were formed during the remainder of the 2019 melt season. Our repeat UAV surveys thus provide clear, unequivocal evidence for sub-annual moraine formation at Fjallsjökull in three successive years. To our knowledge, this is the first time that sub-annual moraine formation has been captured and demonstrated by repeat aerial imagery, with sub-annual moraines previously assumed by counting moraines between bracketing ice-marginal positions (cf. Krüger, 1995; Chandler et al., 2016a).


### Synthesis and Discussion

**Moraine-forming processes**

Geomorphologically, the minor moraines at the southern Fjallsjökull margin are characterized by their distinctive saw-tooth or hairpin-shaped planforms; these individual sawtooth or hairpin fragments are arranged as densely spaced,
discontinuous chains that extend for up to several hundreds of metres. Our sedimentological investigations of these minor moraines suggest that they were formed by squeezing and/or pushing of subglacial traction tills. Clast-shape data from the minor moraines are also a strong indicator of the dominance of subglacial processes and transport pathways at Fjallsjökull, with all clast samples exhibiting a clear subglacial signature (see ‘Debris transport pathways’; cf. Boulton, 1978; Benn and Ballantyne, 1993, 1994; Lukas, 2007; Lukas et al., 2013; Evans et al., 2018a).

The construction of the push/squeeze moraines at Fjallsjökull can be linked to the subglacial deforming layer model and the advection of subglacial tills to the glacier margin (cf. Price, 1970; Sharp, 1984; Evans and Hiemstra, 2005; Chandler et al., 2016a; Evans et al., 2018a, 2018b, 2018c). Subglacial processes, such as lodgement, deformation and ploughing, advect deforming layer sediments through the ablation zone towards the glacier snout, leading to increased sediment availability at the margin and the concomitant production of down-ice thickening till wedges (cf. Evans and Hiemstra, 2005; Evans et al., 2018a, 2018b, 2018c). At the glacier margin, advected subglacial tills are extruded (or ‘squeezed’) through marginal radial crevasses and into crenulations or pecten of the margin (cf. Price, 1970). This process of ice-marginal squeezing, together with bulldozing/pushing, leads to the construction of ice-marginal moraines with geometries that mirror the morphology of the ice margin at that time (cf. Price, 1970; Sharp, 1984; Evans and Twigg, 2002; Evans and Hiemstra, 2005; Chandler et al., 2016a; Evans et al., 2016a). In essence, the Fjallsjökull minor moraines can be regarded as ‘till wedges’, with thickening of the subglacial deforming layer and submarginal till wedge production expressed in the landform record as the moraines (cf. Price, 1970; Evans and Hiemstra, 2005; Evans, 2018).

In addition to this connection between the moraines and the subglacial deforming layer, the architecture and orientation of marginal crevasses are also important controls on the moraine forms and patterns: squeezing of the advected tills at a heavily crevassed glacier margin is responsible for the extremely sawtooth forms of the moraines (cf. Price, 1970; Chandler et al., 2016a; Evans et al., 2016a, 2017). Where deformable subglacial tills are squeezed into longitudinal crevasses, elongated moraine ridge limbs develop, giving rise to the striking, exaggerated sawtooth (or hairpin) moraines at Fjallsjökull (Figures 6 and 7). We suggest that the long ridge limbs are also at least partially submarginal features and thus represent crevasse infills (crevasse-squeeze ridges) (cf. Evans et al., 2016a). On this basis, we propose that the hairpin moraines at Fjallsjökull are best regarded as hybrid forms, comprising push/squeeze moraines (the outer till wedge) that continue as crevasse-squeeze ridges (the long ridge limbs) (cf. Evans et al., 2016a, 2017). Changes to the architecture of the longitudinal crevasses over successive seasonal cycles, together with fluctuations of the actively retreating glacier margin, result in the development of the highly complex and locally superimposed moraine and crevasse-squeeze ridge patterns (Figures 6 and 7).

Deformable sediments are also manifest in the form of flutings that are closely associated with the minor moraines at Fjallsjökull (Figure 7), which similarly indicates a genetic link between these landforms. The spatial relationships between the moraines and flutings, with flutings lying beneath the moraines, can be explained by linkages with the subglacial deforming layer. Specifically, the processes of subglacial
deformation or ploughing that are responsible for the production of the flutings also result in the advection of till to the glacier snout, where squeezing of the deformable tills into crevasses leads to the formation of moraines as well as crevasse-squeeze ridges (cf. Price, 1970; Boulton, 1976; Sharp, 1984; Boulton and Hindmarsh, 1987; Benn, 1994; Boulton and Dobbie, 1998; Boulton et al., 2001; Evans and Twigg, 2002; Boulton et al., 2018a).

The process-form regime responsible for the formation of the push/squeeze moraines at Fjallsjökull, along with the associated crevasse-squeeze ridges, is comparable to that observed at other Icelandic active temperate outlet glaciers (e.g. Sharp, 1984; Evans and Hiemstra, 2005; Chandler et al., 2016a; Evans et al., 2016a, 2017; Evans and Ewertowski, 2018; Evans et al., 2018c). Our sedimentological data are also in general agreement with seminal work by Price (1970), who proposed that squeezing of water-soaked tills from beneath the glacier margin was responsible for moraine formation at Fjallsjökull. However, our investigations indicate that squeezing was also coupled with pushing during the past ~15 years, as shown elsewhere in Iceland (e.g. Sharp, 1984; Evans and Hiemstra, 2005; Chandler et al., 2016a). The presence of a clear, large-scale fold in moraine FJA-05, and thus definitive evidence for ice-marginal pushing, is a rare case in the context of Icelandic minor/annual moraines. Typically, such moraines consist (almost) entirely of subglacial traction till, occasionally draped with thin debris/mass flow layers on the moraine slopes (e.g. moraines FJA-02 and -06; cf. Price, 1970; Sharp, 1984; Boulton, 1986; Chandler et al., 2016a). The coarse texture of the sediments and absence of textural contrasts within most Icelandic minor moraines are not conducive to displaying ductile deformation structures and large-scale folding particularly well, hence the infrequent occurrence of large-scale folds. Other studies have also emphasized the role of submarginal freeze-on of till slabs in submarginal till wedge thickening and moraine formation at Icelandic glaciers (cf. Krüger, 1993, 1995).

**Figure 13.** UAV-derived hillshade relief models (a–d) and accompanying geomorphological map extracts (e–h) that illustrate the construction of multiple moraines (i.e. sub-annual moraines) in three consecutive years (2016–2017, 2017–2018, 2018–2019). Re-advances during this period almost entirely obliterated moraines formed in the previous year; the outermost moraine(s) are locally superimposed (b, d) or bulldozed into larger ridges (c). [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
the moraine by subglacial freeze-on (cf. Andersen and Sollid, 1971; Harris and Bothamley, 1984; Matthews et al., 1995; Winkler and Matthews, 2010; Lukas, 2012; Reinardy et al., 2013; Hiemstra et al., 2015; Wysnytzky, 2017). Other differences from hitherto-proposed models of minor (annual) moraine genesis include the absence of evidence for (i) snow cover having a key role in moraine formation or post-depositional modification (cf. Birnie, 1977; Sharp, 1984), (ii) dead-ice incorporation within push moraines (cf. Sharp, 1984; Lukas, 2012; Wysnytzky, 2017) or (iii) deposition of terrestrial ice-contact fans (Lukas, 2012). Again, this highlights the dominance of submarginal till deformation, squeezing and pushing at Fjallsjökull.

As noted above, the combination of ice-marginal squeezing and pushing, together with submarginal freeze-on in some situations, has been widely recognized as contributing to minor/annual moraine formation at Icelandic outlet glaciers (cf. Sharp, 1984; Boulton, 1986; Evans and Hiemstra, 2005; Chandler et al., 2016a; Evans et al., 2016a, 2017). However, the operation of these submarginal and ice-marginal processes over a single seasonal cycle is usually linked to the construction of a single submarginal till wedge and push/squeeze moraine per year (cf. Evans and Hiemstra, 2005). In contrast, we have established that minor moraines have been formed sub-annually at Fjallsjökull for much of the past ~15 years, implying that subglacial deforming tills are efficiently and frequently advected to the glacier margin. Rather than manifesting as a single composite submarginal till wedge or annual moraine, we suggest that multiple till emplacement events over a single seasonal cycle produce multiple, discrete till wedges (moraines) at Fjallsjökull (cf. Evans and Hiemstra, 2005; Evans et al., 2016b).

Our morphometric analyses of the sub-annual moraines have revealed that they consist of two statistically distinct populations (Figure 8; see ‘Moraine distribution and geomorphology’), namely a group of particularly prominent moraine ridges (mean height ~1.2 m) and a group of less pronounced (mean height ~0.4 m) moraines. Frequently, these moraines occur as sets/systems that comprise (i) a particularly prominent outer moraine ridge and (ii) several less pronounced inner ridges per year. Based on our sedimentological investigations, we hypothesize that these sets/systems constitute (i) a push moraine constructed by pushing of extruded subglacial tills during a winter re-advance (cf. Sharp, 1984; Chandler et al., 2016a) and (ii) a succession of squeeze moraines formed during the melt season (cf. Price, 1970). General support for the production of moraines purely by ice-marginal squeezing (iii) is also provided by two earlier studies at Fjellsjökull, which both recognized that ice-marginal squeezing can construct moraines independently of the push mechanism at this outlet (Price, 1970; Roberts, 1995).

In accordance with the subglacial deforming layer model and marginal-thickening till wedge architecture discussed above, deformable sediments are supplied to the glacier margin for squeezing by the advection of tills through the ablation zone (cf. Boulton, 1996; Evans et al., 2016b, 2018a). However, the process of ice-marginal squeezing itself is primarily driven by glaciostatic loading of saturated tills, with ice overburden pressures resulting in squeezing of the water-soaked, semi-liquid subglacial tills into marginal radial crevasses (cf. Price, 1970; Evans and Hiemstra, 2005). Ice-marginal squeezing is facilitated by (a) increased meltwater penetrating to the bed during the melt season and saturating subglacial sediments, (b) poor drainage conditions associated with the increased meltwater, (c) poor drainage conditions associated with a reverse slope/depositional overdeepening and (d) relatively low basal shear stresses in the submarginal zone that gradually decrease towards the glacier margin (cf. Price, 1970; Chandler et al., 2016a; Evans et al., 2016a, 2018a; Evans and Evetowskii, 2018). According to this model, the squeeze moraines effectively record melt events during summer retreat, rather than ice-marginal oscillations.

Conceptual model of sub-annual moraine formation

Based on the integration of our geomorphological, sedimentological and remotely sensed data, we suggest that sub-annual moraines formed at Fjallsjökull by the following sequence of events (Figure 14). During the melt season, an influx of meltwater to the glacier bed saturates the underlying subglacial sediments and elevates porewater pressures. This saturation of the subglacial sediments and increase in porewater pressures, together with gradual reduction in basal shear stresses towards the glacier margin, leads to submarginal deformation and ice-marginal squeezing (cf. Andrews and Smithson, 1966; Price, 1970; Sharp, 1984; Evans and Twigg, 2002; Evans and Hiemstra, 2018). By late summer, water-soaked tills have been extruded through marginal crevasses to form squeeze moraines, as well as crevasse-squeeze ridges (Figure 14a). During the subsequent winter re-advance (Figure 14b), the extruded subglacial sedimentssqueeze moraines are subject to pushing by the advancing glacier margin, leading to lateral compression as well as ductile deformation and folding in some cases (e.g. FJA-05: Figure 9b). This results in the construction of a single, relatively prominent (~0.7–1.5 m high) push moraine. Localized re-working of the distal moraine slope may subsequently take place due to gravitational and glaciofluvial activity, as in moraines FJA-03 and -05. It is also possible that submarginal freeze-on of subglacial tills may (locally) occur during the winter, owing to the penetration of the winter freezing front (cf. Krüger, 1993, 1995, 1996; Evans and Hiemstra, 2005). Subsequent thawing of this till layer during the early melt period would make the formerly frozen tills increasingly susceptible to submarginal deformation, and they could potentially then be squeezed and moulded into a secondary moraine ridge located inside the winter push moraine (cf. Evans and Hiemstra, 2005). Upon commencement of the melt season, meltwater fluxes to the glacier bed increase due to enhanced surface melting. In turn, the influx of meltwater to the base of the glacier will induce ice-marginal squeezing in late spring/early summer (Figure 14c). Our UAV survey in May 2019 revealed that small (~0.2–0.4 m) sawtooth ridges had already been constructed inside the 2018–2019 winter push moraine, thus implying ice-marginal squeezing and squeeze-moraine formation occurs in the early part of the melt season. As the melt season proceeds, continued ice-marginal squeezing and ice-marginal retreat leads to construction of multiple inset squeeze moraines (Figure 14d). Re-advance of the glacier margin during the subsequent winter will lead to pushing and ice-marginal deformation of subglacial sediments.
extruded over the melt season. Provided that this winter re-advance does not exceed glacier retreat during the previous summer, sub-annual moraines will be preserved (Figure 14e).

Controls on sub-annual moraine formation

The formation of minor/annual moraines at Icelandic active temperate glacier margins has previously been shown to reflect seasonally driven submarginal and ice-marginal processes active in a given year (e.g., Sharp, 1984; Boulton, 1986; Krüger, 1995; Chandler et al., 2016a). In particular, phases of (sub)-annual moraine formation have been associated with periods of above-average summer temperatures in Iceland, where the spacing between individual moraines is primarily controlled by variations in the summer temperature signal from year to year (Boulton, 1986; Krüger, 1995; Bradwell, 2004a; Bradwell et al., 2013; Chandler et al., 2016a, 2016b). This temporal coincidence between annual moraine spacing and years of elevated summer temperatures indicates that Icelandic glacier margins have very short reaction times and react to temperature variations on a sub-annual/seasonal timescale (cf. Bradwell et al., 2013).

At Fjallsjökull, sub-annual moraine formation (2003–2019) coincides with a 25-year period of above-average summer air temperatures, which began in 1994 (Figure 15). Between 2003 and 2018, the mean positive deviation from the 1961–1990 summer air temperature average at Fagurhólsmyri (9.17°C) was 0.91°C. There are also seven years in the 2003–2018 period that experienced positive anomalies >1°C; the maximum deviation from the 1961–1990 average was 1.70°C (in 2014). This warm phase, and the period of sub-annual moraine formation, coincides with a period of sustained, rapid ice-front retreat at Fjallsjökull: point measurements by Jökularannsóknafélag Íslands (the Icelandic Glaciological Society) indicate that the ice front retreated on average ~47 m a−1 between 2003 and 2014 (Figure 15). Similarly, an older sequence of possible annual moraines (~1937–1962; based on aerial photography) corresponds to a period of above-average temperature as well as a long-term trend of ice-marginal retreat (Figure 15). These observations imply that (sub)-annual moraine formation at Fjallsjökull may, at least partly, be linked to periods of enhanced glacier retreat and elevated summer temperatures; this is in general agreement with findings from other Icelandic outlet glaciers (cf. Bradwell et al., 2013; Chandler et al., 2016a, 2016b).
Above-average summer temperatures and concomitant enhanced glacier recession could (indirectly) control the frequency of moraine formation at Fjallsjökull in two important, interlinked ways. A key factor influencing our proposed process-form regime is meltwater flux to the glacier bed, with the influx of meltwater during the melt season leading to ice-marginal squeezing (Figure 14; cf. Price, 1970). Enhanced glacier surface melting, and an increased frequency of large-magnitude melt events, are a likely consequence of phases of above-average temperatures (as recorded in the past 25 years). In turn, this will lead to greater quantities of meltwater descending to the base of the glacier. An increased influx of meltwater to the glacier bed could promote submarginal deformation and ice-marginal squeezing by saturating the subglacial sediments and elevating porewater pressures, with meltwater pulses during major melt events leading to squeeze-moraine formation (cf. Price, 1970; Evans and Hiemstra, 2005; Chandler et al., 2016a). Above-average temperatures will also lead to enhanced glacier retreat during the melt season, as implied by the measurement data (Figure 15). We suggest that this combination of (a) enhanced meltwater influx to the bed and (b) strong summer glacier recession would allow multiple squeeze moraines to be formed during summer retreat. Importantly, it is strong summer glacier retreat, rather than overall recession, that is crucial to sub-annual moraine production. Our UAV data indicate that sub-annual squeeze moraines can be formed despite net re-advance, provided that there is retreat from the winter push moraine (Figures 12 and 13). Strong overall recession from year to year is only a requirement for sub-annual moraine preservation (see Figure 14).

The influence of surface meltwater fluxes will be regulated by the englacial hydrological system, but crevasses, conduits and moulins can readily drain surface meltwater to the glacier bed and facilitate ‘fast’ englacial water transfer (cf. Fountain et al., 2005; Benn et al., 2009; Gulley et al., 2009). Recent instrumental and geophysical studies at Skálafellsjökull – an active temperate glacier with a similar landsystem signature to Fjallsjökull (cf. Evans and Orton, 2015; Chandler et al., 2016a, c) – have shown that it has a very low water-storage capacity and surface meltwater is rapidly transferred to the glacier bed via crevasses and moulins (Hart et al., 2015, 2019a, 2019b). A combination of this efficient englacial drainage system and high meltwater inputs results in saturated subglacial tills throughout the melt season at Skálafellsjökull (cf. Hart et al., 2015, 2019b). The instrumental work has also recorded high water pressures during the entire melt season, which have been suggested to reflect an inefficient, distributed drainage system (Hart et al., 2019b; cf. Schoof, 2010). A similar scenario of high surface meltwater inputs, efficient englacial drainage and distributed (inefficient) subglacial drainage during the melt season at Fjallsjökull would result in high water pressures and saturated subglacial sediments. These conditions are conducive to till deformation due to the reduction in sediment shear strength (cf. Boulton and Hindmarsh, 1987; Benn and Evans, 1996; Boulton et al., 2001; Evans et al., 2006). Near the glacier margin, high porewater pressures and the presence of saturated, deforming subglacial sediments during the melt season would lead to till advection and ice-marginal squeezing, owing to the comparatively low basal shear stresses in the submarginal environment and their reduction towards the glacier margin (cf. Price, 1970; Evans and Hiemstra, 2005; Chandler et al., 2016a; Evans et al., 2016a). The rapid conveyance of surface meltwater through the englacial drainage system to the glacier bed could therefore be a factor contributing to ice-marginal squeezing.

Basal topography and drainage conditions are also likely to be important factors influencing the nature and frequency of moraine formation at Fjallsjökull. Most of the sub-annual moraines at the southern glacier margin are located on a reverse slope, and Fjallsjökull is actively retreating into a substantial overdeepening (cf. Magnússon et al., 2012; Dell et al., 2019) or, more specifically, down the adverse slope of a glacially overridden ice-contact fan/ramp (cf. Evans et al., 2018b). This emerging subglacial topography locally impedes drainage, leading to highly saturated subglacial/submarginal sediments and high porewater pressures. Combined with enhanced surface melting and increased meltwater fluxes to the glacier bed (see above), the poor drainage conditions imparted by the basal topography provide favourable conditions for ice-marginal squeezing (cf. Chandler et al., 2016a; Evans et al., 2016a). Indeed, recent retreat into subglacial overdeepenings, coupled with the development of full-depth crevassing and marginal pecten, has been recognized as a control on sawtooth and hairpin-shaped moraine formation at several Icelandic active temperate outlets, representing a temporal switch in the active temperate landsystem signature (Evans et al., 2016a, 2017, 2018b, 2019a). Previously identified sub-annual moraines at Skálafellsjökull are also associated with a reverse slope and impeded drainage (Chandler et al., 2016a). Thus, basal topography and drainage conditions appear to exert an important influence on the nature and frequency of moraine formation at active temperate glaciers in Iceland, especially where snout morphologies have changed to more divergent flow with stronger radial crevassing in response to overall thinning.

We suggest that sub-annual moraine formation at Fjallsjökull is a process-form regime linked to glacier retreat into an overdeepening during a period of elevated summer temperatures. These above-average temperatures drive enhanced glacier surface melt and meltwater flux to the bed, saturating the subglacial sediments and elevating porewater pressures. Abnormally intensive and prolonged rainfall events during the summer are also potentially significant by generating...
supraglacial runoff that is immediately and efficiently coupled with the subglacial environment, a relationship that requires further testing (cf. O’Neel et al., 2001; Vieli et al., 2004; Purdie et al., 2008). It is possible that high surface meltwater and rainfall inputs may be rapidly conveyed to the bed of Fjallsjökull by an efficient englacial drainage system (cf. Hart et al., 2015, 2019a, 2019b), resulting in high water pressures and saturated subglacial sediments throughout the melt season. This situation may be exacerbated by poor drainage conditions imparted by the emerging basal topography. The resulting highly saturated submarginal sediments and high porewater pressure in turn lead to submarginal deformation and ice-marginal squeezing (cf. Price, 1970; Sharp, 1984; Evans and Hiemstra, 2005; Chandler et al., 2016a and references cited therein). Strong glacier recession during the melt season (driven by above-average temperatures) enables melt-driven squeeze events to produce multiple moraines, hence the occurrence of recently formed sub-annual moraines at Fjallsjökull.

Wider significance and implications

The landsystem signatures of many active temperate glaciers in Iceland are characterized by the creation of increasingly complex, closely spaced, sawtooth or hairpin-shaped moraine sequences over the past ~30 years (e.g. Chandler et al., 2016a, 2016b; Evans et al., 2016a, 2017, 2018c, 2019a; Evans and Ewertowski, 2018). These moraines exhibit morphological characteristics that are very similar to those at Fjallsjökull, and they have also been associated with marginal-thickening till wedges (cf. Evans and Hiemstra, 2005; Evans et al., 2018a). It is possible that the sawtooth moraines at other Icelandic outlet glaciers may also (partly) represent sub-annual moraine formation during the current period of elevated temperatures. Even in areas where the number of moraines is equivalent to the time elapsed, these may not necessarily represent annual moraines, as is often assumed (cf. Chandler et al., 2016a and references cited therein). Our repeat UAV surveys have demonstrated that (a) annual/winter re-advances can obliterate moraines formed in the previous year and (b) sub-annual moraine formation can occur even during phases of net re-advance. In these cases, the number of moraines could still equate to the number of years (and thus appear to be annual moraines), despite the obliterator overlap of the moraine sequence (cf. Kirkbride and Winkler, 2012). We hypothesize that sub-annual moraine formation is a process-form regime that is characteristic of heavily radially crevassed active temperate glacier margins that are thinning and undergoing divergent flow as they retreat into subglacial overdeepenings during periods of increased temperatures and glacier surface melting and runoff.

A corollary of this is that the spacing between individual minor/sawtooth moraines on Icelandic glacier forelands may not be a suitable geomorphological proxy for ice-marginal retreat, especially over the last ~30 years. Several studies in Iceland have equated moraine spacing with ice-marginal retreat and then utilized these to examine climatic drivers of glacier retreat (e.g. Bradwell, 2004a; Bradwell et al., 2013; Chandler et al., 2016a, c; Evans et al., 2019b). Our observations at Fjallsjökull indicate that moraine spacing may not necessarily equate to ‘actual’ ice-marginal retreat, even though studies have shown a general temporal correspondence between moraine spacing and ablation-season/summer temperature anomalies (e.g. Boulton, 1986; Bradwell, 2004a; Bradwell et al., 2013; Chandler et al., 2016a; Evans et al., 2019b). Rather, the minor/sawtooth moraine sequences in Iceland represent incomplete and modulated (or ‘censored’; cf. Kirkbride and Winkler, 2012) records of various glacier submarginal and ice-marginal processes operating over seasonal cycles. Indeed, sub-annual moraine formation and subsequent ‘censoring’ of the moraine sequences could explain the low coefficients of determination ($r^2 = 0.39–0.56$; Bradwell, 2004a; Chandler et al., 2016a) reported in statistical analyses of ‘annual’ moraine spacing and summer temperature, despite very highly statistically significant ($p < 0.001$) relationships. Over multi-decadal and longer timescales, it is likely that the preservation potential of sub-annual squeeze moraines will be relatively low as a result of their low-relief forms. Consequently, it is conceivable that only the larger, winter push moraines will be preserved in active temperate glacial landsystem signatures over geological timescales.

Conclusions

We examined a sequence of small recessional moraines (‘minor moraines’) at the southern margin of Fjallsjökull, an active temperate Icelandic glacier, using a combination of geomorphological mapping, sedimentological analysis, remote sensing and repeat UAV surveys. The moraines were formed during the past ~15 years and have distinctive sawtooth or hairpin-shaped forms, with localized superimposition producing a complex spatial pattern. We recognized two distinct populations of moraines, namely a group of relatively prominent moraine ridges (mean height ~1.2 m) and a group of comparatively low-relief moraines (mean height ~0.4 m). These two groups often occur in sets/systems, comprising one pronounced outer ridge and several inset smaller moraines.

Our sedimentological investigations reveal that the moraines consist predominantly or entirely of subglacial traction tills. In some cases, the moraines also contain sorted sediment lenses/layers (e.g. massive or horizontal bed sands, massive or laminated silts), interpreted as sediments originally deposited by meltwater in small submarginal/ice-marginal streams or ponds. The predominance of subglacial traction till and the internal architecture/structure of the exposures (e.g. the presence of close anticlinal folds) indicates that the moraines were constructed by either (i) submarginal deformation and squeezing of subglacial tills or (ii) ice-marginal pushing of extruded subglacial tills (and proglacial sediments, in some cases). The latter process is responsible for the folds in some moraines, with ice-marginal advances leading to lateral compression of unfrozen extruded subglacial tills and proglacial sediments, ductile deformation and push moraine formation.

By examining remotely sensed data (2003, 2012, 2014) and repeat UAV imagery (2016–2019), we demonstrate that the processes of submarginal deformation, squeezing and ice-marginal pushing have constructed multiple moraines per year (i.e. sub-annual moraines) at Fjallsjökull for most of the past ~15 years. To our knowledge, our repeat UAV imagery provides the first unequivocal evidence for sub-annual moraine formation. The UAV surveys also reveal that moraines were formed sub-annually along sectors that experienced overall re-advance in three consecutive years (2016–2017, 2017–2018, 2018–2019). Sub-annual moraines formed during the previous year were superimposed (the outer ridge) or obliterated entirely by these localized re-advances.

This high-frequency (sub-annual) moraine formation is argued to occur by a seasonal cycle of glacier submarginal and ice-marginal processes: (i) submarginal deformation and ice-marginal squeezing are initiated in the melt season due to influxes of meltwater to the glacier bed, leading to the extrusion of subglacial tills and squeeze-moraine formation by late summer; (ii) during the subsequent winter re-advance, extruded subglacial sediments/squeeze moraines are subject to pushing.
resulting in lateral compression and push-moraine construction; and (iii) influxes of surface meltwater or rainfall to the bed and strong glacier recession during the following melt season result in melt-driven squeezing and the formation of multiple, inset squeeze moraines.

We suggest that the development of this process-form regime is due to a combination of elevated temperatures, high surface meltwater fluxes to the bed and emerging basal topography (a depositional overdeepening). These factors result in highly saturated subglacial till and high porewater pressures, which induces submarginal deformation and ice-marginal squeezing during the melt season. Strong glacier recession during the summer, driven by above-average temperatures, allows several inset squeeze moraines to be emplaced. This process-form regime may be characteristic of thinning active temperate glaciers with increasingly divergent marginal flow that are receding into subglacial overdeepenings during phases of elevated temperatures, especially where their englacial drainage systems allow efficient transfer of surface meltwater to the glacier bed.
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