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0. Introduction. The present work is concerned with the geometry of embedded real hypersurfaces in a Kähler manifold, where isomorphisms are both holomorphic and isometric in the underlying Riemannian structure. Here we introduce their local invariants and compatibility relations, solve the local realization problem, and give a characterization of the metric sphere in \( \mathbb{C}^n \) via a maximum principle for an adapted Laplace operator. A more detailed description follows.

a) In 1.3 we define a doubly covariant tensor \( \ell \) on the complexified tangent bundle of the hypersurface \( N \). It describes the curvature of the maximal complex subbundle \( HN \) of \( TN \) in the ambient manifold with regard to the Kähler metric. One part of \( \ell \) is the well-known Levi form of the hypersurface with respect to a one-form of norm one that annihilates the “horizontal” tangent bundle \( HN \). The form \( \ell \) may be expressed in terms of the second fundamental form of the hypersurface in an appropriate frame of the underlying Riemannian manifold, see 1.4.

b) In 2 we derive differential compatibility conditions of covariant derivatives of \( \ell \). In 2.3, 2.4 and 2.5 we employ a connection on the horizontal bundle that is the projection of the Levi-Civita connection of the ambient manifold. It is a one-form on the hypersurface with values in the Lie algebra of the unitary group of the horizontal subbundle and we give its structure equations.

c) In 3.2 we solve the local existence problem as follows. The data are a \( CR \)-manifold \( U \) with a metric on \( TU \) that is hermitian on \( HU \) and a real function \( r \) that prescribes the ambient curvature of the curves in \( N \) that are orthogonal to \( HN \). We require that the composition of the defining form for \( HN \) with the complex structure is closed, the complex structure on the horizontal bundle is parallel with respect to the associated Levi-Civita connection, and that an associated unitary connection has vanishing curvature. The latter corresponds to Gauss and Codazzi compatibility conditions. The conclusion is that there exists a local isometric \( CR \)-embedding of \( U \) into \( \mathbb{C}^n \). In 3.4 we embed nondegenerate \( CR \) manifolds \( U \) together with a prescribed hermitian metric on \( HU \) rather than on \( TU \) as in 3.2. In exchange we prescribe additional data, namely mixed horizontal and vertical coefficients of \( \ell \). In this case, there is an embedding under analogous requirements as before. This result has features of both the classical hypersurface existence theorem in Riemannian geometry and of Kuranishi’s \( CR \) embedding [Ku]. Namely we require compatibility conditions involving metric and complex structure, and the non-degeneracy of the Levi-form.

d) In 4 we give commutator identities for the operation of the Laplace operator of \( HN \) on \( \ell \). In the Riemannian case these are referred to as Simons identity, see [S] and [CdCK].

e) As an application we give in 5 the following

**Theorem 5.2.** Let \( N \) be a compact strictly pseudoconvex hypersurface of \( \mathbb{C}^n \). Assume that the horizontal mean curvature of \( N \) is constant, and \( H^{1,0}N \) is parallel in \( T^{1,0}\mathbb{C}^n \). Then \( N \) is a metric sphere.

\footnote{Received February 26, 1999; accepted for publication June 9, 1999.}
\footnote{Institut für Mathematik, Humboldt - Universität zu Berlin, Unter den Linden 6, 10099 Berlin, Germany (klingenb@mathematik.hu-berlin.de).}
The first assumption relates only to the $CR$ structure, the other assumptions are that the trace of the second fundamental form on $HN$ is constant, that the curves on $N$ orthogonal to $HN$ are geodesics of $N$, and that the symmetric part of $\ell$ vanishes on the horizontal bundle. This result is an analogue of Alexandrov’s theorem on compact convex hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^n$: if such have constant mean curvature, then they must be metric spheres. Our result does not follow from this since we do not make any assumption on the purely vertical coefficient of $\ell$. This coefficient describes the curvature in the ambient manifold of the vertical integral curves. Therefore our assumption neither implies that the full mean curvature of the hypersurface is constant nor that it convex. Of course we use the ambient complex structure, therefore our result does not imply the one in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Also, by the existence result of 3.2, our assumptions locally admit other surfaces than subsets of metric spheres. In this sense, this is a global result.

It is an application of our first Simons-type identity in 4.2 and the strong maximum principle for the horizontal Laplace operator on strictly pseudoconvex hypersurfaces. The remaining identities of 4.2 are recorded here for completeness and application in [HK]. The maximum principle along horizontal curves for the horizontal Laplace operator was used in [A] to study a heat flow for contact structures.

The paper [CM] gives a local normal form for real analytic hypersurfaces in complex manifolds and [B] and [YK] present some material on real submanifolds of any codimension of Kähler manifolds. In [O], geometric properties of real hypersurfaces of complex projective space are studied. In [J1] and [J2] one finds an introduction to $CR$-structures. The lectures of Fefferman, [BFG], give an extensive review of the relation of function theory of a domain to $CR$ geometry of the boundary and the analogy with Riemannian geometry. Webster defines in [W] a connection on non-degenerate $CR$ manifolds with a distinguished one form that defines the horizontal bundle. This result is an analogue of Alexandrov’s theorem on compact metric spheres. Our result does not follow from this since we do not make any assumption on the purely vertical coefficient of $\ell$.
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1.1. Structure Equations. On a Kähler manifold $M$ with complex structure $M J$ and metric $M h$ we denote by $T^{1,0} M$ and $T^{0,1} M$ the subbundle of $C \otimes TM$ whose fibres consist of the eigenspaces for $+i$ and $-i$ of the $C$-linear extension of $M J$ to $C \otimes TM$. By the Kähler property, the Levi-Civita connection $M \nabla$ of $C \otimes TM$ preserves $T^{1,0} M$ and $T^{0,1} M$. On the principal $U(n, C)$-bundle of unitary frames of $T^{1,0} M$, $n = \dim \ C M$, we have the $C^n$-valued canonical form $M \theta$, and the $u(n)$-valued connection and curvature forms $M \omega$, $M \Omega$. For a unitary frame $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^n$ of $T^{1,0} M$ one has for $\theta_j = M \theta_j$, $\omega_k^j = M \omega_k^j \in \Lambda(C \otimes TM)$, $\Omega_k^j = M \Omega_k^j \in \Lambda^2(C \otimes TM)$, $j, k = 1, \ldots, n$, the structure equations

\[
\begin{align*}
d\theta_j &= -\omega_k^j \wedge \theta_k \\
d\omega_k^j &= -\omega_j^p \wedge \omega_k^p + \Omega_k^j,
\end{align*}
\]

and analogous equations in $T^{0,1} M$ for the conjugates $e_k$, $\bar{\theta}_j$, $\bar{\omega}_k^j$, $\bar{\Omega}_k^j$. Recall that $\omega_k^j(e_r) = \theta_j(M \nabla_{e_r} e_k)$ for $r = 1, \ldots, n, 1, \ldots, \bar{n}$. By unitarity,

\[
\omega_k^j = -\bar{\omega}_k^j
\]
\[ \Omega_k^i = -\Omega_{k}^j. \]

Let now \( F : N \to M \)

be an immersion of a real hypersurface. The above structure equations hold for the pull-back frames \( \{F^*e_k\}_{k=1}^n, \{F^*\theta_k\}_{k=1}^n \) and \( \Gamma(C \otimes TN) \times \Gamma(C \otimes F^*TM) \to \Gamma(C \otimes F^*TM), F^*M\), and curvature \( F^*M \Omega \) of \( F^*T^{1,0}M \), and exterior differentiation \( d \) on \( N \). In the sequel we will denote these pull-back objects by \( \{e_k\}_{k=1}^n, \{\theta_k\}_{k=1}^n, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{O} \) respectively, and we write \( \omega = M \omega \) for economy of notation.

1.2. Adapted Frames. Since \( N \) is odd dimensional, \( TN \) does not inherit a complex structure from \( F^*TM \). We denote by \( HN \to TN \) the maximal \( M \) \( J \) invariant subbundle of \( i_N : TN \to F^*TM \):

\[ HN := TN \cap M JTN, \]

called the holomorphic or horizontal tangent bundle of \( N \). Let \( H^{1,0}N, H^{0,1}N \) be the \((\pm i)\)-eigenspaces of \( (C \otimes HN, JF = M JHN) \), then \( H^{1,0}N = F^*T^{1,0}M \cap C \otimes TN \).

For an analysis of the curvature of \( N \) with regard to the Kähler metric we consider a unitary frame \( \{e_k\}_{k=1}^n \) of \( F^*T^{1,0}M \) which is adapted to \( TN \) in the following way:

\[ \text{span } \{e_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} = H^{1,0}N \]

\[ \text{span } \{e_{\alpha}, e_\alpha, \frac{1}{2}(e_n + e_n)\}_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} = C \otimes TN. \]

Then \( \{\xi^k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\theta^k + \bar{\theta}^k), \xi^{n+k} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\theta^k - \bar{\theta}^k)\}_{k=1}^n \) is the dual frame of \( \{X_k, X_{n+k}\} \) and \( \text{ker } \xi^n = TN \), \( \text{ker } \xi^\alpha = HN \oplus \mathbb{R}X_{2n} \).

Finally we introduce a frame \( \{f_{\alpha}\} \) of \( C \otimes TN \) by setting \( f_k = e_k, f_n = \frac{1}{2}(e_n + e_n) \) with its dual frame \( \{\varphi^\alpha\} \), \( \varphi^\xi, \varphi^n = (\theta^n + \bar{\theta}^n) \).

Here and later we adopt the following convention for the ranges of the indices: \( j, k, p, q \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \) for frames of \( F^*T^{1,0}M \) \( a, b, c \in \{1, \ldots, n-1, 1, \ldots, n-1, n\} \) on \( C \otimes TN, \xi, \eta \in \{1, \ldots, n-1, 1, \ldots, n-1\} \) on \( C \otimes HN, \) and \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\} \) on \( H^{1,0}N \).

1.3. Second Fundamental Form in Kähler Geometry. Let \( e_k \) be adapted to \( i_N : TN \to F^*TM \) as above. Since \( C \otimes TN = \ker(\theta^n - \bar{\theta}^n) \) is involutive in \( C \otimes F^*TM \),

\[ i_N^* d(\theta^n - \bar{\theta}^n) = 0. \]

On the other hand, we compute, recalling 1.1,

\[ i_N^* d(\theta^n - \bar{\theta}^n) = \omega_h^\alpha(\omega_h^\beta(n_{\beta}))\varphi^\alpha \wedge \varphi^\beta + \omega_h^\beta(n_{\beta})\varphi^\alpha \wedge \varphi^n - \omega_h^\alpha(n_{\beta})\varphi^\alpha \wedge \varphi^\beta - \omega_h^\beta(n_{\beta})\varphi^\beta \wedge \varphi^n + \varphi^\alpha \wedge (\omega_h^\beta(n_{\beta})\varphi^\beta + \omega_h^\alpha(n_{\beta})\varphi^n) \]

\[ = (\omega_h^\alpha(n_{\beta}) + \omega_h^\beta(n_{\beta}))\varphi^\alpha \wedge \varphi^\beta + \omega_h^\alpha(n_{\beta})\varphi^\alpha \wedge \varphi^\beta - \omega_h^\beta(n_{\beta})\varphi^\alpha \wedge \varphi^\beta + (\omega_h^\alpha(n_{\beta}) + \omega_h^\beta(n_{\beta}))\varphi^\alpha \wedge \varphi^n - (\omega_h^\alpha(n_{\beta}) + \omega_h^\beta(n_{\beta}))\varphi^\alpha \wedge \varphi^\beta. \]

This implies

\[ (1) \quad \omega_h^\alpha(n_{\beta}) - \omega_h^\beta(n_{\beta}) = 0 \]
\[ (2) \quad \omega_h^\alpha(n_{\beta}) + \omega_h^\beta(n_{\beta}) = 0 \]
\[ (3) \quad \omega_h^\alpha(n_{\beta}) - \omega_h^\beta(n_{\beta}) = 0. \]
This gives, also using $i_N^* \theta^n = \frac{1}{2} \varphi^n$,
\[
i_N^* d\theta^n = i_N^* (\theta^k \wedge \omega_N^k) = \omega_N^\alpha (f_a) \varphi^\alpha \wedge \varphi^a + \frac{1}{2} \omega_N^\alpha (f_a) \varphi^n \wedge \varphi^a
\]
\[
= \omega_N^\alpha (f_\beta) \varphi^\alpha \wedge \varphi^\beta + \frac{1}{2} \omega_N^\alpha (f_a) \varphi^n \wedge \varphi^\alpha - \frac{1}{2} \omega_N^\alpha (f_n) \varphi^\alpha \wedge \varphi^n.
\]

We define the coefficients of a doubly covariant tensor $\ell$ on $\mathbb{C} \otimes TN$ as follows.
\[
\ell_{ja} := \sqrt{2} \omega^\alpha_j (f_a)
\]
\[
\ell_{\alpha \beta} := \ell_{\alpha \beta}
\]
\[
\ell_{\alpha n} := \ell_{\alpha n}.
\]

This gives
\[
d\varphi^n = i \sqrt{2} \ell_{\alpha \beta} \varphi^\alpha \wedge \varphi^\beta + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (\ell_{n \gamma} \varphi^\gamma - \ell_{n \gamma} \varphi^\gamma) \wedge \varphi^n.
\]

The restriction of $d\varphi^n$ to $H^{1,0}N \otimes H^{0,1}N$ is the Levi-form of the real hypersurface $F(N)$ for the choice $\varphi^n$ of a defining one-form for $HN$. By (1), (2), (3) we have
\[
\ell_{\alpha \beta} = \ell_{\beta \alpha},
\]
\[
\ell_{\alpha n} = \ell_{\beta n},
\]
\[
\ell_{n a} = \ell_{n a},
\]
\[
\ell_{n n} = \ell_{n n}.
\]

We summarize: To a real hypersurface we associate a quadratic form $\ell = \{\ell_{ja}\}$ on $\mathbb{C} \otimes TN$ which decomposes into a hermitian form (the Levi form) $\{\ell_{\alpha \beta}\}$ on $H^{1,0}N \otimes H^{0,1}N$ and a symmetric form $\{\ell_{\alpha n}\}$ on $H^{1,0}N \otimes H^{1,0}N$ and a real form $\{\ell_{na} = \ell_{an}\}$ on $\mathbb{C} \otimes TN$. Namely $\{\ell_{na}\}$ is real on $TN \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes TN$. Recall that $N$ is called strictly pseudoconvex if $\{\ell_{\alpha \beta}\}$ is positive definite.

1.4. Relation of $\ell$ to the Riemannian second fundamental form. We will express the Kählerian second fundamental form $\ell$ as defined above relative to the adapted $U(n, \mathbb{C})$-frame $\{e_k\}$ of $F^*T^{1,0}M$ in terms of the Riemannian second fundamental form $-\kappa$ with respect to the 0$(2n)$-frame $\{X_k, X_{n+k}\}$ of $F^*TM$. The latter is defined by
\[
k_{st} := (M \nabla_{X_s} X_t), \quad s, t = 1, \ldots, 2n - 1.
\]

Using $\zeta^n([X_s, X_t]) = 0$ and $\zeta^n(X_s) = -\zeta^n(JX_s)$, one computes
\[
\ell_{\alpha \beta} = k_{\alpha \beta} + k_{n+n, \alpha, \beta} + ik_{\alpha, n+n, \beta} - k_{n+n, \alpha, \beta}
\]
\[
\ell_{\alpha n} = k_{\alpha n} + ik_{n, \alpha, \beta} + k_{n, \alpha, \beta}
\]
\[
\ell_{n n} = k_{nn}.
\]
2.1. Gauss Equations for \((TN, \nabla^N) \hookrightarrow (TM, \nabla)\). Associated to the orthogonal projection

\[ \pi_N : C \otimes F^*TM \to C \otimes TN, \]

\[ \pi_N(v) = \varphi^k(v)e_k + \frac{1}{2}(\theta^a + \theta^b)(v)(e_a + e_b), \]

we have the connection \(N\nabla = \pi_N \circ N\nabla(\cdot)\) : \(\Gamma(C \otimes TN) \times \Gamma(C \otimes TN) \to \Gamma(C \otimes TN)\) with connection form \(N\omega\). Relative to the frame \(\{f_a\}\), its coefficients are given by \(N\omega_a^b = M\omega_a^b, N\omega_a^b(-) = \varphi^a(N\nabla(-)f_a) = \varphi^a(M\nabla(-)f_a) = (\theta^a + \theta^b)(M\nabla(-)f_a) = \theta^a(M\omega_a^b) = M\omega_a^b, N\omega_a^b(-) = \varphi^a(N\nabla(-)f_a) = \varphi^a(M\nabla(-)f_a) = \frac{1}{2} \varphi^a(M\omega_a^b), N\omega_a^b = \frac{1}{2} M\omega_a^b, N\omega_a^b = 0\). The structure equations of \(C \otimes TN\) then read

\[ d\varphi^j + N\omega_a^j \wedge \varphi^a = 0 \]

\[ dN\omega_a^j + N\omega^a \wedge N\omega_a^j = N\Omega_a^j. \]

Comparing these with the structure equations of \(F^*TM\) with connection \(\omega = M\omega\) from 1.1 gives the Gauss equations for \(TN \to F^*TM\):

\[ (M\Omega - N\Omega)_\alpha^\beta = d(\omega^\beta - N\omega^\beta) + \omega^a \wedge \omega^b - N\omega_a^\alpha \wedge N\omega_b^\beta \]

\[ = \omega_a^\alpha \wedge \omega^\beta - N\omega_a^\alpha \wedge N\omega^\beta \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2} \omega_a^\alpha \wedge \omega^\beta \]

\[ = -\frac{1}{4} \ell_{aa} \ell_{bb} \varphi^a \wedge \varphi^b \]

\[ (M\Omega - N\Omega)_b^\alpha = d(\omega^\alpha - N\omega^\alpha) + \omega^a \wedge \omega^b - N\omega_a^\alpha \wedge N\omega^\beta \]

\[ = \omega_a^\alpha \wedge \omega^\beta \]

\[ = -\frac{1}{4} \ell_{aa} \ell_{bb} \varphi^a \wedge \varphi^b \].

2.2. Codazzi Equations for \((TN, N\nabla)\). The coefficients of the covariant derivative of \(\ell\) with respect to \(N\nabla\), namely \(\ell_{j\alpha} = (N\nabla)_{j\alpha}\), satisfy

\[ d\ell_{j\alpha} = \ell_{j\alpha}^N \varphi^b + \ell_{pa}^N \omega_j^p + \ell_{jb}^N \omega_a^b. \]

Note that \(N\nabla\ell\) is a triply covariant tensor on \(C \otimes TN\). Then we compute

\[ d\omega_j^a = i \sqrt{2} (d\ell_{j\alpha} \wedge \varphi^a + \ell_{ja} d\varphi^a) \]

\[ = i \sqrt{2} \ell_{j\alpha}^N \varphi^b \wedge \varphi^a + i \sqrt{2} \ell_{ja}^N \omega_j^b \wedge \varphi^a. \]

This gives for \(j = \alpha\) and \(j = n\)

\[ d\omega_j^a = i \sqrt{2} \ell_{a\alpha}^N \varphi^b \wedge \varphi^a + \frac{1}{2} \omega_a^a \wedge \omega^a + \omega_j^a \wedge \omega_j^a \]

\[ d\omega_j^b = \ell_{n\alpha}^N \varphi^b \wedge \varphi^a + \omega_j^a \wedge \omega_j^b. \]

Now by the structure equations of \(F^*TM\) from 1.1,

\[ \ell_{j\alpha}^N \varphi^a \wedge \varphi^b = \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} \ell_{na} \ell_{jb} \varphi^a \wedge \varphi^b + i \sqrt{2} M\Omega_j^a. \]
These are Codazzi equations for $F : N \to M$.

2.3. Gauss Equations for $(HN, H\nabla) \hookrightarrow (TM, M\nabla)$. The orthogonal projection $\pi_H : \mathbb{C} \otimes F^*TM \to \mathbb{C} \otimes HN$, $\pi_H(v) = \theta^k(v)e_k$, gives rise to the connection $H\nabla = \pi_H \circ M\nabla$; $\Gamma(\mathbb{C} \otimes TN) \times \Gamma(\mathbb{C} \otimes HN) \to \Gamma(\mathbb{C} \otimes HN)$ with connection form $H\omega$. Relative to the frame $\{e_k\}$, $H\omega^a_\beta = M\omega^a_\beta$, $H\omega^a_a = H\omega^a_a = 0$. The structure equations of $\mathbb{C} \otimes HN$ read

$$d\varphi^a + H\omega^a_\beta \wedge \varphi^b = (H\omega^a_\beta - N\omega^a_\beta) \wedge \varphi^b = -i\sigma^{a\beta} \omega^b_\alpha \wedge \varphi^a = i\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^a \varphi^\alpha \wedge \varphi^\beta \wedge \varphi^\gamma$$

The coefficients of the covariant derivative $\ell^H_{\alpha\beta} = (H\nabla\ell)_{\alpha\beta}$ of $\ell$ on $(HN, H\nabla)$ satisfy

$$d\ell_{\alpha\beta} = \ell^H_{\alpha\beta^b} + \ell_{\alpha\beta^p} H\omega^p_\beta + \ell_{\alpha\beta^b} H\omega^b_\beta.$$ 

Here $\{\ell^H_{\alpha\beta}\}$ is a triply covariant tensor on $\mathbb{C} \otimes HN$, and $\{\ell^H_{\alpha\gamma}\}$, $\{\ell^H_{\alpha\eta}\}$, etc. are of corresponding lower covariance on $\mathbb{C} \otimes HN$. We define

$$\ell^2_{\alpha\beta} := \ell_{\alpha\gamma} \ell_{\beta^\gamma}, \quad \ell^3_{\alpha\beta} := \ell_{\alpha\gamma} \ell_{\beta^\gamma} \ell_{\delta^\gamma}$$

and compute as in 2.2:

$$d\omega^a_{\beta} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} d\ell_{\alpha\beta} \wedge \varphi^a + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \ell_{\alpha\beta} d\varphi^a = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (\ell^H_{\alpha\beta^b} + \ell_{\alpha\beta^p} H\omega^p_\beta + \ell_{\alpha\beta^b} H\omega^b_\beta) \wedge \varphi^a + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \ell_{\alpha\beta} (i\sqrt{2}e_\beta \varphi^\alpha + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \ell_{\alpha\beta} (i\sqrt{2} \ell_{\alpha\gamma} \varphi^\gamma \wedge \varphi^\alpha + i\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} (\ell_{\alpha\gamma} - \ell_{\alpha\eta}) \varphi^\gamma \wedge \varphi^\eta)$$

$$= \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \ell^H_{\alpha\beta^b} \wedge \varphi^a + (H\omega^a_\beta + \omega^a_\delta + \frac{1}{4} (\ell_{\alpha\beta} - \ell_{\alpha\eta}) \varphi^a \wedge \varphi^\alpha$$

This, again using the structure equations of $F^*TM$, gives

$$\ell^H_{\alpha\beta} \varphi^a \wedge \varphi^b = i\sqrt{2} e^a_{\beta} \wedge (H\omega^a_\beta - \omega^a_\beta) + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (\ell_{\alpha\beta} - \ell_{\alpha\eta}) \varphi^a \wedge \varphi^\alpha + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \ell_{\alpha\beta} (i\sqrt{2} \ell_{\alpha\gamma} \varphi^\gamma \wedge \varphi^\alpha + i\sqrt{2} \ell_{\alpha\gamma} \ell_{\beta^\gamma} \varphi^\gamma \wedge \varphi^\delta + i\sqrt{2} \Omega^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}.$$


We evaluate the first expression on the right-hand side for \( j = \alpha \) and \( j = n \):

\[
\begin{align*}
&i\sqrt{2m_p} \cdot (H \omega^\alpha_p - \omega^\alpha_p) = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \ell_{na} \varphi^a \wedge \varphi^b \\
&i\sqrt{2m_p} \cdot (H \omega^\alpha_p - \omega^\alpha_p) = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \ell_{ba} \varphi^a \wedge \varphi^b .
\end{align*}
\]

\[2.5. \text{Commutator relations for the second covariant derivatives of } \ell \text{ on} \quad (HN,H \nabla). \quad \text{The coefficients of the second covariant derivative of } \ell \text{ on} \quad (HN,H \nabla), \quad \text{namely} \quad (H \nabla^2 \ell)_{\alpha \beta} = \ell_{\alpha \beta}, \quad \text{satisfy}
\]

\[
dd \ell_{\alpha \beta} = \ell_{\alpha \beta \gamma} \varphi^\gamma + \ell_{\alpha \beta} H \omega^\alpha_p + \ell_{\beta \gamma} H \omega^\beta_p + \ell_{\gamma \alpha} H \omega^\gamma_p + \ell_{\gamma \beta} H \omega^\gamma_p .
\]

Then we compute

\[
\begin{align*}
\dd \ell_{\alpha \beta} &= d(\ell_{\alpha \beta}, \varphi^\gamma) + \ell_{\alpha \beta} H \omega^\alpha_p + \ell_{\beta \gamma} H \omega^\beta_p + \ell_{\gamma \alpha} H \omega^\gamma_p \\
&= (\ell_{\alpha \beta} H \omega^\alpha_p + \ell_{\alpha \beta} H \omega^\beta_p + \ell_{\beta \gamma} H \omega^\gamma_p + \ell_{\gamma \alpha} H \omega^\gamma_p) \wedge \varphi^b \\
&+ \ell_{\alpha \beta} H \omega^\alpha_p + \ell_{\beta \gamma} H \omega^\beta_p + \ell_{\gamma \alpha} H \omega^\gamma_p + \ell_{\gamma \beta} H \omega^\gamma_p \\
&= \ell_{\alpha \beta \gamma} \varphi^\gamma + \ell_{\alpha \beta} H \omega^\alpha_p + \ell_{\beta \gamma} H \omega^\beta_p + \ell_{\gamma \alpha} H \omega^\gamma_p + \ell_{\gamma \beta} H \omega^\gamma_p
\end{align*}
\]

Note that \( dd \ell_{\alpha \beta} = 0 \). This gives for \( (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (n, \beta, n), (\beta, \gamma, n), (\gamma, n, \beta), (n, n, n) \) the following commutator relations.

\[
\begin{align*}
\ell_{n \beta \alpha} H \varphi^\beta &\wedge \varphi^c = \frac{i}{2 \sqrt{2}} (\ell_{\alpha \beta} H \omega^\alpha_p - \ell_{\beta \gamma} H \omega^\beta_p - \ell_{\gamma \alpha} H \omega^\gamma_p) \wedge \varphi^c \\
&+ i \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \ell_{\alpha \beta} H \omega^\alpha_p \wedge \varphi^c + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \ell_{\beta \gamma} H \omega^\beta_p \wedge \varphi^c + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \ell_{\gamma \alpha} H \omega^\gamma_p \wedge \varphi^c \\
&+ i \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \ell_{\alpha \beta} H \omega^\alpha_p \wedge \varphi^c + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \ell_{\beta \gamma} H \omega^\beta_p \wedge \varphi^c + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \ell_{\gamma \alpha} H \omega^\gamma_p \wedge \varphi^c
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\ell_{n \beta \alpha} H \varphi^\beta &\wedge \varphi^c = \frac{i}{2 \sqrt{2}} (\ell_{\alpha \beta} H \omega^\alpha_p - \ell_{\beta \gamma} H \omega^\beta_p - \ell_{\gamma \alpha} H \omega^\gamma_p) \wedge \varphi^c \\
&+ i \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \ell_{\alpha \beta} H \omega^\alpha_p \wedge \varphi^c + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \ell_{\beta \gamma} H \omega^\beta_p \wedge \varphi^c + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \ell_{\gamma \alpha} H \omega^\gamma_p \wedge \varphi^c \\
&+ i \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \ell_{\alpha \beta} H \omega^\alpha_p \wedge \varphi^c + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \ell_{\beta \gamma} H \omega^\beta_p \wedge \varphi^c + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \ell_{\gamma \alpha} H \omega^\gamma_p \wedge \varphi^c
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\ell_{n \beta \alpha} H \varphi^\beta &\wedge \varphi^c = \frac{i}{2 \sqrt{2}} (\ell_{\alpha \beta} H \omega^\alpha_p - \ell_{\beta \gamma} H \omega^\beta_p - \ell_{\gamma \alpha} H \omega^\gamma_p) \wedge \varphi^c \\
&+ i \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \ell_{\alpha \beta} H \omega^\alpha_p \wedge \varphi^c + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \ell_{\beta \gamma} H \omega^\beta_p \wedge \varphi^c + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \ell_{\gamma \alpha} H \omega^\gamma_p \wedge \varphi^c \\
&+ i \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \ell_{\alpha \beta} H \omega^\alpha_p \wedge \varphi^c + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \ell_{\beta \gamma} H \omega^\beta_p \wedge \varphi^c + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \ell_{\gamma \alpha} H \omega^\gamma_p \wedge \varphi^c
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\ell_{n \beta \alpha} H \varphi^\beta &\wedge \varphi^c = \frac{i}{2 \sqrt{2}} (\ell_{\alpha \beta} H \omega^\alpha_p - \ell_{\beta \gamma} H \omega^\beta_p - \ell_{\gamma \alpha} H \omega^\gamma_p) \wedge \varphi^c \\
&+ i \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \ell_{\alpha \beta} H \omega^\alpha_p \wedge \varphi^c + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \ell_{\beta \gamma} H \omega^\beta_p \wedge \varphi^c + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \ell_{\gamma \alpha} H \omega^\gamma_p \wedge \varphi^c \\
&+ i \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \ell_{\alpha \beta} H \omega^\alpha_p \wedge \varphi^c + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \ell_{\beta \gamma} H \omega^\beta_p \wedge \varphi^c + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \ell_{\gamma \alpha} H \omega^\gamma_p \wedge \varphi^c
\end{align*}
\]
3.1. A model bundle for the embedding problem. Let \((HU, HJ)\) be a CR structure on an oriented manifold \(U\) of real dimension \(2n - 1\), and \(U h\) a metric on \(TU\) which is hermitian on \((HU, HJ)\). In this section we construct an associated bundle \(EU\) over \(U\) with fibre \(\mathbb{R}^{2n}\) and endowed with a complex structure \(E J\) and metric \(E h\). For this purpose let the real line bundle \(RU \to TU\) be the orthogonal complement of \(HU \to (TU, U h)\).

We define
\[
EU := TU \oplus RU \cong HU \oplus RU \oplus RU
\]
\[
EJ := HJ \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]
\[
Eh|TU := U h, \quad Eh \text{ is hermitian on } (EU, EJ).
\]

Now choose dual unitary frames \(\{e_k\}_1^n, \{\theta^k\}_1^n\) of \(E_1, 0 U, (E_1, 0 U)^*\) which are adapted to \(iU : TU \to EU\), namely such that \(f_\alpha := i_U e_\alpha \in H_1, 0 U, f_n := \frac{1}{2} i_U (e_n + e_\bar{n}) \in TU\) are compatible with the orientation of \(TU\). Let \(\{\varphi^a\}\) be the dual frame of \(\{f_a\}\).

3.2. Fundamental Existence Theorem for Real Hypersurfaces in Kähler Manifolds. We require the following data.

a) \((HU, HJ)\) is a CR structure on a real oriented \((2n - 1)\)-manifold \(U\)

b) \(U h\) is a metric on \(TU\) which is hermitian on \((HU, HJ)\)

c) \(r : U \to \mathbb{R}\) a function, \(v \in U(n)\).

Using the notation of 3.1 and letting \(U \nabla\) denote the Levi-Civita connection of \((TU, U h)\), we now define a \(u(n)\)-valued connection \(E \omega\) on \(C \otimes EU:\)
\[
E \omega^a_\beta := \varphi^a(U \nabla(\cdot) f_\beta)
\]
\[
E \omega^a_\beta := \varphi^a(U \nabla(\cdot) f_\beta)
\]
\[
E \omega^a_n := \varphi^a(U \nabla f_n f_\gamma)\varphi^\gamma - \varphi^a(U \nabla f_n f_\gamma)\varphi^\gamma + ir\varphi^a
\]
for \(s, t = 1, \ldots, n, \bar{1}, \ldots, \bar{n}; p, q = 1, \ldots, n\). We also define the differential \(d_H\) by
\[
d_H g := (e_\xi \cdot g)\theta^\xi
\]
for a function \(g\) on \(U\) and in a compatible way for forms on \(C \otimes HU\). Note that in general \(d_H^2 \neq 0\).

Theorem 3.2. Let \((HU, HJ, U h, r, v)\) be given as above and assume
\[
d_H(\varphi^a \circ HJ) = 0
\]
\[
H \nabla H J = 0
\]
\[
d E \omega^a_k + E \omega^a_j \wedge E \omega^a_p = 0.
\]

Then for every \(u \in U\) there exists a neighborhood \(U_u\) of \(u\) and a unique
\[
F : U_u \to \mathbb{C}^n
\]
with

\[ F^* T^{1,0} \mathbb{C}^n = E^{1,0} U_u \]
\[ F^* \mathbb{C}^n h = E_h \]
\[ F^* \mathbb{C}^n \omega = E_\omega \]
\[ (F^* v)(u) = \text{id} \]

Remarks. a) Since \( i_U : TU \to EU \) is involutive, we have \( i_U^* d(\theta^n - \theta^n) = 0 \), and by \( (\theta^n - \theta^n) = -i(\theta^n + \theta^n) \circ E J \), the first assumption is an intrinsic version of the involutivity.

b) The second condition is equivalent to

\[ H \omega^\alpha_{\beta} = 0, \]
\[ H \bar{\omega}^\alpha_{\beta} = 0. \]

c) By the first conclusion and since \( C \otimes TU \cap E^{1,0} U = H^{1,0} U, F \) is a CR-embedding. In addition by the second, \( F^* \) preserves adapted unitary frames. Therefore by the third and the definition of \( E_\omega \), we have

\[ \ell_{ab} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{i} E \omega_{\alpha}^n(f_b) = -i \sqrt{2} \varphi^n(U \nabla f_b f_\alpha) \]
\[ \ell_{n\gamma} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{i} E \bar{\omega}_{\alpha}^n(f_\gamma) = i \sqrt{2} \varphi^n(U \nabla f_b f_\alpha) \]
\[ \ell_{nn} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{i} \omega_{\alpha}^n(f_n) = \sqrt{2} r. \]

3.3. Proof of the Existence Theorem. We prove Theorem 3.1 in two steps. First we establish the existence of functions \( e_j : U \to T^{1,0} \mathbb{C}^n, j = 1, \ldots, n \), with the property

\[ \partial e_j = \omega^p_j e_p = 0 \]

where \( \omega^p_q := E \omega_{q}^p \) is defined as in 3.2. The functions \( \{e_j\} \) are represented by their graph \( G \subset U \times \mathbb{C}^{n^2} \). Let \( \pi_1, \pi_2 \) denote the projections to the first and second factors of the product \( U \times \mathbb{C}^{n^2} \) and \( z_k \in \mathbb{C}^n, k = 1, \ldots, n \) be coordinate vectors of \( \mathbb{C}^{n^2} \). Then \( TG \subseteq TU \oplus T\mathbb{C}^{n^2} \) is characterized by

\[ d(z_k \circ \pi_2) - \sum_{p=1}^n z_p \circ \pi_2 \cdot \pi_1^* \omega^p_k = 0 \]

for \( k = 1, \ldots, n \). Let \( I \) be the ideal of differential forms on \( U \times \mathbb{C}^{n^2} \) generated by the left hand sides above and their exterior derivatives. We drop the \( \pi_j \) and differentiate:

\[ d(z_k - z_p \omega^p_k) = dz_p \wedge \omega^p_k + z_p \cdot d\omega^p_k \]
\[ = (dz_p - z_p \omega^p_k) \wedge \omega^p_k \]
\[ \equiv 0 \mod I. \]

Therefore, \( \ker(z_k - z_p \omega^p_k) \) is an integrable distribution by Frobenius’ Theorem, and there exists

\[ e : U_u \to \mathbb{C}^{n^2}, e(p) = v \]
on a neighborhood $U_u \subset U$ of $u$.

We claim that the image of $e$ is contained in $U(n) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$; let $(\cdot, \cdot)$ denote the standard hermitian product of $\mathbb{C}^n$:

$$d(e_j, e_k) = (de_j, e_k) + (e_j, de_k)$$

$$= (\omega^p_j e_p, e_k) + (e_j, \omega^p_k e_p)$$

$$= \omega^p_j (e_p, e_k) + \omega^p_k (e_j, e_p)$$

Define $e_{jk} := (e_j, e_k)(\gamma(t))$ for a real curve in $U$ through $u : \gamma(0) = u$. then $e_{jk}(0) = \delta_{jk}$ and $e_{jk} = \delta_{jk}$ solves the ODE

$$\dot{e}_{jk} = \omega^p_k e_p - \omega^p_p e_p.$$

Therefore $e_{jk} \equiv \delta_{jk}$ which proves the claim.

The second part of the proof consists of constructing $F : U_u \to \mathbb{C}^n$ with

$$dF(f_\xi) = e_\xi, \quad dF(f_n) = \frac{1}{2} (e_n + e_n).$$

Again this map is represented by its graph in $U \times \mathbb{C}^n$, and its tangent distribution satisfies

$$d(\omega \pi_2) - (e_\xi \pi_1) \cdot \pi_1^* \omega \xi - \frac{1}{2} (e_n + e_n) \pi_1 = 0.$$

Here, $z$ is the coordinate vector of $\mathbb{C}^n$. The exterior derivative is computed as follows. We set $\nabla = U \nabla, \omega = E \omega$.

$$d(e_\xi \omega \xi + \frac{1}{2} (e_n + e_n) \omega \eta) = \omega^\xi \omega \eta e_t - e_\xi \omega \eta (\nabla f_b f_\delta) \omega \eta \wedge \omega \xi + \frac{1}{2} (\omega^t_a \omega_k + \omega^k_a \omega_t) \wedge \omega \eta$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} (e_n + e_n) \omega \eta (\nabla f_b f_\delta) \omega \eta \wedge \omega \xi$$

$$= \omega^\xi \omega \eta \cdot e_n + \omega^\eta \omega \xi \cdot e_n - \omega^\xi \omega \eta (\nabla f_b f_\delta) \omega \eta \wedge \omega \eta e_\xi$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \omega^\eta \omega \xi (e_n - e_n) - \frac{1}{2} (\omega^t_a \omega_\delta + \omega^\delta_a \omega_t) \wedge \omega \eta$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} (e_n + e_n) \omega \eta (\nabla f_b f_\delta) \omega \eta \wedge \omega \xi.$$

Note that $\omega \eta (\nabla f_b f_\delta) = -\frac{1}{2} \omega \eta (\nabla f_b f_\delta)$, here we set $\bar{n} = n$. Then by definition of $\omega = E \omega$ in 3.2 we continue

$$d(e_\xi \omega \eta + \frac{1}{2} (e_n + e_n) \omega \eta) = [\omega \eta (\nabla f_b f_\delta) \omega \eta \wedge \omega \eta + \frac{1}{2} (\omega \eta (\nabla f_b f_\delta) \omega \eta \wedge \omega \eta) e_n$$

$$- \omega \eta (\nabla f_b f_\delta) \omega \eta \wedge \omega \eta - \frac{1}{2} \omega \eta (\nabla f_b f_\delta) \omega \eta \wedge \omega \eta] e_n$$

$$+ [\omega \eta (\nabla f_b f_\delta) \omega \eta \wedge \omega \eta - \frac{1}{2} (\omega \eta (\nabla f_b f_\delta) \omega \eta \wedge \omega \eta) e_n$$

$$- \omega \eta (\nabla f_b f_\delta) \omega \eta \wedge \omega \eta - \frac{1}{2} \omega \eta (\nabla f_b f_\delta) \omega \eta \wedge \omega \eta] e_n$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \omega \eta (\nabla f_2 f_\gamma + \nabla f_\delta f_\delta) \omega \eta \wedge \omega \eta (e_n - e_n)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \omega \eta (H (\nabla f_2 f_\gamma - \nabla f_\delta f_\delta)) \omega \eta \wedge \omega \eta (e_n - e_n)$$

$$= 0.$$
The last equation follows from the first assumption of the Fundamental Existence Theorem 3.2. We therefore conclude again by Frobenius’ Theorem that $F : U \to \mathbb{C}^n$ exists as above and satisfies the conclusions of the Theorem by construction.

### 3.4. Existence Theorem for nondegenerate CR manifolds

Here we prescribe a different set of data from 3.2. Namely we assume, using the notation of 3.1:

- a) $(HU,HJ)$ is a nondegenerate oriented CR-manifold.
- b1) $\tau$ is a one-form on $TU$ with $\ker \tau = HN$ and compatible with the orientation of $U$, and $s : U \to \mathbb{R}^+$
- b2) $Hh$ is a hermitian metric on $(HN,HJ)$
- b3) $\{r_\alpha\} : U \to \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$
- c) $r : U \to \mathbb{R}$, $v \in U(n,\mathbb{C})$.

**Proposition 3.3.** Let $(HU,HJ,h,\tau,s,r_\alpha)$ be as in a)-b3) and $\{f_\alpha\}$ as in 3.1. Then there exists a unique vector field $X \in \Gamma(TU)$ with

1. $\tau(X) = s$
2. $h(\nabla_X f_\alpha) = r_\alpha$ for the metric $h$ on $TU$ with $h|H = Hh$, $h(X,X) = 1$, $X \perp H$, and $\nabla$ its Levi-Civita connection.

**Proof.** Let $\{f_\alpha\}$ be dual to $\{\varphi^\alpha, \tau\}$ and make the ansatz $X = a_\alpha f_\alpha + st$. Then by [KN 1, p. 160] and the integrability of the CR structure,

$$h(\nabla_X f_\alpha) = 2h([f_\alpha, X], X)$$

$$= 2h([f_\alpha, a_\beta f_\gamma + a_\gamma f_\beta + st], X)$$

$$= 2a_\gamma \xi([f_\alpha, f_\beta]) + 2s\xi([f_\alpha, t]) + 2s^{-1}(f_\alpha \cdot s).$$

Here, $\zeta = h(\cdot, X)$ and one has $\zeta = s^{-1} \tau$. Therefore,

$$h(\nabla_X f_\alpha) = 2s^{-1} a_\gamma \tau([f_\alpha, f_\beta]) + 2\tau([f_\alpha, t]) + 2s^{-1}(f_\alpha \cdot s).$$

Since $(HN,HJ) \to TN$ is a nondegenerate CR manifold, its Levi-form $\tau[f_\alpha, f_\beta]$ is invertible, and the above equation can be solved uniquely for $a_\gamma$. Then, since $a_\gamma = \bar{a}_\gamma$, the vector field $X$ is uniquely determined. $\square$

Let $\varphi^n := \zeta, f_\alpha := X, U h := h$ and recall the notation of 3.1. Now defining $E_\alpha^{\gamma \beta} := \varphi^n(\nabla(\cdot) f_\beta), E_\beta^{\gamma \alpha} := \varphi^n(\nabla f_\alpha f_\beta) \varphi^\beta + \frac{1}{i\sqrt{2}} f_\beta \varphi^n, E_\alpha^{\gamma n} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (r_\gamma \varphi^n - r_\gamma \varphi^n) + ir_\gamma \varphi^n,$ we have the following

**Corollary 3.4.** Let $(HU,HJ,h,\tau,s,r_\alpha,r,v)$ be as in a)-c). Then the statement of Theorem 3.2 holds for $E_\omega$ as defined above.

**Proof.** By Proposition 3.3, the above definition of $E_\omega$ matches the one in 3.2. Therefore we may apply Theorem 3.2. $\square$

### 4.0. Laplace Operator on $(TN, N^h)$

Setting $f_n = f_\bar{n}$, the Laplace operator on a tensor $T$ on $\mathbb{C} \otimes TN$ is given by

$$N^\Delta T := \text{trace}_{TN}(N \nabla^2 T).$$

Note that for an immersion $F : N^{2n-1} \to M, N^\Delta F = M^\nabla f_k^M \nabla f_k F - M^\nabla X f_k F + \text{complex conjugate} = N^\nabla f_k f_k - N^\nabla f_k f_k + c.c. = L^N X_{2n},$ where $L^N := \sum f_{kk}$. 

...
The latter equals the trace of the Riemannian second fundamental form oriented in such a way that $L^N X_{2n}$ points out of a convex hypersurface. Let now
\[
\ell^2_{(\alpha\beta)} := \sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} k_{\alpha j} k_{\beta j} + k_{n+\alpha j} k_{j n+\beta} + i(k_{\alpha j} k_{j n+\beta} - k_{\beta j} k_{j n+\alpha}).
\]
Note the analogy with $\ell_{\alpha\beta}$ as given in 1.4. For the other coefficients ($\ell_{p\alpha}$), $\ell^2_{(p\alpha)}$ is defined analogously in the pattern of 1.4. The is easy to verify the following
\[
\ell^2_{(p\alpha)} = \frac{1}{2} \ell_{pq} \ell_{qa} + \ell_{pn} \ell_{na}.
\]
We also define $|\ell|^2 := \Sigma_{p,q=1}^{2n-1} k^2_{pq}$. Now the Simons commutator identity in $\mathbb{C}^n$, see [CdCK] reads
\[
N \Delta \ell_{p\alpha} - 2(N \nabla^2 L^N)_{p\alpha} = L^N \ell^2_{(p\alpha)} - |\ell|^2 \ell_{p\alpha}.
\]

4.1. Laplace Operator on $(HN, Hh)$. We define a weakly elliptic second order differential operator
\[
H \Delta T := \text{trace}_H((H \nabla^2 T).
\]
for a tensor $T$ as above. It gives a tensor $H \Delta T$ on $\mathbb{C} \otimes HN$ of the same type. We also define the trace of the Levi form,
\[
L := \sum \ell_{a\bar{\alpha}},
\]
which may be viewed as a horizontal mean curvature of $N$. For $F : N \to M$, we have
\[
H \Delta F = M \nabla^M \nabla_M F - M \nabla_h \nabla_M F
\]
\[
= M \nabla^M \xi - H \nabla_M \xi
\]
\[
= \theta \alpha (M \nabla e_\alpha e_\bar{\alpha}) e_n + \theta \bar{\alpha} (M \nabla e_\alpha e_\bar{\alpha}) e_n
\]
\[
= \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \ell_{a\bar{\alpha}} e_n - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \ell_{\alpha a} e_\bar{\alpha}
\]
\[
= LX_{2n}.
\]

4.2. Commutator identities for $H \Delta \ell$ in Euclidean Space. For a real hypersurface $F : N \to \mathbb{C}^n$ we compute the following commutator relations for $H \Delta \ell$. We use the Codazzi and second-order relations from 2.4 and 2.5. Note that since $M = \mathbb{C}^n$ here, $\Omega = 0$.
\[
H \Delta \ell_{\alpha\beta} - 2 \ell_{\gamma\alpha\beta}
\]
\[
= \ell_{\alpha\beta\gamma\gamma} - \ell_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + 2(\ell_{\alpha\beta\gamma} - \ell_{\alpha\gamma\beta}) + 2(\ell_{\alpha\gamma\beta} - \ell_{\alpha\gamma\beta}) + 2(\ell_{\alpha\gamma\beta} - \ell_{\gamma\alpha\beta})
\]
\[
= i \sqrt{2} L \ell_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{i}{2} (\ell_{\gamma\beta} \ell_{\alpha\gamma} - \ell_{\gamma\beta} \ell_{\alpha\gamma}) + (\ell_{\alpha\beta} \ell_{\alpha\gamma} - \ell_{\gamma\beta} \ell_{\alpha\gamma})
\]
\[
+ i \sqrt{2} (\ell_{\gamma\beta} \ell_{\alpha\gamma} - L \ell_{\alpha\beta})
\]
\[
= i \sqrt{2} L \ell_{\alpha\beta} + \ell_{\alpha\gamma} (\ell_{\alpha\beta} - \ell_{\gamma\beta}) + \ell_{\alpha\gamma} (\ell_{\alpha\gamma} - \ell_{\gamma\alpha}) + \ell_{\alpha\beta} (\ell_{\alpha\gamma} - \ell_{\gamma\alpha}) + \ell_{\alpha\beta} L_{\alpha}
\]
\[-\ell_{\alpha\beta}(\ell_{\alpha\gamma} - \ell_{\gamma\alpha} - \ell_{\alpha\beta}L_{\alpha} - \ell_{\alpha\beta}L_{\alpha} - \ell_{\alpha\gamma}(\ell_{\alpha\beta} - \ell_{\beta\alpha}))
+ \ell_{\alpha\gamma}(\ell_{\alpha\beta} - \ell_{\gamma\beta}L_{\alpha} - \ell_{\gamma\beta}L_{\alpha})
+ \ell_{\alpha\gamma}(\ell_{\alpha\beta} - \ell_{\gamma\beta}L_{\alpha} - \ell_{\gamma\beta}L_{\alpha}) - L(\ell_{\alpha\beta} - \ell_{\alpha\beta}L_{\alpha})\]
\[-\ell_{\alpha\beta}L_{\alpha} - (\ell_{\alpha\gamma} - \ell_{\gamma\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}(\ell_{\alpha\gamma}^2 - \ell_{\gamma\alpha}^2)) + \ell_{\alpha\beta}(\ell_{\alpha\gamma}L_{\alpha} - \ell_{\alpha\gamma}L_{\alpha})\]
\[-\ell_{\alpha\beta}(\ell_{\alpha\gamma}L_{\alpha} - \ell_{\alpha\gamma}L_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}(\ell_{\alpha\gamma}^2 - \ell_{\gamma\alpha}^2)) + \ell_{\alpha\beta}(\ell_{\gamma\alpha}L_{\alpha} + \ell_{\gamma\alpha}L_{\alpha})\]
\[= \frac{1}{2}\ell_{\alpha\beta}(\ell_{\gamma\alpha}^2 + \ell_{\gamma\beta}^2 + 2\ell_{\gamma\gamma} + \frac{1}{2}\ell_{\alpha\beta}^2 + \ell_{\alpha\beta}^2 + 2\ell_{\alpha\beta}L_{\alpha}) + \ell_{\alpha\gamma}(\ell_{\alpha\beta}L_{\alpha} + \ell_{\alpha\beta}L_{\alpha})\]
\[= \frac{1}{2}\ell_{\alpha\beta}(\ell_{\gamma\alpha}^2 + \ell_{\gamma\beta}^2 + 2\ell_{\gamma\gamma} + \frac{1}{2}\ell_{\alpha\beta}^2 + \ell_{\alpha\beta}^2 + 2\ell_{\alpha\beta}L_{\alpha}) + \ell_{\alpha\gamma}(\ell_{\alpha\beta}L_{\alpha} + \ell_{\alpha\beta}L_{\alpha})\]
\[= \sqrt{2}(\ell_{\alpha\beta}L_{\alpha} + \ell_{\alpha\beta}L_{\alpha} + \ell_{\alpha\beta}L_{\alpha} + \ell_{\alpha\beta}L_{\alpha} + \ell_{\alpha\beta}L_{\alpha} + \ell_{\alpha\beta}L_{\alpha})\]
\[= \frac{1}{2}\ell_{\alpha\beta}(\ell_{\gamma\alpha}^2 + \ell_{\gamma\beta}^2 + 2\ell_{\gamma\gamma} + \frac{1}{2}\ell_{\alpha\beta}^2 + \ell_{\alpha\beta}^2 + 2\ell_{\alpha\beta}L_{\alpha}) + \ell_{\alpha\gamma}(\ell_{\alpha\beta}L_{\alpha} + \ell_{\alpha\beta}L_{\alpha})\]
\[= \frac{1}{2}\ell_{\alpha\beta}(\ell_{\gamma\alpha}^2 + \ell_{\gamma\beta}^2 + 2\ell_{\gamma\gamma} + \frac{1}{2}\ell_{\alpha\beta}^2 + \ell_{\alpha\beta}^2 + 2\ell_{\alpha\beta}L_{\alpha}) + \ell_{\alpha\gamma}(\ell_{\alpha\beta}L_{\alpha} + \ell_{\alpha\beta}L_{\alpha})\]
\[= \frac{1}{2}\ell_{\alpha\beta}(\ell_{\gamma\alpha}^2 + \ell_{\gamma\beta}^2 + 2\ell_{\gamma\gamma} + \frac{1}{2}\ell_{\alpha\beta}^2 + \ell_{\alpha\beta}^2 + 2\ell_{\alpha\beta}L_{\alpha}) + \ell_{\alpha\gamma}(\ell_{\alpha\beta}L_{\alpha} + \ell_{\alpha\beta}L_{\alpha})\]
\[= \frac{1}{2}\ell_{\alpha\beta}(\ell_{\gamma\alpha}^2 + \ell_{\gamma\beta}^2 + 2\ell_{\gamma\gamma} + \frac{1}{2}\ell_{\alpha\beta}^2 + \ell_{\alpha\beta}^2 + 2\ell_{\alpha\beta}L_{\alpha}) + \ell_{\alpha\gamma}(\ell_{\alpha\beta}L_{\alpha} + \ell_{\alpha\beta}L_{\alpha})\]
\[
-\frac{1}{2} \ell_{\alpha \beta} (\ell_{\gamma \beta}^2 + \ell_{\gamma \gamma}^2 + 2 \ell_{\alpha \alpha}) + \frac{1}{2} L (\ell_{\gamma \beta}^2 + \ell_{\gamma \gamma}^2 + 2 \ell_{\alpha} \ell_{\beta}) \\
-2 \ell_{\alpha} (\ell_{\alpha \beta}^2 + \ell_{\beta \beta}^3) + 4 (\ell_{\alpha \alpha} \ell_{\alpha \beta} + \ell_{\alpha} \ell_{\alpha \beta}^2) + \frac{1}{2} (\ell_{\alpha \beta}^2 + \ell_{\beta \beta}^3) - \frac{1}{2} (\ell_{\alpha} \ell_{\beta}^2 + \ell_{\beta}^2 \ell_{\gamma}).
\]

\[
\mathbb{H} \Delta \ell_{\alpha \beta} = -2 \ell_{\gamma \gamma \gamma} \\
= \ell_{\alpha \beta \gamma} - \ell_{\alpha \beta \gamma} + 2 (\ell_{\alpha \beta \gamma} - \ell_{\alpha \gamma \beta} \gamma) + 2 (\ell_{\alpha \beta \gamma} - \ell_{\alpha \gamma \beta} \gamma) + 2 (\ell_{\alpha \gamma \gamma} - \ell_{\gamma \gamma \alpha}) \\
= i \sqrt{2} L \ell_{\alpha \beta} + \frac{1}{2} (\ell_{\alpha \beta}^3 - \ell_{\alpha \gamma} \ell_{\beta \gamma}) + i \sqrt{2} (\ell_{\alpha \beta}^2 - \ell_{\alpha \gamma}^2 + 2 \ell_{\alpha} \ell_{\beta} \gamma) \\
+ \ell_{\alpha \beta} \ell_{\gamma \gamma} - \ell_{\alpha \gamma} \ell_{\beta \gamma} + i \sqrt{2} (-L \ell_{\alpha \beta} + \frac{1}{2} (\ell_{\alpha \gamma}^2 - \ell_{\gamma \gamma}^2)).
\]

\[
\mathbb{H} \Delta \ell_{\alpha \beta} = -2 \ell_{\gamma \gamma \gamma} \\
= \ell_{\alpha \beta \gamma} - \ell_{\alpha \beta \gamma} + 2 (\ell_{\alpha \beta \gamma} - \ell_{\alpha \gamma \beta} \gamma) + 2 (\ell_{\alpha \beta \gamma} - \ell_{\alpha \gamma \beta} \gamma) + 2 (\ell_{\alpha \gamma \gamma} - \ell_{\gamma \gamma \alpha}) \\
= i \sqrt{2} L \ell_{\alpha \beta} + \frac{1}{2} (\ell_{\alpha \beta}^3 - \ell_{\alpha \gamma} \ell_{\beta \gamma}) + i \sqrt{2} (\ell_{\alpha \beta}^2 - \ell_{\alpha \gamma}^2 + 2 \ell_{\alpha} \ell_{\beta} \gamma) \\
+ \ell_{\alpha \beta} \ell_{\gamma \gamma} - \ell_{\alpha \gamma} \ell_{\beta \gamma} + i \sqrt{2} (-L \ell_{\alpha \beta} + \frac{1}{2} (\ell_{\alpha \gamma}^2 - \ell_{\gamma \gamma}^2)).
\]
\[ -\ell_{nn}(\ell_{n\gamma} - \ell_{n\gamma n}) - \ell_{n\gamma}(\ell_{nn\gamma} + \frac{1}{2}\ell_{n\gamma}\ell_{n\gamma}) + \frac{1}{2}\ell_{n\gamma}(\ell_{n\gamma} - \ell_{n\gamma n}) + \frac{1}{2}\ell_{n\gamma}(\ell_{n\gamma} - \ell_{n\gamma n}) \]

\[ -\frac{1}{2}\ell_{n\gamma}(\ell_{n\gamma\delta} - \ell_{n\gamma}) - \frac{1}{2}\ell_{n\gamma}(\ell_{n\gamma\delta} - \ell_{n\gamma}) - \ell_{n\gamma\delta}L_n - L\ell_{n\gamma\delta n} + \frac{1}{2}(\ell_{n\gamma}^2 - \ell_{n\gamma}^2) \]

\[ + \ell_{n\gamma}L - \ell_{n\gamma}L_n \]

\[ = i\sqrt{2}[\ell_{n\gamma}(\ell_{nn\gamma} - \ell_{n\gamma n\gamma} + \frac{3}{2}(\ell_{n\delta}L_{\delta} - \ell_{n\delta\delta}L_n) + \ell_{n\gamma}(\ell_{n\delta\delta}L_n - \ell_{n\gamma\delta\delta}L_n)] \]

\[ - \frac{3}{2}\ell_{nn}(\ell_{n\gamma}^2 + \ell_{n\gamma}^2 + \frac{2}{3}\ell_{nn}^2) + L(\ell_{n\gamma}^2 + (\ell_{nn})^2) + 6\ell_{nn}^3 + \frac{1}{2}(\ell_{n\gamma}^2 - \ell_{n\gamma}^2) \].

4.3. Computation of \( H^\Delta(\ell_{a\alpha}^2) \).

\[ H^\Delta(\ell_{a\alpha}^2) \]

\[ = 2\ell_{a\alpha}^2H^\Delta(\ell_{a\alpha}^2) + 4\ell_{a\beta\alpha\gamma}L_{a\beta\gamma} \]

\[ = 4\ell_{a\beta\alpha\gamma}L_{a\beta\gamma} + i^{2/3}\{\ell_{a\beta}(\ell_{n\gamma} + \ell_{n\gamma}) - \ell_{a\gamma}(\ell_{n\gamma} + \ell_{n\gamma}) + 2(\ell_{n\gamma}^2 - \ell_{n\gamma}^2)\} \]

\[ + \ell_{n\gamma}L_{a\alpha} - \ell_{n\gamma}L_{a\alpha n} \]

\[ - \frac{1}{2}\ell_{n\gamma}(\ell_{n\gamma}^2 + \ell_{n\gamma}^2 + 2\ell_{n\gamma}^2) + L(\ell_{n\gamma}^2 + \ell_{n\gamma}^2 + 2\ell_{n\gamma}^2) \]

\[ + 2\ell_{n\gamma}(\ell_{n\gamma}^2 - \ell_{n\gamma}^2) + (\ell_{n\gamma}^2 - \ell_{n\gamma}^2) + 4\ell_{a\alpha\gamma}L_{a\alpha\gamma} \]

\[ = 4\ell_{a\beta\alpha\gamma}L_{a\beta\gamma} + i^{2/3}\{\ell_{n\gamma}^2(e_{\gamma} - \ell_{n\gamma}^2) \cdot L \}

\[ + i\sqrt{2}[\ell_{n\gamma}e_{\gamma} - \ell_{n\gamma}e_{\gamma}] \cdot \ell_{n\gamma} + 4\ell_{a\beta\alpha\gamma}L_{a\alpha\gamma} + (\ell_{n\gamma}^2 - \ell_{n\gamma}^2) + L\ell_{a\alpha\gamma}^2 + \ell_{a\alpha\gamma}^2 \]

\[ + 2(\ell_{n\gamma}^2\ell_{a\alpha\gamma} - \ell_{n\gamma}^2) + 2[L(\ell_{a\alpha\gamma}^2 - \ell_{a\alpha\gamma}^2) \].

5. Maximum principle and an application. An embedded curve in \( N \) is called horizontal if its tangent is contained in \( HN \hookrightarrow TN \). The Laplace operator \( H^\Delta \) of \( (HN, h) \) satisfies a strong maximum principle along horizontal curves of \( N \). This was proved earlier in [A] in three dimensions for contact manifolds and the arguments apply in the case of a strictly pseudoconvex \( N \).

**Theorem 5.1.** Let \( H^\Delta g \geq 0 \) for a smooth real function \( g \) on \( N \) and \( g \leq K \) on \( N \). If \( g(a) = K \) for some \( a \in N \), then \( g|_\gamma = g(a) \) for all horizontal curves \( \gamma \) of \( N \) through \( a \).

If the horizontal distribution \( HN \) in \( TN \) defines a contact structure on \( N \), that is if \( N \) inherits a nondegenerate CR-structure, then the horizontal curves are also called Legendre curves and by a well-known result, see [AG], they connect arbitrary points on \( N \). The above now immediately gives the following strong maximum principle: Let \( N \hookrightarrow (M, J, h) \) be a compact embedded real hypersurface that is strictly pseudoconvex. If \( H^\Delta g \geq 0 \) (or \( \leq 0 \)) for a smooth real function \( g \) on \( N \), then \( g \) is constant.

**Theorem 5.2.** Let \( F : N \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \) be a compact, connected, strictly pseudoconvex real hypersurface. Assume that the horizontal mean curvature \( L \) of \( N \) is constant and that \( M \nabla |(F^*T^1, 0 M \times H^1, 0 N) \subset H^1, 0 N \). Then \( N \) is a metric sphere.

**Proof.** Let \( \{e_k\} \) be frame adapted to \( N \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \) as in 1.2. Then by the assumption on the Levi-Civita connection, \( \theta^a(\nabla_{e_k} e_\alpha) = 0 \), and therefore \( \ell_{a\alpha} = 0 \). Now by 4.3 and since \( L = \text{const} \), we have

\[ (H^\Delta - i\sqrt{2}(\ell_{n\alpha}e_\alpha - \ell_{n\alpha}e_\alpha)) \cdot \ell_{a\alpha} \geq (L\ell_{a\alpha} - (\ell_{a\alpha})^2). \]
Since $N$ is strictly pseudoconvex, we have $\ell_{\alpha\alpha} > 0$ for every $\alpha$, and therefore the right hand side is nonnegative. To see this choose a frame in which $\ell_{\alpha\beta}$ is diagonal. Then

$$
\left(\sum_{\alpha} \ell_{\alpha\alpha}\right) \left(\sum_{\beta} (\ell_{\beta\beta})^3\right) - \left(\sum_{\alpha} (\ell_{\alpha\alpha})^2\right)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha\beta} \ell_{\alpha\alpha} \ell_{\beta\beta} (\ell_{\alpha\alpha} - \ell_{\beta\beta})^2 \geq 0.
$$

The differential operator acting on $\ell_{\alpha\alpha}$ satisfies the strong maximum principle, therefore $\ell_{\alpha\alpha} = \text{const}$. This implies $L\ell_{\alpha\alpha} - (\ell_{\alpha\alpha})^2 = 0$ and $\ell_{\beta\beta} = c_1$ for all $\beta$. Next consider

$$
\nabla_{e_{\alpha}} X_{2n} = i \sqrt{2} \nabla_{e_{\alpha}} (e_n - e_n)
$$

$$
= i \sqrt{2} \left(\omega^k_n (e_{\alpha}) e_k - \omega^k_n (e_{\alpha}) e_k\right)
$$

$$
= -\frac{1}{2} \left(\ell_{\alpha k} e_k + \ell_{\alpha k} e_k\right)
$$

$$
= -\frac{1}{2} \ell_{\alpha\beta} e_{\beta}
$$

$$
= -\frac{1}{2} c_1 e_{\alpha}.
$$

Now on $N \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ we have, if $z$ denotes the coordinate vector of $\mathbb{C}^n$,

$$
\nabla_{e_{\alpha}} (z + \frac{2}{c_1} X_{2n}) = e_{\alpha} + \frac{2}{c_1} (-\frac{1}{2}) c_1 e_{\alpha}
$$

$$
= 0.
$$

This implies, since also $\nabla_{e_{\alpha}} (z + \frac{2}{c_1} X_{2n}) = 0$, and the curvature of $\mathbb{C}^n$ vanishes, that on $N$

$$
0 = \nabla_{[e_{\alpha}, e_{\alpha}]} (z + \frac{2}{c_1} X_{2n})
$$

$$
= \nabla_{e_{\alpha}} (z + \frac{2}{c_1} X_{2n})
$$

$$
= -\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}} \ell_{\alpha\alpha} \nabla_{e_{\alpha}} (z + \frac{2}{c_1} X_{2n}).
$$

Therefore, since $\ell_{\alpha\alpha} \neq 0$, we conclude that $(z + \frac{2}{c_1} X_{2n})$ vanishes identically on $N$, and for any $v \in TN$, $v \cdot |z|^2 = 2Re(z, v) = -2 \frac{2}{c_1} (X_{2n}, v) = 0$ which implies that $|z|^2 = c_3$ on $N$. Therefore $N$ is a metric sphere. \(\square\)

**Remark.** The assumption that $H^{1,0}N$ is parallel in $(\mathbb{F}^* T^{1,0}M, M, \nabla)$ is equivalent to $\ell_{k\beta} = 0$ on the hypersurface. Since

$$
\nabla_{X_{n}} X_{n} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\theta^k (\nabla_{e_{\alpha}} (e_n + e_n)) e_k + \theta^k (\nabla_{e_{\alpha}} (e_n + e_n)) e_k\right)
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{2} \left(\omega^k_{n} (e_{\alpha}) e_k + \omega^k_{n} (e_{\alpha}) e_k\right)
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{2} \ell_{nk} e_k - \ell_{kn} e_k,
$$

we see that the condition $\ell_{n\alpha} = 0$ implies that the integral curves of the vertical line bundle are geodesics of $N$. This, together with the condition $\ell_{\alpha\beta} = 0$, implies that the second fundamental form for the underlying Riemannian metric, as related in 1.4, has
the form $A \oplus l_n \oplus A$. Here we refer to the real basis $X_1, ..., X_{n-1}, X_n, X_{n+1}, ..., X_{2n-1}$ that corresponds to the frame $e_k$ as in 1.2 and in which $\ell_{\alpha\beta}$ is diagonal, and $A$ is the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix with the coefficients $k_{\alpha\beta}$ as in 1.4. The assumption of strict pseudoconvexity says that $A$ is positive definite, and constant horizontal mean curvature says that the trace of $A$ is constant in this setting. We have no assumption on the real coefficient $l_{nn}$.
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