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Lamina-associated polypeptide 2α regulates cell cycle progression and differentiation via the retinoblastoma–E2F pathway

Daniela Dorner,1 Sylvia Vlcek,1 Nicole Foeger,1 Andreas Gajewski,1 Christian Makolm,1 Josef Gotzmann,1 Christopher J. Hutchison,2 and Roland Foisner1

1Max F. Perutz Laboratories, Department of Medical Biochemistry, Medical University of Vienna, A-1030 Vienna, Austria
2Department of Biological Sciences, University of Durham, Durham DH1 3HP, United Kingdom

Lamina-associated polypeptide (LAP) 2α is a nonmembrane-bound LAP2 isoform that forms complexes with nucleoplasmic A-type lamins. In this study, we show that the overexpression of LAP2α in fibroblasts reduced proliferation and delayed entry into the cell cycle from a G0 arrest. In contrast, stable downregulation of LAP2α by RNA interference accelerated proliferation and interfered with cell cycle exit upon serum starvation. The LAP2α-linked cell cycle phenotype is mediated by the retinoblastoma (Rb) protein because the LAP2α COOH terminus directly bound Rb, and overexpressed LAP2α inhibited E2F/Rb-dependent reporter gene activity in G1 phase in an Rb-dependent manner. Furthermore, LAP2α associated with promoter sequences in endogenous E2F/Rb-dependent target genes in vivo and negatively affected their expression. In addition, the expression of LAP2α in proliferating preadipocytes caused the accumulation of hypophosphorylated Rb, which is reminiscent of noncycling cells, and initiated partial differentiation into adipocytes. The effects of LAP2α on cell cycle progression and differentiation may be highly relevant for the cell- and tissue-specific phenotypes observed in laminopathic diseases.

Introduction

The nuclear lamina is part of the nuclear envelope in multicellular eukaryotes opposing the inner nuclear membrane (Hutchison and Worman, 2004; Gruenbaum et al., 2005). The major components of the nuclear lamina are type V intermediate filament proteins referred to as lamins (Hutchison, 2002; Shumaker et al., 2003) and various lamin-binding proteins (Burke and Stewart, 2002). Among the best-studied lamin-binding proteins are the LAP2 family members. Of the six alternatively spliced LAP2 isoforms, four—LAP2β, -γ, -δ, and -ε—are type II membrane proteins in the inner nuclear membrane (Harris et al., 1994; Berger et al., 1996) and bind lamin B (Foisner and Gerace, 1993; Furukawa et al., 1998). LAP2α is structurally and functionally different. It shares only the NH2 terminus with the other isoforms and contains a unique COOH terminus. LAP2α associates with promoter sequences in endogenous E2F/Rb-dependent target genes in vivo and negatively affects their expression. In addition, the expression of LAP2α in proliferating preadipocytes caused the accumulation of hypophosphorylated Rb, which is reminiscent of noncycling cells, and initiated partial differentiation into adipocytes. The effects of LAP2α on cell cycle progression and differentiation may be highly relevant for the cell- and tissue-specific phenotypes observed in laminopathic diseases.
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RNA Pol II–dependent transcription (Spann et al., 2002), and control of gene expression (Gruenbaum et al., 2005).

Aside from lamins, numerous lamin-binding proteins are also considered to be important components of the nuclear lamina and of nucleoplasmic lamin structures (Foisner, 2001; Burke and Stewart, 2002). Among the best-studied lamin-binding proteins are the LAP2 family members. Of the six alternatively spliced LAP2 isoforms, four—LAP2β, -γ, -δ, and -ε—are type II membrane proteins in the inner nuclear membrane (Harris et al., 1994; Berger et al., 1996) and bind lamin B (Foisner and Gerace, 1993; Furukawa et al., 1998). LAP2α is structurally and functionally different. It shares only the NH2 terminus with the other isoforms and contains a unique COOH terminus. LAP2α is localized throughout the nucleus (Dechat et al., 1998; Vlcek et al., 1999) and has been identified as a specific binding partner of nucleoplasmic A-type lamins (Dechat et al., 2000).

All LAP2 isoforms as well as the inner nuclear membrane proteins emerin and MAN1 share a common structural motif called the LAP2–emerin–MAN1 (LEM) domain (Lin et al., 2000), which mediates binding to BAF (barrier to autointegration factor), an essential, highly conserved DNA-binding protein...
in eukaryotes (Segura-Totten and Wilson, 2004). Based on these interactions, LEM domain proteins in the nuclear lamina and the nuclear interior have been implicated in chromatin organization (Dechat et al., 2004; Segura-Totten and Wilson, 2004)

Mutations in the \textit{LMNA} gene have been shown to cause a variety of inherited human diseases (laminopathies) that affect different tissues, including skeletal muscle, heart, adipose tissue, peripheral nerves, and skin, or cause premature aging (Burke and Stewart, 2002; Hutchison and Worman, 2004; Mounkes and Stewart, 2004). The molecular basis of these diseases is still unclear. Besides structural defects in lamin complexes, it has also been suggested that disease-causing mutations in \textit{LMNA} may interfere with the proposed gene regulatory functions of lamins (Hutchison and Worman, 2004). Among several reported interactions of lamina proteins with transcriptional activators or repressors (Gruenbaum et al., 2005), the interaction of A-type lamins (Ozaki et al., 1994) and of nucleoplasmic lamin A/C–LAP2α complexes (Markiewicz et al., 2002) with the retinoblastoma (Rb) protein may also regulate gene expression via influencing Rb activity. Rb regulates progression through the cell cycle at the G1→S-phase transition by inhibiting the activity of E2F-type transcription factors in a phosphorylation-dependent manner and by mediating epigenetic changes on the promoter region of E2F/Rb target genes (Frolov and Dyson, 2004). It has been shown that Rb is required for the terminal differentiation of many tissues, including adipose and muscle tissue (Hansen et al., 2004; Huh et al., 2004), which are also affected in laminopathies. Based on these data, an intriguing laminopathy disease model has recently been raised, arguing that lamin A/C complexes may cooperate with Rb in controlling cell cycle progression and differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells during tissue regeneration and turnover. Disease-causing
mutations in LMNA or a lack of lamin A/C may affect the balance between proliferation and differentiation in stem cells, leading to a defect in tissue regeneration (Gotzmann and Foisner, 2005). Intriguingly, mutations in the gene encoding the nucleoplasmic lamin A/C-interacting protein LAP2α has recently been linked to dilated cardiomyopathy in humans, clinically resembling lamin A–linked disease phenotypes (Taylor et al., 2005). As LAP2α is only expressed at very low levels in differentiated muscle, it is hard to imagine how mutations in LAP2α can lead to the disease phenotype in fully differentiated heart muscle cells. Therefore, it is tempting to speculate that LAP2α, which has previously been shown to mediate the nuclear retention of Rb (Markiewicz et al., 2002), may also be involved in Rb-mediated control of cell cycle progression and differentiation in muscle precursor cells. Deregulation of this function in patients expressing the disease variant of LAP2α may then lead to impaired tissue turnover.

In this study, we investigate whether LAP2α can affect cell cycle progression and differentiation. We found that LAP2α inhibits progression from G1 to S phase and initiates early stages of differentiation in an in vitro adipocyte differentiation culture model. We further show that the cell cycle and differentiation regulatory function of LAP2α requires Rb and involves regulation of the activity of E2F transcription factors.

**Results**

**LAP2α expression levels affect cell cycle progression**

To test the influence of LAP2α expression levels on cell cycle progression, we used two different cell models. First, we generated stable HeLa cell clones expressing a myc-tagged LAP2α under the control of a doxycyclin-dependent promoter, which allowed analysis of the cell cycle phenotypes in a single cell clone expressing different levels of LAP2α. Although HeLa cells are transformed by human papilloma virus E7 oncogene (Helt and Galloway, 2003), they retain a certain level of cell cycle control, as shown by their growth-inhibitory response to serum starvation (see serum starvation data in Fig. 2). LAP2α-myc HeLa cells grown in the absence of doxycyclin expressed exclusively endogenous LAP2α, which is shown by immunofluorescence microscopy and immunoblot analyses of total cell lysates. The addition of doxycyclin to the culture medium induced the expression of myc-tagged LAP2α in the nucleoplasm (Fig. 1 A), giving rise to a total LAP2α level twice as high as that in uninduced cells. Cells grown in the absence of doxycyclin proliferated significantly faster than the cells grown permanently in doxycyclin-containing medium (18- vs. 22-h doubling times; Fig. 1 A). When doxycyclin was added to cells only upon seeding into culture dishes, they showed intermediate growth rates (~20-h doubling time; Fig. 1 A). The addition of doxycyclin to untransfected cells had no influence on cell growth (Vlcek et al., 1999). Interestingly, the cell proliferation-inhibitory effect of LAP2α was most prominent in dense cultures. DNA flow cytometry analyses in dense cultures grown in the absence or presence of doxycyclin (Fig. 1 C) showed a slight increase in the relative number of cells in G1 phase (63–70%) and a decrease in S-phase cells (24–19%) upon doxycyclin addition. In addition, we showed previously by BrdU incorporation assays that transient overexpression of LAP2α leads to a reduction in cells in S phase (Vlcek et al., 2002). Therefore, we concluded that increased LAP2α protein levels negatively affect G1→S-phase transition.

To confirm this result in a cell system with an intact cell cycle checkpoint control mechanism, we stably expressed a LAP2α-GFP fusion protein in mouse 3T3 fibroblasts. As shown by immunoblot analyses of cell lysates and by immunofluorescence microscopy, stable 3T3 cell clones expressed roughly equal amounts of ectopic LAP2α-GFP and endogenous LAP2α, both of which localized to the nucleoplasm (Fig. 1 B). In three independent clones of LAP2α-GFP-expressing cells, we found a subtle but reproducible reduction in their cell proliferation rate compared with that of untransfected or GFP-expressing control cells under optimal culture conditions (10% FCS). DNA flow cytometry also revealed a slight increase in G1-phase cells upon LAP2α-GFP expression (not depicted), indicating an inhibitory role of LAP2α on G1→S-phase progression. However, because both control cells and LAP2α-GFP-expressing cells showed an efficient cell cycle arrest in dense cultures (Fig. 1 B), the proliferation-inhibitory role of LAP2α may not be detectable as clearly as in dense HeLa cells, which have a compromised, although not completely inhibited, density-mediated growth control.
Therefore, we used an alternative approach and forced 3T3 cells into cell cycle arrest by serum starvation (0.5\% FCS) for 7 d before we induced cell cycle entry by the addition of complete medium. DNA flow cytometry revealed that LAP2\(\alpha\)-GFP-expressing cells showed a significantly delayed reentry into S phase (Fig. 1 C) and a persistent growth reduction within 7 d after induction (Fig. 1 B) when compared with untransfected or GFP-expressing cell clones. We concluded that increased LAP2\(\alpha\) protein levels only weakly affected cell proliferation in growing 3T3 cells but interfered with pathways regulating G0/G1 phase to S-phase transition.

Having shown that the overexpression of LAP2\(\alpha\) negatively affects proliferation and cell cycle entry, we wondered whether reduced levels of LAP2\(\alpha\) would have opposing effects. Although stable RNAi-mediated down-regulation of LAP2\(\alpha\) was not achieved in 3T3 fibroblasts, stable HeLa cell clones with reduced levels of LAP2\(\alpha\) were generated successfully. Immunoblot analyses of total cell lysates showed that unlike an unrelated control RNAi construct (targeting firefly luciferase), stable transfection with a LAP2\(\alpha\)-specific short hairpin RNA (shRNA) construct reduced endogenous LAP2\(\alpha\) to <10\% of the protein level in control cells, whereas the expression of LAP2\(\beta\) remained unchanged (Fig. 2 A). In addition, immunofluorescence microscopy of LAP2\(\alpha\) knockout versus control cells revealed a significant down-regulation of LAP2\(\alpha\) protein. As expected, the proliferation rate of LAP2\(\alpha\) knockout cell clones was increased significantly compared with that of control cells even in optimal growth conditions (Fig. 2 B, 10\% FCS).

Interestingly, in low serum conditions (0.5\% FCS), control cells exhibited a very low proliferation rate (45-h doubling time), whereas proliferation of LAP2\(\alpha\) knockdown cells was similar to that in complete medium (25-h doubling time). DNA flow cytometry confirmed that LAP2\(\alpha\)-deficient cells were not as efficiently arrested in G1 phase as control cells in low serum medium (Fig. 2 C). Altogether, we concluded that LAP2\(\alpha\) is involved in cell cycle entry/exit checkpoints and/or in G1→S-phase transition.

**LAP2\(\alpha\) interacts with Rb in the COOH terminus and associates with E2F/Rb promoter sequences in vivo**

Next, we wanted to gain more insights into the molecular basis of LAP2\(\alpha\)’s effect on the cell cycle. Our previous data revealed that LAP2\(\alpha\) bound to hypophosphorylated Rb (Markiewicz et al., 2002), which is the predominant Rb form in G1 phase of proliferating cells or in resting cells in G0 phase. Hypophosphorylated Rb blocks transition into S phase by inhibiting the activity of E2F transcription factors that are essential for the expression of S phase–specific genes (Classon and Harlow, 2002). Therefore, we reasoned that overexpressed LAP2\(\alpha\) may bind Rb and, in turn, affect its E2F-repressing activity. To analyze the interaction of LAP2\(\alpha\) and Rb in more detail, we narrowed down the Rb interaction domain in LAP2\(\alpha\). In vitro–translated and \(^{35}\)S)methionine-labeled Rb was overlaid onto transfected recombinant LAP2\(\alpha\) fragments. A Ponceau S stain of the respective blot and an autoradiogram of the overlay are shown. Numbers on the right indicate molecular masses in kD. (B) HeLa cells stably expressing LAP2\(\alpha\)-GFP were fixed in formaldehyde, mechanically lysed by sonication, and LAP2\(\alpha\) was immunoprecipitated using monoclonal (m) or polyclonal (p) antibody to LAP2\(\alpha\). Control precipitations were performed with antibody-free medium (ctrl) and with preimmune serum (PI). Immunoblots of immunoprecipitates and respective inputs (IP; diluted 1:10) with antiserum to LAP2\(\alpha\), Rb, or monoclonal antibody to lamin A/C are shown. (C) Chromatin immunoprecipitation was performed from HeLa and 3T3 cells using monoclonal and polyclonal antibody to LAP2\(\alpha\), preimmune serum, or anti-acetylhistone H4 (H4), and E2F-dependent promoter sequences in the cyclin D1 and thymidine kinase (TK) genes were amplified by PCR. As a negative control, the presence of histone H4 or E-cadherin promoter sequences was tested. Ethidium bromide–stained DNA fragments in agarose gels are shown. IP, 1\% input.

---

*Figure 3. LAP2\(\alpha\) binds to Rb at its COOH terminus and associates with Rb, lamin A/C, and E2F promoter sequences in vivo. [A] Schematic drawing of LAP2\(\alpha\) and LAP2\(\beta\) fragments used for binding studies and localization of molecular domains and interaction regions with Rb and lamin A/C in the polypeptide. Numbers denote amino acid positions; +, interaction; −, no interaction of respective LAP2\(\alpha\) fragments with Rb. Light gray boxes denote the constant LAP2\(\alpha\) regions, including LEM-like and LEM domains (hatched). Medium gray denotes the \(\alpha\)-specific region, whereas dark gray indicates the chromatin interaction domain. On the right, in vitro–translated \(^{35}\)S-labeled Rb binds to Rb at its COOH terminus and associates with LAP2\(\alpha\) at its COOH terminus and associates with Rb, lamin A/C, and E2F promoter sequences in vivo.

---
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LEM-like domain (aa 81–693) or the LAP2 common domain (including the LEM-like and LEM domain; aa 188–693) strongly interacted with Rb (Fig. 3 A). Because a COOH-terminal LAP2α fragment (aa 410–693) and a fragment missing the last COOH-terminal 78 residues (aa 1–615) also bound Rb, although less strongly than the other construct, we concluded that the Rb interaction domain of LAP2α is located in LAP2α’s unique COOH-terminal domain between aa 415–615, but residues downstream of aa 615 may also contribute to the interaction (Fig. 3 A). Intriguingly, the Rb interaction domain is located next to the previously identified lamin A/C interaction domain (Fig. 3 A; Dechat et al., 2000). Thus, it may well be possible that LAP2α, lamin A/C, and Rb form a trimeric complex in cells, as previously suggested based on communoprecipitation of these proteins from lysates of untransformed human dermal fibroblasts (Markiewicz et al., 2002). To test whether this complex can also be formed in transformed HeLa cells, in which at least part of Rb is bound to E6 and E7 oncoproteins, we immunoprecipitated LAP2α complexes from LAP2α-GFP-expressing HeLa cells and analyzed samples by immunoblotting. As shown in Fig. 3 B, LAP2α/LAP2α-GFP immunoprecipitates obtained with a monoclonal antibody (m) or a polyclonal serum to LAP2α (p) contained Rb and lamin A/C. Control precipitations with mouse IgG (Fig. 3 B, ctrl) or preimmune serum (Fig. 3 B, PI) did not pull down any of these proteins. Thus, LAP2α–lamin A/C–Rb complexes also exist in transformed HeLa cells and could potentially be involved in the aforementioned cell cycle regulatory function of LAP2α (Figs. 1 and 2).

We were unable to detect E6, E7, and E2F proteins in cell lysates and immunoprecipitates most likely as a result of their low concentrations in the samples. Therefore, to test the association of LAP2α with E2F complexes, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation with monoclonal and polyclonal LAP2α antibodies and tested the association of LAP2α with endogenous E2F-dependent promoters (Fig. 3 C). Intriguingly, unlike the preimmune serum (Fig. 3 C, PI), both antibodies pulled down DNA fragments containing E2F-dependent promoter sequences of the thymidine kinase (Fig. 3 C, TK) genes in HeLa and 3T3 cells. Furthermore, the E2F-dependent promoter sequence of cyclin D1 was efficiently precipitated by monoclonal LAP2α antibody (Fig. 3 C, m) in 3T3 and HeLa cells and by LAP2α antiserum (Fig. 3 C, p) in HeLa cells, whereas promoter sequences of the E2F-independent genes histone H4 and E-cadherin were not detected in the immunoprecipitates over background level. In contrast, antibodies to acetylated histone H4 as a positive control pulled down all DNA sequences tested. Thus LAP2α complexes specifically bind to E2F-dependent promoter sequences in vivo.

**LAP2α affects E2F-dependent transcription**

To test whether LAP2α may affect E2F-dependent promoter activity, we tested the effect of overexpressed LAP2α in an E2F-dependent luciferase reporter assay. Proliferating 3T3 cultures exhibited a basal reporter activity, which was induced threefold upon the transient expression of E2F-1. Coexpression of E2F-1 and Rb reduced reporter activity to basal levels as expected because Rb can sequester and inactivate free active E2F-1 (Fig. 4 A). Importantly, the coexpression of LAP2α with E2F-1 also decreased the reporter activity as effectively as Rb, whereas an NH2-terminal LAP2α fragment (LAP2α-N) that did not bind Rb (Fig. 3 A) and did not effect cell cycle progression...
in HeLa cells (Vlcek et al., 2002) had no significant influence on E2F-dependent activity. Thus, full-length LAP2α efficiently inhibited exogenous E2F-dependent activity, which is consistent with the negative effect of LAP2α on cell proliferation and cell cycle reentry.

So far, we have shown that LAP2α interferes with the activity of overexpressed ectopic E2F-1. To confirm this result under more physiological conditions, we wanted to analyze the effect of LAP2α on the activity of endogenous E2F. As expected, endogenous E2F-dependent activity was low in aphidicolin-treated cells, which were arrested at the G1 → S-phase transition (shown by DNA flow cytometry; Fig. 4 B). Aphidicolin-arrested 3T3 cells also contained predominantly hypophosphorylated Rb that migrates faster on SDS PAGE, whereas proliferating 3T3 cells revealed an Rb double band, reflecting hyper- and hypophosphorylated forms. Thus, unlike transformed HEK cells (as shown in a control in Fig. 4 B), aphidicolin-treated 3T3 cells were efficiently arrested at the G1–S-phase boundary. Upon the release of cells from the block, they synchronously proceeded through S phase, and E2F-dependent activity was increased 2–6 h after the release, whereas it declined again after 8–10 h when cells were in late S/G2 phase (Fig. 4 B). We measured the effect of overexpressed LAP2α on endogenous activity at two different cell cycle stages: in late G1 phase (aphidicolin arrested), when E2F-dependent activity is low because of the expression of hypophosphorylated Rb, and in S phase (4 h after release), when E2F-dependent activity is high. In G1 phase, a low basal E2F-dependent activity was further reduced (twofold) upon the expression of exogenous Rb or of LAP2α (Fig. 4 C). In contrast, in S-phase cells with a higher basal E2F-dependent activity, LAP2α expression was unable to repress E2F-dependent activity, whereas overexpressed Rb still reduced it up to twofold (Fig. 4 C). These results imply that LAP2α can repress E2F-dependent activity through hypophosphorylated Rb in G1 phase, which is consistent with our previous data that LAP2α binds preferentially to the G1 phase-specific hypophosphorylated Rb (Markiewicz et al., 2002). Overall, our studies strongly support a role of LAP2α in regulating E2F-dependent activity in an Rb-dependent manner.

Having shown that LAP2α binds to promoter sequences of endogenous E2F target genes, we wondered whether overexpressed LAP2α also affects the expression of these genes. As E2F target genes are differentially expressed during the cell cycle, we arrested 3T3 control cells and LAP2α-GFP–expressing 3T3 cells in G0 phase by serum starvation. After reentry into the cell cycle by serum addition, we analyzed mRNA levels of three known E2F target genes (cyclin D1, thymidine kinase, and cyclin E) and of one E2F-independent control gene (actin) by semiquantitative RT-PCR. As expected, unlike actin mRNA, the mRNA levels of all three E2F target genes in control 3T3 cells increased between 10 and 14 h after serum addition (Fig. 5), when cells proceeded to the G1–S-phase boundary (for DNA flow cytometry, see Fig. 1 C). In LAP2α-GFP–expressing 3T3 cells, the increase in E2F target gene mRNAs was delayed by ~5 h compared with the control (Fig. 5). These findings are consistent with an inhibitory function of LAP2α repressing endogenous E2F target gene expression.

LAP2α function in cell cycle progression depends on Rb

Our findings could be explained if LAP2α regulates cell cycle progression by binding to hypophosphorylated Rb and, as a consequence, increases Rb’s repressor function or delays its deactivation during G1 → S-phase transition. However, we cannot fully exclude the possibility that LAP2α inhibits E2F activity via an Rb-independent function. To address this question directly, we performed E2F reporter assays in triple knockout (TKO) mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) in which all three pocket proteins (Rb, p107, and p130) were knocked out (Sage et al., 2000). These cells express normal levels of LAP2α and lamins A/C compared with wild-type fibroblasts (unpublished data). Endogenous E2F activity was not affected by the overexpression of LAP2α in TKO MEFs (Fig. 4 D). In contrast, the expression of Rb alone or in combination with LAP2α reduced E2F activity to a similar level (approximately twofold), although the coexpression of Rb and LAP2α was slightly more effective than Rb alone. The high level of Rb expression in these transient transfection assays is most likely sufficient to effectively repress E2F activity so that the additional expression of LAP2α may not significantly increase this activity. Overall, we concluded that LAP2α’s effect on E2F activity is strictly dependent on the presence of the pocket proteins Rb, p107, or p130.

Figure 5. Overexpressed LAP2α affects the expression of endogenous E2F target genes. mRNA levels of the E2F target genes cyclin D1, thymidine kinase, and cyclin E and of actin as a control were determined by semiquantitative RT-PCR from control 3T3 cells (solid lines) or LAP2α-GFP–expressing 3T3 cells (dotted lines) harvested 0–24 h after serum addition to serum-starved cultures. Ethidium bromide–stained DNA fragments in agarose gels (bottom) were quantified by QuantiScan and plotted against incubation times (top).


LAP2α overexpression initiates the adipocyte differentiation program

The Rb–E2F pathway is essential for the differentiation of several cell types, including skeletal muscle (Huh et al., 2004) and adipocytes (Hansen et al., 2004). To test whether LAP2α-mediated regulation of the Rb–E2F pathway can also influence differentiation, we used the 3T3 F442A clone. These cells proliferate in normal culture medium and can be considered as preadipocytes, whereas the addition of insulin and triiodothyronine (T3) to the culture medium induces cell cycle arrest and differentiation into mature adipocytes within 10 d, as seen in the phase-contrast microscope by a morphological change from spindelike preadipocytes to rounded adipocytes with numerous fat droplets (Fig. 6 A). Immunoblot analyses of total cell lysates revealed a switch from the high molecular weight hyperphosphorylated Rb in proliferating cells to the low molecular weight hypophosphorylated form in adipocytes, reflecting cell cycle arrest in G0 phase (Fig. 6 B). Furthermore, LAP2α appeared as a double band in proliferating cells, representing mitotic hyperphosphorylated and interphase hypophosphorylated LAP2α (Dechat et al., 1998), whereas the hypophosphorylated form accumulated in differentiated adipocytes because of the lack of mitotic cells. Finally, the adipocyte-specific differentiation marker peroxisome proliferator–activated receptor γ (PPARγ; Fajas et al., 2002b) was expressed exclusively in differentiated cells, and lamins A/C were slightly up-regulated in differentiated cells (Fig. 6 B). Overall, these data showed that in differentiation medium, preadipocytes enter G0 phase and differentiate to adipocytes in vitro.

To test the effect of overexpressed LAP2α on differentiation, we used clones of the 3T3 F442A cells stably expressing LAP2α-GFP, which showed a delayed response in cell cycle entry upon serum starvation and restimulation (Fig. 1 B). Cell cycle arrest and morphological changes during differentiation as detected by phase-contrast microscopy did not differ significantly in several independent clones of LAP2α-GFP–expressing versus control cells (untransfected or GFP expressing). However, we did detect significant differences by immunoblot analyses of total cell lysates. Hypophosphorylated Rb, LAP2α, and PPARγ, all of which are early markers of adipogenesis, clearly accumulated in proliferating LAP2α-GFP preadipocytes compared with control cells, suggesting that the overexpression of LAP2α initiated early events in the differentiation pathway, accompanying a partial cell cycle arrest.

Upon insulin/T3 stimulation of LAP2α-GFP cells, hypophosphorylated Rb and LAP2α accumulated similarly to the control cells. In contrast, the expression level of PPARγ did not reach the levels observed in the control (Fig. 6 B), indicating that differentiation was incomplete. To test this possibility, we stained for differentiation-specific lipid droplets using oil red. As shown in Fig. 6 C, LAP2α-GFP–expressing cells had significantly fewer oil red–positive droplets than control cells. Thus, although the overexpression of LAP2α-GFP initiated differentiation events in the absence of insulin and T3, further differentiation did not occur even in the presence of insulin/T3.

Overall, our studies show that LAP2α–lamin A/C complexes regulate G1→S-phase transition via the Rb–E2F pathway and control the balance between cell proliferation and differentiation.

Discussion

In this study, we analyzed the effects of LAP2α on cell cycle progression and differentiation and showed that decreased LAP2α levels negatively affected the growth arrest response to serum starvation, whereas increased levels delayed the transition from G0 to S phase. We further showed that this function of LAP2α is mediated by pocket proteins.

What are the molecular mechanisms of the Rb-mediated cell cycle control by LAP2α complexes? Rb regulates the
activity of E2F transcription factors, which control the expression of cell cycle regulatory genes (Classon and Harlow, 2002; Frolov and Dyson, 2004). In noncycling cells, Rb is hypophosphorylated and is active as a transcriptional repressor. Hypophosphorylated Rb is bound to E2F transcription factors, blocks its transactivation domain, inhibits the recruitment of preinitiation complexes, and represses genes directly by recruiting chromatin-modifying proteins, including histone deacetylases HDAC1 (Brehm et al., 1998), methyl transferase Suv39h, and heterochromatin protein HP1 (Nielsen et al., 2001; Ait-Si-Ali et al., 2004). Through sequential phosphorylation of Rb by cyclin D and E–dependent kinases (Mittnacht, 1998), Rb is released from E2F, causing its activation as a transcription factor. Based on our previous findings (Dechat et al., 2000; Markiewicz et al., 2002; Vlcek et al., 2002) and results reported here, we postulate that nucleoplasmic LAP2α–lamin A/C complexes bind hypophosphorylated Rb, thereby delaying its deactivation and maintaining E2F in a repressed state.

The molecular mechanisms as to how LAP2α–lamin A/C complexes exert their regulatory function on Rb are unknown. However, several possibilities can be envisaged: first, LAP2α may regulate Rb phosphorylation. LAP2α preferentially bound to Rb phosphorylated on serine 780, which is the first serine to be targeted at the G1→S-phase transition (Lundberg and Weinberg, 1998). Recruitment of Rb-S780 to LAP2α–lamin A/C may delay the phosphorylation of downstream sites. Alternatively, LAP2α–lamin A/C complexes may favor the dephosphorylation of Rb, leading to its deactivation. In line with the latter hypothesis, Van Berlo et al. (2005) have recently shown that in Lmna knockout fibroblasts, TGFβ-mediated cell cycle arrest is impaired through a less efficient phosphatase PP2A-mediated dephosphorylation of Rb. Second, LAP2α–lamin A/C may recruit regulatory proteins to the Rb complex. Third, LAP2α–lamin A/C may recruit Rb–E2F complexes to subnuclear compartments or chromatin regions. Our findings that LAP2α complexes associate with E2F-dependent promoter regions imply that the cell cycle regulatory activity of LAP2α acts directly on the promoter of E2F target genes. Fourth, in view of the reported destabilization of Rb in Lmna−/− fibroblasts by proteasomal degradation (Johnson et al., 2004), the interaction of Rb with LAP2α–lamin A/C complexes may stabilize the proteins. However, this would imply that proteasomal degradation also contributes to the deactivation of Rb repressor activity at the G1→S-phase transition, although no direct evidence for this has been reported so far. Furthermore, we did not see any changes in Rb protein level upon the overexpression or knockdown of LAP2α. Finally, it should be mentioned that another LAP2 isoform, LAP8, was also found to down-regulate E2F activity, although the mechanisms are most likely different from that of LAP2α, involving the binding of LAP2β to the protein germ cell less (Nili et al., 2001) and to histone deacetylase 3 (Somech et al., 2005).

What is the physiological relevance of LAP2α–lamin A/C complexes in cell cycle control? In cycling cells, these proteins may provide additional checkpoint mechanisms, preventing premature entry into S phase. However, we consider it more likely that LAP2α–lamin A/C complexes are involved in controlling cell cycle exit during the differentiation of adult stem cells. Rb has been found to be essential for the differentiation of various tissues, including skeletal muscle and adipocytes (Hansen et al., 2004; Huh et al., 2004). Inactivation of the Rb gene in proliferating myoblasts and in differentiated muscle fibers in mice revealed that Rb is required for the initiation of myogenic differentiation but not for the maintenance of the terminal differentiation state (Huh et al., 2004). Also in adipocyte differentiation, Rb facilitates the initiation of differentiation by inducing cell cycle arrest (Fajas et al., 2002a). Thus, Rb seems to be involved in maintaining a balance between proliferation and differentiation in adult stem cells (Classon et al., 2000). Adult stem cells do not proliferate but enter the cell cycle upon specific signals and self propagate to maintain a stable population of stem cells in the tissue. At the same time, they differentiate to regenerate damaged tissue or maintain a basic turnover of differentiated cells (Wagers and Weissman, 2004). Our data suggest that LAP2α–lamin A/C complexes are essential cofactors of Rb in maintaining the balance between proliferation and differentiation in adult stem cells. Similar to Rb, LAP2α’s critical role would be in transit amplifier cells rather than in terminally differentiated cells. Consistent with this hypothesis, the highest levels of LAP2α expression during the differentiation of skeletal muscle satellite cells is just before entry into a postmitotic state (Markiewicz et al., 2005). Moreover, by artificially maintaining high levels of LAP2α expression in preadipocytes, early events in differentiation were enhanced but terminal differentiation was inhibited (Fig. 6). Therefore, we propose that the LAP2α–lamin A/C complex is critical for Rb-mediated entry of adult stem cells into a postmitotic state but is not required for terminal differentiation.

During the last 10 yr, geneticists have identified mutations in the human LMNA gene that give rise to pathological phenotypes in muscle, adipose, bone, neuronal, and skin tissue or cause premature ageing (Burke and Stewart, 2002; Mounkes and Stewart, 2004). Mice lacking lamin A/C or expressing mutated lamin A/C show similar phenotypes (Sullivan et al., 1999; Mounkes et al., 2003; Arimura et al., 2005). The molecular basis of these diseases is unclear. It may involve structural defects in mutated lamins, leading to nuclear fragility, or deregulated functions of lamins in gene expression (Hutchison and Worman, 2004). As LAP2α is involved in targeting a subfraction of lamin A/C to the nucleoplasm (Dechat et al., 2000, 2004), it may be relevant for the nucleoplasmic functions of lamin A/C. If nucleoplasmic lamins together with LAP2α control Rb in cell cycle progression and differentiation, disease-causing mutations in lamin A/C may cause differentiation defects. In support of this model, the expression of a disease-linked lamin A mutant in C2C12 myoblasts (Favreau et al., 2004) inhibited the in vitro differentiation into myotubes, and the expression of wild-type and mutated lamin A inhibited the differentiation of 3T3 cells into adipocytes (Boguslavsky et al., 2006). We propose that disease-causing mutations in A-type lamins perturb the balance between proliferation and differentiation in adult stem cells, leading to less efficient tissue regeneration. This model would help to explain the late onset of the disease during
growth of disease. Furthermore, it has been shown that inhibition of nuclear LAP2α by siRNA can lead to a decrease in lipid accumulation, suggesting a potential role for this protein in lipid metabolism.

### Materials and methods

**Cell culture**

3T3-F442A and HeLa cells were cultured at 37°C in high glucose DME medium supplemented with 10% FCS, 50 μg/ml streptomycin, 0.2 mM glutamine, 0.8 μg/ml bacitracin, and 0.4 μg/ml pantothenic acid (Sigma-Aldrich) for 3T3-F442A cells. Confluent 3T3-F442A cells were transfected with 17 nM insulin and 2 nM T3 (Sigma-Aldrich) for 7–10 d. Lipid droplets were stained for 1 h with 0.3% Oil Red O (Sigma-Aldrich) after fixation in 3.7% formaldehyde. DNA was extracted and analyzed with a flow cytometer (FAS II; Partec). For cell proliferation assays, 5 × 10^5 cells were seeded onto 100-mm dishes (Nunc), and cell numbers were determined within 7 d using a coulter counter Z2 system (Scharfe System). For serum starvation, cells were grown in medium with 0.5% FCS for 5 d.

**Transfections and reporter assays**

LAP2α-myc HeLa Tet-on cells (Vlcek et al., 1999) were grown in medium with 200 μg/ml hygromycin and induced with 2 μg/ml doxycyclin (Invitrogen). pDT35 encoding LAP2α-cDNA via Nhel-Xhol from pDT15 into a modified pEGFP-N3 vector (CLONTECH Laboratories, Inc.) were transfected into HeLa cells, and stable clones were selected with G418 (Immunotech) and puromycin (Sigma-Aldrich). Stable clones were selected in medium supplemented with 10% FCS, 50 U/ml penicillin, 0.3% Oil Red O (Sigma-Aldrich) for 7–10 d. Lipid droplets were stained for 1 h with 0.3% Oil Red O (Sigma-Aldrich) after fixation in 3.7% formaldehyde. DNA was extracted and analyzed with a flow cytometer (FAS II; Partec). For cell proliferation assays, 5 × 10^5 cells were seeded onto 100-mm dishes (Nunc), and cell numbers were determined within 7 d using a coulter counter Z2 system (Scharfe System). For serum starvation, cells were grown in medium with 0.5% FCS for 5 d.

**Immunofluorescence microscopy**

Immunofluorescence microscopy and immunoblotting were performed as described previously (Vlcek et al., 2002; Dechat et al., 2004). For microscopy, cells were fixed and stained with various combinations of the following primary antibodies (1 μg/ml each): pCneo-HA-E2F1 (Rotheneder et al., 1999), pCMV-Rb (provided by M. Busslinger, Research Institute of Molecular Pathology, Vienna, Austria; Hinds et al., 1992), pSV61 (full-length LAP2α in pcDNA3.1), pSV62 (LAP2α common in pcDNA3.1), and pcDNA3.1 (Invitrogen). Luciferase activity was measured in the luciferase substrate (Bertold) and normalized for β-galactosidase activity. Luciferase activity values were calculated as the mean of three independent experiments, and mean values and standard errors were calculated.

**Immunoblotting and blot overlay assays**

Total protein lysates for immunoblotting were prepared by dissolving cells of one 10-cm dish in 500 μl of 2× SDS-PAGE sample buffer. For detection of labeled proteins, the Super Signal ECL system (Pierce Chemical Co.) was used. The preparation of recombinant LAP2α and blot overlay assays was performed as described previously (Dechat et al., 2000). Antibodies

**Primary antibodies** used were hybridoma supernatants of antibodies to LAP2α and LAP2α (Dechat et al., 1998), rabbit serum to LAP2α (Immunology Research Laboratories, Inc.; a gift of F. McKeon, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA; Loewinger and McKeon, 1988), monoclonal anti-Rb G3-245 (BD Biosciences), monoclonal anti-PPARγ (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), monoclonal anti-acetylhistone H4 (Upstate Biotechnology), monoclonal anti-myosin (Sigma-Aldrich), and anti-acetylhistone H4 (Upstate Biotechnology). Secondary antibodies were goat anti–mouse IgG and goat anti–rabbit IgG conjugated to Texas red (Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories), goat
anti-rabbit IgG conjugated to AlexaFluor488 (Invitrogen), and goat anti-mouse IgG and goat anti-rabbit IgG conjugated to peroxidase (Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories).
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