

Durham Research Online

Deposited in DRO:

07 October 2010

Version of attached file:

Accepted Version

Peer-review status of attached file:

Peer-reviewed

Citation for published item:

Hof, P. van 't and Paulusma, Daniel (2010) 'A new characterization of P6-free graphs.', Discrete applied mathematics., 158 (7). pp. 731-740.

Further information on publisher's website:

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2008.08.025>

Publisher's copyright statement:

NOTICE: this is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in Discrete applied mathematics. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in Discrete applied mathematics, 158/7, 6 April 2010, 10.1016/j.dam.2008.08.025

Additional information:

Use policy

The full-text may be used and/or reproduced, and given to third parties in any format or medium, without prior permission or charge, for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes provided that:

- a full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- a [link](#) is made to the metadata record in DRO
- the full-text is not changed in any way

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

Please consult the [full DRO policy](#) for further details.

A new characterization of P_6 -free graphs

Pim van 't Hof and Daniël Paulusma

Department of Computer Science, Durham University,
Science Laboratories, South Road,
Durham DH1 3LE, England* [†]
`{pim.vanthof,daniel.paulusma}@durham.ac.uk`

Abstract. We study P_6 -free graphs, i.e., graphs that do not contain an induced path on six vertices. Our main result is a new characterization of this graph class: a graph G is P_6 -free if and only if each connected induced subgraph of G on more than one vertex contains a dominating induced cycle on six vertices or a dominating (not necessarily induced) complete bipartite subgraph. This characterization is minimal in the sense that there exists an infinite family of P_6 -free graphs for which a smallest connected dominating subgraph is a (not induced) complete bipartite graph. Our characterization of P_6 -free graphs strengthens results of Liu and Zhou, and of Liu, Peng and Zhao. Our proof has the extra advantage of being constructive: we present an algorithm that finds such a dominating subgraph of a connected P_6 -free graph in polynomial time. This enables us to solve the HYPERGRAPH 2-COLORABILITY problem in polynomial time for the class of hypergraphs with P_6 -free incidence graphs.

1 Introduction

All graphs in this paper are undirected, finite, and simple, i.e., without loops and multiple edges. Furthermore, unless specifically stated otherwise, all graphs are non-trivial, i.e., contain at least two vertices. For undefined terminology we refer to [8]. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. For a subset $U \subseteq V$ we denote by $G[U]$ the subgraph of G induced by U . A subset $S \subseteq V$ is called a *clique* if $G[S]$ is a complete graph. A set $U \subseteq V$ *dominates* a set $U' \subseteq V$ if any vertex $v \in U'$ either lies in U or has a neighbor in U . We also say that U *dominates* $G[U']$. A subgraph H of G is a *dominating subgraph* of G if $V(H)$ dominates G . We write P_k, C_k, K_k to denote the path, cycle and complete graph on k vertices, respectively.

A graph G is called *H-free* for some graph H if G does not contain an induced subgraph isomorphic to H . For any family \mathcal{F} of graphs, let $\text{Forb}(\mathcal{F})$ denote the class of graphs that are F -free for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$. We consider the class $\text{Forb}(\{P_t\})$ of graphs that do not contain an induced path on t vertices. Note that $\text{Forb}(\{P_2\})$ is the class of graphs without any edge and $\text{Forb}(\{P_3\})$ is the class of graphs all components of which are complete graphs.

* This work has been supported by EPSRC (EP/D053633/1).

[†] An extended abstract of this paper has been accepted for COCOON 2008.

The class of P_4 -free graphs (or cographs) has been studied extensively (cf. [5]). The following characterization of $\text{Forb}(\{P_4, C_4\})$, i.e., the class of C_4 -free cographs, is due to Wolk [19, 20] (see also Theorem 11.3.4 in [5]).

Theorem 1 ([19, 20]). *A graph G is P_4 -free and C_4 -free if and only if each connected induced subgraph of G contains a dominating vertex.*

We can slightly modify this theorem to obtain a characterization of P_4 -free graphs.

Theorem 2. *A graph G is P_4 -free if and only if each connected induced subgraph of G contains a dominating induced C_4 or a dominating vertex.*

Since this theorem can be proven using similar (but much easier) arguments as in the proof of our main result, its proof is omitted here.

The following characterization of P_5 -free graphs is due to Liu and Zhou [14].

Theorem 3 ([14]). *A graph G is P_5 -free if and only if each connected induced subgraph of G contains a dominating induced C_5 or a dominating clique.*

A graph G is called *triangle extended complete bipartite (TECB)* if it is a complete bipartite graph or if it can be obtained from a complete bipartite graph F by adding some extra vertices w_1, \dots, w_r and edges $w_i u, w_i v$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ to exactly one edge uv of F (see Figure 1 for an example).




Fig. 1. An example of a TECB graph.

The following characterization of P_6 -free graphs is due to Liu, Peng and Zhao [15].

Theorem 4 ([15]). *A graph G is P_6 -free if and only if each connected induced subgraph of G contains a dominating induced C_6 or a dominating (not necessarily induced) TECB graph.*

If we consider graphs that are not only P_6 -free but also triangle-free, then we have one of the main results in [14].

Theorem 5 ([14]). *A triangle-free graph G is P_6 -free if and only if each connected induced subgraph of G contains a dominating induced C_6 or a dominating (not necessarily induced) complete bipartite graph.*

A characterization of $\text{Forb}(\{P_t\})$ for $t \geq 7$ is given in [1]: $\text{Forb}(\{P_t\})$ is the class of graphs for which each connected induced subgraph has a dominating subgraph of diameter at most $t - 4$.

Our results

Section 3 contains our main result.

Theorem 6. *A graph G is P_6 -free if and only if each connected induced subgraph of G contains a dominating induced C_6 or a dominating (not necessarily induced) complete bipartite graph. Moreover, we can find such a dominating subgraph in polynomial time.*

This theorem strengthens Theorem 4 and Theorem 5 in two different ways. Firstly, Theorem 6 shows that we may omit the restriction “triangle-free” in Theorem 5 and that we may replace the class of TECB graphs by its proper subclass of complete bipartite graphs in Theorem 4. Secondly, in contrast to the proofs of Theorem 4 and Theorem 5, the proof of Theorem 6 is constructive: we provide a (polynomial time) algorithm for finding the desired dominating subgraph. Note that we cannot use some brute force approach to obtain such a polynomial time algorithm, since a dominating complete bipartite graph might have arbitrarily large size.

In Section 3, we also show that the characterization in Theorem 6 is minimal in the sense that there exists an infinite family of P_6 -free graphs for which a smallest connected dominating subgraph is a (not induced) complete bipartite graph. We would like to mention that the algorithm used to prove Theorem 6 also works for an arbitrary (not necessarily P_6 -free) graph G : in that case the algorithm either finds a dominating subgraph as described in Theorem 6 or finds an induced P_6 in G . Furthermore, we can easily modify our algorithm so that it finds a dominating induced C_5 or a dominating clique of a P_5 -free graph in polynomial time. This yields a constructive proof of Theorem 3 and generalizes the algorithm by Cozzens and Kelleher [7] that finds a dominating clique of a connected graph without an induced P_5 or C_5 . We end Section 3 by characterizing the class of graphs for which each connected induced subgraph has a dominating induced C_6 or a dominating *induced* complete bipartite subgraph (again by giving a constructive proof). This class consists of graphs that, apart from P_6 , have exactly one more forbidden induced subgraph. This generalizes a result in [2].

As an application of our main result, we consider the HYPERGRAPH 2-COLORABILITY problem in Section 4. It is well-known that this problem is NP-complete in general (cf. [10]). We prove that for the class of hypergraphs with P_6 -free incidence graphs the problem becomes polynomially solvable. Moreover, we show that for any 2-colorable hypergraph H with a P_6 -free incidence graph, we can find a 2-coloring of H in polynomial time.

Section 5 contains the conclusions, discusses a number of related results in the literature and mentions open problems.

2 Preliminaries

We use the following terminology throughout the paper for a graph $G = (V, E)$. We say that an order $\pi = x_1, \dots, x_{|V|}$ of V is *connected* if $G_i := G[\{x_1, \dots, x_i\}]$

is connected for $i = 1, \dots, |V|$. Let $w \in V$ and $D \subseteq V$. Then $N_G(w)$ denotes the set of neighbors of w in G . We write $N_D(w) := N_G(w) \cap D$ and $N_G(D) := \cup_{u \in D} N_G(u) \setminus D$. If no confusion is possible, we write $N(w)$ (respectively $N(D)$) instead of $N_G(w)$ (respectively $N_G(D)$). A vertex $v' \in V \setminus D$ is called a *D-private neighbor* (or simply private neighbor if no confusion is possible) of a vertex $v \in D$ if $N_D(v') = \{v\}$.

Let u, v be a pair of adjacent vertices in a dominating set D of a graph G such that $\{u, v\}$ dominates D . We call a dominating set $D' \subseteq D$ of G a *minimizer of D for uv* if $\{u, v\} \subseteq D'$ and each vertex of $D' \setminus \{u, v\}$ has a D' -private neighbor in G . We can obtain such a minimizer D' from D in polynomial time by repeatedly removing vertices without private neighbor from $D \setminus \{u, v\}$. This can be seen as follows. It is clear that D' dominates all vertices in $V(G) \setminus D$, since we only remove a vertex from $D \setminus \{u, v\}$ if all its neighbors outside D are dominated by remaining vertices in D . Moreover, since $\{u, v\}$ dominates D , all vertices removed from $D \setminus \{u, v\}$ are dominated by $\{u, v\}$. Note that the fact that u and v are adjacent means that the graph $G[D']$ is connected. We point out that D may have several minimizers for the same edge uv depending on the order in which its vertices are considered.

Example. Consider the graph G and its connected dominating set D in the left-hand side of Figure 2. All private neighbors are colored black. The set D' in the right-hand side is a minimizer of D for uv obtained by removing w_4 from D . Note that u does not have a D' -private neighbor but v does. Instead of removing w_4 we could also have chosen to remove w_2 first, since w_2 does not have a D -private neighbor. Let $D^1 := D \setminus \{w_2\}$. Since w_3 does not have a D^1 -private neighbor, we can remove w_3 from D^1 . The resulting set $D^2 := D^1 \setminus \{w_3\}$ is a minimizer of D for uv in which every vertex of D^2 (including u) has a D^2 -private neighbor.




Fig. 2. A dominating set D and a minimizer D' of D for uv .

3 Finding connected dominating subgraphs in P_6 -free graphs

Let G be a connected P_6 -free graph. We say that D is a *type 1 dominating set* of G if D dominates G and $G[D]$ is an induced C_6 . We say that D is a *type 2 dominating set* of G defined by $A(D)$ and $B(D)$ if D dominates G and $G[D]$ contains a spanning complete bipartite subgraph with partition classes $A(D)$ and $B(D)$.

Theorem 7. *If G is a connected P_6 -free graph, then we can find a type 1 or type 2 dominating set of G in polynomial time.*

Proof. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a connected P_6 -free graph with connected order $\pi = x_1, \dots, x_{|V|}$. Recall that we write $G_i := G[\{x_1, \dots, x_i\}]$, and note that G_i is connected and P_6 -free for every i . For every $2 \leq i \leq n$ we want to find a type 1 or type 2 dominating set D_i of G_i . Let $D_2 := \{x_1, x_2\}$. Suppose $i \geq 3$. Assume D_{i-1} is a type 1 or type 2 dominating set of G_{i-1} . We show how we can use D_{i-1} to find D_i in polynomial time. Since the total number of iterations is $|V|$, we then find a desired dominating subgraph of $G_{|V|} = G$ in polynomial time. We write $x := x_i$. If $x \in N(D_{i-1})$, then we set $D_i := D_{i-1}$. Suppose otherwise. Since π is connected, G_i contains a vertex y (not in D_{i-1}) adjacent to x .

Case 1. D_{i-1} is a type 1 dominating set of G_{i-1} .

We write $G[D_{i-1}] = c_1 c_2 c_3 c_4 c_5 c_6 c_1$. We claim that $D := N_{D_{i-1}}(y) \cup \{x, y\}$ dominates G_i , which means that $D_i := D$ is a type 2 dominating set of G_i defined by $A(D_i) := \{y\}$ and $B(D_i) := \{x\} \cup N_{D_{i-1}}(y)$. Suppose D does not dominate G_i , and let $z \in V(G_i)$ be a vertex not dominated by D . Since D_{i-1} dominates G_{i-1} , we may without loss of generality assume that $yc_1 \in E(G_i)$.

Suppose $yc_4 \in E(G_i)$. Note that z is dominated by G_{i-1} . Without loss of generality, assume z is adjacent to c_2 . Consequently, y is not adjacent to c_2 . Since z is not adjacent to any neighbor of y and the path $zc_2 c_1 y c_4 c_5$ cannot be induced in G_i , either z or y must be adjacent to c_5 . If $zc_5 \in E(G_i)$, then $xy c_4 c_5 z c_2$ is an induced P_6 in G_i . Hence $zc_5 \notin E(G_i)$ and $yc_5 \in E(G_i)$. In case $zc_6 \in E(G_i)$ we obtain an induced path $xy c_5 c_6 z c_2$ on six vertices, and in case $zc_6 \notin E(G_i)$ we obtain an induced path $zc_2 c_1 c_6 c_5 c_4$. We conclude $yc_4 \notin E(G_i)$.

Suppose y is not adjacent to any vertex in $\{c_3, c_5\}$. Since G_i is P_6 -free and $xy c_1 c_2 c_3 c_4$ is a P_6 in G_i , y must be adjacent to c_2 . But then $xy c_2 c_3 c_4 c_5$ is an induced P_6 in G_i , a contradiction. Hence y is adjacent to at least one vertex in $\{c_3, c_5\}$, say $yc_5 \in E(G_i)$. By symmetry (using c_5, c_2 instead of c_1, c_4) we find $yc_2 \notin E(G_i)$.

Suppose z is adjacent to c_2 . The path $zc_2 c_1 y c_5 c_4$ on six vertices and the P_6 -freeness of G_i imply $zc_4 \in E(G_i)$. But then $c_2 z c_4 c_5 y x$ is an induced P_6 . Hence $zc_2 \notin E(G_i)$. Also $zc_4 \notin E(G_i)$ as otherwise $zc_4 c_5 y c_1 c_2$ would be an induced P_6 , and $zc_3 \notin E(G_i)$ as otherwise $zc_3 c_2 c_1 y x$ would be an induced P_6 . Then z must be adjacent to c_6 yielding an induced path $zc_6 c_1 c_2 c_3 c_4$ on six vertices. Hence we may choose $D_i := D$.

Case 2. D_{i-1} is a type 2 dominating set of G_{i-1} .

Since D_{i-1} dominates G_{i-1} , we may assume that y is adjacent to some vertex $a \in A(D_{i-1})$. Let $b \in B(D_{i-1})$. Let D be a minimizer of $D_{i-1} \cup \{y\}$ for ab (note that $\{a, b\}$ dominates $D_{i-1} \cup \{y\}$). By definition, D dominates G_i . Also, $G[D]$ contains a spanning (not necessarily complete) bipartite graph with partition classes $A \subseteq A(D_{i-1}), B \subseteq B(D_{i-1}) \cup \{y\}$. Note that we have $y \in D$, because x is not adjacent to D_{i-1} and therefore is a D -private neighbor of y . Since y might

not have any neighbors in B but does have a neighbor (vertex a) in A , we chose $y \in B$.

Claim 1. If $G[D]$ contains an induced P_4 starting in y and ending in some $r \in A$, then we can find a type 1 or a type 2 dominating set D_i of G_i in polynomial time.

We prove Claim 1 as follows. Suppose $ypqr$ is an induced path in $G[D]$ with $r \in A$. Since D is a minimizer of $D_{i-1} \cup \{y\}$ for ab and $r \in D \setminus \{a, b\}$, r has a D -private neighbor s by definition. Since $xypqrs$ is a path on six vertices and $x \notin N(D_{i-1})$ holds, x must be adjacent to s . We first show that $D^1 := N_D(y) \cup \{x, y, q, r, s\}$ dominates G_i . See Figure 3 for an illustration of the graph $G[D^1]$. Suppose D^1




Fig. 3. The graph $G[D^1]$.

does not dominate G . Then there exists a vertex $z \in N(D) \setminus N(D^1)$. Note that $G[(D \setminus \{y\}) \cup \{z\}]$ is connected because the edge ab makes $D \setminus \{y\}$ connected and $\{a, b\}$ dominates D . Let P be a shortest path in $G[(D \setminus \{y\}) \cup \{z\}]$ from z to a vertex $p_1 \in N_D(y)$ (possibly $p_1 = p$). Since $z \notin N(D^1)$ and $p_1 \in D^1$, we have $|V(P)| \geq 3$. This means that P_yxs is an induced path on at least six vertices, unless $r \in V(P)$ (since r is adjacent to s). However, if $r \in V(P)$, then the subpath $z\vec{P}r$ of P from z to r has at least three vertices (because $z \notin N(D^1)$). This means that $z\vec{P}rsxy$ contains an induced P_6 , a contradiction. Hence D^1 dominates G_i .

To find a type 1 or type 2 dominating set D_i of G_i , we transform D^1 into D_i as follows. Suppose q has a D^1 -private neighbor q' . Then $q'qpys$ is an induced P_6 in G_i , a contradiction. Hence q has no D^1 -private neighbor and the set $D^2 := D^1 \setminus \{q\}$ still dominates G_i . Similarly, r has no D^2 -private neighbor r' , since otherwise $r'rsxyp$ would be an induced P_6 in G_i . So the set $D^3 := D^2 \setminus \{r\}$ also dominates G_i . Now suppose s does not have a D^3 -private neighbor. Then the set $D^3 \setminus \{s\}$ dominates G_i . In that case, we find a type 2 dominating set D_i of G_i defined by $A(D_i) := \{y\}$ and $B(D_i) := N_D(y) \cup \{x\}$. Assume that s has a D^3 -private neighbor s' in G_i . Let $D^4 := D^3 \cup \{s'\}$.

Suppose $N_D(y) \setminus \{p\}$ contains a vertex p_2 that has a D^4 -private neighbor p'_2 . Then p'_2p_2yxss' is an induced P_6 , contradicting the P_6 -freeness of G_i . Hence we can remove all vertices of $N_D(y) \setminus \{p\}$ from D^4 , and the resulting set $D^5 := \{p, y, x, s, s'\}$ still dominates G_i . We claim that $D^6 := D^5 \cup \{q\}$ is a type 1 dominating set of G_i . Clearly, D^6 dominates G_i , since $D^5 \subseteq D^6$. Since $qpysxss'$

is a P_6 and $qpyxs$ is induced, q must be adjacent to s' . Hence D^6 is a type 1 dominating set of G_i , and we choose $D_i := D^6$. This proves Claim 1.

Let $A_1 := N_A(y)$ and $A_2 := A \setminus A_1$. Let $B_1 := N_B(y)$ and $B_2 := B \setminus (B_1 \cup \{y\})$. Since $a \in A_1$, we have $A_1 \neq \emptyset$. If $A_2 = \emptyset$, then we define a type 2 dominating set D_i of G_i by $A(D_i) := A$ and $B(D_i) := B$. Suppose $A_2 \neq \emptyset$. Note $|B| \geq 2$, because $\{b, y\} \subseteq B$. If $B_2 = \emptyset$, then we define D_i by $A(D_i) := A \cup \{y\}$ and $B(D_i) := B_1 = B \setminus \{y\}$. Suppose $B_2 \neq \emptyset$. If $G[A_1 \cup A_2]$ contains a spanning complete bipartite graph with partition classes A_1 and A_2 , we define D_i by $A(D_i) := A_1$ and $B(D_i) := A_2 \cup B$. Hence we may assume that there exist two non-adjacent vertices $a_1 \in A_1$ and $a_2 \in A_2$. Let $b^* \in B_2$. Then $ya_1b^*a_2$ is an induced P_4 starting in y and ending in a vertex of A . By Claim 1, we can find a type 1 or type 2 dominating set D_i of G_i in polynomial time. This finishes the proof of Theorem 7. \square

We will now prove our main theorem.

Theorem 6. *A graph G is P_6 -free if and only if each connected induced subgraph of G contains a dominating induced C_6 or a dominating (not necessarily induced) complete bipartite graph. Moreover, we can find such a dominating subgraph in polynomial time.*

Proof. Let G be a graph. Suppose G is not P_6 -free. Then G contains an induced P_6 which contains neither a dominating induced C_6 nor a dominating complete bipartite graph. Suppose G is P_6 -free. Let H be a connected induced subgraph of G . Then H is P_6 -free as well. We apply Theorem 7 to H . \square

The characterization in Theorem 6 is minimal due to the existence of the following family \mathcal{F} of P_6 -free graphs. For each $i \geq 2$, let $F_i \in \mathcal{F}$ be the graph obtained from a complete bipartite subgraph with partition classes $X_i = \{x_1, \dots, x_i\}$ and $Y_i = \{y_1, \dots, y_i\}$ by adding the edge x_1x_2 as well as for each $h = 1, \dots, i$ a new vertex x'_h only adjacent to x_h and a new vertex y'_h only adjacent to y_h (see Figure 4 for the graph F_3).




Fig. 4. The graph F_3 .

Note that each F_i is P_6 -free and that the smallest connected dominating subgraph of F_i is $F_i[X_i \cup Y_i]$, which contains a spanning complete bipartite subgraph.

Also note that none of the graphs F_i contain a dominating *induced* complete bipartite subgraph due to the edge x_1x_2 .

We conclude this section by characterizing the class of graphs for which each connected induced subgraph contains a dominating induced C_6 or a dominating *induced* complete bipartite subgraph. Again, we will show how to find these dominating induced subgraphs in polynomial time. Let C_3^L denote the graph obtained from the cycle $c_1c_2c_3c_1$ by adding three new vertices b_1, b_2, b_3 and three new edges c_1b_1, c_2b_2, c_3b_3 (see Figure 5).




Fig. 5. The graph C_3^L .

Theorem 8. *If G is a connected graph in $\text{Forb}(\{C_3^L, P_6\})$, then we can find a dominating induced C_6 or a dominating induced complete bipartite subgraph of G in polynomial time.*

Proof. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a connected graph in $\text{Forb}(\{C_3^L, P_6\})$ with connected order $\pi = x_1, \dots, x_{|V|}$. Recall that we write $G_i := G[\{x_1, \dots, x_i\}]$, and note that $G_i \in \text{Forb}(\{C_3^L, P_6\})$ for every i . For every $2 \leq i \leq n$ we want to find a dominating set D_i of G_i that either induces a C_6 or a complete bipartite subgraph in G_i . Let $D_2 := \{x_1, x_2\}$. Suppose $i \geq 3$. Assume D_{i-1} induces a dominating C_6 or a dominating complete bipartite subgraph in G_{i-1} . We show how we can use D_{i-1} to find D_i in polynomial time. Since the total number of iterations is $|V|$, we find a desired dominating subgraph of $G_{|V|} = G$ in polynomial time. We write $x := x_i$. If $x \in N(D_{i-1})$, then we set $D_i := D_{i-1}$. Suppose otherwise. Since π is connected, G_i contains a vertex y (not in D_{i-1}) adjacent to x . We first prove a useful claim.

Claim 1. *If $N_{D_{i-1}}(y) \cup \{x, y\}$ dominates G_i , then we can find a dominating induced C_6 or a dominating induced complete bipartite subgraph of G in polynomial time.*

We prove Claim 1 as follows. Suppose $D^* := N_{D_{i-1}}(y) \cup \{x, y\}$ dominates G_i . We check whether $G[D^*]$ is complete bipartite. If so, then we choose $D_i := D^*$ and we are done. Otherwise y has a neighbor u in D_{i-1} with $N_{D^*}(u) \setminus \{y\} \neq \emptyset$. If u has no D^* -private neighbor, then we remove u from D^* and perform the same check in the smaller set $D^* \setminus \{u\}$. Let u' be a D^* -private neighbor of u in G_i . Let $v \in N_{D^*}(u) \setminus \{y\}$. Then u' is adjacent to any D^* -private neighbor

v' of v , as otherwise $G[\{u, v, y, u', v', x\}]$ is isomorphic to C_3^L . So we find that $D^1 := (D^* \setminus N_{D^*}(u)) \cup \{y, u'\}$ dominates G_i . If u' does not have a D^1 -private neighbor, then we remove u' from D^1 , check if y is adjacent to two neighbors in the smaller set $D^1 \setminus \{u'\}$ and repeat the above procedure. Let u'' be a D^1 -private neighbor of u' . Suppose $N_{D^1}(y) = \{x, u\}$. Then $D^1 = \{x, y, u, u'\}$. If x does not have a D^1 -private neighbor, then we choose $D_i := \{y, u, u'\}$. If x has a D^1 -private neighbor x' , then the P_6 -freeness of G_i implies that x' is adjacent to u'' , and we choose $D_i := \{x', x, y, u, u', u''\}$.

Suppose $N_{D^1}(y) \setminus \{x, u\} \neq \emptyset$, say y is adjacent to some vertex $t \in D^1 \setminus \{x, u\}$. If t does not have a D^1 -private neighbor, then we remove t from D^1 and check if y is adjacent to some vertex in the smaller set $D^1 \setminus \{x, u, t\}$. Let t' be a D^1 -private neighbor of t . Then the path $u''u'uytt'$ is an induced P_6 of G_i , unless u'' is adjacent to t' . However, in that case $xyuu'u''t'$ is an induced P_6 . This finishes the proof of Claim 1.

Case 1. D_{i-1} induces a dominating C_6 in G_{i-1} .

Since D_{i-1} is a type 1 dominating set of G_{i-1} , we know from the corresponding Case 1 in the proof of Theorem 7 that $D := N_{D_{i-1}}(y) \cup \{x, y\}$ dominates G_i . By Claim 1, we can find a dominating induced C_6 or a dominating induced complete bipartite subgraph of G in polynomial time.

Case 2. D_{i-1} induces a dominating complete bipartite subgraph in G_{i-1} .

Let $A(D_{i-1})$ and $B(D_{i-1})$ denote the partition classes of D_{i-1} . Note that both $A(D_{i-1})$ and $B(D_{i-1})$ are independent sets. Since D_{i-1} dominates G_{i-1} , we may without loss of generality assume that y is adjacent to some vertex $a \in A(D_{i-1})$. Let $b \in B(D_{i-1})$. Let D be a minimizer of $D_{i-1} \cup \{y\}$ for ab . By definition, D dominates G_i . Also, $G[D]$ contains a spanning (not necessarily complete) bipartite graph with partition classes $A \subseteq A(D_{i-1})$ and $B \subseteq B(D_{i-1}) \cup \{y\}$. Note that $y \in D$, because x is not adjacent to D_{i-1} and therefore is a D -private neighbor of y , and consequently, $y \in B$ because y is adjacent to $a \in A$ (and y might not have any neighbors in B). Let $A_1 := N_A(y)$ and $A_2 := A \setminus A_1$. Let $B_1 := N_B(y)$ and $B_2 := B \setminus (B_1 \cup \{y\})$. Since $a \in A_1$, we have $A_1 \neq \emptyset$.

Suppose $G[D]$ contains an induced P_4 starting in y and ending in a vertex in A . Just as in the proof of Theorem 7 we can obtain (in polynomial time) a dominating C_6 of G_i or else we find that $N_D(y) \cup \{x, y\}$, and consequently, $N_{D_{i-1}}(y) \cup \{x, y\}$ dominates G_i . In the first case, we choose D_i to be the obtained dominating induced C_6 . In the second case, we can find a dominating induced C_6 or a dominating induced complete bipartite subgraph of G in polynomial time by Claim 1. So we may assume that $G[D]$ does not contain such an induced P_4 . This means that at least one of the sets A_2, B_2 is empty, as otherwise we find an induced path yab_2a_2 for any $a_2 \in A_2$ and $b_2 \in B_2$. We may without loss of generality assume that $A_2 = \emptyset$. (Otherwise, in case $B_2 = \emptyset$, we obtain $B = B_1$, which means that y is adjacent to b as well, so we can reverse the role of A and B .) If $B_2 = \emptyset$, then we find that $A_1 \cup B_1 \cup \{y\} \subset N_{D_{i-1}}(y) \cup \{x, y\}$ dominates G_i , and we are done as a result of Claim 1. So $B_2 \neq \emptyset$. Let $b_2 \in B_2$.

We claim that $D^2 := A_1 \cup B_2 \cup \{x, y\}$ dominates G_i . Suppose otherwise. Then there exists a vertex b'_1 adjacent to some vertex $b_1 \in B_1$ but not adjacent to D^2 . Then $G[\{y, a, b_1, x, b_2, b'_1\}]$ is isomorphic to C_3^L , a contradiction. Hence D^2 dominates G_i . If x does not have a D^2 -private neighbor, then we can choose $D_i := D^2 \setminus \{x\}$, since $G[D_i]$ is a complete bipartite graph with partition classes A_1 and $B_2 \cup \{y\}$. Suppose x has a D^2 -private neighbor x' . If b_2 does not have a D^2 -private neighbor, then we remove b_2 from D^2 , and check whether B_2 contains another vertex (if not we are done, i.e., we can choose $D_i := A_1 \cup \{x, y\}$, since $G[D_i]$ is a complete bipartite graph with partition classes $A_1 \cup \{x\}$ and $\{y\}$). Suppose b_2 has a D^2 -private neighbor b'_2 . Then the path $x'xyab_2b'_2$ is a path on six vertices, so we must have $x'b'_2 \in E$.

We claim that $D^3 := \{x', x, y, a, b_2, b'_2\}$ dominates G_i . Suppose otherwise. Then there exists a vertex c' adjacent to some vertex c in $A_1 \cup B_2$ but not adjacent to a vertex in D^3 . Suppose $c \in A_1$. Then $c'cb_2b'_2x'x$ is an induced P_6 . Suppose $c \in B_2$. Then $c'cayxx'$ is an induced P_6 . So D^3 dominates G_i . Since D^3 also induces a C_6 in G_i , we may choose $D_i := D^3$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 8. \square

Theorem 9 is an immediate result of Theorem 8 together with the observation that neither the graph P_6 nor C_3^L has a dominating induced C_6 or a dominating induced complete bipartite subgraph.

Theorem 9. *A graph G is in $\text{Forb}(\{C_3^L, P_6\})$ if and only if each connected induced subgraph of G contains a dominating induced C_6 or a dominating induced complete bipartite graph. Moreover, we can find such a dominating subgraph in polynomial time.*

Bacsó, Michalak and Tuza [2] prove (non-constructively) that a graph G is in $\text{Forb}(\{C_3^L, C_6, P_6\})$ if and only if each connected induced subgraph of G contains a dominating induced complete bipartite graph. Note that Theorem 9 immediately implies this result.

4 The Hypergraph 2-Colorability problem

A *hypergraph* is a pair (Q, \mathcal{S}) consisting of a set $Q = \{q_1, \dots, q_m\}$ and a set $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, \dots, S_n\}$ of subsets of Q . With a hypergraph (Q, \mathcal{S}) we associate its *incidence graph* I , which is a bipartite graph with partition classes Q and \mathcal{S} , where for any $q \in Q, S \in \mathcal{S}$ we have $qS \in E(I)$ if and only if $q \in S$. For any $S \in \mathcal{S}$, we write $H - S := (Q, \mathcal{S} \setminus S)$. A *2-coloring* of a hypergraph (Q, \mathcal{S}) is a partition (Q_1, Q_2) of Q such that $Q_1 \cap S_j \neq \emptyset$ and $Q_2 \cap S_j \neq \emptyset$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$.

The HYPERGRAPH 2-COLORABILITY problem asks whether a given hypergraph has a 2-coloring. This is a well-known NP-complete problem (cf. [10]). Let \mathcal{H}_6 denote the class of hypergraphs with P_6 -free incidence graphs.

Theorem 10. *The HYPERGRAPH 2-COLORABILITY problem restricted to \mathcal{H}_6 is polynomially solvable. Moreover, for any 2-colorable hypergraph $H \in \mathcal{H}_6$ we can find a 2-coloring of H in polynomial time.*

Proof. Let $H = (Q, \mathcal{S}) \in \mathcal{H}_6$, and let I be the $(P_6\text{-free})$ incidence graph of H . We assume that I is connected, as otherwise we just proceed component-wise.

Claim 1. We may without loss of generality assume that \mathcal{S} does not contain two sets S_i, S_j with $S_i \subseteq S_j$.

We prove Claim 1 as follows. Suppose $S_i, S_j \in \mathcal{S}$ with $S_i \subseteq S_j$. Note that we can check in polynomial time whether such sets S_i, S_j exist. We show that H is 2-colorable if and only if $H - S_j$ is 2-colorable. Clearly, if H is 2-colorable then $H - S_j$ is 2-colorable. Suppose $H - S_j$ is 2-colorable. Let (Q_1, Q_2) be a 2-coloring of $H - S_j$. By definition, $S_i \cap Q_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $S_i \cap Q_2 \neq \emptyset$. Since $S_i \subseteq S_j$, we also have $S_j \cap Q_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $S_j \cap Q_2 \neq \emptyset$, so (Q_1, Q_2) is a 2-coloring of H . This proves Claim 1.

By Theorem 6, we can find a type 1 or type 2 dominating set D of I in polynomial time. Since I is bipartite, $G[D]$ is bipartite. Let A and B be the partition classes of $G[D]$. Since I is connected, we may without loss of generality assume $A \subseteq Q$ and $B \subseteq \mathcal{S}$. Let $A' := Q \setminus A$ and $B' := \mathcal{S} \setminus B$. We distinguish two cases.

Case 1. D is a type 1 dominating set of I .

We write $I[D] = q_1 S_1 q_2 S_2 q_3 S_3 q_1$, so $A = \{q_1, q_2, q_3\}$ and $B = \{S_1, S_2, S_3\}$. Suppose $A' = \emptyset$, so $Q = \{q_1, q_2, q_3\}$. Obviously, H has no 2-coloring. Suppose $A' \neq \emptyset$ and let $q' \in A'$. Since D dominates I , q' has a neighbor, say S_1 , in B . If S_2 and S_3 both have no neighbors in A' , then $q' S_1 q_2 S_2 q_3 S_3$ is an induced P_6 in I , a contradiction. Hence at least one of them, say S_2 , has a neighbor in A' .

We claim that the partition (Q_1, Q_2) of Q with $Q_1 := A' \cup \{q_1\}$ and $Q_2 := \{q_2, q_3\}$ is a 2-coloring of H . We have to check that every $S \in \mathcal{S}$ has a neighbor in both Q_1 and Q_2 . Recall that S_1 has neighbors q_1 and q_2 and S_3 has neighbors q_1 and q_3 , so S_1 and S_3 are OK. Since S_2 is adjacent to q_2 and has a neighbor in A' , S_2 is also OK. It remains to check the vertices in B' . Let $S \in B'$. Since D dominates I and I is bipartite, S has at least one neighbor in A . Suppose S has exactly one neighbor, say q_1 , in A . Then $S q_1 S_1 q_2 S_2 q_3$ is an induced P_6 in I , a contradiction. Hence S has at least two neighbors in A . The only problem occurs if S is adjacent to q_2 and q_3 but not to q_1 . However, since S_2 is adjacent to q_2 and q_3 , S must have a neighbor in A' due to Claim 1. Hence (Q_1, Q_2) is a 2-coloring of H .

Case 2. D is a type 2 dominating set of I .

Suppose $A' = \emptyset$. Then $|B| = 1$ as a result of Claim 1. Let $B = \{S\}$ and $q \in A$. Since S is adjacent to all vertices in A , we find that $B' = \emptyset$ as a result of Claim 1. Hence H has no 2-coloring if $|A| = 1$, and H has a 2-coloring $(\{q\}, A \setminus \{q\})$ if $|A| \geq 2$. Suppose $A' \neq \emptyset$. We claim that (A, A') is a 2-coloring of H . This can be seen as follows. By definition, each vertex in \mathcal{S} is adjacent to a vertex in A . Suppose $|B| = 1$ and let $B = \{S\}$. Since S dominates Q and $A' \neq \emptyset$, S has at least one neighbor in A' . Suppose $|B| \geq 2$. Since every vertex in B is adjacent to all vertices in A , every vertex in \mathcal{S} must have a neighbor in A' as a result of Claim 1. \square

5 Conclusions

The key contributions of this paper are the following. We presented a new characterization of the class of P_6 -free graphs, which strengthens results of Liu and Zhou [14] and Liu, Peng and Zhao [15]. We used an algorithmic technique to prove this characterization. Our main algorithm efficiently finds for any given connected P_6 -free graph a dominating subgraph that is either an induced C_6 or a (not necessarily induced) complete bipartite graph. Besides these main results, we also showed that our characterization is “minimal” in the sense that there exists an infinite family of P_6 -free graphs for which a smallest connected dominating subgraph is a (not induced) complete bipartite graph. We also characterized the class $\text{Forb}(\{C_3^L, P_6\})$ in terms of connected dominating subgraphs, thereby generalizing a result of Bacsó, Michalak and Tuza [2].

Our main algorithm can be useful to determine the computational complexity of decision problems restricted to the class of P_6 -free graphs. To illustrate this, we applied this algorithm to prove that the HYPERGRAPH 2-COLORABILITY problem is polynomially solvable for the class of hypergraphs with P_6 -free incidence graphs. Are there any other decision problems for which the algorithm is useful? In recent years, several authors studied the classical k -COLORABILITY problem for the class of P_ℓ -free graphs for various combinations of k and ℓ [13, 16, 18]. The 3-COLORABILITY problem is proven to be polynomially solvable for the class of P_6 -free graphs [16]. Hoàng et al. [13] show that for all fixed $k \geq 3$ the k -COLORABILITY problem becomes polynomially solvable for the class of P_5 -free graphs. They pose the question whether there exists a polynomial time algorithm to determine if a P_6 -free graph can be 4-colored. We do not know yet if our main algorithm can be used for simplifying the proof of the result in [16] or for solving the open problem described above. We leave these questions for future research.

The next class to consider is the class of P_7 -free graphs. Recall that a graph G is P_7 -free if and only if each connected induced subgraph of G contains a dominating subgraph of diameter at most three [1]. Using an approach similar to the one described in this paper, it is possible to find such a dominating subgraph in polynomial time. However, a more important question is whether this characterization of P_7 -free graphs can be narrowed down. Also determining the computational complexity of the HYPERGRAPH 2-COLORABILITY problem for the class of hypergraphs with P_7 -free incidence graphs is still an open problem.

Finally, a natural problem for a given graph class deals with its recognition. We are not aware of any recognition algorithms for (even bipartite or triangle-free) P_7 -free graphs that have a better running time than the trivial algorithm that checks for every 7-tuple of vertices whether they induce a path. This might be another interesting direction for future research, considering the following results on recognition of (subclasses of) P_6 -free graphs. Fouquet [9] presents a cubic recognition algorithm for the class of P_6 -free graphs (in an internal report). Giakoumakis and Vanherpe [11] show that bipartite P_6 -free graphs can be recognized in linear time. They do this by extending the techniques developed in [6] for linear time recognition of P_4 -free graphs (also see [12]) and by using

a characterization of P_6 -free graphs in terms of canonical decomposition trees (which is not related to our characterization) from [9]. Brandstädt, Klemmt and Mahfud [4] show that triangle-free P_6 -free graphs have bounded clique-width. The recognition algorithm they obtain from this result runs in quadratic time. Since the class of P_6 -free graphs has unbounded clique-width (cf. [3]), their technique cannot be applied to find a quadratic recognition algorithm for the class of P_6 -free graphs.

References

1. G. BACSÓ AND ZS. TUZA. Dominating subgraphs of small diameter. *Journal of Combinatorics, Information and System Sciences*, 22(1):51–62, 1997.
2. G. BACSÓ, D. MICHALAK, AND ZS. TUZA. Dominating bipartite subgraphs in graphs. *Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory*, 25:85–94, 2005.
3. A. BRANDSTÄDT, J. ENGELFRIET, H.-O. LE, AND V.V. LOZIN. Clique-width for 4-vertex forbidden subgraphs. *Theory of Computing Systems*, 39(4): 561–590, 2006.
4. A. BRANDSTÄDT, T. KLEMBT, AND S. MAHFUD. P_6 - and triangle-free graphs revisited: structure and bounded clique-width. *Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science*, 8:173–188, 2006.
5. A. BRANDSTÄDT, V.B. LE, AND J. SPINRAD. Graph Classes: A Survey. SIAM Monographs on Discrete Mathematics and Applications 3, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1999.
6. D.G. CORNEIL, Y. PERL AND L.K. STEWART. A linear recognition algorithm for cographs. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 14(4):926–934, 1985.
7. M.B. COZZENS AND L.L. KELLEHER. Dominating cliques in graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 86:101–116, 1990.
8. R. DIESTEL. *Graph Theory*. (3rd Edition). Springer-Verlag Heidelberg, 2005.
9. J.L. FOUQUET. An $O(n^3)$ recognition algorithm for P_6 -free graphs. *Internal report*. L.R.I. Université Paris 11, 1991.
10. M.R. GAREY AND D.S. JOHNSON. *Computers and Intractability*. W.H. Freeman and Co., New York, 1979.
11. V. GIAKOUMAKIS, J.M. VANHERPE. Linear time recognition and optimizations for weak-bisplit graphs, bi-cographs and bipartite P_6 -free graphs. *International Journal of Foundations of Computer Science*, 14:107–136, 2003.
12. M. HABIB AND C. PAUL. A simple linear time algorithm for cograph recognition. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 145:183–197, 2005.
13. C. T. HOÀNG, M. KAMIŃSKI, V.V. LOZIN, J. SAWADA AND X. SHU. Deciding k -colourability of P_5 -free graphs in polynomial time. Submitted, 2006. Preprint available at <http://www.cis.uoguelph.ca/~sawada/pub.html>
14. J. LIU AND H. ZHOU. Dominating subgraphs in graphs with some forbidden structures. *Discrete Mathematics*, 135:163–168, 1994.
15. J. LIU, Y. PENG, AND C. ZHAO. Characterization of P_6 -free graphs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 155:1038–1043, 2007.
16. B. RANDERATH AND I. SCHIERMEYER. 3-Colorability $\in P$ for P_6 -free graphs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 136:299–313, 2004.
17. D. SEINSCH. On a property of the class of n -colorable graphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 16:191–193, 1974.
18. J. SGALL AND G.J. WOEGINGER. The complexity of coloring graphs without long induced paths. *Acta Cybernetica*, 15(1):107–117, 2001.

19. E.S. WOLK. The comparability graph of a tree. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 13:789–795, 1962.
20. E.S. WOLK. A note on “The comparability graph of a tree”. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 16:17–20, 1965.