Durham Research Online

Deposited in DRO:

02 February 2012

Version of attached file:

Accepted Version

Peer-review status of attached file:

Peer-reviewed

Citation for published item:

Long, J.J. and Imber, J. (2011) 'Geological controls on fault relay zone scaling.', *Journal of structural geology*, 33 (12). pp. 1790-1800.

Further information on publisher's website:

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsg.2011.09.011>

Publisher's copyright statement:

NOTICE: this is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in *Journal of structural geology*. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in *Journal of structural geology*, Volume 33, Issue 12, December 2011, 10.1016/j.jsg.2011.09.011.

Additional information:

Use policy

The full-text may be used and/or reproduced, and given to third parties in any format or medium, without prior permission or charge, for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes provided that:

- a full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- a [link](#) is made to the metadata record in DRO
- the full-text is not changed in any way

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

Please consult the [full DRO policy](#) for further details.

Geological controls on fault relay zone scaling

Jonathan J. Long^{*1,2} and Jonathan Imber²

¹Geospatial Research Ltd, Department of Earth Sciences, Durham University, Durham, UK

²Department of Earth Sciences, Durham University, Durham, UK

*Corresponding author: jonathan.long@durham.ac.uk

Abstract:

The overlap and separation distances of relay zones follow a power-law scaling relationship over nearly 8 orders of magnitude. Approximately one order of magnitude scatter in both separation and overlap exists at all scales. The strong power-law relationship ($R^2=0.98$) suggests that the primary control on relay aspect ratio (overlap/separation) is a scale-invariant process, such as the stress interaction between the overlapping fault tips as suggested by previous authors. Within the compiled global dataset host rock lithology does not appear to be a first-order control. Much of the observed scatter can be attributed to the spread of measurements recorded from individual relay zones, which relates to the evolving three-dimensional geometry of the relay zone as displacements on the bounding faults increase. Relay ramps exposed at two localities where the faults cut layered sedimentary sequences display mean aspect ratios of 8.20 and 8.64 respectively, more than twice the global mean (4.2). Such high aspect ratios can be attributed to the relay-bounding faults having initially been confined within competent layers, facilitating the development of large overlap lengths. The presence of pre-existing structures (veins) at fault tips may also enhance fault propagation, giving rise to increased overlap lengths.

Keywords:

Relay zone scaling; mechanical stratigraphy; fault interaction; aspect ratio; and stress field.

28 **1 Introduction**

29 Fault arrays comprise multiple fault segments at all scales (Peacock and Sanderson, 1991;
30 Childs et al., 1995; Childs et al., 1996a; Willemse, 1997; Crider and Pollard, 1998; Peacock,
31 2002). As the fault-array grows these segments overlap to form relay zones (Fig. 1a), which
32 are dynamic structures that evolve with increased displacement (Peacock and Sanderson,
33 1994; Childs et al., 1995; Walsh et al., 1999). The linkage of fault segments at relay zones is a
34 fundamental process by which faults grow (Cartwright et al., 1996; Walsh et al., 2003). In
35 map view, different stages in the evolution of relay ramps have been recognised. Relay
36 ramps initiate as open structures with a continuous relay ramp linking the footwall and
37 hanging wall (Fig. 1a) (Peacock and Sanderson, 1994). As displacement on the bounding
38 faults increases, the ramp continues to rotate (i.e. to accommodate shear strains) and
39 linking faults begin to grow. Finally, a through going fault is formed producing a breached
40 relay ramp (Fig. 1b and c). It is inferred that different stages of relay ramp evolution can
41 coexist within a single relay zone (Peacock and Sanderson, 1994; Long and Imber, in review).

42 The geometry of a relay ramp is most commonly described in terms of its aspect ratio, which
43 is the ratio between the fault overlap and separation, as measured in map view on a
44 particular stratigraphic horizon (Fig. 1) (Aydin and Schultz, 1990; Huggins et al., 1995;
45 Acocella et al., 2000; Soliva and Benedicto, 2004). Published datasets of relay ramp aspect
46 ratios show a power-law scaling relationship between overlap and separation, with mean
47 relay aspect ratios ranging from 4 to 4.9 (Aydin and Schultz, 1990; Huggins et al., 1995;
48 Acocella et al., 2000; Soliva and Benedicto, 2004). In these global relay datasets, there is
49 approximately one order of magnitude scatter around the trend line at all scales. A
50 proportion of this scatter may arise from the different techniques used to define and
51 measure fault overlaps and separations in disparate outcrop and seismic reflection datasets,
52 each with different resolutions and at different scales of observation. We describe an
53 approach to reduce the main sources of sampling-related error before presenting a refined
54 global dataset. This refined dataset comprises a consistent set of overlap and separation
55 measurements from relays observed in three-dimensional (3D) seismic surveys, outcrops
56 and selected published sources. Any remaining scatter within the refined dataset should
57 primarily reflect geological processes, rather than measurement errors or inconsistencies

between disparate datasets. We use this refined dataset to propose a simple mechanical model, which explains the overall power-law relationship between fault overlap and separation distances in relay zones. We then investigate the geological causes of scatter within the refined global dataset. An important difference between this and previous studies (e.g. Aydin and Schultz, 1990; Acocella et al., 2000; Soliva and Benedicto, 2004) is that we focus on the role played by the 3D geometric variability of individual relay zones (e.g. Kristensen et al., 2008). We conclude that processes attributed to relay zone growth and linkage, and the influence of mechanical stratigraphy and pre-existing heterogeneity are the main causes of scatter within the overall power-law scaling relationship.

2 Criteria to identify and measure fault overlap and separation

In the context of this paper, uncertainty occurs when multiple interpretations can be drawn from the same data and a unique interpretation cannot be ascertained. Errors in measurement are those arising due to sampling-related inaccuracies or inconsistencies. The main sources of error or inconsistency are related to accurately locating the positions of the relay-bounding faults and their lateral tips in disparate datasets (outcrops and 3D seismic surveys). In the following section, we explain how methods developed by Long and Imber (2010; in review), which permit measurements of both the continuous and discontinuous components of fault-related deformation (Walsh et al., 1996; Walsh et al., 2003), can be used to derive a consistent set of overlap and separation measurements from relay zones observed in outcrop and 3D seismic data.

2.1 Fault overlap

Overlap is defined as the distance between the tips of the relay-bounding faults, measured parallel to the strike of the faults on a given stratigraphic horizon (Fig. 1a). In linked (breached) relay ramps, overlap is measured from the branch point to the fault tip (Fig. 1b), or branch point to branch point (Fig. 1c). Measuring overlap length thus depends on accurately locating fault tips and branch points. Branch points are the intersections between two faults. Displacements at branch points are non-zero. Therefore, in datasets which have

limited vertical and horizontal resolution, such as 3D seismic surveys, the errors in locating fault tips are likely to be greater than those for locating branch points (Long, 2011; Long and Imber, in review). In suitable outcrops, fault tips can be observed directly, whereas in seismic data there is an inherent resolution limit below which discrete fault geometries cannot be imaged (Steen et al., 1998; Townsend et al., 1998). Therefore, outcrop observations are used to develop simple criteria for identifying the location of fault tips. The same criteria are then used to identify the tips of fault zones mapped using 3D seismic reflection datasets.

2.1.1 Criteria to locate fault tips at different scales of observation

2.1.1.1 Outcrop scale examples - Kilve

Two examples of fault tips associated with a centimetre-scale relay zone from Kilve, UK, are shown in Fig. 2. For details of the tectonic and sedimentary history of Kilve, see Peacock and Sanderson (Peacock and Sanderson, 1991, 1992, 1994). The throws on faults F1 and F2 decrease laterally and terminate within pre-existing veins (Crider and Peacock, 2004). The magnitude of continuous deformation (reverse drag or fault propagation folding) around these faults is negligible, and the tips can be located with a high degree of accuracy from (throw) displacement vs. distance plots (Fig. 2b).

Fig. 3 shows the tip of a metre-scale fault zone from Kilve (maximum displacement > 2.5 m). In map view (Fig. 3b), the fault passes laterally into a monocline. The apparent dip map in Fig. 3b is calculated along orientated transect lines oriented perpendicular to the fault trace, as described by Long and Imber, (2010) who applied a similar technique to analyse two-way time structure maps derived from interpretations of three-dimensional seismic reflection data. Bed rotations within the monocline are facilitated by shearing of the surrounding shale layers and by development of wedge-shaped veins within the limestone bed (Fig. 3a). The vertical deflection of the limestone bed across the monocline can be measured by hand in the field or, more effectively, by obtaining measurements from terrestrial laser scans of the deformed bedding surface (Long, 2011; Chapter 2). The total vertical displacement (throw) on the fault and adjacent monocline decreases monotonically towards the west (Fig. 3d), indicating that the fault and monocline are part of a single, coherent fault zone (sensu Walsh and Watterson, 1991; Walsh et al., 1996). In this case, the “true” tip of the fault zone

is situated at the lateral termination of the monocline, approximately 20m west of the point at which the fault scarp disappears (Fig. 3d). A similar situation pertains to fault zones mapped in 3D seismic reflection datasets, as described below.

2.1.1.2 Seismic scale example - Inner Moray Firth

Long and Imber (2010; their Figs. 8 and 9) have shown that seismically-resolvable normal faults that cut sub-horizontal sedimentary sequences pass laterally into bands of continuous deformation (monoclinal folding and/or sub-seismic scale faulting; Steen et al., 1998; White and Crider, 2006). Added together, the continuous and discontinuous deformation define a coherent fault array (Walsh et al., 1996; Long and Imber, 2010). The location of the “true” fault tip is assumed to be the point at which the total vertical displacement (fault throw + continuous deformation) reaches zero. Fig. 4 shows the aspect ratios measured on different stratigraphic horizons within a single relay zone from the Inner Moray Firth, Scotland (Fig. 4). The grey line shows the aspect ratios calculated using fault overlap lengths based on locating the fault tips using plots of fault throw vs. distance (i.e. the standard method used to define the location of fault tips). Using plots of *total* vertical displacement (i.e. vertical displacement due to fault-related folding + fault throw) vs. distance in order to locate the “true” tips of the relay-bounding faults increases the aspect ratio by approximately 1.1 at all depths within the relay zone (Fig. 4: black line). We have therefore used plots of total vertical displacement vs. distance to obtain the “true” fault tip locations, hence a consistent set of relay overlap lengths, in all the data derived from outcrop and seismic examples presented in this paper.

2.2 Fault separation

Fault separation is defined as the perpendicular distance between two overlapping fault segments, measured at the centre of the relay zone (Fig. 1). There are two main sources of error in this measurement: (1) correctly identifying the centre of the relay zone; and (2) correctly locating the primary relay-bounding fault surfaces within a potentially wide zone of fault-related deformation. Locating the centre of a relay zone depends on knowing the overlap length. Therefore, errors in establishing the fault overlap length will alter the location at which separation is recorded. Separation has therefore been measured after the “true” tips of the relay-bounding fault zones have been established.

2.2.1 Criteria to locate the primary relay-bounding faults within a zone of fault-related deformation

Long and Imber (2010; in review) have shown that fault planes mapped in 3D seismic data are surrounded by volumes of continuous deformation that vary in width in both the strike and dip directions of the fault. These volumes of continuous deformation can be asymmetrically distributed between the hanging wall and footwall and may comprise more than 50% of the total vertical displacement across a fault zone. In all cases, however, the continuous deformation is an integral component of the coherent fault array (Walsh et al., 1996; Long and Imber, 2010; Long, 2011; Long and Imber, in review) .

In this study, the principal relay-bounding faults are taken as laterally continuous structures on which the majority of the offset is accommodated. In settings where the fault traces can be mapped directly (e.g. in outcrop or some 3D seismic datasets), fault separation is measured between the centres of the mapped fault polygons (Fig. 1d). In relay zones where the bounding faults are identified solely by zones of continuous deformation (e.g. in many 3D seismic datasets; see Long and Imber, 2010; their Fig. 9 horizons H1-H3) the separation is measured between the centres of laterally continuous monoclines with the largest measured deflections i.e., between the inferred fault traces (Fig. 1e). Nevertheless, monoclines can range in width from 50 to 300 m and the precise location of the main fault trace cannot always be inferred. Fault separation could therefore be under or over estimated by an amount up to half of the width of the monocline (Fig. 1f). At present, there is no way to ascertain the unique distribution of faults below the resolution of seismic data and therefore these uncertainties cannot be mitigated.

3 First-order trends in the global dataset of relay zone aspect ratios

Fig. 5 demonstrates the effect of including continuous deformation in obtaining measurements of fault overlap and separation, using examples of relay zones on normal faults interpreted using three-dimensional seismic reflection data from the Inner Moray Firth (offshore Scotland) and Laminaria High (NW Shelf of Australia). Accurate location of

the “true” fault tips using total displacement vs. distance plots (section 2) has caused a shift towards greater overlap lengths, by approximately a factor of 1.5 for relays mapped using 3D seismic data (Fig. 5). Fault separation measurements for some relay zones also changed when continuous deformation was included, because an increase in overlap length changed the point at which relay separation was measured (Fig. 5). A correction factor of 1.5 has therefore been applied to all literature-derived fault overlap measurements, where the relay zones have been mapped from 3D seismic data (Fig. 6). This correction incorporates the zone of coherent, continuous deformation that is likely to exist beyond the mapped fault tips. Literature-derived fault overlap measurements obtained from relays measured in outcrop have not been corrected: unless specifically stated in the source paper, it is assumed that monoclines and/or minor structures at fault tips would have been included in the measurements of fault overlap length.

Fig. 6 shows the global dataset of relay zone overlaps and separations, which includes the corrected literature data (diamonds). The data are all derived from faults in extensional terrains and include measurements from selected literature sources (triangles), in addition to new measurements obtained during the present study (circles). The new measurements have been obtained from relay zones mapped using 3D seismic data (Inner Moray Firth, offshore Scotland; Laminaria High, NW Shelf of Australia; and Miskar, Gulf of Gabès, offshore Tunisia; $n = 161$), terrestrial laser scans of centimetre- to metre-scale relays exposed onshore (Kilve and Lilstock, Bristol Channel, UK; and Lamberton, Berwickshire, UK; $n = 52$) and high-resolution digital elevation models of larger metre- to decametre-scale relays exposed onshore (Bishop Tuff, California; and Arches National Park, Utah; $n = 12$). These measurements have been made in accordance with the criteria outline in section 2 (i.e. continuous deformation has been included in order to define fault overlap and separation). The raw data are provided in the Supplementary Material and the background geology of each area is summarised by Long (2011). The data from the literature sources have been derived from a range of different sources (Barnett et al., 1987; Larsen, 1988; Morley et al., 1990; Cartwright, 1991; Roberts and Jackson, 1991; Stewart and Hancock, 1991; Gawthorpe and Hurst, 1993; Anders and Schlische, 1994; Peacock and Sanderson, 1994; Trudgill and Cartwright, 1994; Childs et al., 1995; Huggins et al., 1995; Walsh et al., 1999; Acocella et al., 2000; Gupta and Scholz, 2000; McLeod et al., 2000; Peacock et al.,

205 2000; Cowie and Roberts, 2001; Morley, 2002; Peacock et al., 2002; Soliva and Benedicto,
206 2004; n = 291). The raw data are provided in the Supplementary Material.

207 The global dataset displays a clear power-law relationship between overlap and separation
208 that spans nearly 8 orders of magnitude (Fig. 6). The best-fit power-law trend line is
209 $y=3.634x^{0.97}$ $R^2=0.98$ and the mean aspect ratio of 4.2 and a standard deviation of 3.0. The
210 extent of the observed scatter in the global dataset is approximately an order of magnitude
211 in both overlap and separation across the entire measured scale range (Fig. 6). The
212 correction of the literature data makes little different to the overall scatter. Nevertheless,
213 applying this correction gives us confidence that: (1) the observed correlation between
214 overlap and separation is genuine; and (2) that the remaining scatter is primarily caused by
215 geological processes, not measurement errors or inconsistencies.

216 More detailed analyses of the overall controls on the power-law scaling and scatter are
217 provided below, but three key points are immediately evident from inspection of the
218 refined global dataset (Fig. 6). First, plotting individual data points by the dominant host-
219 rock lithology suggests that no systematic relationship exists between lithology and relay
220 aspect ratio for this dataset. We thus infer, host rock lithology is not a first-order control on
221 relay aspect ratio. However, it is recognised that any potential trend could be masked by a
222 number of factors such as: (1) the large scale range over which these data are displayed; (2)
223 combining multiple datasets from contrasting lithological setting, which are not easily
224 subdivided; and (3) sampling inherently 3D relay zones at different depths. More detailed
225 studies are therefore needed to confirm the relationship between host rock lithology and
226 relay aspect ratio, for example by investigating similar-sized relay zones in different host
227 lithologies within the same extensional basin.

228 Second, relays from particular locations, whether those sampled for this study or those
229 acquired from literature sources, often display a spread of relay ramp measurements that
230 fill the observed scatter range (Fig. 6). Third, relay ramps at two of the field localities in this
231 study (Kilve and Lamberton) both systematically plot above the global trend line and have
232 higher than mean aspect ratios, of 8.20 (standard deviation = 4.9) and 8.64 (standard
233 deviation = 4.5) respectively, when compared to the global mean aspect ratio (4.2). Relay
234 aspect ratios from these outcrops are also greater than other outcrop-scale relays from

literature sources of similar scales and quality of outcrop exposure (Fig. 6) (Peacock and Sanderson, 1994; Gupta and Scholz, 1998; Acocella et al., 2000; Gupta and Scholz, 2000). These observations suggest there may be location-specific controls on relay ramp geometries.

4 Geometries of individual relay zones

The global relay dataset can be subdivided to show the changes in relay ramp aspect ratio with depth throughout individual relay zones mapped using 3D seismic reflection data (Fig. 7). The overlaps and separations of relay-bounding faults surrounding individual relay zones span up to the entire range of scatter observed in the global dataset (Fig. 7a). Three main trends can be identified from this data: type one, relay zones have a wide spread in overlap length but a narrow range in separation values (dashed black lines defining sub-vertical ellipses in Fig. 7a); type two, relay zones have similar spreads in both overlap and separation lengths (solid grey lines defining circles in Fig. 7a); and type three, relay zones have a wide spread in separation length but a narrow range in overlap length (solid black lines defining sub-horizontal ellipses in Fig. 7a).

The same data have been re-plotted as aspect ratio against normalised depth in Fig. 7b in order to show how the observed trends in overlap and separation measurements relate spatially. In general, type one relay zones (Fig. 7: dashed black lines) can be described as having low aspect ratios at the upper and lower parts of the relay zone (i.e. toward the upper and lower tip lines of the relay-bounding faults) and relatively high aspect ratios in the centre. An example of this type of relay zone is shown in Fig. 4, which is comprised of two overlapping semi-planar fault segments. The upper and lower tip lines retreat upwards and downwards respectively, and appear elliptical in strike projection (Fig. 8a). Type two relay zones (Fig. 7: solid grey lines) can be approximated to relay zones with relatively uniform aspect ratios with depth. The tip lines of the overlapping relay-bounding faults are sub-vertical and display only slight curvature (retreat) at the top of the relay zone compared to type one relays (Fig. 8b). Finally, type three relay zones can be described as having relatively low aspect ratios in the upper sections of the relay zone and comparatively large aspect ratios in the lower sections of the relay zone. Relay Laminaria east R9, for example,

displays an increase in aspect ratio with depth throughout the relay zone (Fig. 7b). The relay-bounding faults, for Laminaria east R9, are also linked along branch lines (Fig. 8c).

Not all relay zones conform to these idealised geometries. For example, the Laminaria east R7 relay zone has relatively large aspect ratios, of 6.8 to 8.4, in the upper and lower sections of the relay zone but has aspect ratios, of 4.4 to 4.7, in the centre of the relay zone (Fig. 7b). Such variations can be ascribed to partially-breached relay zones in which the relay-bounding faults are linked along branch lines at certain stratigraphic levels, but retain free tips above and below (Fig. 8d) (Imber et al., 2004). The stratigraphic levels at which linkage occurs could be related to the mechanical properties of the host stratigraphic sequence, which can influence how strains are accommodated (Ferrill and Morris, 2008). However, we do not have the data required to test this hypothesis in the Laminaria east R7 example (e.g. detailed well log calibration).

To summarise, variations in the geometries of the relay-bounding faults (tip line shape, fault surface geometry and location and continuity of branch lines) within a single relay zone can account for much of the observed scatter within the refined global dataset of relay aspect ratios.

5 Discussion

5.1 Global scaling of fault overlap and separation in relay zones

The power-law relationship between fault overlap and separation in relay zones suggests that the primary control on relay zone geometry scales with the size of the relay-bounding faults (Fig. 6). We propose that Gupta and Scholz's (2000) elastic-plastic model of fault interaction can explain this first-order scaling relationship. In the model, the relay-bounding faults interact through their overlapping stress fields, and little or no interaction is expected between overlapping faults where the separation distance exceeds 15% of their total length. Gupta and Scholz (2000) assumed the stress distribution surrounding an isolated normal fault is a first-order approximation of the stress field surrounding two interacting faults (Fig. 9). The stress field surrounding a relay zone can in fact be quite perturbed (Segall and Pollard, 1980; Crider and Pollard, 1998), and more complex models that explicitly capture

fault growth and/or mechanical interaction are available (Willemse et al., 1996; Crider and Pollard, 1998; Imber et al., 2004). However, this degree of sophistication is not required for our purposes.

Gupta and Scholz (2000) considered the shear stress changes induced on a normal fault (F1) by slip on a neighbouring fault (F2; **Error! Reference source not found.**Fig. 9). An increase in stress at the tip of F2 is assumed in order for the fault to propagate (Fig. 9). For a given fault segment size and material properties of the host rock, there is a critical stress drop contour located within the stress drop region of the adjacent to F2 (Fig. 9), through which an overlapping fault (F1) does not propagate (Gupta and Scholz, 2000). The critical stress drop contour coincides with the boundary of the stress shadow region outlined in Ackermann and Schlische, (1997). The interaction between the propagating fault tip and the critical stress drop contour limits the overlap of the bounding faults, providing an upper bound on the aspect ratio of the relay ramp. The important point here is that the size of the stress drop zone, and the radius of the critical stress contour, scale with the size of the bounding faults. Thus, the maximum separation and overlap dimensions of any potential relay ramp will increase along with displacement on the bounding faults, but aspect ratios will remain approximately constant.

5.2 Geometric model to explain the development of individual relay zone geometries

The simple model of fault interaction, in section 5.1, can account for the power-law relationship between fault overlap and separation in relay zones. However, it does not explain the variation in relay zone geometry identified in section 4 (Figs. 7 and 8), nor does it account for the order of magnitude scatter observed within the separation and overlap data. In this section, we outline a geometric model for the 3D geometric evolution of individual relay zones, based on the interaction and linkage of two initially isolated normal faults, which is consistent with Gupta and Scholz (2000). However, the model is not unique. As discussed below, some of the geometries observed in Fig. 8 could arise due to fault surface bifurcation, rather than interaction and linkage of two initially isolated faults.

Stage 1 corresponds to the type one relay zones identified in Fig. 7. The characteristic relay ramp geometries within a single Stage 1 relay zone are: low aspect ratios near the upper tip lines, maximum aspect ratios around the centre of the relay zone, and low aspect ratios near the lower tip lines (Figs. 10a and b). Fault separation varies little with depth and is controlled by the original separation distance between the overlapping fault segments (Fig. 10a). Maximum overlap occurs towards the centre of the relay zone where displacements on the bounding faults are the highest. At this early stage of relay zone development, the tip lines of the overlapping bounding faults are approximately elliptical in strike projection (Fig. 10c). During Stage 1, we suggest that unrestricted, elliptical fault tip lines overlap to form a relay zone (Fig. 10c), resulting in a large spread in fault overlap at different depths within the relay zone (Fig. 10a).

During Stage 2, the lateral tip lines of the relay-bounding faults are sub-vertical within the relay zone (Fig. 10b). Overlap length and separation are approximately constant with depth. The separation is equal to that of the original separation distance of the overlapping fault segments (Fig. 10a). Sub-vertical tip lines develop in response to further displacement accumulation and propagation of the relay-bounding faults. As such, sub-vertical lateral tip lines may develop when the relay-bounding faults become pinned and subsequent propagation is retarded (Gupta and Scholz, 2000).

During Stage 3, further displacement accumulation on the relay-bounding faults gives rise to linked relay zones. The tip line geometries will depend on the location and extent of fault linkage (Kristensen et al., 2008; Long and Imber, in review). In relay zones that display down-dip fault linkage, along slip-normal branch lines, the separation distance decreases with depth, as fault propagate towards each other (Fig. 10e). This results in an increase in relay zone aspect ratio with depth towards the branch line, if fault overlap length remains the same, such as in Laminaria east R9 (Fig. 7a and b). Alternatively, a downward-decrease in separation between the relay-bounding faults could arise due to fault surface bifurcation, rather than linkage processes (e.g. Childs et al., 1995; Childs et al., 1997; Kristensen et al., 2008).

The proposed geometric progression is based on a relay zone consisting of two planar, initially isolated faults (Fig. 10b). However, fault propagation within a heterogeneous

layered sequence will inevitably lead to irregular lobed shaped tip lines (Huggins et al., 1995; Marchal et al., 2003; Schöpfer et al., 2006), which become out-of-plane with one another (Childs et al., 1996b) and overlap to form a relay zone. The geometric evolution of relay zones formed by the bifurcation of fault tip lines has already been document (Childs et al., 1995; Huggins et al., 1995; Childs et al., 1997; Kristensen et al., 2008). In such relay zones, the bounding faults are linked at depth throughout the growth of the relay zone and both the overlap and separation may vary with depth at all stages during relay zone development.

5.3 Influence of mechanical stratigraphy and heterogeneity

The post-depositional normal faults at Lamberton have maximum displacements of less than 20 cm, and cut and offset an inter-bedded sandstone-shale sequence. Their upper tip lines are restricted by a shale layer (c. 80 cm thick), which overlies this sequence (Long, 2011). The post-depositional normal faults at Kilve developed in and offset an interbedded limestone-shale sequence. They nucleated within the mechanically strong limestone beds and were initially confined by intervening shale layers (Peacock and Sanderson, 1992; Crider and Peacock, 2004).

Dip-slip faults within mechanically confined sequences are free to propagate laterally but are restricted vertically. This results in long faults with relatively low displacements (Benedicto et al., 2003). The width of the stress shadow zone bounded by the critical stress drop contour relates to the displacement and shape of the fault. Large displacement faults produce wider stress drop regions than small displacement faults (Willemse, 1997; Gupta and Scholz, 2000). Faults that are mechanically confined therefore have relatively narrow stress drop zones for their length (Fig. 11a). In addition, despite increases in fault length, the displacement remains low and therefore the value of the critical stress drop contour is approximately constant (Soliva et al., 2006). Mechanically confined faults with separation distances (Fig. 11b: S^*) that are greater than the radius of the critical stress drop contour (Fig. 11b: D^*) are able to overlap un-hindered by neighbouring faults as they accommodate extension. The initially confined faults eventually propagate through the confining layer. Displacement now increases and the stress shadow enlarges (i.e. D^* increases) (Fig. 11c). Eventually the stress field will grow to a point where the critical stress drop contour will

intersect with the overlapping fault tip (Fig. 9), which stops further propagation (Fig. 11d). In this way, the aspect ratios of relay ramps formed between mechanically confined relay-bounding faults are predicted to be greater than those whose growth is unrestricted.

The concept behind this proposed model is supported by observations made by Soliva et al., (2008), who observed elevated aspect ratios for a relay ramp that was bounded by a low displacement footwall fault. The low displacement on the footwall fault resulted from restrictions on slip due to a reduction in fault dip near a slip-normal branch line. The cause of the restricted displacement differs from that inferred from Lamberton, but the underlying relationship between reduced displacement and increased fault overlap length is the same.

Faults at Kilve are also closely associated with pre-existing veins, which are oriented sub-parallel to the strike of the faults. The presence of vein material at fault tips could change the yield strength needed to be overcome by a propagating the fault. We postulate that the calcite veins themselves, and/or the vein-wall rock interfaces have lower yield strengths than intact limestone. No yield strength measurements are known to exist for calcite veins, although previous authors suggest that veins are relatively weak structures compared to the intact host rock (Peacock and Sanderson, 1994; Crider and Peacock, 2004). If this is correct, the presence of pre-existing veins may further enhance fault propagation, again giving rise to relay ramps with large aspect ratios.

The proposed modifications to Gupta and Scholz's (2000) model of fault interaction are only applicable in situations that have similar controls to Lamberton and Kilve, i.e. mechanical layering that restricts fault growth and/or the presence of pre-existing structures that influence fault tip propagation. Therefore, the scale over which these controls operate depends strongly on the size of the faults relative to the thickness of the mechanical layers or size of heterogeneity. Further research is needed to test whether such controls on relay aspect ratios are applicable to larger scale structures than are observed at Lamberton and Kilve.

406 6 Conclusions

- 407 1. Overlap and separation distances for relay-bounding faults have been measured for
408 225 relay ramps, using a consistent approach that takes account of both continuous
409 and discontinuous deformation to accurately define relay ramp geometry. A
410 correction factor has been applied to published overlap and separation distances,
411 which have been combined with our new measurements to produce a refined global
412 dataset of relay zone geometries.
- 413 2. The refined dataset of overlap and separation distances for relay-bounding faults
414 displays a single power-law scaling trend over nearly 8 orders of magnitude. The
415 best-fit power-law trend line is $y=3.634x^{0.97}$ $R^2=0.98$ and the mean aspect ratio of 4.2
416 and a standard deviation of 3.0. This conclusion similar to published datasets which
417 have power-law exponents of 0.97 and mean aspect ratios (overlap/separation)
418 between 4 and 4.9. However, at all observed scales there exists an order of
419 magnitude scatter in both overlap and separation.
- 420 3. The overall power-law scaling between overlap and separation distance suggests
421 that a single mechanism, common at all scales, controls the first-order geometry of
422 relay zones. It is inferred that stress field interaction of overlapping faults could be
423 the primary controlling factor in relay ramp geometries, as exemplified by the Gupta
424 and Scholz (2000) elastic-plastic model of fault interaction. Within the limitations of
425 the global dataset, host-rock lithology does not appear to be a first-order control on
426 relay ramp geometry.
- 427 4. Almost all the scatter in the global dataset of relay ramp overlap and separation
428 distances can be accounted for by the variation in 3D geometry within individual
429 relay zones. This variability reflects processes associated with fault surface
430 bifurcation, relay growth and breaching.
- 431 5. Specific instances occur where relay ramps have aspect ratios more than twice that
432 of the global mean. Relay ramps with high aspect ratios may develop where growth
433 of the relay-bounding faults is restricted by the mechanical layering, and/or where
434 fault tip propagation is enhanced by the presence of pre-existing structures, such as
435 veins.

7 Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Richard Jones, Steven Smith, Ruth Wightman and Mark Pearce for helping collect fault data from Lamberton, UK. We are grateful for the constructive reviews provided by Alan Morris and Juliet Crider. Bill Dunne provided helpful editorial guidance that improved the clarity of the manuscript. JJL was funded by a NERC Open CASE PhD studentship with BG Group (NE/F006586/1) and is grateful for being given the opportunity to finish writing the manuscript by Geospatial Research Ltd.; JI is funded by Statoil (UK) Ltd.

8 Figure list

Fig. 1. (a) A schematic depiction of a relay ramp in map view. Fault overlap is measured between the two overlapping fault tips and separation is the perpendicular distance between the two fault segments measured at the centre of the relay ramp. Relay aspect ratio = $\text{Overlap} / \text{Separation}$. (b) A linked relay ramp. Overlap length is measured between the branch point and the fault tip. (c) A fully breached relay ramp. Overlap length is measured between the two branch points. (d) In three-dimensions, faults have a component of heave

and the separation distance is thus the distance between the fault polygon centrelines. (e) When a relay ramp is bounded by two laterally continuous monoclines (Long and Imber, 2010), the separation distance is measured between the points of maximum deflection on the limbs of each monocline. (f) Seismic reflection datasets have limited resolution. Laterally continuous monoclines could therefore result from different combinations of sub-seismic scale faults, each with a different location to which separation should be measured (white circle; see text for further explanation).

Fig. 2. A limestone bed exposed at Kilve, Somerset, UK, showing a “simple” relay ramp that resembles the schematic depiction of a relay ramp in Fig. 1a. Fault tips for faults F1 and F2 are annotated T1 and T2, respectively. Veins are located along-strike of the fault tips, annotated V1 and V2, respectively. (b) A schematic displacement-distance plot for faults F1 and F2 (a). Fault tips are located at the point where the measureable displacement on the fault decreases to zero.

467

468 **Fig. 3.** (a) View towards the lateral termination of a monocline at Kilve. Foreground shows
 469 annotated cross section of the fold. Middle-ground shows deflection of bedding surface,
 470 which decreases away from the viewer, towards the west. Tape measure sits on top of the

limestone bed and is 5 cm high. (b) Apparent dip map of monocline pictured in (a), derived from digital elevation data acquired by terrestrial laser scanning. Monocline is region of steeper apparent dips outlined by a bold contour. Apparent dips have been measured on transect lines oriented perpendicular to the axis of the monocline. Transect line spacing is 5 cm. (c) Vertical displacement (throw) vs. distance plot along the axis of the monocline. Displacements have been calculated from the apparent dips shown in (b), see Long and Imber (2010) for details. Displacement decreases steadily towards the west. The west end of the monocline is covered by sand. (d) A displacement-distance plot with fault throw recorded from the field and displacements measured across the monocline (b and c). Despite the change from fault to monocline, displacement decreases continuously towards the west.

Fig. 4. Aspect ratio plotted against depth for a single relay zone from the Inner Moray Firth, mapped using 3D seismic data. For the light grey profile, relay overlap and separation are measured using only discontinuous fault offsets, i.e. fault polygons. The black profile includes the regions of continuous, coherent deformation around the mapped faults in measurements of relay aspect ratio. The difference in measured aspect ratio between the

two profiles is approximately 1.1 for each horizon. The largest aspect ratios are found towards the centre of the relay zone; the upper section of the relay zone (above 170 ms TWT) has elevated aspect ratios compared to the lower section of the relay (below 2000 ms).

492

Fig. 5. Measurement of relay zone overlap and separation before and after continuous deformation was included. Relay zones from a range of scales are plotted (IMF R1 and Laminaria east R7). Including continuous deformation in measurements of fault overlap and separation increases the aspect ratio of relay zones by an approximately constant factor, over this scale range.

498

499 **Fig. 6.** Log-log plot of relay overlap versus separation. Literature sources used in this plot are
500 listed in the Supplementary Material: Relay table 2. Corrected literature data point are
501 corrected for the potential under-sampling of fault overlap when continuous deformation is
502 not included, see (Fig. NEW). In general, over 8 orders of magnitude there is approximately
503 an equal amount of scatter of measurements about a single power-law trend. Relay
504 measurements are coloured for lithology. No systematic relationship between lithology and
505 relay zone aspect ratio is observed in this global dataset.

Fig. 7. (a) Log-log plot of overlap verses separation for individual relay zones (large symbols). Data for each relay zone have been circled to highlight trends in the spread of data. Three trends are recognised: sub-vertical distributions (dashed black line), point distributions (solid grey line), and horizontal distributions (solid black line). The range of aspect ratios measured from individual relay zones span almost the entire scatter in the global dataset

512 (grey dots). (b) Relay aspect ratio against normalised depth, in order to show changes in
513 aspect ratio between the top and bottom of relay zones. Details on each location can be
514 found in Supplementary Material: Relay table. 1. As in (a) three trends are recognised; low-
515 high-low vertical aspect ratio profiles (dashed black line), approximately uniform aspect
516 ratio with depth (solid grey line), low-high vertical aspect ratio profiles (solid black line).
517 Relay zones Laminaria east R8, R6, R7 and west R2 display modified vertical aspect ratio
518 profiles, open symbols.

Fig. 8. Perspective 3D images of mapped “true” tip line geometries (solid black lines), branch lines (thick solid black lines), branch points (black circles), and horizon-fault intersections (dashed lines). The “true” tip line locations include the fault-related continuous deformation (see section 2). (a) Type 1 example: IMF R1. (b) Type 2 example: Laminaria east R1. (c) Type 3 example: Laminaria east R9. (d) An example of a relay zone that does not conform to idealised geometries. Relay Laminaria east R7.

Fig. 9. Map view of the stress field around fault F2 and its interaction with the propagating tip of F1. The stress field for F2 is modelled as if it were an isolated fault, which is taken to be a first-order approximation of the stress field for the relay zone. Each fault is surrounded by a region of stress drop in the footwall and hanging wall, and stress increase near the tips. Taken from Gupta and Scholz, (2000).

Fig. 10. The three stages in the geometric evolution of a relay zone, identified from Fig. 7. (a) The schematic changes in overlap and separation for each relay zone, circled. Stage 1, the relay zone has a large spread in overlap length compared to separation. Stage 2, separation remains the same as in stage 1, but overlap length at all levels within the relay zone are now similar. Stage 3, overlap length remains similar to stage 2, whereas separation now decreases within the relay zone. (b) AR plotted against depth for each stage of relay zone development. (c-e) 3D schematic models of the geometry of the relay zones at the three stages in their evolution. (c) Stage 1, the relay zone is bounded by faults with upward/downward retreating tip lines which results in a high degree of scatter in overlap length, but not separation. Separation is set by the original location of the bounding faults. (d) Stage 2, the bounding faults are laterally pinned by the adjacent fault and develop sub-

vertical tip lines. (e) Stage 3, breaching of a relay zone occurs when faults propagate towards each other and link, which results in a decrease in fault separation at certain levels within the relay zone.

Fig. 11. A modification to Gupta and Scholz, (2000) fault interaction model based on observations from Lamberton. The model includes a fault array that is initially confined within a mechanical layer. (a) Faults initiate within a strong mechanical layer. (b) The faults are confined within the mechanical layer and develop low displacement-length ratios, with relatively small stress fields when compared to unconfined faults with similar lengths. D^* is the maximum distance of the critical stress drop contour from the fault trace. S^* is the separation distance between two overlapping faults. (c) Faults begin to propagate through the mechanical layer into surrounding strata. The size of the stress shadows grow as fault

displacement increases. At a certain point the critical stress drop contour will interact with the nearby fault tip, preventing further overlap. (d) Fault linkage occurs and a through going fault is formed. Large aspect ratios are produced by accumulating large overlap lengths in stage (b) prior to the expansion of the stress fields in (c). Figure style after (Soliva et al., 2006: their Fig. 14).

9 References

- Ackermann, R.V., Schlische, R.W., 1997. Anticlustering of small normal faults around larger faults. *Geology* 25 (12), 1127-1130.
- Acocella, V., Gudmundsson, A., Funiciello, R., 2000. Interaction and linkage of extension fractures and normal faults: examples from the rift zone of Iceland. *Journal of Structural Geology* 22 (9), 1233-1246.
- Anders, M.H., Schlische, R.W., 1994. Overlapping Faults, Intrabasin Highs, and the Growth of Normal Faults. *The Journal of Geology* 102 (2), 165-179.
- Aydin, A., Schultz, R.A., 1990. Effect of mechanical interaction on the development of strike-slip faults with echelon patterns. *Journal of Structural Geology* 12 (1), 123-129.
- Barnett, J., Mortimer, J., Rippon, J., Walsh, J., Watterson, J., 1987. Displacement geometry in the volume containing a single normal fault. *AAPG Bulletin* 71 (8), 925-937.
- Benedicto, A., Schultz, R.A., Soliva, R., 2003. Layer thickness and the shape of faults. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 30 (20), 2076.
- Cartwright, J., 1991. The kinematic evolution of the Coffee Soil Fault. Geological Society, London, Special Publications 56 (1), 29-40.
- Cartwright, J., Mansfield, C., Trudgill, B., 1996. The growth of normal faults by segment linkage, In: Buchanan, P.G., Nieuwland, D.A. (Eds.), *Modern developments in structural interpretation*. Geological Society, London, Special Publications pp. 163-177.
- Childs, C., Nicol, A., Walsh, J.J., Watterson, J., 1996a. Growth of vertically segmented normal faults. *Journal of Structural Geology* 18 (12), 1389-1397.
- Childs, C., Walsh, J.J., Watterson, J., 1997. Complexity in fault zone structure and implications for fault seal prediction, In: P. Møller-Pedersen, D., A.G. Koestler, D. (Eds.), *Norwegian Petroleum Society Special Publications*. Elsevier, pp. 61-72.

588 Childs, C., Watterson, J., Walsh, J.J., 1995. Fault overlap zones within developing normal
589 fault systems. *Journal of the Geological Society of London* 152 (3), 535-549.

590 Childs, C., Watterson, J., Walsh, J.J., 1996b. A model for the structure and development of
591 fault zones. *Journal of the Geological Society of London* 153 (3), 337-340.

592 Cowie, P.A., Roberts, G.P., 2001. Constraining slip rates and spacings for active normal
593 faults. *Journal of Structural Geology* 23 (12), 1901-1915.

594 Crider, J., Pollard, D., 1998. Fault linkage: Three-dimensional mechanical interaction
595 between echelon normal faults. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 103 (B10), 24373-
596 24391.

597 Crider, J.G., Peacock, D.C.P., 2004. Initiation of brittle faults in the upper crust: a review of
598 field observations. *Journal of Structural Geology* 26 (4), 691-707.

599 Ferrill, D.A., Morris, A.P., 2008. Fault zone deformation controlled by carbonate mechanical
600 stratigraphy, Balcones fault system, Texas. *AAPG Bulletin* 92 (3), 359-380.

601 Gawthorpe, R.L., Hurst, J.M., 1993. Transfer zones in extensional basins: their structural
602 style and influence on drainage development and stratigraphy. *Journal of the*
603 *Geological Society* 150 (6), 1137-1152.

604 Gupta, A., Scholz, C.H., 1998. Utility of elastic models in predicting fault displacement fields.
605 *J. Geophys. Res.* 103 (B1), 823-834.

606 Gupta, A., Scholz, C.H., 2000. A model of normal fault interaction based on observations and
607 theory. *Journal of Structural Geology* 22 (7), 865-879.

608 Huggins, P., Watterson, J., Walsh, J.J., Childs, C., 1995. Relay zone geometry and
609 displacement transfer between normal faults recorded in coal-mine plans. *Journal of*
610 *Structural Geology* 17 (12), 1741-1755.

611 Imber, J., Tuckwell, G.W., Childs, C., Walsh, J.J., Manzocchi, T., Heath, A.E., Bonson, C.G.,
612 Strand, J., 2004. Three-dimensional distinct element modelling of relay growth and
613 breaching along normal faults. *Journal of Structural Geology* 26 (10), 1897-1911.

614 Kristensen, M.B., Childs, C.J., Korstgård, J.A., 2008. The 3D geometry of small-scale relay
615 zones between normal faults in soft sediments. *Journal of Structural Geology* 30 (2),
616 257-272.

617 Larsen, P.-H., 1988. Relay structures in a Lower Permian basement-involved extension
618 system, East Greenland. *Journal of Structural Geology* 10 (1), 3-8.

619 Long, J.J., 2011. Geometry, evolution and scaling of fault relay zones in 3D using detailed
620 observations from outcrops and 3D seismic data. Ph.D. Thesis, Durham University.

621 Long, J.J., Imber, J., 2010. Geometrically coherent continuous deformation in the volume
622 surrounding a seismically imaged normal fault-array. *Journal of Structural Geology*
623 32 (2), 222-234.

624 Long, J.J., Imber, J., in review. Strain compatibility and fault linkage evolution in relay zones
625 on normal faults. *Journal of Structural Geology*.

626 Marchal, D., Guiraud, M., Rives, T., 2003. Geometric and morphologic evolution of normal
627 fault planes and traces from 2D to 4D data. *Journal of Structural Geology* 25 (1), 135-
628 158.

629 McLeod, A.E., Dawers, N.H., Underhill, J.R., 2000. The propagation and linkage of normal
630 faults: insights from the Strathspey-Brent-Statfjord fault array, northern North Sea.
631 *Basin Research* 12 (3-4), 263-284.

632 Morley, C.K., 2002. Evolution of Large Normal Faults: Evidence from Seismic Reflection Data.
633 *AAPG Bulletin* 86 (6), 961-978.

634 Morley, C.K., Nelson, R.A., Patton, T.L., Munn, S.G., 1990. Transfer zones in the East African
635 Rift system and their relevance to hydrocarbon exploration in rifts. *AAPG Bulletin* 74
636 (8), 1234-1253.

637 Peacock, D.C.P., 2002. Propagation, interaction and linkage in normal fault systems. *Earth-*
638 *Science Reviews* 58 (1-2), 121-142.

639 Peacock, D.C.P., Parfitt, E.A., Wilkerson, M.S., Fischer, M.P., Apotria, T., 2002. Active relay
640 ramps and normal fault propagation on Kilauea Volcano, Hawaii. *Journal of*
641 *Structural Geology* 24 729-742.

642 Peacock, D.C.P., Price, S.P., Whitham, A.G., Pickles, C.S., 2000. The world's biggest relay
643 ramp; Hold With Hope, NE Greenland. *Journal of Structural Geology* 22 (7), 843-850.

644 Peacock, D.C.P., Sanderson, D.J., 1991. Displacements, segment linkage and relay ramps in
645 normal fault zones. *Journal of Structural Geology* 13 (6), 721-733.

646 Peacock, D.C.P., Sanderson, D.J., 1992. Effects of layering and anisotropy on fault geometry.
647 *Journal of the Geological Society* 149 (5), 793-802.

648 Peacock, D.C.P., Sanderson, D.J., 1994. Geometry and development of relay ramps in normal
649 fault systems. *AAPG Bulletin* 78 (2), 147-165.

650 Roberts, S., Jackson, J., 1991. Active normal faulting in central Greece: an overview.
 651 Geological Society, London, Special Publications 56 (1), 125-142.

652 Schöpfer, M.P.J., Childs, C., Walsh, J.J., 2006. Localisation of normal faults in multilayer
 653 sequences. *Journal of Structural Geology* 28 (5), 816-833.

654 Segall, P., Pollard, D.D., 1980. Mechanics of Discontinuous Faults. *J. Geophys. Res.* 85 (B8),
 655 4337-4350.

656 Soliva, R., Benedicto, A., 2004. A linkage criterion for segmented normal faults. *Journal of*
 657 *Structural Geology* 26 (12), 2251-2267.

658 Soliva, R., Benedicto, A., Maerten, L., 2006. Spacing and linkage of confined normal faults:
 659 Importance of mechanical thickness. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 111 (B1).

660 Soliva, R., Benedicto, A., Schultz, R.A., Maerten, L., Micarelli, L., 2008. Displacement and
 661 interaction of normal fault segments branched at depth: Implications for fault
 662 growth and potential earthquake rupture size. *Journal of Structural Geology* 30 (10),
 663 1288-1299.

664 Steen, O., Sverdrup, E., Hanssen, T.H., 1998. Predicting the distribution of small faults in a
 665 hydrocarbon reservoir by combining outcrop, seismic and well data, In: Jones, G.,
 666 Fisher, Q.J., Knipe, R.J. (Eds.), *Faulting, fault sealing and fluid flow in hydrocarbon*
 667 *reservoirs*. Geological Society Special Publication, London, pp. 27-50.

668 Stewart, I.S., Hancock, P.L., 1991. Scales of structural heterogeneity within neotectonic
 669 normal fault zones in the Aegean region. *Journal of Structural Geology* 13 (2), 191-
 670 204.

671 Townsend, C., Firth, I., Westerman, R., Kirkevollen, L., Harde, M., Andersen, T., 1998. Small
 672 seismic-scale fault identification and mapping, In: Jones, G., Fisher, Q.J., Knipe, R.J.
 673 (Eds.), *Faulting, fault sealing and fluid flow in hydrocarbon reservoirs*. Geological
 674 Society, London, Special Publications, pp. 1-25.

675 Trudgill, B., Cartwright, J., 1994. Relay-ramp forms and normal-fault linkages, Canyonlands
 676 National Park, Utah. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 106 (9), 1143.

677 Walsh, J.J., Bailey, W.R., Childs, C., Nicol, A., Bonson, C.G., 2003. Formation of segmented
 678 normal faults; a 3-D perspective. *Journal of Structural Geology* 25 (8), 1251-1262.

679 Walsh, J.J., Watterson, J., 1991. Geometric and kinematic coherence and scale effects in
 680 normal fault systems, In: Roberts, A.M., Yielding, G., Freeman, B. (Eds.), *The*

681 geometry of normal faults. Geological Society, London, Special Publications, pp. 193-
682 203.

683 Walsh, J.J., Watterson, J., Bailey, W.R., Childs, C., 1999. Fault relays, bends and branch-lines.
684 Journal of Structural Geology 21 (8-9), 1019-1026.

685 Walsh, J.J., Watterson, J., Childs, C., Nicol, A., 1996. Ductile strain effects in the analysis of
686 seismic interpretations of normal fault systems, In: Buchanan, P.G., Nieuwland, D.A.
687 (Eds.), Modern developments in structural interpretation, validation and modelling.
688 Geological Society Special Publications, pp. 27-40.

689 White, I.R., Crider, J.G., 2006. Extensional fault-propagation folds: mechanical models and
690 observations from the Modoc Plateau, northeastern California. Journal of Structural
691 Geology 28 (7), 1352-1370.

692 Willemse, E.J.M., 1997. Segmented normal faults: Correspondence between three-
693 dimensional mechanical models and field data. J. Geophys. Res. 102 (B1), 675-692.

694 Willemse, E.J.M., Pollard, D.D., Aydin, A., 1996. Three-dimensional analyses of slip
695 distributions on normal fault arrays with consequences for fault scaling. Journal of
696 Structural Geology 18 (2-3), 295-309.

697

698