
Further information on publisher’s website:
http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.221601

Publisher’s copyright statement:
© 2004 by The American Physical Society. All rights reserved.

Use policy

The full-text may be used and/or reproduced, and given to third parties in any format or medium, without prior permission or charge, for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes provided that:

• a full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
• a link is made to the metadata record in DRO
• the full-text is not changed in any way

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

Please consult the full DRO policy for further details.
Einstein Gravity on the codimension 2 brane?
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We look at general braneworlds in six-dimensional Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet gravity. We find the general matching conditions for the Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet braneworld, which remarkably turn out to give precisely the four-dimensional Einstein equations for the induced metric and matter on the brane, even when the extra dimensions are non-compact and have infinite volume. We also show that relaxing regularity of the curvature in the vicinity of the brane, or alternatively having a finite width brane, gives rise to an additional possible correction to the Einstein equations, which contains information on the brane’s embedding in the bulk and cannot be determined from knowledge of the braneworld alone. We comment on the advantages and disadvantages of each possibility, and the relevance of these results regarding a possible solution of the cosmological constant problem.

PACS:11.25.Mj, 98.80.Jk

The braneworld paradigm, or the idea that our universe might be a slice of some higher dimensional spacetime, has proved a compelling alternative to standard Kaluza-Klein (KK) methods of having more than four dimensions. Briefly, in contrast to KK compactifications, which have small and compact extra dimensions, braneworlds can have large, even non-compact, extra dimensions which have potentially important experimental consequences. We do not directly see the extra dimensions since we are confined to our braneworld, rather, their presence is felt via short-scale corrections to Newton’s law, in some cases large scale modifications of gravity, and as a means of generating the hierarchy between the weak and Planck scales. Although being confined to a slice in spacetime might seem odd, such confinement is in fact a common occurrence. The early braneworld scenarios for example used zero modes on topological defects and of course in string theory we have confinement of gauge theories on D-branes.

Formally, the braneworld is a submanifold of the spacetime manifold, and can have any number of codimensions – the number of extra dimensions – up to a maximum of 6/7 for string/M-theory. By far the best investigated and understood braneworld scenario is the codimension 1 case, or a toy 5-dimensional example motivated by the Horava-Witten compactification of M-theory. This range of models, based on the seminal work of Randall and Sundrum (RS), has all the features one requires: Einstein gravity at some scale with calculable modifications, well-defined cosmology asymptoting standard cosmology at late times, and has the additional allure of exhibiting directly aspects of the adS/CFT correspondence.

Far less well understood are higher codimension braneworlds. Although the pioneering work on resolving the hierarchy problem took place within the context of higher codimension, empirical models lack the gravitational consistency of the RS scenarios. Attempts to include self-gravity have met with some success in codimension 2, but for codimension three or higher, the situation seems to be more problematic.

Codimension 2 brane worlds offer also some interesting properties that can be exploited to attack the cosmological constant problem, but one drawback is that, in contrast to codimension 1, we appear to be very restricted in our allowed brane energy-momenta. Typically, a brane in its ground state has a very special energy-momentum tensor, which is isotropic and has the property that Energy = Tension. If we wish to have any matter on the brane, then we have to have a varying energy density and varying tension. However, as pointed out by Cline et al. in the case of cosmological branes, this is inconsistent with some basic minimal assumptions about the nature of the braneworld. Essentially, it causes singularities in the metric around the braneworld which necessitates the introduction of a cut-off and hence introduces questions of model dependence.

In this letter we suggest that the solution to the apparent sterility of codimension 2 braneworlds might lie in the Gauss-Bonnet term. This is a term that can be added to the action in $D > 4$ (it is a topological invariant in 4D) that is quadratic in the curvature tensor but has the well known property that the equations of motion derived from it remain second order differential equations for the metric. In fact, since $O(R^2)$ corrections to the Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian do arise in the low energy limit of string theory, the inclusion of this type of term could be regarded as mandatory if one wants to embed any braneworld solution into string/M-theory. Fluctuations around a flat background for this model were studied in, and the conclusions obtained are compatible with the ones presented in this Letter at the linearized level.

In trying to derive effective Einstein equations on the brane, it is worth comparing and contrasting with codimension 1. Recall that for codimension 1 there is a sin-
gle normal to the braneworld, hence a single direction from the braneworld. For a general submanifold of codimension 2, there are now two normals, and for a regular submanifold we again have a well-defined coordinate patch around it defined by the Gauss-Codazzi formalism. (This method was used to derive effective actions of topological defects \cite{12}.) The problem with trying to apply Gauss-Codazzi in our case is that it requires some minimal regularity of the metric near the braneworld, and this is no longer the case for an infinitesimal braneworld in codimension 2—the situation is even worse for codimension 3 and higher! Briefly, there is no well-defined “thin braneworld” limit for the Einstein equations \cite{13}, or alternatively, for the conical deficit, it is not possible to put two normals at the location of the deficit which have a well defined inner product—it depends on whether you measure the outer or inner angle. In order to derive gravity on the brane therefore, we instead use a coordinate system which is defined in the vicinity of the braneworld, and in which the effect of the brane formally appears as a delta-function.

We assume that our braneworld has a nonsingular metric, \( \hat{g}_{\mu\nu}(x^\mu) \), which is continuous in the vicinity of the braneworld. The coordinates \( x^\mu \) label the braneworld directions, and we will use greek indices to indicate braneworld coordinates. We now take the set of points at a fixed proper distance from a particular \( x^\mu \) on the brane, this will have topology \( S^1 \), and we label these points by \( x^\mu \), their proper distance, \( r \), from \( x^\mu \), and an angle \( \theta \), which without loss of generality we will take to have the standard periodicity of \( 2\pi \). This method provides a full coordinatisation of spacetime in the vicinity of the braneworld, and will be unique within the radii of curvature of the braneworld. There are two remaining issues. One is that there is of course some ambiguity in the labelling of \( \theta \), which is equivalent to the choice of connection on the normal bundle of the braneworld, however, for simplicity we will assume that \( \theta \) is chosen to make this connection vanish (in particular, this means we assume that the braneworld is not self intersecting). The second issue relates to the form of the bulk spacetime metric, which we will now assume has axial symmetry, i.e., \( \partial_\theta \) is a Killing vector. This Ansatz simplifies the bulk metric, and it is analogous to the assumption of \( Z_2 \)-symmetry in the codimension 1 scenarios. The metric therefore can be seen in these coordinates to take the general form:

\[
\begin{align*}
  ds^2 &= g_{\mu\nu}(x,r)dx^\mu dx^\nu - L^2(x,r)d\theta^2 - dr^2.
\end{align*}
\]

In order to obtain the braneworld equations, we now expand the metric around the brane:

\[
L(x,r) = \beta(x)r + O(r^2)
\]

etc. For values of \( \beta \neq 1 \) we have a conical singularity at \( r = 0 \), which is interpreted as being due to a delta-function braneworld source. Strictly speaking, at least in Einstein gravity, we cannot talk of a delta-function source in terms of a zero-thickness limit of finite sources \cite{13}, rather, we deduce the existence of the delta-function in the Riemann tensor from the holonomy of a parallely transported vector around the source. However, as the equations of motion make perfect sense with the delta-function being encoded in a notional discontinuity of the radial derivatives of the metric at \( r = 0 \), we follow the standard procedure in this paper of defining \( L'(x,0) = 1, g_{\mu\nu}(x,0) = 0 \), in order to give rise to the required distributional behaviour of the curvature in the gravitational equations (a prime denotes derivative with respect to \( r \)).

Therefore, for a general braneworld, the problem we wish to solve is that of finding gravitating solutions that include the effect of a general brane energy-momentum tensor

\[
T_{MN} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \hat{T}_{\mu\nu}(x) \delta(x) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)
\]

(upper case latin indices run over all the dimensions). In particular, we will be interested in the relation between the 4D induced metric on the brane, \( g_{\mu\nu}(x,0) = \hat{g}_{\mu\nu}(x) \), and the brane energy momentum tensor, \( \hat{T}_{\mu\nu}(x) \). It is this relation which determines the nature of the gravitational interactions that a “brane observer” would measure.

Our starting point is the Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet (EGB) equation

\[
M_4^4 (G_{MN} + H_{MN}) = T_{MN} + S_{MN},
\]

where

\[
G_{MN} = R_{MN} - \frac{1}{2}g_{MN}R,
\]

and the Gauss-Bonnet contribution is given by

\[
H_{MN} = \alpha \left[ \frac{1}{2}g_{MN}(R^2 - 4R^{PQ}R_{PQ} + R^{PQST}R_{PQST}) - 2RR_{MN} + 4R_{MPN}R_{NP} + 4R^K_{MPN}R_{KP} - 2R_{MQSP}R_{NP}^{QSP} \right],
\]

with \( \alpha \) a parameter with dimensions of \((mass)^{-2} \). \( S_{MN} \) is the bulk energy momentum tensor, which we will not specify here, other than to assume that it has no delta-function contributions.

If equation (4) is to be satisfied there must be a singular contribution to the LHS of this equation with the structure \( \sim \frac{\delta(r)}{L} \). As we have already discussed, such a contribution can arise from terms that contain:

\[
\frac{L''}{L} = -(1 - \beta) \frac{\delta(r)}{L} + \text{(non-singular part)},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial_r^2 g_{\mu\nu}}{L} = \partial_r g_{\mu\nu} \frac{\delta(r)}{L} + \text{(non-singular part)}.
\]

In Einstein gravity, these latter terms are zero. However, since they could in principle be nonzero, we will retain them from now.
We must therefore set the delta-function contribution equal to the brane energy-momentum tensor in order to solve the equations of motion. After some calculation, one obtains that the only singular part of the LHS of equation (11) lies in the \( \mu, \nu \) directions and is:

\[
-\frac{L''}{L} \left[ g_{\mu\nu} + 4\alpha \left( R_{\mu\nu}(g) - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R(g) \right) \right] + \frac{\alpha}{L} \partial_r (L' W_{\mu\nu}) ,
\]

where \( W_{\mu\nu} \) is defined as the following combination of first derivatives of the 4-dimensional metric

\[
W_{\mu\nu} = g^{\lambda\sigma} \partial_\lambda g_{\mu\nu} g_{\sigma\rho} - g^{\lambda\sigma} \partial_r g_{\lambda\nu} g_{\rho\mu} + \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \left[ (g^{\lambda\sigma} \partial_r g_{\lambda\rho})^2 - \frac{g^{\lambda\sigma}}{g} \partial_r g_{\lambda\rho} \partial_r g_{\rho\mu} \right].
\]

We can now use the properties

\[
-\frac{L''}{L} = (1 - \beta) \frac{\delta (r)}{L} + \ldots ,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial_r (L' W_{\mu\nu})}{L} = \beta W_{\mu\nu} |_{r=0^+} \frac{\delta (r)}{L} + \ldots ,
\]

to obtain the matching condition by equating the \( \frac{\delta (r)}{L} \) terms of equation (11). This yields

\[
2\pi (1 - \beta) M_4^4 \left[ g_{\mu\nu} + 4\alpha \hat{G}_{\mu\nu} + \alpha \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \hat{W}_{\mu\nu} \right] = \hat{T}_{\mu\nu} ,
\]

where \( \hat{G}_{\mu\nu} \) is the 4D Einstein tensor for the induced metric, \( \hat{g}_{\mu\nu} \), and \( \hat{W}_{\mu\nu} \equiv W_{\mu\nu} |_{r=0^+} \).

This is our main result: the gravitational equations of a braneworld observer are the Einstein equations plus an extra Weyl-term, \( W_{\mu\nu} \), which depends on the bulk solution. This term is reminiscent of the Weyl term in the codimension 1 braneworlds, which gives rise to the corrections to the Einstein equations on the brane. Roughly speaking, the braneworld equation is obtained by taking the components of the full Einstein equations parallel to the brane, with the perpendicular components giving some information on the nature of the Weyl term. Depending on the symmetries present, in some cases (cosmology being the most physically interesting) we can completely determine the bulk metric, and hence these Weyl corrections. For codimension 2, the perpendicular components of the bulk equations do lead to constraints as we discuss presently, however these now no longer fix the bulk metric exactly, not even for the highly symmetric and special case of braneworld cosmology with Einstein gravity in the bulk. Let us now investigate the consequences of this, in particular, the consistency of the extra Weyl term, which arose as a result of allowing a discontinuity in the derivative of the parallel braneworld metric.

A natural first check is to take the \( \alpha \to 0 \) limit to recover the Einstein case. Then equation (13) reduces to

\[
2\pi (1 - \beta) M_4^4 g_{\mu\nu} = \hat{T}_{\mu\nu} .
\]

Although this looks like it is not possible to satisfy this matching condition unless the brane energy momentum tensor is proportional to the induced metric, in fact we have not yet determined whether \( \beta \) is a constant. A non-constant \( \beta \) would correspond to a varying deficit angle, and is not determined by the braneworld equations alone. We must supplement the braneworld equations with the bulk equations normal to the braneworld, and since we wish to make as few assumptions as possible about the bulk in this letter, we will simply look at the divergent \( O(1/r) \) terms in the Einstein equations near the brane, as these cannot be cancelled by any regular bulk \( S_{MN} \).

These leading terms for the \((\mu, \nu), (r, r)\) and \((\mu, r)\) components give

\[
\frac{g_{\mu\nu} [L'']}{L} - \frac{L'}{2L} \left[ \partial_r g_{\mu\nu} - g_{\mu\sigma} g^{\rho\sigma} \partial_r g_{\rho\nu} \right] = 0 , \quad \frac{L' L''}{2L^2} g^{\rho\sigma} \partial_r g_{\rho\sigma} = 0 , \quad \frac{\partial_r L'}{L} = 0 ,
\]

where \([L'']\) stands here for the smooth part of the second derivative as we approach the brane. We now see directly that \( \beta \) must indeed be constant, and that \( \partial_r L_{r=0^+} = 0 \) and \( \partial_r g_{\mu\nu} |_{r=0^+} = 0 \). We now confirm the observation of Cline et al.\[10\] that, Einstein codimension 2 braneworlds must have an energy momentum proportional to their induced metric, and their gravitational effect is to produce a conical deficit in the bulk spacetime.

In Gauss-Bonnet gravity however, the situation is not so simple, since all these equations get corrections proportional to \( \alpha \) and one cannot rule out the existence of solutions with \( W_{\mu\nu} \neq 0 \). The \( O(1/r) \) terms in the \((\mu, r)\) components of the EGB equations for example are

\[
- g^{\rho\sigma} \partial_r L' \left[ g_{\mu\nu} + 4\alpha \left( R_{\mu\nu}(g) - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R(g) \right) \right] - \alpha W_{\mu\nu} \right] + 2\alpha L' g^{\rho\sigma} \left[ \partial_r g_{\rho\mu} R_{\sigma\nu} - \partial_r g_{\rho\nu} R_{\sigma\mu} \right] = 0 ,
\]

with similar constraints from the \( O(1/r) \) terms of the \((\mu, \nu)\) and \((r, r)\) equations (though these are somewhat more complicated and not particularly illuminating). In this case we find that in general no simple restriction can be placed on the solution, and in particular the deficit angle \( \beta \) need no longer be constant.

However, it is important to note that some components of the Ricci curvature tensor (and scalar) are now divergent once we allow \( \partial_r g_{\mu\nu} |_{r=0^+} \neq 0 \). For example

\[
R_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{L'}{L} \partial_r g_{\mu\nu} + \ldots = \frac{\partial_r g_{\mu\nu}}{2r} + O(1) ,
\]

near the brane. In a realistic situation, we could argue that a brane would have finite width, which could act as a cut-off for the curvature, hence all our results would still be valid provided this cut-off is sufficiently large so that the curvature is still small compared to \( M_4^2 \), the six-dimensional Planck mass squared. In this smooth case, we can use the Gauss-Codazzi formalism and the
\(\theta\)-independence of the metric to write

\[
W_{\mu\nu} = K^\lambda_{i\lambda K} K_{i\lambda} - K K_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \left[ K^2_{i\lambda} - K^2 \right], \tag{18}
\]

where \(K_{i\lambda}\) are the two extrinsic curvatures \((i = 1, 2)\) for each of the two normals. We therefore have the interpretation of \(W_{\mu\nu}\) as a geometric correction to the Einstein tensor due to the embedding of the braneworld in the bulk geometry. The interpretation is then that the Einstein equations acquire additional embedding terms which unfortunately cannot be deduced from the braneworld geometry alone.

The physical relevance of terms which lead to divergent curvatures and hence tidal forces in the vicinity of the braneworld is however questionable. If \(M_4\) is of order the \((\text{inverse})\) brane width, or if we wish to have a truly infinitesimal brane, then we are forced to conclude that for consistency we cannot stop at the GB curvature corrections, but must include all higher order curvature corrections thus entering a non-perturbative regime of which we can say nothing. We are therefore forced to impose \(\partial_\nu g_{\mu\nu} = 0\), and equation (19) tells us that the deficit angle is again constant and the equation for the induced metric remarkably takes the form of purely four-dimensional Einstein gravity

\[
\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{8\pi(1-\beta)\alpha M_4^4} \mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4\alpha \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}}. \tag{19}
\]

We can read off our 4 dimensional Planck mass as

\[
M^2_p = 8\pi(1-\beta)\alpha M^4_4, \tag{20}
\]

and we note the presence of an effective 4 dimensional cosmological constant

\[
\Lambda_4 = T_0 - 2\pi(1-\beta)M^4_4, \tag{21}
\]

where \(T_0\) is the bare brane tension:

\[
\mathcal{T}\mu\nu = T_0 \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} + \delta T_{\mu\nu}. \tag{22}
\]

Of course the splitting of the energy-momentum tensor in this manner is potentially arbitrary, however, for a cosmological brane \(\delta T_{\mu\nu} \to 0\) as \(t \to \infty\), and we can simply posit that \(\delta T_{\mu\nu} \to 0\) as either \(t\) or \(|x| \to \infty\) as being a necessary requirement of a braneworld thus rendering unambiguous.

Interestingly, the Einstein relation between \(\beta\) and the brane tension: \(T_0 = 2\pi(1-\beta)M^4_4\) no longer holds for GB gravity – we can specify the conical deficit and the brane tension independently, the only caveat being that if the Einstein relation does not hold, then we have an effective cosmological constant on the brane.

To sum up: we have found the equations governing the induced metric on the brane for a codimension 2 braneworld. We have shown that adding the Gauss-Bonnet term allows for a realistic gravity on an infinitesimally thin brane which remarkably turns out to be precisely four-dimensional Einstein gravity independent of the precise bulk structure, the only bulk dependence appearing via the constant deficit angle \(\Delta\) in the definition of the 4-dimensional Planck mass \(M^2_p = 4\alpha \Delta M^4_4\). Since Einstein gravity appears quite generically, our model provides a novel alternative realization of the infinite extra dimensions idea of Dvali et al. \(\text{15}\). Indeed, we could modify our model by adding braneworld Ricci terms (which can be motivated via finite width corrections to the brane effective action \(\text{12}\)), which would give the same form of the braneworld gravity equations, and simply renormalize the 4-dimensional Planck mass.

We also showed that it was possible to obtain a deviation from Einstein gravity via a non-zero \(W_{\mu\nu}\). In turn, this allows a variation of the bulk deficit angle and therefore the effective brane cosmological constant. In this case, one has to either perform a smooth regularization of the brane by taking some finite width vortex model, or accept that the infinitesimally thin braneworld has a non-perturbative regime in the neighbourhood of the brane. Nevertheless it seems to be a very appealing feature towards a possible solution of the cosmological constant problem. One could envisage a situation in which the system is in a non-perturbative phase in which the cosmological constant can vary, and relax itself dynamically to a perturbative state in which the induced gravity on the brane is four-dimensional Einstein gravity and with a very small cosmological constant (an infinite flat supersymmetric bulk might for instance lead to this situation \(\text{14}\)). Due to the unbounded curvature near the brane when this situation is violated it seems plausible that once the system reaches that configuration it would prefer to remain there.

\[\text{References:}\]


