Reconstructing paleoseismic deformation, 2: 1000 years of great earthquakes at Chucalén, south central Chile
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Abstract

In this paper we adopt a quantitative biostratigraphic approach to establish a 1000-year-long coastal record of megathrust earthquake and tsunami occurrence in south central Chile. Our investigations focus on a site in the centre of the rupture segment of the largest instrumentally recorded earthquake, the AD 1960 magnitude 9.5 Chile earthquake. At Chucalén coseismic subsidence in 1960 is recorded in the lithostratigraphy and biostratigraphy of coastal marshes, with peat overlain by minerogenic sediment and changes in the assemblages of diatoms (unicellular algae) indicating an abrupt increase in relative sea
level. In addition to the 1960 earthquake, the stratigraphy at Chucalén records three earlier earthquakes, the historically documented earthquake of 1575 and two prehistoric earthquakes, radiocarbon dated to AD 1270 – 1450 and 1070 – 1220. Laterally extensive sand sheets containing marine or brackish diatom assemblages suggest tsunami deposition associated with at least two of the three pre-1960 earthquakes. The record presented here suggests a longer earthquake recurrence interval, averaging 270 years, than the historical recurrence interval, which averages 128 years. The lack of geologic evidence at Chucalén of two historically documented earthquakes, in 1737 and 1837, supports the previously suggested hypothesis of variability in historical earthquake characteristics. Our estimates of coseismic land-level change for the four earthquakes range from meter-scale subsidence to no subsidence or slight uplift, suggesting earthquakes completing each ~270 year cycle may not share a common, characteristic slip distribution. The presence of buried soils at elevations below their modern equivalents implies net relative sea-level rise over the course of the Chucalén paleoseismic record, in contrast to relative sea-level fall over preceding millennia inferred from sites on the mainland. Sea-level rise may contribute to the preservation of evidence for multiple earthquakes during the last millennium, while net relative sea-level fall over the last 2000 to 5000 years may explain the lack of evidence for older earthquakes.
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1. **Introduction**

Geological approaches to understanding the chronology and characteristics of past earthquakes are essential for assessing potential future hazards posed by subduction zones
Reliance on short historical records may prevent adequate appreciation of the complexities of subduction zone behaviour, including the occurrence of segmentation, variability in rupture magnitudes and the existence of supercycles (Cisternas et al., 2005; Jankaew et al., 2008; Sieh et al., 2008; Goldfinger et al., 2012; Sawai et al., 2012). In this paper, we adopt a quantitative lithostratigraphic and biostratigraphic approach to reconstruct past earthquakes in south central Chile. The approach, developed in other subduction zone settings (Atwater, 1987; Nelson et al., 1996; Hamilton and Shennan, 2005), is tested along the Chilean coastline in the counterpart to this paper (Garrett et al., 2013).

Focusing on a new site at Chucalén, northern Isla de Chiloé (Fig. 1), we aim to: 1) establish whether coastal sediments record evidence for multiple earthquakes and tsunamis; 2) determine the timing of these ruptures; 3) contrast stratigraphic and historical records of earthquakes to assess variability in historical ruptures; 4) calculate the recurrence interval between earthquakes; 5) quantify vertical coseismic deformation for each earthquake and 6) establish whether the record of long-term sea-level change explains the preservation or absence of stratigraphic evidence for earthquakes.

The potential for great earthquakes in south central Chile is well known. The 22nd May 1960 Valdivia, Chile earthquake remains the largest since the inception of modern seismic recording. The earthquake ruptured 1000 km of the Chilean subduction zone between the Arauco Peninsula in the north and the Taitao Peninsula in the south (Fig. 1). Slip on the fault locally reached 40 m, contributing to a moment magnitude ($M_w$) of 9.5 (Cifuentes, 1989; Barrientos and Ward, 1990). The surface expression of coseismic deformation in 1960 (Fig. 1) featured subsidence up to 2.4 m, coinciding with the coastline, flanked by two regions of uplift (Wright and Mella, 1963; Plafker and Savage, 1970). Uplift of a 100 km wide region offshore locally exceeded 5 m (Plafker and Savage, 1970) and submarine deformation generated a devastating local tsunami, which crested over 20 m high, and a trans-Pacific
tsunami more than 4 m high in Hawaii and Japan (Cox and Mink, 1963; Keys, 1963; Atwater et al., 2005). Along the coast of south central Chile, tidal marsh stratigraphy preserves evidence for the 1960 tsunami in the form of widespread landward-thinning sand sheets abruptly emplaced over intertidal marshes and adjacent organic wetland soils (Wright and Mella, 1963; Cisternas et al., 2000; Bourgeois, 2009; Garrett et al., 2013). Records kept by Spanish settlers and visiting Europeans describe three earlier large earthquakes in south central Chile in 1837, 1737 and 1575 (Lomnitz, 1970); however, the recurrence of earthquakes with 40 m of slip on the fault at approximately 130-year intervals would far exceed the plate convergence rate, implying variability in rupture size, coseismic slip and earthquake magnitude (Stein et al., 1986; Barrientos and Ward, 1990). Stratigraphic evidence for repeated tsunamis accompanied by subsidence in the centre of the 1960 segment supports a longer recurrence interval between 1960-sized earthquakes – approaching 300 years – with partial strain release during smaller intervening ruptures (Cisternas et al., 2005). The 1737 and 1837 earthquakes are inferred to be of shorter rupture length, with reduced slip, however their magnitudes and locations remain unknown (Cisternas et al., 2005; Vita-Finzi, 2011; Moernaut et al., 2014).

2. **Study area**

The coast of Chile lies above a convergent margin, where the Nazca plate subducts beneath South America at a rate averaging 60 – 80 mm yr\(^{-1}\) (DeMets et al., 1990; Angermann et al., 1999). Strain accumulation results in the occurrence of megathrust earthquakes, great (M\(_{w}\) > 8) interplate ruptures that may generate devastating tsunamis. Historical records suggest along-strike segmentation of the subduction zone, with all or part of the 1960 rupture segment also failing in 1837, 1737 and 1575 (Lomnitz, 1970; Barrientos, 2007). Geological evidence for older earthquakes is scarce; Bartsch-Winkler and Schmoll (1993) and
Nelson et al. (2009) attribute the fragmentary nature of south central Chilean coastal stratigraphic records to erosion associated with falling late Holocene relative sea level.

In this study, we focus on a new site in the centre of the 1960 segment (Fig. 1). The coastal lowlands and tidal marshes fringing Bahía Quetalmahue, northern Isla de Chiloé, are ideal locations for the preservation of evidence for past relative sea-level change, earthquakes and tsunamis due to the shelter afforded by the Lacui Peninsula to the west and north, the lack of any significant fluvial input and the moderate tidal range (mean higher high water = 1.02m above mean sea level). The site at Chucalén, on the western margin of Bahía Quetalmahue, lies approximately 25 kilometres west of the axis of maximum coseismic subsidence in 1960. Based on the pre- and post-earthquake lower growth limits of terrestrial vegetation, Plafker and Savage (1970) estimate Chucalén subsided coseismically by 1.0 ± 0.2 m in 1960.

3. Materials and methods

3.1 Stratigraphy

The sediment stratigraphy of tidal marshes may record evidence for vertical deformation both during megathrust earthquakes and through the intervening interseismic periods (Atwater, 1987; Nelson et al., 1996). Depending on their position with respect to the locked plate interface, coasts above subduction zones may rise slowly in response to strain accumulation (Fig. 2). Land uplift, experienced at the coast as a gradual fall in relative sea level, is reflected in tidal marsh stratigraphy by a progressive transition from minerogenic to organic sediment deposition. Depending on the location of fault slip with respect to the coastline, subsequent coseismic strain release may cause near-instantaneous land
Experienced at the coast as a rapid rise in relative sea level, subsidence leads to the abrupt emplacement of minerogenic sediments on top of organic marsh soils. The distribution and magnitude of coseismic surface displacement may differ between cycles in response to variation in the location of slip on the fault interface and the amount and heterogeneity of the slip (Wang, 2007).

Sequences of organic intertidal soils interbedded with minerogenic units may reflect cycles of seismic land-level changes (e.g. Atwater, 1987; Darienzo and Peterson, 1990; Shennan et al., 1996; Sawai et al., 2002; Hamilton and Shennan, 2005); however, a range of other sedimentologic, hydrographic, oceanographic and atmospheric processes can give rise to similar stratigraphies (Long and Shennan, 1994; Witter et al., 2001). Following Nelson et al. (1996), we attribute organic-minerogenic couplets to coseismic subsidence only where (1) couplets are laterally extensive; (2) organic sediments are buried by sediments indicative of a lower elevation; (3) submergence is sudden and (4) submergence is synchronous at widely spaced sites. The coincidence of submergence with tsunami deposits may also support a coseismic origin (Atwater, 1987; Nelson et al., 1996; Cochran et al., 2005; Sawai et al., 2009), however tsunami deposits are fragmentary and their absence does not negate the association of a sedimentary couplet with an earthquake (Nelson et al., 1996).

Marsh front exposures and a perpendicular transect of 28 closely spaced hand-driven gouge cores reveal the stratigraphy at Chucalén. Box samples taken from an exposure at the seaward end of the coring transect provide sediment samples for laboratory and microfossil analyses and dating.

3.2 Biostratigraphy
Microfossils, particularly diatoms, assist in the identification of tsunami deposits (e.g. Dawson et al., 1996; Hemphill-Haley, 1996) and determination of the amount and suddenness of marsh elevation change (e.g. Shennan et al., 1996; 1999; Sawai et al., 2004). Their utility for quantifying changes in land level stems from the fact that different species occupy different elevations in intertidal environments. While elevation does not directly influence diatom distributions, in coastal marshes it affects flooding frequency and duration, salinity, organic content and grain size; key controls on diatom distributions (Vos and de Wolf, 1993; Gehrels et al., 2001; Patterson et al., 2005). Changes in fossil diatom assemblages, therefore, reflect changes in the elevation of the marsh surface with respect to sea level over time.

We prepare samples for diatom analysis following standard procedures (Palmer and Abbott, 1986), with a minimum of 250 diatom valves counted per sample. We plot assemblage diagrams using C2 software package v.1.7.2 (Juggins, 2011) and provide a visual summary by dividing species into two categories based on their elevation optima in the modern dataset, with dark blue indicating species with optima below mean higher high water (MHHW) and light blue indicating species with optima above MHHW.

We apply transfer function models to estimate the paleomarsh surface elevation associated with each fossil diatom assemblage. These models incorporate contemporary intertidal diatom assemblage data from four marshes in south central Chile, as detailed by Garrett et al. (2013). Model selection maximizes the correlation between observed and predicted elevations and minimizes the reconstruction error. The selected transfer function model has a cross-validated $r^2$ of 0.77 and a root mean square error of prediction of 0.38 m.

Assessment of paleomarsh surface elevation reconstructions follow Garrett et al. (2013), employing minimum dissimilarity coefficients (MinDC) from the Modern Analogue...
Technique in the C2 software package (Juggins, 2011) to measure the similarity between the diatom assemblages in each fossil sample and samples in the modern training set.

The conversion of paleomarsh surface elevation to estimates of relative sea level requires the field elevation of each sample. We define relative sea level relative to present mean sea level as:

\[ RSL_n = FE_n - PMSE_n \]  

Where:

- \( RSL_n \) = Relative sea level estimate for sample \( n \)
- \( FE_n \) = Field elevation of sample \( n \) (metres, present mean sea level)
- \( PMSE_n \) = Paleomarsh surface elevation (metres, mean sea level at time of deposition)

Sample specific 95% error terms are the root of the sum of the squared errors in reconstructing the paleomarsh surface elevation and estimating the field elevation of samples. The difference between pre- and post-earthquake RSL estimates defines the magnitude of coseismic deformation.

### 3.3 Chronology

We base the Chucalén chronology on AMS radiocarbon dating of herbaceous plant macrofossils. Where possible, we select horizontally bedded above ground parts of terrestrial plants, however below ground material may contribute to samples where more favourable material was lacking. We report dates as \(^{14}\text{C}\) years BP and calibrate to 2σ age
ranges in years AD using the SHCal13 calibration curve (Hogg et al., 2013). For samples exceeding 100 % modern carbon, we employ the post-bomb atmospheric southern
hemisphere $^{14}$C curve (Hua and Barbetti, 2004). Stratigraphic ordering allows these samples to be fitted to either the rising or the falling limb of the post-bomb curve and single
 calibration solutions to be obtained (supplementary Fig. S1). The age model uses the
Bayesian $P$-sequence approach in OxCal 4.2 (Bronk Ramsey, 2009).

4. Results

4.1 Stratigraphy

Marsh front exposures at Chucalén display four abrupt transitions from organic to
minerogenic deposition (Fig. 3). We refer to the four buried organic units as Soils A, B, C and
D, with A the uppermost and D the lowermost. The buried soils are continuous and largely
uninterrupted for more than 300 m in marsh front exposures and a series of 28 hand-drilled
cores maps the couplets as they rise across tidal marsh and freshwater meadow (Fig. 3;
supplementary figure S2).

Buried soil A is mid to dark brown, sandy and locally contains the remains of woody plants,
tree stumps and other herbaceous plant material, including the rhizomes of Spartina
densiflora and Juncus balticus. The overlying one- to ten-centimetre-thick mid grey sand
sheet flattens and encases vegetation rooted in Soil A. The sand deposit decreases in
thickness with increasing distance from the marsh front and extends more than 75 m inland
(Fig. 2). Additional sand lenses more than 100 m inland may be a continuation of this sand
sheet, however their discontinuous nature precludes their unequivocal correlation.
Soil B, occurring in marsh front exposures and 17 cores at the seaward end of the coring transect, is mid to dark brown and sandy (Fig. 3). It lacks the rhizomes and woody plant remains found in Soil A, but contains fragments of herbaceous plants and humified organic matter. A light brown to mid grey sand sheet overlies the soil and extends at least 80 m inland from the marsh front. The deposit is generally thicker than the sand sheet overlying Soil A, with a maximum thickness of 18 cm.

Buried soil C is mid to very dark brown and silty, with herbaceous plant remains, but no woody plant material. The overlying light grey-brown silty sand sheet is generally 5 to 10 cm thick, however the precise thickness of the deposit is difficult to ascertain as it grades into the base of Soil B. The contact between Soil C and the minerogenic unit can be traced 80 m inland from the marsh front. The upper part of the buried soil features numerous sub-centimetre burrows filled with the overlying silty sand (Fig. 3; supplementary Fig. S2).

Soil D, the lowermost buried soil, is mid to very dark brown and silty, with occasional herbaceous plant fragments and no woody plant remains. A light brown to mid grey silty sand sheet overlies the soil. At 3 to 5 cm thick, this deposit is generally thinner than the minerogenic units overlying the three other buried soils and does not extend as far inland (Fig. 3).

4.2 Chronology

Twelve AMS radiocarbon samples provide a chronology for the Chucalén sedimentary sequence (Table 1). We exclude four other dates where visual assessment and outlier analysis suggest downward root penetration has resulted in younger ages than their stratigraphic position would suggest. We adjust the depths to exclude three sand layers,
which we interpret as tsunamis (discussed in section 5.1). Bayesian age modelling in OxCal v.4.2 (Bronk Ramsey, 2009) provides an age-depth model (Fig. 4) with an overall agreement index of 69.1, indicating satisfactory agreement between prior and posterior age distributions (Table 1). Calibrated ages indicate the sediments accumulated over the last millennium. The age model constrains the timing of the abrupt upper contact of Soil A to AD 1955 – 1971 (Fig. 4). This supports the mid- to late-20th century age inferred from elevated caesium-137 concentrations (Garrett et al., 2013), and confirms the association of the burial of Soil A with subsidence during the 1960 earthquake. The large range in ages for the burial of Soil B, AD 1540 – 1800, reflects uncertainties introduced by calibrating dates from the sixteenth to nineteenth century radiocarbon plateau. The upper contact of Soils C and D date to AD 1270 – 1450 and AD 1070 – 1220 respectively (Fig. 4).

4.3 Biostratigraphy

Diatom assemblages in samples from the marsh front exposure contain species indicative of intertidal environments (Fig. 5). Of the 143 taxa encountered, 117 occur in the modern training set and 21 exceed 10% of the total diatom count in one or more sample. Calibration of assemblages using the south central Chile transfer function (Garrett et al., 2013) yields reconstructions of paleomarsh surface elevation, which we convert to relative sea-level reconstructions (Fig. 5).

High marsh species dominate diatom assemblages from Soil A. An abrupt change to assemblages containing species with a range of elevation preferences marks the transition to the overlying sand sheet. After an initial peak in one species with a modelled elevation optimum above mean higher high water (MHHW), the base of the modern marsh soil predominantly features species with optimum elevations below MHHW.
Species typically found below MHHW occur in Soil B, with only occasional taxa from environments higher in the intertidal zone. The overlying sand sheet contains increased percentages of these low elevation species, with abrupt changes only observed in the abundances of minor species. Immediately above the sand sheet, diatoms from the base of Soil A feature increased percentages of species with optimum elevations above MHHW.

Buried Soil C contains a range of species from high marsh environments, alongside the ubiquitous *Pseudostaurosira perminuta*. An abrupt decrease in the abundances of high marsh species marks the boundary with the overlying silty sand. Species with optimum elevations below MHHW characterise the silty sand and continue to be found in the base of Soil B alongside occasional taxa from higher marsh elevations.

As found in Soil C, Soil D features high marsh species together with *Pseudostaurosira perminuta*. While *P. perminuta* remains abundant in the overlying silty sand, the high marsh species abruptly give way to low elevation taxa. Species characteristic of low intertidal elevations continue to dominate assemblages from the base of Soil C.

5. **Discussion**

5.1 Evidence for multiple earthquakes

The stratigraphic, microfossil and radiocarbon results from Chucalén provide evidence for laterally continuous buried soils, submerged by abrupt relative sea-level rise at similar times to episodes of coseismic subsidence and tsunami deposition reported by Cisternas *et al.* (2005) from Maullín. We test the hypothesis that each buried soil at Chucalén records the
occurrence of an earthquake, focusing on the criteria outlined by Nelson et al. (1996),
evidence for tsunami deposition and the modelled timing of the burial of each soil.

Soil A

Buried soil A is laterally extensive and diatom assemblages indicate a sudden transition to sediments deposited at a lower elevation. The transfer function model estimates subsidence of 0.81 ± 1.04 m, increasing to 1.12 ± 1.03 m if the lowest post-earthquake sea-level reconstruction, 4 cm above the upper boundary of the sand sheet, is selected (Fig. 5; Table 2). The magnitude of modelled subsidence is in good agreement with the 1.0 ± 0.2 m documented by Plafker and Savage (1970) for the AD 1960 earthquake.

Based on local testimony, Garrett et al. (2013) interpret the sand sheet overlying Soil A as the deposit left by the 1960 tsunami. While storms, river floods and aeolian processes may also deposit sand sheets in intertidal settings, the sheltered location of the site and the lack of nearby rivers or subaerial sand sources support the tsunami interpretation. We do not attempt to infer the maximum landward extent of the deposit or the tsunami inundation limit as ploughing and trampling by livestock precludes identification of the deposit at higher elevations.

Radiocarbon age modelling constrains the timing of the abrupt burial of Soil A to AD 1955 – 1971 (Fig. 4), corroborating the correlation with the 1960 earthquake previously inferred from $^{137}$Cs concentrations (Garrett et al., 2013).

Soil B

The lateral extent and abrupt nature of the upper contact support coseismic subsidence as the mechanism for the burial of Soil B. The diatom data, however, do not suggest that the
soil is overlain by sediments indicative of a lower elevation. On the contrary, there is a net sea-level fall between the top of Soil B and the bulk of Soil A (Table 2), clearly reflected in the diatom assemblages, although the 95% error terms for the reconstructions and number of poor modern analogues (Fig. 5) point to the need for a larger modern dataset to improve the transfer function models. The diatom assemblages in the basal 1 cm of Soil A are more transitional, but they could either reflect a mix of the assemblages from the sand with those of the new environment developing on an uplifted marsh, or suggest there is no elevation change across the sand, followed by gradual relative sea-level fall.

We interpret the sand layer overlying Soil B as a tsunami deposit. Like the 1960 tsunami deposit, this sand layer is laterally extensive and coarse grained, with well-defined lower and upper contacts. Diatom assemblages indicate a marine rather than fluvial or terrestrial sediment source. The highly enclosed nature of Bahía Quetalmahue does not favour storm surges as a mechanism for the emplacement of decimetre-thick sand sheets at Chucalén.

The timing of burial, AD 1540 – 1800, overlaps with two major historical earthquakes in 1575 and 1737. While other processes cannot yet be completely discounted, we suggest the 1575 earthquake and tsunami provides the most plausible candidate for the stratigraphy at Chucalén. At Maullín, 45 km to the northeast, Cisternas et al. (2005) present sedimentary, dendrochronological and documentary evidence for coseismic subsidence and tsunami inundation in 1575. While the 1737 earthquake also falls within the age range of the burial of Soil B at Chucalén, historical records do not mention a tsunami associated with this earthquake (Lomnitz, 1970; Cisternas et al., 2005) and there is no geological evidence for the earthquake or tsunami at Maullín (Cisternas et al., 2005).

We conclude that the simplest explanation for the burial of Soil B and net uplift between the
The top of Soil B and the bulk of Soil A is either coseismic uplift or no coseismic elevation change followed by rapid post-seismic uplift. The latter would imply a spatial pattern of coseismic and post-seismic motions similar to that described by Sawai et al. (2004) from Japan; and both explanations imply a different pattern of rupture and surface deformation for the 1960 and 1575 earthquakes. We highlight that this reconstruction comes from a single exposure and that local factors such as erosion of the surface of Soil B could impact on the magnitude of deformation recorded.

Soil C

Found throughout the lower half of the coring transect, Soil C is laterally extensive and abruptly overlain by sediments containing diatom assemblages indicative of a lower intertidal elevation (Fig. 5). The estimated magnitude of deformation depends on the interpretation of the minerogenic unit overlying the buried soil. The upper contact of the soil is clearly defined, but in the sampled exposure the presence of burrows filled with the overlying silty sand suggests bioturbation. Cisternas et al. (2005) note the similar appearance of a buried soil at Maullín and propose that this reflects post-subsidence erosion and burrowing by intertidal organisms. Abruptly emplaced tsunami sand sheets may mantle soils, preventing bioturbation and maintaining the intact nature of the contact. If no tsunami sediment was deposited on Soil C at this particular location, the minerogenic sediments overlying the soil accumulated after the earthquake and are therefore indicative of the post-earthquake land level. Comparison of diatom assemblages across the contact suggests subsidence of $0.92 \pm 1.20$ m (Table 2). If the minerogenic unit incorporates reworked tsunami-lain sediment, the diatom assemblages may not reflect the post-earthquake land level. Comparison of samples from Soil C and the base of Soil B suggests subsidence of $0.69 \pm 1.17$ m.
The age model constrains the timing of the burial of Soil C to AD 1270 – 1450 (Fig. 4). This overlaps the most recent prehistoric earthquake recorded at Maullín, AD 1280 – 1390 (Cisternas et al., 2005), supporting the occurrence of synchronous submergence at different sites.

Soil D

The lowermost buried soil is laterally extensive, found in 14 of the 28 cores and in marsh front exposures, and abruptly overlain by a tabular silty sand deposit. Comparison of diatom assemblages from the top of Soil D and the base of Soil C using the transfer function model suggests abrupt subsidence of $0.60 \pm 1.10$ m (Fig. 5; Table 2). While the lack of good modern analogues for the assemblages encountered in Soil D again highlights the need for a larger modern dataset, the reconstructed relative sea levels make ecological sense given the distribution of the major species in the modern environment.

As with the minerogenic layers overlying Soils A and B, tsunami deposition is the favoured hypothesis for the emplacement of the silty sand sheet overlying Soil D. The abundant low elevation diatoms found in this unit indicate a marine sediment source (Fig. 5). The lack of evidence for bioturbation of Soil D and a relatively well defined upper contact at the base of Soil C favour tsunami deposition over gradual sediment accumulation on a post-subsidence tidal flat.

The timing of the burial of Soil D, AD 1070 – 1220, closely corresponds to the age range of evidence for subsidence and tsunami inundation at Maullín, AD 1020–1180 (Cisternas et al., 2005).

5.2 Variability in historical earthquake ruptures
When compared to historical records of earthquakes, coastal sediments at Chucalén appear to underrepresent the frequency of major earthquakes in south central Chile. The absence of evidence for the 1737 and 1837 earthquakes at Chucalén suggests variability in the characteristics of the historical ruptures. Several lines of evidence suggest the 1737 and 1837 earthquakes ruptured smaller areas of the plate interface and generated less damaging tsunamis than the earthquakes of 1575 and 1960 (Lomnitz, 1970; Cisternas et al., 2005; Moernaut et al., 2014). The 1737 earthquake produced isolated accounts of damage in Valdivia and Chiloé (Lomnitz, 1970, Cisternas et al., 2005). The lack of any reports of tsunami occurrence may reflect the location of the rupture with respect to populated areas or the faulting mechanism not resulting in a large tsunami. Using a quantitative lacustrine turbidite approach, Moernaut et al. (2014) suggest the 1737 earthquake ruptured an area to the north of Chiloé. Like at Maullín (Cisternas et al., 2005), we find no evidence for the 1737 earthquake at Chucalén. The lack of deformation implies a different rupture pattern to that associated with the 1960 earthquake and is consistent with the rupture area proposed by Moernaut et al. (2014).

While the 1837 earthquake produced a large trans-Pacific tsunami, records of the tsunami are not as widespread along the Chilean coast as in 1575 and 1960, with no reports of extensive damage (Lomnitz, 1970; Lander and Lockridge, 1989; Atwater et al., 2005). Coseismic uplift in the Chonos Archipelago may suggest a rupture area in the southern half of the 1960 segment (Lomnitz, 1970). While Concepción experienced intense shaking (Cisternas et al., 2005) and the earthquake triggered turbidites in lakes north east of Valdivia (Moernaut et al., 2014) and in Reloncavi Fjord (St-Onge et al., 2012), neither the stratigraphy nor the biostratigraphy at Chucalén shows evidence for tsunami inundation or abrupt changes in relative sea level during this period (Fig. 5). Combined with the lack of evidence
for deformation at Maullín (Cisternas et al., 2005), we suggest the northern extent of the
1837 rupture lies to the south of northern Chiloé or that any slip occurring in this region was
minimal. This interpretation is consistent with a rupture length of up to 500 km and does not
preclude near-trench strain release as proposed by Moernaut et al. (2014).

5.3 Recurrence of great Chilean earthquakes

The paleoseismic record at Chucalén spans a period approximately twice as long as that
covered by historical records. While the four historically documented earthquakes in 1960,
1837, 1737 and 1575 have an average recurrence interval of 128 years, the Chucalén record
suggests a longer interval, averaging approximately 270 years. Our modelled earthquake
ages are consistent with dates for subsidence and tsunami deposition at Maullín (Cisternas
et al., 2005; Fig. 6). Furthermore, the timing of earthquakes in the Chucalén record coincides
with evidence for intense shaking from turbidites in lakes Villarica, Calafquén and Riñihue,
located approximately 300 km to the north (Fig. 6). In these lakes, a varve-counting
procedure further constrains the timing of two proposed full-segment ruptures to AD 1319 ±
9 years and AD 1127 ± 44 years (Moernaut et al., 2014).

5.4 Implications for earthquake deformation cycles

Evidence from Chucalén, Maullín and lakes north east of Valdivia suggests partial ruptures
featuring less coseismic slip in 1737 and 1837 occurred in the interval between full segment
ruptures in 1575 and 1960. Moernaut et al. (2014) identify evidence for an additional,
previously unrecognised earthquake, dated to AD 1466 ± 4 years. The low seismic intensity
inferred from their lacustrine turbidite records and the lack of evidence for deformation or
tsunami inundation at coastal sites in the centre of the 1960 rupture area (Cisternas et al.,
2005; this study) suggest this earthquake constitutes another partial segment rupture.

Cisternas et al. (2005) assert that stress held over smaller ruptures contributed to the size of the 1960 earthquake; the identification of an earlier partial rupture suggests this process could also have contributed to the size of pre-1960 full segment earthquakes.

In addition to supporting the occurrence of a bimodal rupture pattern featuring both partial and full segment ruptures, the paleoseismic record from Chucalén also suggests possible variability in the characteristics of the proposed full segment ruptures, reflected by estimates of coseismic deformation (Fig. 5; Table 2). The earthquakes of 1960 and AD 1270 – 1450 appear similar at Chucalén; that of AD 1070 – 1220 produced less subsidence, whereas that of AD 1575 may have entailed no subsidence or even slight uplift. In contrast, historical records of the 1575 earthquake share extensive similarities with the damage, deformation and tsunami inundation observed in 1960 (Lomnitz, 1970; Cisternas et al., 2005). Lacustrine turbidites suggest that the 1575 and 1960 earthquakes featured similar seismic intensities in the northern half of the segment (Moernaut et al., 2014), with marine turbidites from the centre of the 1960 rupture zone also displaying similar thicknesses for the two earthquakes (St-Onge et al., 2012). We stress that our finding of differential deformation is only from a single location at present and could reflect the limitations of the modern dataset or site-specific processes. Further quantitative estimates are needed to confirm or refute the magnitude of deformation inferred by this study. If confirmed, a lack of subsidence in 1575 at Chucalén could indicate a different spatial pattern of slip during this earthquake. We suggest that this could reflect less slip in the vicinity of northern Chiloé, or slip further down-dip, moving the boundary between zones of uplift and subsidence to the east of its position in 1960 (Fig. 1). At present there are too few studies using quantitative reconstructions of surface deformation based on paleoseismic evidence to differentiate between detailed models of rupture dimensions. We have some constraints on the spatial patterns of
deformation, but insufficient detail to estimate the depth of the slip patch or the amount of slip. Research on other subduction zones demonstrates the potential for coastal paleoseismology to constrain rupture parameters (e.g. Sawai et al., 2004, Wang et al., 2013; Shennan et al., 2014) and shows how quantitative paleoseismology in Chile may progress. We also advocate the need for continued and enhanced integration of coastal deformation and tsunami records with earthquake reconstructions from lacustrine and marine turbidites to determine the characteristics of both full and partial segment ruptures in south central Chile.

5.5 Long-term relative sea-level change

Long-term sea-level rise provides accommodation space and promotes sediment accumulation and the preservation of stratigraphic evidence for earthquakes in intertidal environments (Dura et al., 2011; Grand Pre et al., 2012). In this section we assess the evidence for long-term relative sea-level change at Chucalén and discuss the implications for the length of the paleoseismic record at this site.

The occurrence of organic marsh soils at elevations below their contemporary elevation of formation implies relative sea-level rise over the course of the Chucalén record. Figure 7 compares relative sea-level estimates derived from our model estimates and field elevations at Chucalén with published data from the estuary of the Río Maullín on the adjacent mainland (Atwater et al., 1992). Discarding a single point from Maullín located below present sea level due to the likelihood of compaction, as noted by the original authors, we see a clear contrast between the datasets. The relative sea-level rise seen over the last 1000 years at Chucalén is not the dominant mid to late Holocene trend at Maullín, where tidal marsh sediments above their contemporary depositional elevations suggest net relative sea-
level fall over the last 2000 to 5000 years (Atwater et al., 1992). Glacial isostatic adjustment
models also suggest falling relative sea level characterized the Pacific coast of South America
during the late Holocene (Fig. 7; Peltier, 2004). Falling sea level reduces accommodation
space and favours erosion over sediment deposition. Nelson et al. (2009) evoke this process
for the scarcity of paleoseismic evidence in the Valdivia estuary; falling relative sea level may
also explain the lack of evidence for earthquakes older than ~ AD 1100 at Chucalén.

The causes of sea-level rise at Chucalén over the last millennium remain equivocal and could
relate to the magnitude of coseismic subsidence exceeding interseismic uplift, regional
tectonics, isostatic subsidence due to the collapse of a neoglacial forebulge or eustasy, while
site-specific factors including compaction could also contribute. The discrepancy between
observations and models of late Holocene Chilean relative sea level is not currently
adequately explained and deserves further investigation.

6. Conclusions

Laterally extensive buried soils with abrupt upper contacts and evidence for rapid and
substantial marsh surface elevation change suggest sediments at Chucalén record evidence
for repeated great earthquakes. The major conclusions of our work are:

1. Predecessors of the 1960 great earthquake occurred in AD 1540 – 1800, 1270 – 1450
and 1070 – 1220. These ages closely correspond with maximum ages for tsunami
deposition and submergence at Maullín (Cisternas et al., 2005) and turbidite
deposition in lakes north east of Valdivia (Moernaut et al., 2014). We interpret the
sequence as including evidence for two historically documented earthquakes and
tsunamis, in 1575 and 1960.

2. The lack of evidence for tsunami deposition and land-level change corresponding to
historically documented earthquakes in 1737 and 1837 supports the hypothesis of
variability in historical earthquake rupture zones. We suggest the earthquakes
absent from the Chucalén stratigraphy had smaller rupture zones to the north or
south of northern Chiloé.

3. The Chucalén record underrepresents the frequency of great earthquakes in south
central Chile. The recurrence interval between the four earthquakes, approximately
270 years, is more than twice the interval inferred from historical records.

4. Vertical coseismic deformation estimates vary between earthquakes. Diatom
assemblages indicate decimetre to metre-scale subsidence at Chucalén in AD 1960
and AD 1270 – 1450, approximately half that in AD 1070 – 1220, and no subsidence
or even slight uplift in AD 1575. Earthquakes completing each ~270 year cycle may
not share a common, characteristic slip distribution; however, there are currently
too few quantitative estimates of deformation to differentiate between detailed
models of the distribution, depth or the amount of coseismic slip.

5. In contrast to relative sea-level fall over the last 2000 to 5000 years inferred from
sites on the mainland, the presence of stacked sequences of buried soils implies
rising relative sea levels over the last 1000 years at Chucalén. A shift from sea-level
fall to sea-level rise may explain the preservation of earthquakes during the last
millennium and the absence of older evidence.

6. Quantitative paleoseismology based on coastal marshes in Chile is still at an early
stage compared to some other subduction zones but the results described here
demonstrate the potential of such methods and indicate some ways ahead for
future investigations through the development of more extensive modern training
sets to quantify land surface deformation at a larger number of coastal sites. This
will provide better data to constrain models of segment ruptures, including depth
and amount of slip.
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Figure captions

Figure 1: Tectonic setting of the Chilean subduction zone and the location of the field site. a. Spatial distribution of zones of uplift (blue ellipses; lighter shading where inferred) and subsidence (red ellipse) during the 1960 earthquake (following Plafker and Savage, 1970); b. Bahía Quetalmahue, northern Isla de Chiloé. Cisternas et al. (2005) studied the paleoseismic site at Rio Maullín; c. the coring transect across tidal and freshwater meadow at Chucalén, western Bahía Quetalmahue.

Figure 2: Schematic cross-section of a subduction zone showing vertical deformation during phases of interseismic strain accumulation (top) and coseismic strain release (bottom), modified from Hyndman and Wang (1993).

Figure 3: Stratigraphy of the coring transect at Chucalén, including a photograph of the sampled exposure with the four buried soils labelled A – D. Divisions on photograph scale bar = 10 cm. The exposure provides the sediments for diatom and radiocarbon analyses reported here.

Figure 4: P-sequence age-depth model for the Chucalén exposure, based on radiocarbon dates in Table 1. We calibrate post-bomb samples using the post-bomb atmospheric southern hemisphere $^{14}$C curve (Hua and Barbetti, 2004) and enter them into OxCal v.4.2 (Bronk Ramsey, 2009) as C_Dates to make use of the unique solutions inferred from matching samples to the rising and falling limbs of the calibration curve (supplementary Figure S1). We calibrate pre-bomb samples using the SHCal13 calibration curve (Hogg et al., 2013). We adjust the sample depths to exclude the sand layers overlying Soils A, B and D, which we interpret as tsunamis (discussed in section 5.1).
Figure 5: Summary of Chucalén diatom assemblages and relative sea-level reconstruction derived from calibration of assemblages using the south central Chile transfer function (Garrett et al., 2013). Species classified as sub- or supra-MHHW based on modern species elevation optima derived from the transfer function. We use the distance to the closest modern analogue from the modern analogue technique in the C2 software package (Juggins, 2011) to assess the similarity between modern and fossil assemblages.

Figure 6: Comparison of the timing of earthquakes inferred from varve-dated turbidites from three lakes to the north east of Valdivia (Moernaut et al., 2014), pooled radiocarbon ages primarily from plants killed by subsidence at Maullín (Cisternas et al., 2005), $P_{sequence}$ modelling of radiocarbon dates at Chucalén (this study) and historically documented earthquakes. Data from Chucalén and Maullín presented as calibrated radiocarbon date probability distributions; Maullín data provide maximum ages for each earthquake; turbidite ages expressed as median age from repeated varve counts (circles), with the error (horizontal lines) being the difference between the median and outermost counts. Additional lacustrine turbidites (ages not plotted) suggest further ruptures of smaller coseismic slip and extent in AD 1466 ± 4 years, AD 1737 and AD 1837 (Moernaut et al., 2014).

Figure 7: Relative sea-level change at Chucalén in a regional mid to late Holocene context. This figure replicates the relative sea-level reconstructions in Figure 5, with the addition of age ranges for each sample derived from the age model in Figure 4.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laboratory code</th>
<th>Sample number</th>
<th>Central depth (cm)</th>
<th>Radiocarbon age (years BP ± 1σ/14C ± 1σ)</th>
<th>Calibrated age range (2σ years AD)</th>
<th>P_sequence modelled age (2σ years AD)</th>
<th>Posterior probability of being an outlier</th>
<th>Agreement index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SUERC-39263</td>
<td>CH11/R1</td>
<td>29.25</td>
<td>1.0646 ± 0.0065</td>
<td>2004-2006 a</td>
<td>2004-2006</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>86.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUERC-39264</td>
<td>CH11/R2</td>
<td>32.25</td>
<td>1.2056 ± 0.076</td>
<td>1982-1990 a</td>
<td>1982-1990</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>100.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUERC-39265</td>
<td>CH11/R3</td>
<td>35.25</td>
<td>1.4537 ± 0.0092</td>
<td>1971-1973 a</td>
<td>1971-1974</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>86.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUERC-39266</td>
<td>CH11/R4</td>
<td>38.25</td>
<td>1.5337 ± 0.0097</td>
<td>1967-1971 a</td>
<td>1966-1971</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>100.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUERC-39269</td>
<td>CH11/R5</td>
<td>45.25</td>
<td>1.0159 ± 0.0064</td>
<td>1954-1958 a</td>
<td>1954-1958</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>99.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUERC-41189</td>
<td>CH11/R6</td>
<td>49.25</td>
<td>1.0964 ± 0.0048</td>
<td>1956-1960 a</td>
<td>1956-1960</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUERC-41190</td>
<td>CH11/R7</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>234 ± 35</td>
<td>1636-1950 b</td>
<td>1641-1875</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUERC-43050</td>
<td>CH11/R8</td>
<td>60.5</td>
<td>1.1042 ± 0.0052</td>
<td>1956-1960 a</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUERC-43051</td>
<td>CH11/R9</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>285 ± 38</td>
<td>1505-1800 b</td>
<td>1501-1680</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>112.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUERC-43052</td>
<td>CH11/R10</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>427 ± 38</td>
<td>1441-1626 b</td>
<td>1449-1628</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>90.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUERC-41191</td>
<td>CH11/R11</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>713 ± 35</td>
<td>1278-1391 b</td>
<td>1277-1391</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>98.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUERC-43048</td>
<td>CH11/R12</td>
<td>79.5</td>
<td>317 ± 38</td>
<td>1487-1794 b</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUERC-41187</td>
<td>CH11/R13</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>950 ± 35</td>
<td>1037-1209 b</td>
<td>1072-1221</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>89.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUERC-39270</td>
<td>CH11/R14</td>
<td>89.25</td>
<td>979 ± 51</td>
<td>1020-1210 b</td>
<td>1072-1216</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>76.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUERC-40031</td>
<td>CH11/R15</td>
<td>92.25</td>
<td>680 ± 37</td>
<td>1290-1396 b</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUERC-40032</td>
<td>CH11/R16</td>
<td>95.25</td>
<td>881 ± 37</td>
<td>1070-1275 b</td>
<td>1042-1210</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>50.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Calibrated using the post-bomb atmospheric southern hemisphere 14C curve (Hua and Barbetti, 2004)*

*Calibrated using SHCal13 (Hogg et al., 2013)*

Table 1: Calibrated radiocarbon dates from plant macrofossils from the Chucalén exposure.

Dates modelled in a P_sequence deposition model in OxCal 4.2 (Bronk Ramsey, 2009), with a k value of 50. Outlier analysis provides the posterior probability of each sample being an outlier; prior probabilities set to 0.05; posterior probabilities exceeding 0.4 considered to be significant outliers. The age of samples CH11/R6, CH11/R8, CH11/R12 and CH11/R15 do not fit in with the stratigraphic sequence and are not used in age model development.
Table 2: Vertical coseismic deformation estimates for the four earthquakes obtained by calibrating Chucalén diatom assemblages with the south central Chile transfer function model (Garrett et al., 2013). Uplift is positive, subsidence is negative. Estimates are corrected for sedimentation.
Supplementary Info

Figure S1: Chucalén bomb spike samples, a. plotted as F14C against depth below the marsh surface and b. fitted to the post-bomb atmospheric southern hemisphere 14C curve (black line) of Hua and Barbetti (2004). Sample CH11/R5 must lie on the rising limb, sample CH11/R4 may lie on either the rising or the falling limb and samples CH11/R3 and CH11/R2 must lie on the falling limb.
Figure S2: Photographs of Chucalén marsh front exposures. a. and b. display the four buried soils, labelled A-D, in exposures south east of the coring transect. Divisions on scale bars = 10 cm. c. The upper contact of Soil C, displaying burrows filled with the overlying silty sand. d. Map showing the extent of marsh front exposures with visible buried soils, the locations of photographed exposures and the coring transect illustrated in Figure 3.