In the decades after the First Crusade, an innovative form of monasticism emerged in the Holy Land that combined the military role of the knight with religious dedication and obedience. These “military religious orders” were first established in the early twelfth century to protect and provision pilgrims on their travels to shrines throughout the loca sacra of the Holy Land, but their role soon extended to the defense of the Latin Kingdom of Jerusalem. The most powerful of these groups of fighting monks were the Templars, the Hospitallers, and the Teutonic Knights.1 In the Holy Land, Mount Tabor, Bethany, and Emmaus (Abu Ghosh, Israel) were among the biblical locations in the possession of the Hospitallers, while the early headquarters of the Templars could be found at the Temple of Solomon in Jerusalem, at the epicenter of events recounted in the Old and New Testaments. While other local orders flourished too, it was these three institutions, with their specific geographical links in the Eastern Mediterranean, that proved especially successful at establishing convents throughout Europe to administer to their lands, raise financial surpluses, and attract new recruits.

Given their distinctive dual role as “soldiers of Christ” and the apparently contradictory behaviors this description seems to embrace, it is no wonder that scholars have been interested in the many dimensions of their collective social and cultural identity. Historians have noted the careful self-presentation and promotion of reputation enshrined in their regulations, their representation at royal and papal courts,
and their literary texts. Archaeologists have mainly approached this same discourse through a consideration of the military orders’ buildings and their use of space. These findings show that, while their layouts usually depart from the standard monastic plan because they lack a formal cloister, there are clear geographical groupings with shared architectural grammars. For example, the castrum-type rectangular castles of the Teutonic order in the Prussian state, well suited to their role in crusading and territorial consolidation on the frontiers of Christian Europe, differ from the two-story halls and moats of Western Europe, which more closely resemble secular and ecclesiastical elite residences. Despite their similar and sometimes shared rules and regulations, the only architectural stipulation applied in the orders’ buildings seems to be a high degree of spatial integration, and this has led some to question whether there was really any consistency at all in the architecture and material culture of the military orders.

Differing expressions of identity have also been explored in a wide variety of monastic contexts, including imagery and the arts, dress, writing, food and nutrition, foundation legends, liturgy and devotional practices, organizational practices, the practices of religious women, and environment and settlement, among other aspects. The military orders in particular were characterized by an elaborate hierar-

---

2 Some literary texts were produced specifically for the orders, among them works for the Teutonic Order on the legend of the Holy Cross. See Helen Nicholson, Temples, Hospitallers and Teutonic Knights: Images of the Military Orders, 1128–1291 (Leicester, 1993), 102–24.


chical organization, regulated lives, military (and sometimes medical) responsibilities, extensive financial resources, and property ownership, as well as by their Christian religious affiliation, shared duties, and mutual responsibilities. Although expressions of self-identity might center on one’s age, gender, ethnicity, status, religion, function and role it might be argued in the case of the military orders that one’s identity as a member of an order was further informed and reinforced by the institutional community and then embedded over time, while the “self” was partially dissolved. As Lynn Meskell explains more generally, “There are two levels of operation: one is the broader social level in which identities are defined by formal expressions or mores: the other is the individual or personal level where a person experiences many aspects of identity within a single subjectivity, fluid over the trajectories of life.” Here we are concerned largely with the former but do occasionally catch glimpses of the latter.

In this paper we consider the extensive collections of religious relics accumulated by the military orders in the context of promoting and sustaining their collective group identity. Singled out by Jacques de Molay as a significant component of his order’s religious heritage, the last grand master of the Templars claimed that he did not know of “any other Order in which the chapels and churches had better or more beautiful ornaments and reliquaries relating to the divine cult and in which the divine service was better performed by its priests and clerics, except for cathedral churches.” Even in places of later significance to the military orders, such as Malta (for the Hospitallers) or Malbork in Poland (for the Teutonic order), the visible presence of relics instantly created new spiritual centers where few had existed previously. To investigate further, we take a broad geographical sweep from the crusader territories of the Latin East and the Baltic across the preceptories of heartland Europe to Spain between the twelfth and the sixteenth centuries. The focus is on the objects themselves, their biographies and cultural settings, and we seek to explain why and how relics were sought out with such enthusiasm, what messages they were intended to convey, how they were displayed, what this might say about the character of their owners, and whether their management differed from that of other religious communities. As we will see, the military orders were far from naive about the benefits of assembling relic collections and used them for more than merely publicizing their activities in the East: relics were actively deployed both to construct and to maintain social identities.

Such a project inevitably presents challenges, not least because in many countries relics are “live” ritual material still embedded in social and religious life. Modern scholars of the military orders, meanwhile, tend to downplay the religious experiences of their members. For an introduction to archaeology and identity, see Timothy Insoll, *The Archaeology of Identities: A Reader* (London, 2007).

*For an introduction to archaeology and identity, see Timothy Insoll, The Archaeology of Identities: A Reader (London, 2007).*

*For consistency and ease of identification, the authors use modern place names when referring to medieval locations. The only exceptions are cases where there is a widely accepted English equivalent, such as, for example, Acre or Famagusta. Modern countries are also used throughout the text.*
ence in favor of analysis of their political, economic, and military roles, while the scholars of the liturgical arts may focus exclusively on the aesthetic qualities of relics. Archaeologists, for their part, only occasionally recover venerated objects from their excavations, although reliquaries in museum collections have long been disassembled and forensically examined to reveal their constructional elements and contents. To collate and digest these many and varied approaches, which span documents, visual culture, architecture, and artifacts, is no easy matter. Even the relics themselves defy easy categorization, ranging from the preserved remains of a saint or holy person (corporeal relics), to the objects with which they came into physical contact (secondary or contact relics), to bodily fluids such as blood, oil, or milk (effluvial relics), to, more rarely, the water or wine with which other relics were washed. No reliable national catalogs exist, and relics and their reliquaries have routinely been moved, refurbished, and modified through the centuries. Contemporary architectural settings too can be profoundly altered or else erased altogether by later structures, thereby complicating any appreciation of their original presentation. Among the Western preceptories of the military orders, few today portray an accurate sense of their medieval setting, and only a handful have been subjected to high-level architectural and archaeological investigation sufficient to understand their chronology and phasing in any detail.


11 Though there are some notable exceptions; for example, Robert Bartlett, Why Can the Dead do Such Great Things? Saints and Worshippers from the Martyrs to the Reformation (Princeton, 2013); the papers in Cynthia J. Hahn and Holger A. Klein, eds., Saints and Sacred Matter: The Cult of Relics in Byzantium and Beyond (Washington, DC, 2015); and Maria Starnawska, Świętych życie po życiu: Relikwie w kulturze religijnej na ziemiach polskich w średniowieczu (Warsaw, 2008).

12 For example, an icon fragment—a painted panel—from Montfort, a thirteenth-century castle of the Teutonic Order near Acre, discovered during excavations in 1926; see Jaroslav Folda, “Before Louis IX: Aspects of Crusader Art at St. Jean d’Acre,” in France and the Holy Land: Frankish Culture at the End of the Crusades, ed. Daniel H. Weiss and Lisa Mahoney (Baltimore, 2004), 138–57. Several examples of Limoges enameled figures that were made to be attached to reliquaries or crosses have been reported by metal detectorists in the United Kingdom under the Portable Antiquities Scheme.


14 For recent work on relics and shrines, see Bartlett, Why Can the Dead do Such Great Things?. For a valuable discussion of categories of relics, see Starnawska, Świętych życie po życiu, 65–133. She includes some examples of pilgrims who drank the water used to wash the Piece of the True Cross and another example in which the water and wine distributed among the faithful were first used to wash the skull of a saint.

15 Though there have been several encyclopedic attempts to record surviving reliquaries, e.g., Joseph Braun, Die Reliquiare des christlichen Kultes und ihre Entwicklung (Freiburg, 1940).

16 But see examples in Piana and Carlson, Archaeology and Architecture of the Military Orders.
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EXPLAINING THE DESIRE FOR RELICS

The military orders were dependent on public support for both financial contributions and new recruits and the mere possession of relics was in itself a potent sign of their piety. Furthermore, at their trial in France in 1307, the Templars stated that the relics of Saint Euphemia would not have chosen to accommodate themselves with the order had its faith not been true, a claim based on the conviction that her relics were the medium through which the saint could actively manifest her will. In other words, Saint Euphemia had entrusted her own remains to the military order and, in return, its members venerated them and promoted her cult so that they might benefit from the saint’s intercessory power. This negotiation was well understood by the Christian faithful. On the one hand, it demonstrated devotion and divine support; on the other it implied a sense of continuing stewardship towards the relics on the part of the owner, which the military orders and others could exploit to their own advantage. In 1400, for instance, the Teutonic order was quick to advertise the visits of the wife of their political rival, the grand duke of Lithuania, to some of their best-known relics at Uschakowo (Russia), Starogród (Poland), and Kwidzyn (Poland). The political and social capital that relics embodied was well understood among the elites of medieval Europe.

What were the specific benefits to the military orders of collecting relics? Along the Christian frontier, relics took an active role in campaign and conquest. The True Cross of Saint Helena, allegedly discovered by crusaders in Jerusalem, was taken by them into battle against the Egyptians at Ramla in 1105, just as holy relics were carried by the Teutonic knights at the battle of Dzierzgoń in 1248, one of the first major encounters in the conquest of Prussia; and again by the Christian army in 1365 when a tiny piece of the True Cross was transported in a jeweled processional cross during the attack on Alexandria. The relic was perceived as a movable holy place; and thus all the Christians who assembled around it could be identified as pilgrims and, by extension, violence could be justified as a defense of the relic and a

18 To visit the relics of Saint Catherine and Saint Barbara and the grave of Saint Dorothy of Montau, respectively; see Sławomir Joźwik and Janusz Trupinda, Organizacja życia na zamku krzyżackim w Malborku w czasach wielkich mistrzów (1309–1457) (Malbork, 2007), 469. For saints’ lives after death, see Starnawska, Świętych życie po życiu.
21 Kenneth Meyer Setton, The Papacy and the Levant (1204–1571), vol. 1, The Thirteenth and Fourteenth Centuries (Philadelphia, 1976), 284. This cross, first given in 1360 by Syrian Christians to Pierre Thomas, the Latin patriarchate of Constantinople, and later in the possession of the grand chancellor of Cyprus, can today be found in the Scuola of S. Giovanni Evangelista in Venice. Subsequent miracles associated with this relic were the subjects of paintings by Venetian masters.
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service to the Christian flock. Relics might even intercede in the action of battle. The Teutonic knights, for example, promoted the story of a Polish gunsmith who had lost his sight during the siege of Malbork (Poland) after aiming his cannon at the giant figure of Saint Mary positioned on the exterior of the apse of the main church of the convent where holy relics were kept. In practical terms, shared veneration might improve the cohesiveness of a Christian army, as it did during the Turkish siege of Rhodes in 1480 when the Hospitaler defenders knelt before their relics and implored the Virgin Mary to spare them. This not only reassured the defenders of divine support for their cause but also unified the sense of Christian identity among the Latin and non-Latin Christians living in the city. Relics, it might be argued, acted especially effectively in this binding role among the cosmopolitan communities so often inhabited by the military orders and transcended ethnic and faith boundaries at times of acute crisis. The population of crusader Acre, described in 1216 as consisting of Greek, Jacobite, Nestorian, Armenian, and Georgian communities, as well as Latin Catholics, is said to have implored the Templar brothers to carry a particular cross in procession through the streets of the city and so bring an end to a severe drought “with the aid of divine clemency.” The cross had been fashioned from a tub or trough in which Christ was said to have bathed.

Relics also had a role in stabilizing the frontier, occasionally through active conversion, as was claimed at Caravaca (Murcia, Spain), where the miraculous appearance of a True Cross relic in 1231–32 convinced local Muslims to convert to Christianity. A few years later, the same cross was in the hands of Templars who took possession of the town. More often a prestigious collection could attract the attention of the Christian faithful either by encouraging visits from campaigning knights, as at Teutonic Malbork (Poland), or else making unpromising locations somewhat more attractive to Christian settlers, as relics did at Templar Ascó (Tarragona, 1231–32).
Spain) in the lower Ebro valley and at Atlit (known as Château Pèlerin, Israel) in the Holy Land. In some places, relic collections like these could attract tangible financial benefits, as at thirteenth-century Marburg (Germany), where the first Gothic church in Germany built to house the tomb of the newly canonized Saint Elizabeth was funded through indulgences. Gifts from pilgrims could be of substantial value, and by 1452 the relics of Saint Barbara at Teutonic Starogród (Poland) had attracted a bejeweled gold crown, another in gilded silver, silver belts with gilded fittings, over one hundred gold and silver rings, and numerous figurines in the form of fish, ships, and mimetic body parts offered up in fulfillment of prayers. A surviving inventory of donations from visitors to the True Cross of Caravaca from 1480 includes textiles, silks, altar cloths, and candles, many of them given by grateful members of the Order of Santiago. Economic and religious benefits merged seamlessly into one, nowhere more so than at Vera Cruz de Marmelar in Portugal, the location of a Hospitaller commandery and an important royal cult of the True Cross from at least the mid-fourteenth century. In the mid-fifteenth century, a fair was granted here to coincide with the feast day of the Invention of the Cross on 3 May each year, when forty men were ordered to guard the relic. When one factors in the likelihood that these men also had families, the size of this troop is significant; the need for the guard guaranteed the presence of an established and stable population, for whom the fair was a lucrative bonus.

Taking Possession

The fragmentation and translation of relics was already a well-established custom by the later Middle Ages, and the military orders were willing participants. The relics of Saint Barbara were seized at Sartowice (Poland) by Teutonic knights in 1242; the looting of relics motivated by pious devotion was seen as commendable (furtum sacrum) and to be distinguished from common theft, motivated by greed.

31 Cedric Norman Johns, Pilgrims’ Castle (‘Atlit), David’s Tower (Jerusalem), and Qal’atatar-Rab (‘Ajlun): Three Middle Eastern Castles from the Time of the Crusades (Aldershot, 1997), 16.
33 Mieczysław Hańka, Zamki krzyżackie w Polsce: Szkice z dziejów (Malbork, 1999), 295.
36 A second annual fair was added in the sixteenth century, again to coincide with liturgical feast days; see Ana Pagará, Nuno Vassallo e Silva, and Vítor Serrão, Igreja Vera Cruz de Marmelar (Portel, 2006), 41, 56.
and punishable by excommunication. In the story of these relics popularized in the
fourteenth century, the Teutonic knights justified their actions by claiming that the
original owner of the relics, Duke Swantopulk, had acquired them dishonestly by
stealing them from a papal legate traveling to Denmark. An older version of the
same legend, no doubt originating in Swantopulk’s court, claimed that the relics
had been stolen in Rome by pious pilgrims whose ship was brought to Pomerania
during a storm, where the duke generously protected their relics from bandits.38 Either
way, the faithful observed how the saints’ relics had abandoned their original
owners for more deserving guardians, and there are several cases of saints’ relics
seemingly acting in their own best interests in exactly this way. The relics from Hospi
taller Belver were already en route to Lisbon when they miraculously turned back
and returned themselves to the village again, sailing up the Tagus in a wooden chest
lined with red silk and decorated with silver.39 A fragment of the True Cross on its
way to the cathedral at Evora was similarly diverted to Hospitaller Marmelar after
the donkey carrying it declined to advance any further.40 In both of these Portuguese
elements, the relics displayed an independence of mind that, in turn, underpinned
the Hospitallers’ claim to be their most suitable host. Needless to say, this logic
could work the other way. Wigand of Marburg was forced to admit that during
their sack of the cathedral in Gniezno in 1331 the Teutonic knights had wanted
to retrieve the relics of Saint Adalbert and remove them to Prussia (where he had
been killed in 997), but they were unable to do so because the relics were concealed
from their eyes.41 Most likely, the knights intended to transfer the relics to their
newly built cathedral in Kaliningrad (formerly known as Königsberg, now Russia),
which they had prematurely dedicated to Saint Adalbert in 1302. Without the relics,
however, that dedication was less appealing, and in later years the cathedral re-
ceived another patron, Saint Elizabeth, whose principal relics were already safely
in the order’s possession.

Most relics changed hands peaceably, and some were certainly acquired in person
by knights who visited the Holy Land. Being portable and light, they were easily
moved over long distances. Following an exchange of prisoners in 1185, Templar
Walter of Marengiers visited the monastery at Saydnaya, thirty kilometers north
of Damascus, where he received a phial of oil exuded by the miraculous icon of
the Virgin Mary.42 Given that physical traces of Mary, the mother of Jesus, were
extremely rare and Marian liturgies were popular in the later Middle Ages, this
oil was in demand in the monasteries of Europe, among them the abbey of Altavaux

38 Starnawska, Świętych życie po życiu, 162–63.
39 Natália Maria Lopes Nunes, “O culto das Santas Reliquias de Belver (Concelho de Gavião),”
Mundos sociais: Saberes e práticas; VI Congresso Português de Sociologia (Lisbon, 2008), http://
40 According to a seventeenth-century account, the cargo exploded, water flowed from a new spring, and
a pine took root on the same spot. The tree was later made into crosses by passing pilgrims; see Pagará,
Vassallo e Silva, and Serrão, Igreja Vera Cruz de Marmelar, 50–51; Jorge Cardoso, Agologio Lusitano
dos santos varoens illustres em virtude do Reino de Portugal, vol. 3 (Lisbon, 1666), 55.
41 Starnawska, Świętych życie po życiu, 170.
42 Mat Immerzeel, Identity Puzzles: Medieval Christian Art in Syria and Lebanon, Orientalia Lova-
niensia Analecta 184 (Walpole, MA, 2009), 45.
(Haute-Vienne, France) and the Templar house at Peñíscola (Castellón, Spain), which had obtained two ampullae by the beginning of the fourteenth century. The Hospitallers also sent a phial of the same oil to Henry III of England in 1235, together with several other relics, and Saydnaya itself was felt to have merited inclusion in pilgrim’s guides to the Holy Land. However, although knights did sometimes obtain relics on their own initiative, as Walter had done, their purpose was usually more strategic. The right arm of Saint John the Baptist, presented in 1484 to the Hospitallers by the Turkish Sultan Bayezid in exchange for a promise of support (Fig. 1), illustrates how relics could achieve the status of a diplomatic gift. There are several instances of this, including the presentation to Pope Innocent IV of a nail and a hammer from the Crucifixion and the hand of Saint Thomas, which had been acquired by the Hospitallers and Templars in 1245 and subsequently exported to Rome. Similarly, the Templars made a presentation of important relics of the Passion and the life of Christ to Louis IX of France in 1241, the same year that Ferdinand III of Castile gave the Cross of Caravaca to the Templars. The two episodes are entirely unconnected but help to illustrate the constant process of relic movement and exchange that sustained cohesion among the medieval elite across Europe.

Gifts bestowed voluntarily showed loyalty and favor. When Emery d’Amboise, the Hospitaller prior of France, donated a gold plate embedded with a fragment of the skull of Saint John the Baptist in 1501, his generosity to his own order reflected well on his virtues as a donor. This may also explain why Richard of Hastings (d. 1185), the Templar provincial master, gave three relics to the Augustinian canons at Osney (England), and it was most likely through gifts such as these that the cathedral of Frombork (Poland) obtained “particles” of the relics of Saints Barbara, Catherine, Margaret, Elizabeth, and Mary Magdalene from the Teutonic order for one of their main altars. Unfortunately, only in a handful of cases are the precise motives for gift giving more fully understood. In 1424 the Hospitaller

---


44 Anthony Luttrell, “The Rhodian Background of the Order of Saint John on Malta,” in Luttrell, The Hospitallers of Rhodes and Their Mediterranean World (Aldershot, 1992), 11; for a broader discussion regarding the use of relics in diplomacy in the medieval Kingdom of Poland, see Starnawska, Świętych życie po życiu, 557–60.


Fig. 1. *Turkish Spokesman Presenting the Hand of Saint John the Baptist*, an illustration by Guillaume Caoursin in his *Obsidionis Rhodiae urbis descriptio*, fol. 51r, the official Latin account of the victory of the order over the Ottomans, published in 1496. (Image from Digitale Sammlungen—Universitäts- und Landesbibliothek Darmstadt and the Bibliothèque Nationale de France, with permission.)
master advised a group of officiales Terrae Sanctae (probably Franciscans) to present a thorn from the Crown of Thorns and the Virgin Mary’s veil to Władysław II Jagiełło, accompanied by a letter in which the master expressed the hope that the gift would persuade the Polish king to provide Rhodes with aid against the Turks. At other times, the gifting of relics represented something more personal, as was the case in 1349 when Juan Fernández de Heredia gifted the head of Saint Beatrix to Hospitaller Alfamba; and in 1361 when he gave the head of Saint Odile of Alsace to Villel (both Teruel, Spain) in acknowledgement of the personal links that existed between the grand master and the two preceptories where he had been commander in the 1330s.⁴⁸ The heads themselves had originally been presented to Fernández de Heredia by the papacy in acknowledgment of services rendered. On other occasions, relics changed hands simply as an expression of gratitude. The gift of pieces of the True Cross and several other relics sent by the master of the Temple to London in 1272 marks the year when Prince Edward of England departed Acre after a year spent campaigning against the Egyptian Mamluks. Likewise, the Holy Roman Emperor Frederick II Hohenstaufen gifted a fragment of the True Cross to the Teutonic knights, the only military order to support the excommunicated emperor during his stay in the Holy Land and at his coronation as king of Jerusalem.⁴⁹ Sometimes there is a hint that gifts were arranged in lieu of payment. Count Charles of Anjou, for example, donated relics, among other items, to the Hospitaller preceptory at Aix in 1272 in compensation for the burial of his first wife, Beatrix of Provence, in the order’s chapel there.⁵⁰ Relics were certainly accepted as pledges for loans, and this is probably how the Knights Templar obtained the bust of Saint Polycarp, which had been pledged by the abbot of the Temple of the Lord and never reclaimed. A better-documented example is the large quantity of relics offered by the commune of Zadar (Croatia) to the Hungarian and Slavonian master of the Templar order in return for a loan redeemed in April 1308.⁵¹

Alternatively, if not stolen or acquired as a gift, payment, or loan, relics could be appropriated. Thus, at Marburg the Teutonic order took control of the hospital, church, and burial place of Elizabeth of Hungary despite the princess’s own wish for the premises to be presented to the Hospitallers after her death; following her canonization the site became a significant pilgrimage destination.⁵² In other circumstances the promise of capable management and greater security evidently proved persuasive. In the Kingdom of Jerusalem in the 1250s the Hospitallers acquired both the nunnery of Bethany, built over the Tomb of Saint Lazarus, and the mon-

⁴⁸ For Władysław II Jagiello, see Starnawska, Świętych życie po życiu, 162–63. For Heredia, see Juan Manuel Cacho Blecua, El gran maestre Juan Fernández de Heredia, Colección Mariano de Pano y Ruata 12 (Zaragoza, 1997), 18.
⁴⁹ Małgorzata Jackiewicz-Garniec and Miroslaw Garniec, Zamki państwa krzyżackiego w dawnych Prusach: Powiśle, Górne Prusy, Warmia, Mazury (Olsztyn, 2009), 130. This is the relic venerated at Elbląg.
⁵¹ Barber, New Knighthood, 200. This may also explain how a fragment of the True Cross came to be on loan to King Denis of Portugal in 1322 by the Hospitallers at Marmelar and why, through the terms of his will, the king sought to return it; see Pagará, Vassallo e Silva, and Serrão, Igreja Vera Cruz de Marmelar, 53.
⁵² Bartlett, Why Can the Dead Do Such Great Things?, 75.
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tery of Mount Tabor, built over the cave where the Transfiguration of Christ was believed to have occurred. At these and other sites the military orders were not above a certain tendency at self-promotion when it came to the accompanying historical narrative. The hospital in Jerusalem, for example, was claimed as the venue for the Last Supper and the introduction of the Eucharist, though this never gained wider acceptance. Dorothy of Montau (1347–94), a holy woman and stigmatic who spent the last thirteen months of her life walled up in a hermit cell in Kwidzyn Cathedral (Poland) with the permission of the Teutonic chapter of the bishopric of Pomerania, is another case in point. After her death, when Dorothy’s visions were set down on paper, her tomb quickly became a major pilgrimage center in Prussia; between 1404 and 1406 the papal commission recorded more than three hundred witnesses’ accounts of her life and miracles.

A more dependable strategy was to regenerate new from old, thereby propagating an existing cult. The bones of Saint Cordula, one of the thousands of companions of Saint Ursula, were allegedly unearthed in a vineyard belonging to a Hospital preceptory near Cologne in 1278 and reburied under the altar of the chapel there. More bones were discovered later and the entire collection exhibited in 1327. A large silver reliquary containing the head of one of the martyred virgins could be found on the altar of the Temple of Paris in the early fourteenth century, and there was another in the preceptory of the Teutonic order in Venice in later centuries, just as there were in many cities and monasteries across Europe. Similarly inexhaustible, the oil that flowed miraculously from the tomb of Saint Elizabeth in Marburg was widely distributed by the Teutonic order. In turn, these phials themselves came to be venerated and kept either in other Teutonic chapels or used to establish new churches, often under the patronage of the saint. Likewise, the Templars kept a holy image of the Virgin Mary in the cathedral in Tartus (Syria), venerated by Christians and Muslims alike, from which holy oil was extracted for distribution to pilgrims. Springs and rivers provided limitless supplies; the sacred

---

53 Denys Pringle, Churches of the Crusader Kingdom of Jerusalem, vol. 1, A–K, 122; and vol. 2, L–Z (Excluding Tyre) (Cambridge, UK, 2009), 68. Curiously, the Teutonic order showed far less interest in the holy places in Outremer.


55 The formal process of canonization was put to an abrupt halt after the defeat of the Teutonic knights at Grunwald in 1410 and not completed until 1976; see Marian Biskup and Gerard Labuda, Dzieje zakonu krzyżackiego w Prusach: Gospodarka, społeczeństwo, państwo, ideologia (Gdańsk, 1986), 432.


58 Like that of Saint Ubaldesca, the head of Saint Ursula was donated to Caspe (Zaragoza) by Hospitaler Grand Master Juan Fernández de Heredia in 1394; see Cacho Blecua, El gran maestre Juan Fernández de Heredia, 201.

59 Nicholson, Templars, Hospitalers and Teutonic Knights, 118. Examples include the Gothic church at Kassa (Košice, Slovakia).

60 Immerzeel, Identity Puzzles, 45. The cult continued on Cyprus even after Tortosa was lost to the Christians. The monastery of Our Lady of Tortosa in Famagusta became a pilgrimage site in 1318 Speculum 92/4 (October 2017)
water from the River Jordan guarded by the Hospitaller castle at Belvoir was distributed in ampullae and reputed to calm tempests when thrown into the sea by sailors.\textsuperscript{61} At Abu Ghosh (Israel), a place identified with the biblical Emmaus, where Christ appeared to his disciples after the Resurrection, the Hospitallers constructed a fortified church in c. 1141 with a two-story nave above an underground crypt containing a sacred spring, which could be accessed by visiting pilgrims.\textsuperscript{62} In those cases where the order held the principal fragments of a relic, the usual practice was to split off smaller pieces. The fragment of the True Cross from Hospitaller Marmelar was divided into two in 1340 after its influential role at the battle of Tarifa, one half being given to the cathedral at Évora in exchange for a relic of Saint Andrew.\textsuperscript{63} Likewise, the relic collections at Teutonic Malbork included those of Saint Elizabeth, whose main relic was held by the order in Marburg, and Saint Barbara, whose remains could be found at Starogród (Poland).\textsuperscript{64}

Finally, the military orders also promoted new saints, such as Saint Dorothy of Montau, revived previous cults, and made discoveries of their own. This was the case in June 1327 when one of the Hospitallers in Syracuse had a vision of Saint Constantine, who informed him that a saintly knight worthy of veneration was buried in a ruined Templar church outside the city. The Hospitalier immediately orchestrated a search in the nave of the ruined church and soon discovered the burial place of Saint Gerland of Poland (died c. 1244), otherwise known as John of Germany. In the seventeenth century the Hospitalier historian Giacomo Bosio claimed that the sanctity of the relics had been immediately confirmed by the heavenly aroma surrounding them; miracles began three days later. About a hundred miracles were allegedly recorded in the first month alone, and the site subsequently became a significant religious center.\textsuperscript{65}

These strategies for obtaining or multiplying relics were aided by the military orders’ strong presence in the Eastern Mediterranean, which provided them with privileged access to sites and materials. Once more, their international structure and military character facilitated the safe movement of relics across Europe; for example, a crystalline phial containing Christ’s Blood sent by the patriarch and the Church of Jerusalem to Henry III of England in 1247 was transported there by the Templars.\textsuperscript{66} Safety was paramount, given that relics like the head of Saint Barbara could be stolen; a relic of Saint Sebastian disappeared when the Hospitallers departed Rhodes in 1523, only to appear again on Majorca.\textsuperscript{67} Their repeated trans-

\begin{thebibliography}{1}
\bibitem{shalem} Avinoam Shalem, \textit{Islam Christianized: Islamic Portable Objects in the Medieval Church Treasuries of the Latin West}, Ars Faciendi 7 (Frankfurt, 1998), 21.
\bibitem{pagara} Pagará, Vassallo e Silva, and Serrão, \textit{Igreja Vera Cruz de Marmelar}.
\bibitem{paris} So says Matthew Paris, though another account names the Hospitallers; see Nicholas Vincent, \textit{The Holy Blood: King Henry III and the Westminster Blood Relic} (Cambridge, UK, 2001), 2 n. 7.
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lation was not an infrequent occurrence and could lead to an exotic biography of travels, as Fig. 2 shows. The relics of the early fourth-century martyr Saint Euphemia were allegedly taken by the Templars from Constantinople after the city was sacked in 1204 during the Fourth Crusade, first to Atlit (Château Pèlerin) in the Holy Land, then to Cyprus in 1291, and finally, with the Hospitallers, to Rhodes and Malta, before ending their days with Napoleon’s fleet at the Battle of the Nile in 1798.68 Each stage of the journey provided opportunity for further fragmentation to occur, with minor relics first passing to at least one Templar house in the West (Peñíscola, Spain) and later to Malbork with the Teutonic knights.69

It should be no surprise then that the military orders could amass substantial relic collections and so create highly visible cults. At their headquarters on Rhodes, the Hospitallers gathered relics such as thorns from the Crown of Thorns, the thirty silver pieces paid to Judas, a cross allegedly made from the True Cross, the arm of Saint Catherine, and relics of Saint Anthony, among a great many others.70 The knights swore upon their relics when they came to elect a new grand master, or so traveler Pero Tafur tells us in the 1430s.71 The collection of relics gathered by the Teutonic order in their headquarters in Malbork by the end of the fourteenth century included the relics of Saint Catherine of Alexandria (a gift from the Holy Roman emperor Charles IV),72 the relics of Saint Liborius of Le Mans (presented to them by the bishop and chapter of Paderborn in 1359), two fragments of the True Cross (one from Charles VI of France), a relic of Saint Veronica,73 the head of Saint Agatha, a relic of Saint George, the jaw of Saint Anthony, and the head of Saint Euphemia,74 as well as relics of both Saint Elizabeth and Saint Barbara, the latter probably generated from larger relics held by the order elsewhere. Even the most unassuming of preceptories could accumulate quite sizable assemblages; in 1308 the Templar preceptory of Saint Eulalia (Aveyron, France) possessed no fewer than nine reliquaries containing a “wealth of relics,”75 while the Hospitaller chapel of Saint Blas at the castle at Belver in central Portugal preserved part of the Holy Manger, pieces of the True Cross and the Holy Shroud, drops of milk from the Virgin Mary, relics of Saint John the Baptist, hair from Mary Magdalene, the sackcloth of Saint Thomas, relics of Saint Margaret, and much else besides.76 An exceptional

68 Although the saint’s body is said to be still intact in the patriarchal church of Saint George in Istanbul.
70 Luttrell, “The Rhodian Background,” 10–14, provides further details.
72 Woźniak, “Dyptyk relikwiarzowy,” 485; for a discussion of oaths on relics in medieval Poland, see Starnawska, Świętych życie po życiu, 405–14.
73 This passage has been interpreted in different sources as referring either to relics of Saint Veronica (see Stefan Kwiatkowski, Klimat religijny w diecezji pomezańskiej u schyłku XIV i w pierwszych dziecioletacch XV wieku, Roczniki Towarzystwa Naukowego w Toruniu 83 [Toruń, 1990], 87) or to the image of Saint Veronica (see Jóźwiak and Trupinda, Organizacja życia na zamku Krzyżackim, 468).
74 Probably obtained from Nicosia on Cyprus after the dissolution of the Templars; during the Templar trial it was certainly there.
75 Selwood, Knights of the Cloister, 201.
76 Nunes, “O culto das Santas Relíquias de Belver.”
case is that of Caspe preceptory in Zaragoza (Spain) where Hospitaller grand master Juan Fernández de Heredia chose to be buried in a chapel dedicated to the Holy Christ and to which he donated some important relics in 1394, including a fragment of the True Cross, a thorn “on which Christ’s Blood can be seen,” and three head relics.77

Relics like these were highly portable and had traveled long distances. None, though, were decorative or intact; they were deliberately broken into fragments, which were themselves symbolic of the whole.78 This process of fragmentation created three different sets of associations. First, it linked those individuals implicated in the act of exchange and circulation and established relationships between givers

77 Cacho Blecua, El gran maestre Juan Fernández de Heredia, 201. The perceived hierarchy of relics is often reflected in the order in which they are listed in church inventories; for example, in the fifteenth-century lists of relics at Hospitalier Mała Jasienica (Poland), male martyrs (Christopher and Anthony) are listed before female ones (Anne and Ursula); see Starzawska, Świętych życie po życiu, 138–39.

78 For more on fragmentation in archaeology, see John Chapman and Bisserka Gaydarska, Parts and Wholes: Fragmentation in Prehistoric Context (Oxford, 2007).
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and recipients. Second, it expanded and interconnected the virtual network of places and institutions which had obtained parts from the same body. This was possibly an important consideration when the geographical density of the military orders’ institutions was not high. Third, as the relics accumulated, the amassing and recontextualizing of a collection juxtaposed dislocated body parts from different saints, crisscrossing cultural, chronological, and geographical boundaries. Ultimately, every assemblage of relics was unique and active, and each contributed to the construction of identity of the individual preceptories and of the institution as a whole, in some cases over a period of time measured in centuries. What makes relics so unusual is that they were largely indistinguishable and could not, in themselves, be fixed to either place or person. Although their wrappings of silks and reliquary containers tapped into a visual and tactile vocabulary of luxury familiar to later medieval court culture, their identification ultimately depended upon verification from participants in the circulation network itself.

**What Kind of Relics?**

*Passion Relics*

Later medieval relics were not always associated with saints. Those with the greatest spiritual value were linked with the Passion of Christ because they provided tangible reminders of Christ’s suffering. Among the most treasured possessions of the Templars was a thorn from Christ’s Crown, which passed to the Hospitallers and was later matched by Heredia’s specimen. The Crown was associated with teachings about Christ’s kingship and gained particular prominence in the thirteenth century when sermons on the humility of Christ the King became popular, something that had implications for all earthly kings. For the military orders, with their extensive secular powers in Rhodes and their palatinates in the Holy Land, this symbol of royalty had a special resonance. It was not, however, their only or most significant Passion relic. That distinction was held by the True Cross, which was a symbol of both victory and salvation and the symbolic ensign of the crusader army.

---

81 Barber, *New Knighthood*, 83.
82 Barbara Baert, *A Heritage of Holy Wood: The Legend of the True Cross in Text*, trans. Lee Preedy, Cultures, Beliefs and Traditions: Medieval and Early Modern Peoples 22 (Leiden, 2004), 133–42. This was not a novel association; once transferred from Jerusalem to the imperial palace at Constantinople in the seventh century, the relic of the True Cross had accompanied Byzantine armies into battle on more than one occasion. See Holger A. Klein, “Constantine, Helena, and the Cult of the True Cross in Constantinople,” in *Byzance et les reliques du Christ*, ed. Jannic Durand and Bernard Flusin (Paris, 2004), 31–59; John Wortley, “The Wood of the True Cross,” in *Studies on the Cult of Relics in Byzantium up to 1204*, ed. John T. Wortley, Variorum Collected Studies 933 (Ashgate, 2009), 1–19. This tradition was known in the West and, when a new relic of the True Cross was allegedly rediscovered in Jerusalem on 5 August 1099, it is no surprise that when the crusaders confronted the Egyptian army north of Ascalon six days later Arnulf of Chocques, the patriarch designate of Jerusalem, carried this alleged relic of the Crucifixion; see Alan V. Murray, “Mighty against the Enemies of Christ.”
The Templars do not seem to have carried the principal fragment of the True Cross venerated in Latin Jerusalem into battle themselves—that rare privilege usually fell to the patriarch of Jerusalem—but from the moment of its rediscovery in 1099 to its loss at the battle of Hattin in 1187 they guarded the Cross whenever it traveled outside the city. However, many smaller fragments of the True Cross did make their way to Templar preceptories in Western Europe, particularly during the twelfth century. The Templar house in London counted wood from the Cross among its relics, as did Toulouse (France), where the Templars housed a large cross inlaid with two fragments of the True Cross among forty-five precious stones, and Templar Peñíscola (Spain), where no less than five fragments could be found; a recent listing suggests some forty-six fragments of the *Ignum Domini* housed in thirty-six reliquaries in Templar houses at the time of their trial. The popularity of the cult of the True Cross in the Templar churches across Europe reflects not only its association with the Holy Land but also the order’s particular devotion as the militia of Christ.

Other military orders also held the True Cross in high esteem. The seal of Raymond le Puy (1118–c. 1158/60) depicts the second Hospitaller grand master kneeling before it, and in the 1170s the Hospitallers were reminded by Pope Alexander III (in *Piam admodum*) of their duty to engage in battle only if a fragment of the Cross was traveling with the Christian army. The fragment of the True Cross from Hospitaller Marmelar was duly carried at the battle of Tarifa in 1340. The use of the Cross in battle at Dzierzgoń in 1248 by the Teutonic knights, mentioned earlier, suggests a similar obligation; and by the fifteenth century the

---

84 Murray, “Mighty against the Enemies of Christ.”
89 Licence, “Temples, Hospitallers, Christ and the Saints,” 44. The name of Christ appears eighteen times in the Latin version of the Templar rule, more frequently than in the rule of Saint Benedict and the Hospitaller rule combined. The Temple of the Lord (Dome of the Rock), one of the centers of Christocentric cult, was portrayed on Templar seals with the legend “Sigillum militum de Templo Christi,” although that temple was in fact administered by Augustinian canons in the twelfth century. Hospitaller seals depicted the Holy Sepulchre. Western Europeans would have struggled to differentiate one domed building in Jerusalem from another; for discussions, see Laura J. Whatley, “Visual Self-Fashioning and the Seals of the Knights Hospitaller in England,” in *Remembering the Crusades: Myth, Image, and Identity*, ed. Nicholas Paul and Suzanne Yeager (Baltimore, 2013), 252–69, at 256.
91 Riley-Smith, *Temples and Hospitallers as Professed Religious*, 18.
92 By a priest on a white donkey; see Pagará, Vassallo e Silva, and Serrão, *Igreja Vera Cruz de Marmelar*, 54.
93 Although this practice was by no means restricted to the military orders: when Louis IX announced the Second Crusade he did so accompanied by relics of the True Cross and the Crown of Thorns (see M. Cecilia Gaposchkin, *The Making of Saint Louis: Kingship, Sanctity, and Crusade in the Later Middle Ages* [Ithaca, 2008]), while Alfonso I carried relics of the True Cross and those of Holy Mary with him during the Reconquest in Spain (see Joseph F. O’Callaghan, *Reconquest and Crusade in Medieval Spain* [Philadelphia, 2004], 193).
knights held at least four pieces of the True Cross in Prussia, one each at Elbląg (Poland) and Brodnica (Poland) and two at Malbork.\textsuperscript{94} The importance of these relics was also echoed in Teutonic art, notably the fourteenth-century tympanum above the southern portal to the grand master’s burial chapel in Malbork. Dedicated to Saint Anne, mother of the Virgin Mary, this stone sculpture depicts the story of the True Cross from its discovery by Saint Helena through to its restoration by Heracleius (Fig. 3). More portable reminders of the suffering of Jesus include a late medieval badge (fourteenth–sixteenth centuries) excavated in 1991 at 5 Uus Street in Pärnu (Estonia), which depicts the nails, the Crown of Thorns, and the crucifix of the Passion of Christ.\textsuperscript{95}

Life of Christ Relics

Belief in a resurrected Christ might seem to deny the possibility of bodily relics, but there was a restricted and highly prized collection associated with his childhood, such as hair and milk teeth, as well as fluids lost at the time of death. A rare example of individual devotion is an offering made by Teutonic grand master Ulrich Von Jungingen, through a messenger, to the relic of the Holy Blood kept in Wilsnack before the war with Poland and Lithuania in 1409.\textsuperscript{96} Perhaps the value and scarcity of these relics generally excluded the orders from obtaining them for themselves, but there was also a vibrant market for lesser contact relics, among them Christ’s washing bowl, held by the Templars,\textsuperscript{97} the stone water jar in which Christ turned water to wine, at the Hospital of Saint John in Jerusalem,\textsuperscript{98} and one of the six jars of Cana, which was transferred to the Hospitaller priory of Clerkenwell, London, in 1269.\textsuperscript{99} Lesser preceptories were especially tempted by these more incidental treasures: the Templars at Ascó (Spain) kept “a stone taken from the house where Jesus was born,” “a stone from the place where Christ was crucified,” and “wax imprinted with a coin owned by Jesus.”\textsuperscript{100} Ascó also obtained a rare contact relic associated with the Vir-
gin Mary, the patron saint of the Templar order, though the cult of Saint Mary was not generally inspired by holy relics. Archaeology does, however, provide evidence of the popularity of her cult in the Baltic, where the Teutonic order also took up her dedication. Three badges retrieved during excavations in 2004 from the granary island in Gdańsk (Poland) depict Saint Mary sitting on the throne with the infant Christ;102 and from Tallinn (Estonia) there is also a fourteenth-century pilgrim badge from the French shrine of Our Lady of Rocamadour.103

Saints’ Relics: The Female Martyr Saints

Particularly popular among the military orders were female martyr saints. Templar Peñíscola, for example, held some relics of Saint Margaret and Saint Mary Magda-

---

101 The rich iconography of Saint Mary in the art of the military orders has been a subject of extensive studies, especially in the context of the Teutonic Order, who, for example, named her their patron and commissioned a colossal 8 m high statue of Saint Mary with Christ for Malbork; see Tadeusz Chrzanowski and Marian Kornecki, “Madonna Tronująca: Uwagi do ikonografii maryjnej w średniowiecznej sztuce Prus,” in Sztuka w kręgu zakonu krzyżackiego w Prusach i Inflantach, Studia Borussico-Baltica Torunensia Historiae Artium 2 (Toruń, 1995), 217–40.
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lene, among others. The list is extensive but quickly reveals a preference for saints associated with the East whose relics were controlled by the military orders, namely Saint Barbara and Saint Catherine of Alexandria. The Teutonic order kept—in addition to the head of Saint Elizabeth at Marburg—the head of Saint Barbara in Starogrod and the relics of Saint Catherine in Ushakovo (Russia) and celebrated their cults through schemes of artistic decoration at Kaliningrad, Kwidzyn (Poland; held by the Teutonic chapter of the bishop of Pomerania), and at the main altar of their chapel in Grudziądz (Poland), as well as at lesser Baltic convents such as Bratian and, further afield, at Monterazzano (Viterbo, Italy). The same saints also appear in the coats of arms of many Teutonic cities in Livonia and Prussia, such as Hanseatic Kuldiga (Latvia) and Dzialdowo (Poland); but more personal and intimate preferences are suggested at Malbork, where depictions of Saint Barbara and Saint Catherine, together with images of Saint Dorothy and Saint Margaret, adorn the walls of the probable bedchamber of the Teutonic grand masters. In Fig. 4, the exterior of a fourteenth-century reliquary commissioned by the Teutonic commander of Elbląg, Thiele von Lorich, depicts him (here on the left) wearing the white mantle of the order and praying to Christ and the Virgin Mary with the help of Saint Barbara, who holds a symbol of the tower in which she was imprisoned. On the interior (right) the commander is assisted by Saint Barbara and Saint Catherine of Alexandria, who holds a sword and a representation of the wheel on which she was tortured. On the lower level, Saint Dorothy of Caesarea, Saint Margaret, Saint Peter, and Saint Paul are surrounded by relics that are wrapped and authenticated.

Saint Barbara and Saint Catherine were by no means venerated exclusively by the Teutonic order. The cult of Saint Barbara found favor in the Hospitaller church of San Juan del Hospital in Valencia (Spain), where a chapel dedicated to the saint was constructed to house the remains of Constance of Nicaea on her death in 1306. Also transported here from Constantinople was a column to which the saint had allegedly been tied, the link being that the empress had attributed her miraculous cure from leprosy to her devotion for Saint Barbara. Burial in the Valencian chapel became so popular subsequently that a new crypt had to be constructed at the end of the sixteenth century. Elsewhere, the arm of Saint Catherine was venerated by the Hospitallers in the chapel of the grand masters on Rhodes in 1458; and one of the fifteenth-century gates there bears her dedication, as does the chapel of the grand

---

105 As is associated iconography, for example the Hospitaller church of Saint Mary of the Castle on Rhodes has a very beautiful fourteenth-century fresco of another Holy Virgin, Saint Lucy, after whom one of the Hospitaller ships on Rhodes was named; see Erhard P. Opsahl, “The Hospitallers, Templars, and Teutonic Knights in the Morea after the Fourth Crusade” (PhD diss., University of Wisconsin, Madison, 1994), 129.
108 For a detailed study of this reliquary, currently held in the Polish Army Museum in Warsaw, see Woźniak, “Dyptyk relikiwiarzy,” 481–500.
110 Nicholson, Knights Hospitaller, 96.
master, where the walls were decorated with tapestries illustrating her martyrdom.\textsuperscript{111} Depictions of Saint Catherine also decorate the walls of many Templar churches, such as the ones in Metz (France) and Chwarszczany (Poland), where frescoes of the Holy Virgins, including Saint Catherine and Saint Barbara, originally commissioned by the Templars, were later refreshed by the Hospitallers in testament to their enduring popularity.\textsuperscript{112}

Female martyr saints possessed several qualities well understood in medieval European visual culture, which made them suitable candidates as patrons and protectors of male communities. They were neither children nor spinsters but represented an idealized femininity. Particular iconographic motifs, such as long, loose hair and their crowns and garlands, underlined these saintly qualities. The former signified beauty and maidenhood, the latter their virginity, dedication to Christ, and the martyr’s death; while the long dress with long sleeves was considered aristocratic.\textsuperscript{113}

For the military orders, there was much that resonated here, including perhaps the symbolic association of women with towers and strongholds of faith. Helen Nicholson\textsuperscript{114} argues that the female virtues of patience, modesty, and humility were something to aspire to in a military male community. Certainly, these female saints were depicted at the peak of the “curve of life,” according to Aristotelian conceptions of the ages of man so popular in later medieval convention;\textsuperscript{115} and they were, in a sense, the spiritual alternative to hightborn ladies of court, a counterpart to the idealized secular knight. Saint Elizabeth was a Hungarian princess, while Saint Catherine is described as a sovereign queen, a privileged woman of great learning; their cults might therefore be read as an affirmation of the wealth and nobility of the dev-

\textsuperscript{111} Élias Kollias, \textit{The Knights of Rhodes: The Palace and the City} (Athens, 1991), 72.

\textsuperscript{112} Teresa Mroczko and Marian Arszysiński, \textit{Architektura gotycka w Polsce}, 4 vols. (Warsaw, 1995).


\textsuperscript{115} Phillips, “Maidenhood as the Perfect Age of Woman’s Life,” 2–3.
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otee, or at least the assumption of it. More specifically, these saints were chaste—they had dedicated their virginity to Christ, just as the military orders professed sexual abstinence and themselves sacrificed both marriage and parenthood. They, too, found themselves frail and in peril in a non-Christian world that required bravery and fortitude, strengthened through the power of God’s love, to resist pagan suitors, incarceration, and torture.

More loosely, the Eastern associations of Saint Barbara and Saint Catherine were a clear reference to the order’s origins and duties in the Holy Land. Access for pilgrims to Saint Catherine’s monastery in Sinai had been made easier after the First Crusade in 1096–99; and a future grand master of the Templars, Philippe de Milly, visited Mount Sinai in the 1160s and personally extricated a relic of Saint Catherine from her shrine. That relic was subsequently presented to a French monastery and, with this translation, fostered awareness of her cult and strengthened the links between the noble families in the West and those away from home in the crusader kingdoms. Her image as a strong woman and an idealized virgin who preached the faith and converted unbelievers was well suited to the self-image of the military orders, with their commitment to celibacy and faith. The fact that Sinai was a remote pilgrimage destination mattered little, as the papacy took Sinai under its direct protection; and Saint Catherine long remained a popular choice in iconography. For example, a new altar in the Hospitaller church at Clerkenwell (London) was dedicated in 1385 to Saint Anne, Saint Catherine, Saint Margaret, and the Blessed Mary Magdalene; and another chapel built nearby in 1433 was dedicated to Saint Catherine, Saint Ursula, and Saint Margaret. The iconography on display here, as elsewhere, often reflected a blend of saints who were popular in the local region and those who were distinctive to the military orders; though there were always exceptions according to personal preference, such as the devotion to the relics of Saint Thomas (Becket) the Martyr at Templar Tomar (Portugal) in the 1170s.

Military and Patron Saints

The second group of saints with a seemingly obvious appeal to the military orders were military men and soldiers. This large group includes figures such as Saint Theo-

\textsuperscript{117} Christine Walsh, The Cult of St. Catherine of Alexandria in Early Medieval Europe (Aldershot, 2007), 42–44.  
\textsuperscript{118} At least until the late fourteenth century the monastery owned lands in Latin-ruled Cyprus and Crete; see Courcas, The Latin Church in Cyprus, 470–74.  
\textsuperscript{119} The first major cult center for Catherine in the West was at Rouen in the 1030s; see Walsh, The Cult of St. Catherine, 150.  
\textsuperscript{120} Sloane and Malcolm, Excavations at the Priory, 91.  
\textsuperscript{121} For Italian examples, see Giulia Rossi, “Originality and Adaptation,” 214.  
\textsuperscript{122} Duggan explains the installation of a Becket shrine in light of the close links between England and Portugal and the appeal of Becket as a “churchman of heroic mould”; many crusaders regarded Saint Thomas as their patron. See Anne J. Duggan, “Aspects of Anglo-Portuguese Relations in the Twelfth Century: Manuscripts, Relics, Decretals and the Cult of St. Thomas Becket at Lorvão, Alcobãça and Tomar,” Portuguese Studies 14 (1998): 1–19. The Tomar relics seem to have been blood and fragments of brain contained in a small flask.}
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dore, Saint Demetrius, Saint Maurice, and Saints Sergius and Bacchus. Yet despite their eastern origins and military credentials, the only military saint who inspired widespread devotion among the knights was Saint George. His relics could be found at the Templar grand priory of Auvergne in 1292,123 while his arm and iron from his lance were recorded at the Hospitaler site at Mouters on Cyprus between 1418 and 1420 by a visiting pilgrim from Gascony.124 An inventory from 1437 records a statue of Saint George with a relic stored in the chapel of Saint Bartholomew in Malbork, but, surprisingly, its possession of the relic did not merit the inclusion of Saint George as one of the patrons of the chapel.125 Elsewhere the evidence is largely artistic, the best-known depictions being the wall paintings in the Templar chapels at Cressac (Charante, France) and Metz and the frescoes dated c. 1200 in the Hospitaler chapel at Crac des Chevaliers;126 though there are others, including the Teutonic convent in Lochstaeedt, near modern Pavlovo (Russia) in the Baltic. Relief sculpture in stone, probably of the early sixteenth century, survives in the Hospitaler church in Ambel (Zaragoza, Spain);127 and statues were also commissioned, including one in the Templar castle at Safad and another, 1.5 m high, commissioned in wood in 1380–90 for the Teutonic order at Stara Kościelnica (Poland).128 The feast days of Saint George were occasionally celebrated also, and all those who visited the Templar chapel of Saint George at Somelaria (Regba, Israel) on his feast day (and those of Saint Julian and Saint Martin) were granted indulgences by Pope Nicholas IV in May 1291.129 That said, none of these was a major site, and Saint George never seems to have attracted as much interest as the female martyrs. Even when the Hospitallers were granted property close to Lydda (Israel), the birthplace of Saint George,130 there was little appetite to exploit this opportunity, and the saint’s role as patron of the Order of the Sword Brethren lasted for little more than thirty years, until its incorporation into the Teutonic order in 1237. Other military saints were largely overlooked too; Saint Maurice, together with Saint Mary, was depicted on the banner of the Livonian branch of the Teutonic order, but it is unclear whether any of the Teutonic chapels in the region were dedicated to him.131 Relics of Saint

123 Selwood, Knights of the Cloister, 99.
125 For Saint George in Malbork, see Rozynkowski, Studia nad liturgią, 127.
126 Barber, New Knighthood, 202; Folda, Art of the Crusaders, 402–3.
127 Christopher Gerrard, Paisaje y señorío: Arqueología, arquitectura e historia de las Órdenes Militares del Templo y del Hospital (Zaragoza, 2003), 139.
128 Monika Jakubek-Raczewska, “Figura Świętego Jerzego z Kościoła w Starej Kościelnicy, 1380–1390,” in Pospieszna, Fundacje artystyczne na terenie państwa krzyżACKiego w Prusach, 1:107–8. Another statue is known to have been venerated in the chapel of the Templar castle of Safed; see Pringle, Churches of the Crusader Kingdom of Jerusalem, vol. 2, I–Z, 207; Riley-Smith, Templars and Hospitallers as Professed Religious, 32.
130 Ibid., 11.
131 Anu Mänd, “Saints’ Cults in Medieval Livonia,” in The Clash of Cultures on the Medieval Baltic Frontier, ed. Alan V. Murray (Farnham, 2009), 191–223, at 205. In Livonia, for example, the cult of military saints was particularly popular among unmarried merchants, who founded the Brotherhood of the Black Heads, named after Saint Maurice. The Black Heads in Riga (Latvia) possessed a wooden statue of Saint George containing a relic of the saint, while their counterparts in Tallinn (Estonia) promoted the cult of Saint Victor, another military saint; ibid., 204.
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Hubert were venerated in Prussia, in the Teutonic convent chapel in Papowo (Poland), in Przezmark (Poland), and, most likely, in Grudziędz (Poland), Ostróda (Poland), Ushakovo (Russia), and Kaliningrad, too. Though associated with knighthood, Saint Hubert had no connection with the Holy Land, and his cult seems to have been restricted to hunting and the welfare of animals, which were listed by Teutonic writers among the beneficiaries of his miracles. Overall, it is not clear why the military saints inspired such limited devotion; one possible explanation is that the military saints were often elevated to sainthood because of their refusal to participate in warfare, in contrast to the knights of the military orders, who were expected to fight and kill, albeit in defense of Christians. The ethos of a military saint seems to have resonated more forcefully in secular culture.

The patron saints of particular orders were deeply venerated. This is a varied group, exemplified by Saint John, the patron saint of Hospitallers, whose feast day on 21 November was widely celebrated in their houses across Europe and whose relics the order made every effort to acquire. This process was not without its setbacks. In the twelfth century the knights could claim that the hospital in Jerusalem was founded by Saint John’s father, but they appear to have possessed only a small, unidentified particle of his relics, which could be found inside a small reliquary alongside others. In the thirteenth century the arm of Saint John the Baptist, venerated at Groningen in Frisia, was said to have been abstracted from the hospital in Palestine by a devout woman who had taken advantage of the lustfulness of one of the senior Hospitallers stationed there. In fact, it was only on Rhodes that the Hospitallers eventually accumulated more significant relics, both arm and skull fragments. Perhaps it was doubt over the ability of the order to collect the earthly remains of its patron saint that led the order to construct a chapel at Siena Cathedral in 1492–1504, when the saint’s right arm and hand were acquired there. Certainly the Hospitallers promoted their association through other means: the image of Saint John can be found on terracotta plaques and seals from English preceptory sites, for example; and the severed head of the Baptist resting on the salver appears on some sixteenth-century coins minted by the order.

132 Rozynkowski, *Studia nad liturgią*, 131–32.
135 Riley-Smith, *Knights Hospitaller in the Levant*, 161. Of course, the order could deny such accusations, but the story illustrates how the lack of possession of certain relics could be used to undermine the authority of the military orders. It also shows that some people, at least, found it easy to imagine a senior Hospitaller breaking his vows.
139 Timothy Smith, “Alberto Aringhieri and the Chapel of Saint John the Baptist: Patronage, Politics, and the Cult of Relics in Renaissance Siena” (PhD diss., Florida State University, 2002), 174.
Promoting the Cults of Recruits to the Military Orders

Celebrated women associated with the Hospitallers include the lay saints Saint Ubaldesca from Pisa (d. 1205/6) and Saint Toscana at Verona (d. 1343/44). Neither was a sister in a Hospitaller community or was formally canonized. In 1394 grand master Juan Fernández de Heredia gave Saint Ubaldesca’s head to the Hospitaller house at Caspe (Zaragoza). Saint Fleur (Flora) (d. 1347), on the other hand, did enter the priory of Hospitaller nuns at Beaulieu in Quercy (Cahors, France), but her spiritual heritage does not appear to have evolved sufficiently to encourage the movement of her relics, which probably remained at her convent. The cult of knights, too, was surprisingly poorly developed. The Teutonic order paraded no saints at all of their own brethren, and only a handful of Templar knights were venerated locally, among them Jacquelin de Mailly, who died in battle in May 1187. Similarly, the surviving inscription from the tomb of the Templar marshal Hugh de Quilugo at his burial place in the chapel of Saint Michael in Ascalon (Israel; now in the Ustinov collection in Oslo) suggests a developing cult; Hugh was struck by a mangonel stone, probably at the siege of Ascalon in 1153, where many Templars died. Gerland of Poland, already mentioned, was a Templar knight whose cult was suppressed after their trial and was subsequently revived and adapted by the Knights of Saint John. Documents from the trial also suggest that the head of Hugh of Payns, the founder of the Temple, was kept in a reliquary and venerated by the knights of the order; and when the last Templar grand master Jacques de Molay and Geoffroi de Charnay, master in Normandy, came to be burned in Paris in 1314, his ashes and bones were collected “as sacred relics” by friars and “carried away to holy places.”

A similarly brief list can be produced for Hospitaller brethren. The body of the founder of the Hospital, Gérard, who had died in 1120 in Jerusalem, was to be found in the Hospitaller chapel in Manosque, in Provence, in 1283 in a “very pre-

---

141 Cacho Blecua, El gran maestre Juan Fernández de Heredia, 201. Other relics of Saint Ubaldesca could be found on Malta and at the celebrated Hospitaller nunneries at Sigena (Huesca, Spain) at the end of the sixteenth century; see Luttrell, “Saint Ubaldesca di Calcinaia,” 287–91, at 288; Wifredo Rincón-García, “Iconografía de Santa Waldesca y Santa Toscana, monjas de la Orden de San Juan de Jerusalén, en el monasterio de Santa María de Sijena,” Archivo Español de Arte 82 (2009): 410–17. Relics of Saint Toscana were added to the Hospitaller collection on Malta from Padua in 1685; see Johannes A. Mol, Klaus A. Militzer, and Helen J. Nicholson, eds., The Military Orders and the Reformation: Choices, State Building, and the Weight of Tradition (Amersfoort, 2006), 290.
142 And now to be found at Saint-Julien d’Issendolus; see Paulette L’Hermite-Leclercq, “Fleur de Beaulieu (d. 1347), Saint of the Hospital of Saint John of Jerusalem,” in Luttrell and Nicholson, Hospitaller Women in the Middle Ages, 209–31.
143 Nicholson, Templars, Hospitallers and Teutonic Knights, 120.
146 Ibid., 53.
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cious silver gilt box with many precious stones,” but Gérard was never recognized as a saint, despite his reputation over the centuries.148 A number of miracles, including the changing of water into wine, were also attributed to the canonized Hospitaller brother chaplain Hugh of Genoa (d. 1230), at whose shrine exorcisms were performed.149 A later relic is the head of Hospitaller brother Melchor de Monserrat, which still lies in the former conventual church at Ambel (Zaragoza). Melchor died defending the fort of Saint Elmo on Malta in June 1565, and his head was apparently venerated for a time on its return to Spain, his body having been found “uncorrupted” after the siege ended.150 None of this came to anything, however, perhaps because papal canonization was such a costly and lengthy procedure, or perhaps due to a lack of coordination or literary expertise in promoting posthumous cults.151 Much depended upon the abilities of a saint’s biographer to promote his deeds and miracles and shape the saint’s character. It is of course feasible that the lack of earlier evidence for short-lived Eastern cults masks a stronger tradition for self-promotion than we now recognize;152 or possibly there was opposition to elevating those who had shed blood on the battlefield, like Melchor, just as there was opposition to the idea of crusading.153 Alternatively, what might now be interpreted as failure may signal a positive preference for the promotion of individuals with more universal appeal and well-understood values. Perhaps, too, there was a certain reluctance to celebrate the achievements of the individual over and above those of the orders as a whole. Either way, these failed cults and relics remind us that the evolution from recognition to veneration could be competitive and uncertain.

MILITARY ORDERS’ RELICS AS OBJECTS

Sacred oils and water were distributed to pilgrims in the Holy Land in inexpensive lead ampullae and mold-made ceramic bottles suitable for liquids. Several of them are known from excavations in Israel, and the discovery of an unfinished ampulla together with six stone molds and some raw lead in the northeast corner of Acre shows that they were manufactured in the Latin Levant.154 They also appear occasionally in the inventories of European preceptories, and a small number are known from archaeological excavations, including a fourteenth-century pew-

148 These remains were dispersed during the French Revolution. The skull is now at Saint Ursula’s Convent in Valletta, Malta; see Anthony Luttrell, “The Skull of Blessed Gerard,” in The Order’s Early Legacy in Malta, ed. John Azzopardi and Mario Buhagiar (Valletta, 1989), 45.
153 Outspoken critics of the Crusades included Englishman Ralph Niger or Isaac of l’Étoile; for discussion, see Nicholson, Templars, Hospitallers and Teutonic Knights, 38.
ter ampulla decorated with a depiction of Christ’s face, which was excavated from a latrine pit in the old town of Teutonic Elbląg (Poland) in the southern Baltic (Fig. 5). Lesser relics were generally stored in ivory caskets or boxes, and some of these were also produced for export, among them the cross-shaped containers and caskets manufactured by goldsmiths and silversmiths in Jerusalem. Revered fragments of the True Cross were encased in double-armed Byzantine crosses with jeweled silver-gilt coverings, but as yet there is no evidence for their export to the military orders’ preceptories in the West in the twelfth and thirteenth centuries. Instead, the distinctive double-armed cross style was sometimes copied to house relics of the True Cross, presumably as a visual reminder of its contents; others were kept safe in Limoges-enamedeled reliquaries, in crystal crosses, or else presented in humber ways inside small silver crosses. We can assume that these smaller fragments traveled undorned from the Holy Land and were only later adapted and encased; the lack of consistency in the manner of their presentation would certainly suggest that to be the case. Judging by the lengthy inventory of relics from Templar Peñíscola in 1311, the majority were simply enfolded in silk or purple, yellow, or red cloth and then tagged with paper or a length of linen, which identified the relevant saint and provided authentication. In Teutonic Prussia, as elsewhere, minor particles of relics were held and displayed within statues, as parts of paintings, or in monstrances and could be involved in the ceremony of Mass during the passing of the Pax (the kiss of peace). Body parts were also sometimes sheathed to resemble the limb from which the relic had come, as was the case for the right-arm fragment of Saint John the Baptist kept by the Hospitallers on Rhodes. This relic, now in the Topkapi Museum in Istanbul, is encased in Italian metalwork and stamped in honor of its workshop and patron with the Venetian lion and the Maltese cross. The reliquary not only served to keep the relic inside safe from covetous hands but also promoted it visually and aided its identification for the visitor. In this case, a small opening in the encasement allowed the viewer to inspect the bones within. The same motive lay behind the reliquaries found in the parish church of Saint John in Teutonic Malbork (Poland), where particles of female saints were contained in two mid-fourteenth-century female busts made of gilded lime wood, but there is nothing distinctive about this

---

156 Boas, Crusader Archaeology, 158. For the Barletta double-armed cross, c. 1135, see Folda, Art of the Crusaders, 167–68.
159 The Hospitallers had received the arm in 1484 from Constantinople, where it had been since 956, when it arrived from Antioch.
160 Ioli Kalavrezou, “Helping Hands for the Empire: Imperial Ceremonies and the Cult of Relics at the Byzantine Court,” in Byzantine Court Culture from 829 to 1204, ed. Henry Maguire (Washington, DC, 1997), 53–79.
practice. Indeed, there is no link at all to be detected between reliquary forms and particular orders or between forms and geographical regions. The preference for decapitated heads and dismembered arms was universal in the medieval church, perhaps because these particular body parts had a special capacity for communication.

Fig. 5. A pewter ampulla of the fourteenth century from Teutonic Elbląg (Poland), 6.2 × 4.8 cm (Archaeological Museum, Elbląg). (Photo courtesy of Robert Korsak.)

noted, however, that “body-part reliquaries” did not always contain the part of the body depicted, hence the preference by some scholars for the term “shaped reliquaries”; see Bynum and Gerson, “Body-Part Reliquaries,” 3–7. In many cases we do not know what is contained within. For a broader discussion of physical and visual contact between pilgrims and relics in medieval Poland, see Star- nawska, Świętych życie po życiu, 381–99.
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and expression, but the end product was also a portable object of appreciable proportions that could be stationed inside a church or chapel or viewed during processions outside.\footnote{Bynum and Gerson, “Body-Part Reliquaries,” 3–7.} The same function was fulfilled by composite reliquaries, among them the rock crystal miter found in the crypt of the church of Saint John the Baptist in Jerusalem and thought to be associated with the Hospitallers.\footnote{Folda, \emph{Art of the Crusaders}, 297–99.} Dating to the 1150s, it contains relics of the True Cross and of Saint John the Baptist and Saint Peter, as well as several other apostles and saints, including the Northumbrian Saint Oswald. The intact bodies of saints—\emph{reliquare insignes}—were far rarer and were often kept inside tombs or else presented to pilgrims in large, decorated reliquaries resembling sarcophagi or miniature churches. This was the case for the mid-thirteenth century reliquary of Saint Elizabeth at Marburg, the most important pilgrimage site controlled by the Teutonic order in the Holy Roman Empire. This casket-shaped reliquary resembles a Gothic church with a pitched roof (Fig. 6). Made of oak, copper, silver, and gold and inlaid with semiprecious stones, pearls, and glass, its upper frieze is stamped with scenes from the life of Saint Elizabeth, while the sides are decorated with reliefs of Christ, the apostles, and the Virgin with the infant Christ on her lap.

\footnote{Bynum and Gerson, “Body-Part Reliquaries,” 3–7.}
\footnote{Folda, \emph{Art of the Crusaders}, 297–99.}

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure6.png}
\caption{The thirteenth-century reliquary of Saint Elizabeth at Teutonic Marburg (Germany). (Photo courtesy of Allie Caulfield, CC BY 2.0.)}
\end{figure}
Large “artifacts” like this one were inevitably less portable but offered a significantly greater degree of control over their use.

Personal ostentation, however, does not seem to have been favored by the military orders, and there is little to suggest that relics were routinely displayed on the body as ornaments. Other than a small number of pendants, few dress accessories are known; there are no reliquary rings, for example, and while the wearing of pectoral crosses on a neck chain would certainly have been familiar in the Holy Land, such objects are rare in military orders contexts. One example from the late thirteenth century, evidently transferred at some point from the Holy Land to the Baltic, was recovered during excavations at the castle and pilgrimage site of Brodnica (Poland), where the Teutonic order held a fragment of the True Cross. This artifact, illustrated in Fig. 7, takes the form of a small bronze Latin cross, 9.5 cm high, in which the relics would have been placed behind the front panel. The cross is decorated with the central figure of Christ flanked by Saint Mary and Saint John the Evangelist and has two small ring attachments at top and bottom indicating that it was designed to be suspended.

At the top there are depictions of the sun, signifying Christ; the moon, representing the church reflecting Christ’s light; and another cross. A very similar reliquary cross, again of the thirteenth century and Byzantine in origin, was discovered recently in a potato field near the Teutonic castle in Sątoczno (Poland). Another Teutonic object, this time of the fifteenth century and of Adriatic origin, is a small box with a rock crystal lid and carrying ring, which contains a gold-mounted particle of the True Cross. Grander still is the fragment of the True Cross encased in a pectoral cross (Fig. 8), which was given as a personal gift to the Hospitaller grand master Juan Fernández de Heredia by Pope Clement VII in 1394 and later donated to Caspe (Zaragoza). This relic, whose dimensions are 14.8 × 22 cm, was sheathed in the fourteenth century in a fine gold frame in the shape of the cross. Later, in the sixteenth century, it was encased within an ornate cross with a slightly elongated vertical arm, probably to conform to the proportions required for processional use. At that time, one fragment was split off and given to the Hospitaller preceptory at Ambel (Zaragoza), while another was donated to Calaceite (Teruel, Spain) in 1734. In Heredia’s case, the wearing of the original pectoral cross must have contributed in a very self-conscious way to the projection of his identity and biography; such display was

---

165 Eleanor Standley, *Trinkets and Charms: The Use, Meaning and Significance of Dress Accessories 1300–1700* (Oxford, 2013), 107–8, for an English example; a German pendant with a Templar cross from the first half of the sixteenth century can also be seen in the Treasury of the Teutonic Order in Vienna.

166 In 1164, on the eve of battle, King Amaury vowed to send his pectoral cross with a relic of the True Cross to Clairvaux; see Folda, *Art of the Crusaders*, 391.

167 The cross may have belonged to a pilgrim or a guest of the Teutonic knights rather than to a member of the order; see Kazimierz Grażawski, “Enkolpion, k. XIII w.,” in Pospieszna, *Fundacje artystyczne na terenie państwa krzyżackiego w Prusach*, 1:116.


169 This object can be found in the Treasury of the Teutonic Order in Vienna.

generally reserved for monarchs and popes and did not suit the more austere character of the military orders.

The portability of relics was also put to advantage in other ways. It has been suggested that a mid-twelfth century reliquary from the Hospitaller conventual church in Jerusalem was carried with the campaigning army,¹⁷¹ and this is probably also

¹⁷¹ King, *Knights Hospitaller in the Holy Land*, 236–37. This reliquary contains eighteen relics, including a piece of the True Cross as well as the relics of Saint Peter and Saint John the Baptist.
true of small reliquary altars, such as a late fourteenth-century triptych decorated with the images of Saint Catherine and Saint George, which is known to have belonged to the Teutonic order. A diptych made for the Teutonic commander of Elbląg in 1388, which contains fifty-eight relics, has two iron rings on each of its sides that suggest its identification as a field altar, the rings having been used to carry and secure it. Some of the artifactual evidence therefore begins to indicate a set of specialized adaptations suited to a military purpose, although the number of examples currently known is too small to allow further generalization.

The Presentation of Relics

At their European preceptories, relics could generally be found in conventual chapels, and this raises the question of where and how they were displayed. Most chapels were simple structures, but others, particularly the wealthier possessions of the Templars and the Hospitaliers, had distinctive layouts, with a central rotunda, an encircling aisle, and a chancel to the east. Several plans of this kind have been recovered through excavation, among them the Templar commandery in Prague ex-

---

172 This altar, now in the Vienna Treasury, has a fifteenth-century base and later additions.
173 Wozniak, “Dyptyk reliwiarzowy,” 484–86. Alternatively it may have been attached to a chest, possibly even during battle.
cavated beneath the church of Saint Anna and the headquarters of the Hospitalers in England at Clerkenwell; others are also known from surviving architecture, such as the Temple Church in London, whose nave arguably dates to the 1150s. This particular plan form was typologically inspired by the fourth-century Anastasis rotunda of the Church of the Holy Sepulchre in Jerusalem, which has a long history of artistic representation and architectural mimesis. As is now well understood, European knowledge of this and other sites inspired a variety of iconic architectural elements in the West, including west porches that mimicked the aedicule constructed over Christ’s Tomb inside the Holy Sepulchre Church, chancels with apsidal ends, tapered chancels, crypts under the east end of the chancel—the latter perhaps another reference to the Tomb of Christ—and octagonal layouts that evoked the Templum Domini, or the Dome on the Rock (Qubbat as-Sakhra, built 691–92). This symbolism was never consistent, nor was it intended to be copied accurately; features were scaled up or down in order to convey the idea of the whole. At the same time, the design was neither exclusive to the military orders nor common to all of them; for example, the Teutonic order did not favor round churches, perhaps because their role in the Levant was less important than it was elsewhere, and they were not as closely connected with Jerusalem, where the headquarters of both the Templars and Hospitallers were once located. More generally, however, the aim was to recall a historic past, a salvation history, and to place the visitor in a multimedia representation of core elements of the sacred topography of Jerusalem. As Bianca Kühnel has intimated on the basis of a wider range of evidence, these spaces, architectural shapes, and forms were mnemonic devices “meant to smooth the way of the spectator towards identification with the scene, in order to remember or internalize it without difficulty.”

We do not know precisely how the circular spaces in these Templar churches were used. Possibly the churches operated as chapter houses as well as having a liturgical and devotional purpose; the Templar rule hints that the round nave could have


176 Sloane and Malcolm, Excavations at the Priory, 4–8.


181 Kühnel, “Virtual Pilgrimages to Real Places,” 264. A more detailed comparison of Templar and Hospitaler church plans and the Anastasis rotunda is needed, including, for example, analysis of the numbers of internal columns and piers and their symbolization.
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served as seating for the congregation attending Mass, with the chancel being reserved for chaplains. It is also possible that the space was used for reception ceremonies, and the layout would be well suited to the exhibition of relics in the center of the rotunda. This would allow pilgrims and other visitors to circulate around the outside in the aisle, thereby replicating on a smaller scale the processional routes in ambulatories around the main altar at other pilgrimage destinations, such as the Church of the Holy Sepulchre (where the aedicule of Christ’s Tomb stood in the center) and the church of the Temptum Domini. We know from the evidence given at the Templar trial that pilgrims venerated relics in the Temple church in London and that visitors prayed there; the broad ambulatories such as that encircling the octagonal arcade in the Romanesque church at Templar Tomar (Portugal) are suggestive of well-defined circulation patterns suited to mental pilgrimage. Two features worth noting more generally are the presence of two-story churches and multiple towers, which were sometimes connected to rotundas. The former, a relatively common feature of some military orders churches, is probably to be explained by the attendance of lay workers at the ground-floor level, the upper stories being reserved for the preceptor and brethren, who would then gain privileged views of the altar. The presence of multiple towers is usually explained by their function as treasuries, which might have included the housing of relics. At Templar Caravaca (Murcia, Spain) the ground floor housed the True Cross relic (see below), while the first floor functioned as a chapel in honor of the miraculous appearance of the Cross in 1231, and the second floor acted as a conjuratorio from which the relic was displayed to the faithful when bad weather threatened. On Rhodes, where the Knights Hospitaller gathered some of their most important relics, the order may have attempted something altogether more ambitious by the beginning of the sixteenth century: a topographical evocation of Jerusalem itself, which incorporated

186 For example, Templar South Witham, England; Hospitaller Ambel, Spain; and elsewhere; see Philip Mayes, Excavations at a Templar Preceptory: South Witham, Lincolnshire 1965–67, Society for Medieval Archaeology Monograph 19 (Leeds, 2002), 62–69; Gerrard, Paisaje y señorío; Gilchrist, Contemplation and Action, 90.
188 More elaborate arrangements, such as multistory rotundas with altars at different levels, are known at the Church of the Vera Cruz outside Segovia (Spain) belonging to the Order of the Holy Sepulchre; see Marqués de Lozoya, “Algunos antecedentes de la iglesia de la Vera Cruz de Segovia,” Boletín de la Sociedad Española de Excursiones 58 (1954): 5–19; Elie Lambert, “L’église de Templiers de Laon et les chapelles de plan octogonal,” Revue archéologique, 5th ser., 24 (1926): 224–33. In view of research by Bianca Kühnel and others into the sophisticated twelfth-century modes of commemorating the Holy Sepulchre and other parts of the Jerusalem complex at Pisa and Bologna, a reconsideration of these architectural components and their spatial relationships and topography is overdue.
Fig. 9 uses access analysis to evaluate the location of relics at Teutonic Malbork in the late fourteenth and early fifteenth centuries, one of a handful of sites where an almost complete architectural reconstruction of a military order’s set of buildings is feasible. This technique helps visualize the degree of permeability of various chambers, corridors, and open courtyards within the building complex by representing graphically the number of architectural spaces needed to travel from one location to another. Those spaces that require the greatest number of steps from the entrance lie deepest within the complex and are the least accessible. In Fig. 9 all the transitional spaces, guarded spaces, and areas dominated by religious art are highlighted with symbols to emphasize the inaccessibility of certain spaces, even though these spaces were readily visible from the exterior.

As we can see, at Malbork relics could be found in four or perhaps five locations, which included the private chapel of the grand master dedicated to Saint Catherine, probably in reference to the relics inside. Together with the grand master’s bedchamber, this chapel was of extremely limited access and lay deep within the complex; a total of twelve spaces had to be negotiated from the entrance to gain access. Despite this, the exterior façade of the apse of the chapel was extruded outwards into the middle courtyard of the castle so that it dominated architecturally the entrances to the palace of the grand masters and the great refectory where feasts in honor of visiting crusaders were held. The majority of relics at Malbork, however, were probably held in the main conventual church of Saint Mary, which lay still deeper inside the complex. Walking here would take the visitor through a total of fourteen transitional spaces, of which as many as nine may have had Christian iconography, and seven were guarded. The use of this church was largely reserved for the knights of the order whose dormitories lay nearby, but its exterior visibility was greatly enhanced by a huge 8 m high statue of Saint Mary, which was positioned on the apse. On the one hand, the piety of the order was thus widely announced, but, on the other, public devotion to the order’s relics was hardly encouraged on a daily basis. For that reason one of the most important relics at Malbork, a splinter of the True Cross, was installed in Saint Lawrence’s chapel in the forecastle in a more easily accessible location. In March 1358 pilgrims visiting this modest structure on several feast days were rewarded with a forty-day indulgence in a document signed by sixteen cardinals from the papal curia.

190 This scheme was replicated in 1504 at Hospitaller Fribourg (Switzerland) and on personal initiative at Romans-sur-Isère (France). See Michele Bacci, “Locative Memory and the Pilgrim’s Experience of Jerusalem in the Late Middle Ages,” in Kühnel, Noga-Banai, and Vorholt, Visual Constructs of Jerusalem, 67–75; Phina Arad, “Is Calvary Worth Restoring? The Way of the Cross in Romans-sur-Isère, France,” in Bartel and Vorholt, Between Jerusalem and Europe, 154–72.

191 For a detailed spatial analysis of Teutonic Malbork and other castles of the military orders see Mol, Hidden Complexities of the Frankish Castle, 161–69.

192 It is uncertain whether there were relics in the infirmary chapel or in the chapel of Saint Bartholomew, which probably served guests of the order.

193 Jóźwik and Trupinda, Organizacja życia na zamku krzyżackim, 462.

194 The feast days included the Feasts of the Assumption of Saint Mary, Christmas Day, the Circumcision of Jesus, Epiphany, Good Friday, Resurrection Sunday, the Ascension, the Pentecost, Corpus Christi, the Invention of the Cross, the Birth and Death of Saints John, Peter, Paul, Lawrence, and over a dozen more.
Fig. 9. Access analysis is used here to evaluate the location of relics at Teutonic Malbork (Poland), in the late fourteenth and early fifteenth century (based on a partially reconstructed plan published by C. von Steinbrecht, Schloss Marienburg in Preussen [Berlin, 1891]).
inaccessible relics safeguarded within the castle, such as those in the conventual church of Saint Mary, were extracted for ceremonial processions held once every five years on the feast day of the apostles Philip and James (1 May), participants having been granted indulgences by Pope Urban VI in 1389. Such events are well documented; one Teutonic document from 1399 records relics being carried outside the castle and presented in reliquaries, which were positioned on a high platform held by six high-ranking officials of the order.

Malbork provides examples of powerful, well-traveled relics presented with great care to their audiences. Clearly this was a well-regulated encounter that created a very different experience for members of the order from that of the lay public, an experience that varied according to the spaces they were given access to but ensured controlled and secure conditions overall. Few military orders buildings could boast such an impressive setting, but more lowly preceptories also invested in specific structures to house their relics, either wall niches inside chapels close to the high altar, as at Hospitaller Marmelar (Portugal) (Fig. 10), or at the base of towers, as at Templar and, later, Order of Santiago Caravaca (Spain). Here the relic of the Holy Cross was kept in a medieval chest reliquary, which was set into the wall of the chapel and locked shut with seven keys, one for the church door, two for the chapel door, and five to secure the reliquary itself. With grilles in position and locked wall niches, access remained highly restricted. On a casual visit it would have been difficult to view the relics at all, the visitor being forced to engage with controls over access that he or she might never overcome but which heightened appreciation when a solution was offered. In that sense, the relic niche itself is not without interest. It served to embed the relics into the structural fabric of the church and so transformed the entire space into a reliquary; on that basis, touching and seeing the relic may not always have been deemed either appropriate or essential. A second feature seen at Malbork is the careful regulation of physical engagement; public displays of relics were occasional and therefore kept special. Processions, like that shown in Fig. 11 and akin to those of the modern day, were both a means of sharing the relics with the local population and a reminder of devotion.

Details of medieval practices associated specifically with the military orders are scant, but in Caravaca at the end of the fourteenth century the relic of the Cross was certainly carried by a fourteen-year-old local child during a procession attended by “many people.” In this case the relic seems to have been placed in a box and was therefore not on open display.

Relics were also brought out at times of need, as at Acre in the thirteenth century. Another indulgence was granted between 9 November 1396 and 9 November 1397 by Pope Boniface IX.

195 Jóźwiak and Trupinda, Organizacja życia na zamku krzyżackim, 466.
196 By 1671 the wall niche had three keys: one was always with the commander, the second was held by the prior of the church, and the third was with a local villager chosen by the commander.
197 For example, in 1560 on Malta the body of Saint Euphemia was venerated in processions each year on her saint’s day; see Juan Agustín de Funes, Coronica de la ilustrissima milicia y sagrada religion de San Juan Bautista de Ierusalem (Zaragoza, 1639), 1:427.
198 The event is recorded because of a miracle attributed to the Cross that occurred during the procession. After a loud noise was heard inside the box, witnesses opened it to discover that the silver reliquary had burst. The spot at which the noise was heard was chosen as the site for the parish church; see Juan de Robles, Historia del mysterioso aparecimiento de la Santissima Cruz de Caravaca e innumerables milagros que Dios N. S. ha obrado (Madrid, 1615), 79.
century when the patriarch of Jerusalem accompanied the Templars and a cross made from Christ’s bath on a *pro pluvia* procession. Veneration of this kind could only promote the spiritual authority of the military orders in the eyes of onlookers and was typical of their use in other religious contexts, such as saints’ feast days.

---

200 Barber and Bate, *The Templars*, 116.
Fig. 11. *Prior ecclesie Rhodi: Processionaliter sacram manum deferens*, an illustration by Guillaume Caoursin in his *Obsidionis Rhodiae urbis descriptio*, fol. 53v. A rare graphic illustration of a relic being carried in procession (published in 1496). (Image from Digitale Sammlungen—Universitäts- und Landesbibliothek Darmstadt and the Bibliothèque Nationale de France, with permission.)
In gifting, exchanging, and distributing relics, the military orders were participating in a transnational late medieval religious economy with which all those in authority were familiar. As precious objects and “sacred commodities,” relics were exploited for their monetary value and, uniquely, their “freight of spiritual meaning.” The wider archaeological context for this relic economy, however, makes it clear that an extensive assemblage of other crusader memorabilia also made its way to Western Europe, including ceramics from the Damascus region, metalwork, textiles, spices, glass, and other trophies, such as topaz, finger rings, Arab horses, and even the bodies and body parts of dead crusaders. Some of these artifacts have been excavated by archaeologists at the preceptories of the military orders in Western Europe; for example, a thirteenth-century sherd of Raqqa-type ware was recovered from the excavations at South Witham (Lincolnshire), perhaps having arrived as a container for some exotic foodstuff. This item and others like it attest to an informal network of institutional and personal channels through which relics must also have moved. The port of Acre seems to have been especially important as a destination for foreign merchants and traders, crusaders, and the thousands of pilgrims who visited the Holy Land each year between 1187 and the fall of the crusader Kingdom of Jerusalem in 1291. The desire for relics therefore should be linked to a growing demand for Eastern objects, represented in the archaeological and historical record by prestige artifacts and textiles from the East.

Given their involvement in commercial trade and moneylending and their role as protectors of holy sites and pilgrimage routes in the Holy Land and in the Baltic, the military orders were better able than most to engage with the acquisition and exchange of relics. This privileged access is distinctively reflected in their relic devotions, which consistently focused on the life of Christ (with, for example, Passion relics), on female martyr saints, on their own patron saints (such as Saint John the Baptist), and on a selection of saints who had strong geographical associations with the Holy Land (for example, the martyr knight Saint George, Saint Catherine, Mary Magdalene, Saint Veronica, and Saint Peter). The list also includes saints of local standing (such as Dorothy of Montau) and those who had had appealing and relevant qualities of character, such as Saint Elizabeth of Hungary, with her


charitable dedication; Saint Liborius, who had died a “good death” in the arms of his friend Martin of Tours; and Saint Agatha, the female martyr whose Christian faith was unwavering under torture. These choices faithfully reflected the mixed military and religious identity of the orders, but occasionally we do also glimpse individual preferences, as at Tomar in Portugal, where the relics of Thomas Becket were sought out by the Templar master, probably because of his personal empathy with Becket’s political position as a champion of the church.206 These cults were, however, never entirely exclusive to the orders. Staurothekai—reliquaries containing pieces of the True Cross—were widely trafficked both before and after the Crusades in contexts far removed from the military orders, for example.207

When we review their chronology, we see that early martyrs feature strongly among the orders’ relics, particularly those of the fourth century, such as Saint Euphemia, Saint Margaret, and others. Given that relics served to link the present with the past, this preference perhaps served to authenticate their historic pedigree. Certainly, the relics of saints of the fifth to tenth centuries are rarely associated with the military orders in spite of their high numbers. The head of Saint Odile of Alsace (c. 662–c. 720) is an exception here, though this was a papal gift and an important reminder that not all relics were of the orders’ choosing. Relics of later saints among the orders’ collections include those of Thomas Becket (d. 1170), Elizabeth of Hungary (d. 1231), Gerland of Poland (d. 1244), and Dorothy of Montau (d. 1394) and are mainly associated with Eastern Europe, where the Teutonic order was so active at the time.208 Geographically speaking, a few traits are also worth highlighting: the relics of saints from France, the Iberian Peninsula, the British Isles, the Low Countries, and Scandinavia are less obvious on the list, whereas Italy and Eastern Europe are better represented. In part this pattern reflects the density of houses of the orders across Europe, although the Iberian Peninsula emerges with a surprisingly low count, given the activities and influence of the orders there. In fact, Spain and Portugal are generally poorly represented in saints in the Middle Ages, certainly in comparison to Italy. Finally, we should note that, although there were many more male saints than female saints, it was the female saints who were decidedly more popular among the military orders.209 Here, too, the loyalties of the military orders were by no means exclusive. Cistercian, Premonstratensian, and Benedictine houses all obtained and venerated the relics of Saint Ursula and the Eleven Thousand Virgins of Cologne.210

206 Duggan, “Aspects of Anglo-Portuguese Relations,” 11, asserts that the appeal of Saint Thomas was based on the fact that he was a contemporary saint “whose triumph in death appealed to knights, soldiers and crusaders.”
208 Although the chronological range of saints from whom the orders acquired relics is of interest, another project would be to chart their acquisition by date, where possible, and to compare these timelines between the different orders.
209 Given the uncertainties inherent in counting saints and considering their chronology and geography, and given the limited selection of saints’ cults preferred by the military orders, detailed statistical analysis is unlikely to be meaningful. The broad patterns are interesting nevertheless. For analysis of types of saints generally, see Bartlett, Why Can the Dead Do Such Great Things?, 137–238.
210 Montgomery, St. Ursula, 28.
to name but one example; and many of the other aspects of the religiosity of the military orders, most obviously their Marian devotion, held universal appeal for medieval Latin society.

Omissions from their list of relics are also telling. The orders seem to have been relatively indifferent to the cult of the apostles, which was more strongly associated with ecclesiastical sees and the bishops who often competed locally with the military orders for religious authority. So-called political saints were also typically ignored, together with confessor saints such as doctors, bishops, abbots, and hermits (with the exception of Saint Dorothy of Montau), Old Testament saints, and male saints with a reputation for saintly virginity, such as Edward the Confessor.211 Most notably, as we have seen, the military orders singularly failed to inspire cults around their own brethren, as other monastic orders seemed capable of doing. In a similar geographical context, the Carmelites in late fourteenth-century Famagusta were able to promote the cult of one of their own friars, Saint Peter Thomae, who was said to have performed posthumous miracles for Latin as well as Greek, Syrian, and Armenian pilgrims.212

The architectural setting and presentation of relics by the military orders has great potential for further study, and our Malbork case study shows what might be achieved at other sites in understanding the perceptions and daily experience of their visitors. Regional studies of medieval iconography, dedications, and cults of saints are currently lacking and could target known preceptories and mapped estates in holistic fashion. This might be combined with a more nuanced understanding of the use of relics in church liturgy, such as in devotional Masses for favored saints, celebrations of feast days, and days celebrating the invention and translation of relics. For the moment, it seems that many of the practices of the military orders were shared with other religious groups and that the range of presentational options was remarkably wide. While some relics were removed altogether from sight and bodily contact and concealed within a cavity, or sepulchrum, inside the altar,213 others could only be viewed by pilgrims under the tightest restrictions. By contrast, newly acquired relics could be openly exhibited, just as the finger of Saint Mary Magdalene and the image of Saint Veronica were shown off upon their arrival at Hospitalier Aix (France) in 1286.214 On other occasions especially prestigious collections were periodically laid out as a group for public viewing, as at the Hospitaller preceptory near Cologne, where a large collection of relics relating to Saint Ursula was placed on display in 1327.215 These promotions seem to have been designed to enhance the reputation of the institution at a particular moment in time; but more usually relics were presented in a controlled fashion to the parish on specific

211 These categories are derived from Bartlett, *Why Can the Dead Do Such Great Things?*, 145–221.
213 For example, at Hospitaller Ambel (Spain) where a fourteenth-century box containing a mid-sixteenth century relic collection was miraculously rediscovered in August 1682, triggering a successful pro pluvia procession the very next day; see Caballú Albiac, *La Vera Cruz*, 26.
days marked out on the Christian calendar: a fragment of the Crown of Thorns was held aloft by Templar priests on Easter Thursday in Acre;216 at Templar Mas Deu (Trouillas, France), where there was a True Cross reliquary, the relic was venerated three times a year, at the Feasts of the Holy Cross in September and May as well as on Good Friday;217 and on Hospitaller Rhodes, so pilgrim William Wey tells us in 1462, a thorn from Christ’s Crown bloomed on Good Friday when the Passion was read, changing the color of its flowers from red to green.218 These relics and reliquiaries were likely placed on the altar for such occasions—an array of fabulous objects in silver, crystal, glass, and ivory decorated with precious and symbolic gems.219 The reception of the object by the viewer, under the flickering light of candles and lamps, perhaps, reminds us of how powerful the element of performance in medieval church liturgy was, not least when reinforced by sounds, smells, and an encapsulating visual environment of iconography on altarpieces and sculpture.220 Viewers’ experience of relics fully engaged the senses, from the moment of their arrival, accompanied by music and the pealing of bells,221 to the annual celebrations and processions that underlined the devotion of all the Christian faithful. Once again, however, these experiences were in no way novel to the military orders. Even the geographical and strategic deployment of relics was not unusual in the context of other religious orders. The Cistercians also understood the benefits of locating relics in unpopulated areas, including their pilgrimage chapels on Chełmska Mountain near Koszalin in Pomerania (Poland) and in the village of Marino (Russia) near Kaliningrad, in former eastern Prussia.222 The Cypriot Franciscans, meanwhile, actively promoted their biblical associations just as the military orders had done. In the fifteenth century they identified a spot behind the altar of their thirteenth-century church in Famagusta as the location at which Saint Catherine learned to read.223 This spot was venerated by both local Greek and Latin speakers and thus encouraged common interests in a diverse population in a manner reminiscent of Templar and Hospitaller processions in Acre and Rhodes.

Relics therefore performed several important roles for the military orders. First, they were an important element in the promotion of military activities to the public. Specifically, they highlighted their geographical links with events in the East and

216 Barber, New Knighthood, 199.
217 Schenk, “The Cult of the Cross.”
221 For example, in Jerusalem in the twelfth century and Malta in the early seventeenth, when gun salutes were fired; see Folda, Art of the Crusaders, 83; Michael Galea, Grandmaster Aloph de Wignacourt 1601–1622: A Monograph (Malta, 2002), 63.
222 In both cases, the pilgrimage chapels were managed by Cistercian nuns from convents located in nearby cities, Koszalin and Kaliningrad, respectively; see Zofia Krzymuska-Fafius, “Kaplica pielgrzymkowa cystersów koszalińskich na Górze Chełmskiej i jej wyposażenie,” in Cystersi w kulturze średniowiecznej Europy, ed. Jerzy Strzelczyk (Poznań, 1992), 329–49, at 329; Jerzy Domalski, “Znaczenie klasztorów cysterskich dla średniowiecznej sztuki Pomorza Wschodniego,” in Strzelczyk, Cystersi w kulturze, 241–65, at 246.
223 Cobham, Excerpta Cypria, 35.
their knowledge of distant monuments in the *loca sacra* of the Holy Land, underlining their distinguished campaigns and publicizing their historical and pseudo-historical deeds. Here was a means through which a relatively youthful set of religious orders could claim their backstory and establish a lineage. For “armchair crusaders,” as they have been called, a selection of prestigious relics was undoubtedly useful in providing assurance that their donations were being put to good use, and they must also have been a persuasive recruitment tool, especially so during periods of military reversal. Crucially, both the medium and the message carried by their relics were controlled by the orders and, alongside ritual, spoken word, visual images, and architecture, relics were one means through which social memory could be transmitted independently of writing. Second, the very presence of relics demonstrated God’s approval of their enterprise in a tangible and visual way and celebrated the orders’ piety and fortitude, especially when relics attracted miracles or when the arrival of new relics kept them in the public eye. This was important, given that the military orders relied heavily on oral tradition in which relics would have played their part. Third, through the use of relics the orders could promote shared interests at the highest social levels within the papal and royal courts. By acquiring relics and exchanging them, the military orders were participating in an exclusive trade, access to which legitimated their difference from other later medieval institutions and helped frame their collective social position. In other words, relics are implicated in the development and sustaining of the military orders’ identity and helped to bind their communities both locally and at the international level. What sets the military orders apart from other monastic communities is that they maintained secular and military control over large lordships, for example around Atlit, Tartus, and Crac des Chevaliers in the Levant and on Rhodes and in the Baltic. Their absolute control over the relics and pilgrimage sites in these areas enforced not only the religious but also the secular authority of the knights and forged a special bond between the orders and their subjects. This became increasingly important in the fifteenth century, when Hospitallers and Teutonic knights used relics to muster the support of local populations in defense of their endangered realms. The collections of relics in Malbork and Rhodes were thus deployed for ends that were similar to those of secular rulers in Paris or London.

**Conclusion**

Later medieval society was deeply marked by communality in the organization and operation of its villages, guilds, urban communities, and, most obviously, its monastic orders. Teasing out the individuality of self- and communal expression in these groups through historical and archaeological evidence is a difficult challenge because there is rarely any single identity consistently or permanently reflected, whether that be gender identity, social identity, or religious identity. In the case of the military orders, the collecting of religious relics was central to their ideology and religiosity, both in war and peace. Arguably, the use of relics conveyed a more
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consistent sense of identity than could be expressed through the built environment of architecture, where there were more varied regional opportunities and constraints. On the one hand, relics subtly communicated and reinforced the roles of the orders because they were linked to stories, incidents, and miracles that defined their the orders’ wider purpose, enhanced their sense of belonging, and drew in the interests of the Christian faithful. Relics’ biographies could be activated and contextually revised depending upon local circumstance. To a great extent, these interests transcended geography, not least because relics specifically underlined the seriousness of the orders’ Christian mission and promoted this cause to potential recruits, benefactors, and pilgrims through liturgy and public performance in the form of relic exhibition and processions. On the other hand, should we be tempted to think that the orders’ engagement with their relics was merely material, we should remember that relics also represented a meaningful spiritual bargain through which members of the order gained support from the charismatic selection of saints they championed. From a more general perspective, the acquisition of relics confirmed the orders’ engagement with Europe’s Christian elite and sent a strong signal about their social standing. Relics were one of the means through which the orders constructed and maintained their historical authority to rule independently over lordships that were as large and populous as kingdoms, and which were not, and could not have been, theirs by inheritance.
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