Bis-Tridentate Ir(III) Metal Phosphors for Efficient Deep-Blue Organic Light-Emitting Diodes

Hsin-Hung Kuo, Yi-Ting Chen, Leon R. Devereux, Chung-Chih Wu, Mark A. Fox, Chu-Yun Kuei, Yun Chi, and Gene-Hsiang Lee

Emissive Ir(III) metal complexes possessing two tridentate chelates (bis-tridentate) are known to be more robust compared to those with three bidentate chelates (tris-bidentate). Here, the deep-blue-emitting, bis-tridentate Ir(III) metal phosphors bearing both the dicarbene pincer ancillary such as 2,6-diimidazolylidene benzene and the 6-pyrazolyl-2-phenoxypyridine chromophoric chelate are synthesized. A deep-blue organic light-emitting diode from one phosphor exhibits Commission Internationale de l'Eclairage (CIE(x,y)) coordinates of (0.15, 0.17) with maximum external quantum efficiency (max. EQE) of 20.7% and EQE = 14.6% at the practical brightness of 100 cd m\(^{-2}\).

The third-row transition-metal complexes are pivotal to the development of organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs), which can be fabricated with unitary internal quantum efficiencies, attributed to the heavy-atom-induced spin–orbital coupling that allow fast singlet–triplet intersystem crossing and efficient phosphorescence at room temperature (RT).[1] However, the main barrier to the development of organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs), which can be fabricated with unitary internal quantum efficiencies, attributed to the heavy-atom-induced spin–orbital coupling that allow fast singlet–triplet intersystem crossing and efficient phosphorescence at room temperature (RT). The third-row transition-metal complexes are pivotal to the development of OLEDs, which can be fabricated with unitary internal quantum efficiencies, attributed to the heavy-atom-induced spin–orbital coupling that allow fast singlet–triplet intersystem crossing and efficient phosphorescence at room temperature (RT).[1] However, the main barrier to the development of OLEDs, which can be fabricated with unitary internal quantum efficiencies, attributed to the heavy-atom-induced spin–orbital coupling that allow fast singlet–triplet intersystem crossing and efficient phosphorescence at room temperature (RT).
brightness of 100 cd m\(^{-2}\). However, this device has CIE\(_{xy}\) coordinates of (0.18, 0.40) which is less satisfactory than OLEDs fabricated from Flrpic.

Both pyridyl and fluorophenyl units in the chromophoric chelate in this bis-tridentate Ir(III) phosphor SB had shown significant contributions to the frontier orbitals.\(^{[14]}\) Thus, we decided to break the \(\pi\)-conjugation between the pyridyl and fluorophenyl units with the intention of widening the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO)–lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) energy gap (HLG) in our bis-tridentate Ir(III) template for a bluer emission.\(^{[16]}\) The pzpyph chelate\(^{[14]}\) was deliberately replaced with the phenoxyl-containing chelate (cf. pzpyROphFH\(_2\), \(R = \text{H, Bu}^+\)) which proved to be simple to synthesize and can indeed be manipulated with various substituents if desired (Scheme 1). Indeed, these new bis-tridentate Ir(III) phosphors have given deep-blue emissions. As a proof-of-concept, they were applied in the fabrication of deep-blue-emitting OLEDs, featuring comparable or an even better performance versus the deep-blue-emitting OLEDs based on traditional tris-bidentate Ir(III) metal phosphors.\(^{[17]}\)

The pincer dicarbene ancillary chelate (e.g., 2,6-diimidazolylidene or 2,6-dibenzimidazolylidene benzene) is retained in the molecules for the higher metal-chelate bond strength. Syntheses of blue-emitting Ir(III) metal complexes 1–5 required both tridentate prochelates, i.e., monoaonionic bis(imidazolylidene) benzene and dianionic 6-pyrazolyl-2-phenoxylpyridine (Scheme 2). The pincer dicarbene chelate precursors are PF\(_6^-\) salts of (mimf)H\(_3^+\), (mimb)H\(_3^+\), (mbif)H\(_3^+\), and (mbib)H\(_3^+\) (Scheme S1, Supporting Information) which were synthesized using literature procedures.\(^{[18]}\) The dianionic chromophoric chelate precursors (pzpyROphFH\(_2\), \(R = \text{H and Bu}^+\)) were prepared from Cu-catalyzed arylation of phenols\(^{[19]}\) followed by Claisen condensation and hydrazine cyclization (Scheme S2, Supporting Information).
be beneficial to the increased ligand field strength and reduced distortion (≈157°) and this distortion is believed to be more suitable than other Ir(III) complexes with 6-pyrazolyl-2-phenoxylpyridine chelates. The blue-emitting Ir(III) metal complexes were successfully obtained by simply heating both prochelate precursors, with IrCl3·3H2O and KOAc in refluxing propionic acid (see the Supporting Information for details). This one-pot synthetic protocol offers much better product yields compared to the original procedures.[14] In the X-ray structure of [Ir(mbib)(pzpyOphF)]425 nm, peak maxima at 370, 448 and 505 nm and emission from other Ir(III) complexes with a less structured profile (FcH/FcH−, 448 and 505 nm) are attributed to the mixed singlet and triplet metal-to-ligand charge transfer (MLCT) transitions in all five complexes. The absorption peaks occurring in the 350–430 nm region (260–350 nm) than other analogues due to the benzo substituents present in the pincer dicarbene chelate. The more intense absorption peaks in the shorter wavelength region (260–350 nm) than other analogues due to the benzo substituents present in the pincer dicarbene chelate. The fused ring conjugation effect on the emission color. The emission of 2 differs slightly from other Ir(III) complexes with a less structured profile and the peak maximum is redshifted to 478 nm. All emission spectra of these complexes are clearly blue shifted versus the emission spectrum for the Ir(III) reference SB as shown in Figure 2.

The five bis-tridentate Ir(III) metal complexes 1–5 show emission onsets at ≈425 nm, peak maxima at ≈472 nm, and two weak shoulders at ≈448 and 505 nm and emission full width at half maxima (FWHM) of ≈3330–3700 cm−1 (Figure 2 and Table 1). The very shifting of emission maxima implies that the substituents in these complexes have little effect on the emission color. The emission of 2 differs slightly from other Ir(III) complexes with a less structured profile and the peak maximum is redshifted to 478 nm. All emission spectra of these complexes are clearly blue shifted versus the emission spectrum for the Ir(III) reference SB as shown in Figure 2.

The high emission quantum yields (Φ) of all studied complexes 1–5 are found to be substantially longer than their counterparts 1 and 2 apparently due to the enhanced conjugation of the benzimidazolylidene (vs imidazolylidene) fragments. This fused ring conjugation leads to a lowered electron density at the Ir(III) metal center and, hence, reduced MLCT character at the excited state. The reduced emission lifetimes for 2 and 5 (τobsa = 4.4 and 8.7 μs) in CH2Cl2 at RT indicate greater MLCT contributions in these complexes compared to 1, 3, and 4 (τobs from 19 to 61 μs). Therefore, Ir(III) complexes 2 and 5 are considered to be the most suitable OLED emitters (for comparison, SB has an observed lifetime of 5.4 μs).

Cyclic voltammetry studies on 1–5 reveal reversible oxidation and irreversible reduction waves (Figure S2, Supporting Information) with data summarized in Table 1. Complexes 1 and 3 have more positive redox potentials compared to 2, 4, and 5, in accord with the attachment of electron-withdrawing (CF3) and electron-donating (Bu′) groups. Comparison between 2 and 5, where the complexes differ only by the presence of an additional Bu′ group at the pyridyl group of the phenoxy-containing tridentate chelate in 5, shows similar oxidation potentials but different reduction potentials by 0.16 V.

Electronic structure calculations on the five Ir(III) complexes 1–5 indicate the LUMO to be at both the pyrazolyl and pyridyl unit of 6-pyrazolyl-2-phenoxylpyridine chelate in all cases (Figure 3 and Figure S3 and Table S2 and S3 (Supporting Information)). This is in contrast with the LUMO of SB which is

| Table 1. Photophysical and electrochemical data of the studied Ir(III) phosphors 1–5. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>abs λmax (ε)a</th>
<th>PL λmaxb</th>
<th>FWHM (ε)b</th>
<th>Φc</th>
<th>τobsd (μs)</th>
<th>$E_{\text{em}}$ (ΔEpc) (eV)</th>
<th>$E_{\text{em}}$ (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>323 (14.7), 348 (10.6), 384 (2.0), 418 (0.2)</td>
<td>447 (sh), 471, 505 (sh)</td>
<td>3700</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>0.67 (0.08)</td>
<td>2.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>326 (16.1), 349 (9.1), 388 (1.9), 420 (0.4)</td>
<td>444 (sh), 478, 505 (sh)</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>82 (81)</td>
<td>4.42 (3.83)</td>
<td>0.53 (0.07)</td>
<td>2.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>323 (21.6), 345 (17.8), 387 (1.8), 404 (0.4)</td>
<td>447 (sh), 473, 506 (sh)</td>
<td>3330</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>61.2</td>
<td>0.80 (0.08)</td>
<td>2.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>326 (24.0), 345 (17.8), 387 (2.4), 419 (0.4)</td>
<td>448 (sh), 473, 506 (sh)</td>
<td>3670</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>0.62 (0.07)</td>
<td>2.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>327 (17.5), 346 (10.2), 386 (1.8), 412 (0.3)</td>
<td>448 (sh), 472, 505 (sh)</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>72/91</td>
<td>6.66/3.98</td>
<td>0.52 (0.08)</td>
<td>3.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a) UV-vis spectra, photoluminescence (PL) spectra, lifetime and quantum yields were recorded in CH2Cl2 at a concentration of 10−5 M; b) FWHM: full width at half maxima of PL emission in cm−1; c) Φ refers to ([Epa + Epc])2, where Epa and Epc are the anodic and cathodic wave, respectively, for the oxidation half-wave potential and referenced to the ferrocene redox couple (FcH/FcH− = 0 V); d) $E_{\text{em}} = E_{\text{em}} - E_{\text{em}}$ conducted in CH2Cl2 solution; e) $E_{\text{em}}$ is the cathodic wave potential for the irreversible reduction wave referenced to the ferrocene redox couple (FcH/FcH− = 0 V) in tetrahydrofuran (THF) solution; f) Photoluminescence quantum yields (PLQYs) and excited-state lifetimes when doped in thin films of the host material DPEPO.

![Figure 2. Normalized emission spectra of Ir(III) complexes 1–5 and SB recorded in degassed CH2Cl2 at RT.](https://www.advmat.de)
The HOMOs of 1–5 are of mixed metal–ligand character with both the metal dπ orbital and fluorophenoxy ring as the dominant contributor in all except 4 where the HOMO is on the phenyl group of the dicarbene pincer moiety. In turn, the HOMO−1 in 4 has mixed metal–ligand character with electron contribution similar to the HOMO of all other complexes and is only 0.03 eV lower than HOMO in energy. The HOMO and HOMO−1 are also close in energy for the tert-butyl derivatives 2 and 5.

The HOMO in SB differs from the HOMOs in 1–5 where the HOMO in SB has contributions from all units of the pzpyphF chelate (Figure 3). The computed HOMO and LUMO energies for SB are identical to those for 1. The agreement between computed HOMO energies of 1–5 and observed oxidation potentials of 1–5 from cyclic voltammetry is excellent (Table S2, Supporting Information). The trend between the LUMO energies of 1–5 fits well to the reduction wave potentials of 1–5. The observed difference of 0.16 V in the reduction potentials between 2 and 5 is mirrored by the difference of 0.16 V in the LUMO energies of 2 and 5 supporting the fact that the LUMO is mainly on the central pyridyl ring of the dianionic chelate. The electron-withdrawing CF3 group of dicarbene pincer chelate clearly lowers the frontier orbital energies while the electron-donating tert-butyl group raises the frontier orbital energies.

These characteristics indicate their high potential in fabrication of true-blue emitting OLEDs. Initially we employed 9-(3-(9H-carbazol-9-yl)phenyl)-9H-carbazole-3-carbonitrile (mCPCN)[14,21,22] as host that has a relatively large triplet gap (ET) of 2.9 eV, and with characteristics similar to those of the wide triplet gap hosts N,N-dicarbazolyl-3,5-benzene (mCP) and 4,4′-bis(3-methylcarbazol-9-yl)-2,2′biphenyl (mCBP) (Figure S4, Supporting Information).[5,22] When Ir(III) emitters were doped in mCPCN host (12 wt%), only complex 2 exhibited a PLQY value comparable to that recorded in solution, while all other complexes as different observed lowest energy absorption bands and emission maxima for these complexes usually reflect different HLGs. Our objective of widening the HLG energy using a nonconjugated chelate was therefore not supported by electronic structure calculations. These computations suggest that absorption and emission energies are not correctly predicted from calculated HLG energies but, as discussed later, are correctly predicted by time-dependent hybrid density functional theory (TD-DFT) calculations.

The relationship between the metal contribution in the MLCT excited state and emission lifetime is confirmed by molecular orbital (MO) computations here. The metal contributions in both HOMO and HOMO−1 are highest for 2 and lowest for 3 (Table S2 and Figure S2 and S3, Supporting Information) where 2 and 3 have the shortest and longest observed emission lifetimes, respectively (Table 1). TD-DFT computations on all complexes 1–5 and SB gave predicted wavelengths in excellent agreement with the observed deep-blue emissions from the class of nonconjugated complexes 1–5 and the sky-blue emitting SB (Table 2). The differences in the emission colors between these complexes are attributed to the different frontier orbital makeups 1–5 and reference SB where the oxygen atom breaks up the conjugation of chromophoric chelate in the former complexes.
Table 2. Calculated metal MO contributions and $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$, $S_0 \rightarrow T_1$, and $S_0 \rightarrow T_1$ transition wavelengths (in nm) for 1–5 and SB with observed absorption (abs) and emission (em) maxima included for comparison.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$S_0 \rightarrow S_1$</th>
<th>Oscillator strength ($f$)</th>
<th>$S_0 \rightarrow T_1$</th>
<th>$S_0 \rightarrow T_1$</th>
<th>$S_0 \rightarrow T_1$</th>
<th>$S_0 \rightarrow T_1$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.0277</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.0136</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.0243</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>470</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*From HOMO–1 and HOMO; *1*MLCT band; *1*MLCT band; *6*Highest energy emission maximum.

complexes (1, 3–5) with more blue emissions gave relatively reduced PLQYs. This is probably associated with a poor host-to-guest energy transfer due to an inefficient host/guest spectral overlap and poor triplet exciton confinement due to the reduced host/guest triplet energy gap (Figure S5, Supporting Information). We then turned to bis[2-(diphenylphosphino)phenyl] ether oxide (DPEPO) as host with an even larger triplet gap of $E_T \approx 3.35$ eV,[1] in which PLQYs of 81% and 91% and emission lifetimes of 3.83 and 3.98 μs were observed for 2 and 5, respectively (Table 1 and Figure S6 (Supporting Information)). Thus the OLEDs were best fabricated using the architecture: ITO/MoO$_3$ (1 nm)/TAPC (40 nm)/mCP (10 nm)/DPEPO doped with 2 or 5 (12 wt%, 20 nm)/3TPYMB (50 nm)/LiF (1 nm)/Al (100 nm), for which TAPC, mCP and 3TPYMB stand for 1,1-bis(di-4-tolylamino)phenylcyclohexane, N,N-dicarbazolyl-3,5-benzene and tris-[3-(3-pyridyl)mesityl]borane, respectively, and served either as the hole- or electron-transport layers (Figure 4).[23] Vacuum-deposited DPEPO thin film doped with 2 or 5 constituted the emitting layer. The HOMO/LUMO levels of $-5.33$ eV/$-2.39$ eV for 2 and $-5.32$ eV/$-2.31$ eV for 5, obtained from the electrochemical data and the onset of the absorption/emission spectra, are both within the corresponding energy levels of the DPEPO host.

**Figure 5a–d** shows representative electroluminescence (EL) characteristics of OLEDs for 2 and 5, while performance parameters of both devices are summarized in Table S4 (Supporting Information). The EL spectra are similar to their PL spectra, indicating pure EL from either 2 or 5 and presenting CIE($x,y$) coordinates of (0.15, 0.24) and (0.15, 0.17) for 2 and 5, respectively. The further blueshifted EL from 5 relative to 2 is again consistent with their PL characteristics. These devices in general exhibit a relatively low turn-on voltage of $\sim 2.5–3$ V and an operation voltage of $\sim 5.2$ V for a practical brightness of $100$ cd m$^{-2}$. The devices containing 2 and 5 show EL efficiencies of up to (19.7%, 33.5 cd A$^{-1}$, 26.3 lm W$^{-1}$) and (20.7%, 28.8 cd A$^{-1}$, 22.6 lm W$^{-1}$), respectively. The superior EQE of 5 (vs 2) is consistent with the higher PLQY of 5 in thin films, while lower current and power efficiencies of 5 are mainly associated with its deeper blue emission (vs 2). With an EQE of $>20\%$ and a CIE($x,y$) of (0.15, 0.17) from 5, to our knowledge, these results represent the best deep-blue EL from the bis-tridentate Ir(III) complexes, and they are also comparable to the best blue OLEDs using bis-tridentate Ir(III) complexes recorded at practical brightness of $100$ cd m$^{-2}$. Notably, the EL from 2 and 5 are significantly blueshifted versus the EL from SB with an identical architecture as shown in Figure 5a,b.

In summary, the deep-blue-emitting, bis-tridentate Ir(III) phosphors with emission peak maxima in the region of 470 nm were assembled using both dicarbene pincer ancillary and chromophoric 6-pyrazolyl-2-phenoxypyridine chelate with a partially interrupted π-conjugation. Hybrid-DFT and TD-DFT computations reveal that this interrupted conjugation changes the nature of the frontier orbitals with respect to the sky-blue-emitting SB with 6-pyrazolyl-2-phenoxypyridine chelate. Furthermore, a good correlation was obtained between the emission lifetime and carbene ancillaries: those with imidazolylidene and tert-butyl substituents (i.e., 2 and 5) exhibited reduced lifetimes against those with either benzimidazolylidene or CF$_3$ substituents (i.e., 1, 3, and 4), due to the varied MLCT contributions at the lowest energy excited states. Overall, OLEDs with dopants 2 and 5 gave excellent performances in all aspects, and shed light on how to develop similar deep-blue-emitting OLED phosphors for future industrial applications.

**Figure 4.** Device configuration and relative energy levels of materials used in OLEDs.
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