

## Durham Research Online

---

**Deposited in DRO:**

23 October 2017

**Version of attached file:**

Accepted Version

**Peer-review status of attached file:**

Not peer-reviewed

**Citation for published item:**

Hunton, John (2017) 'Topological invariants for tilings.', *Oberwolfach reports.*, 14 (4). pp. 2814-2817.

**Further information on publisher's website:**

<https://doi.org/10.4171/OWR/2017/46>

**Publisher's copyright statement:**

**Additional information:**

### Use policy

---

The full-text may be used and/or reproduced, and given to third parties in any format or medium, without prior permission or charge, for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes provided that:

- a full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- a [link](#) is made to the metadata record in DRO
- the full-text is not changed in any way

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

Please consult the [full DRO policy](#) for further details.

# TOPOLOGICAL INVARIANTS FOR TILINGS

JOHN HUNTON

This talk gave a brief – and personal – overview of some of the main themes in the recent and current study of aperiodic tilings by methods from topology. It was clearly not possible to cover everything, and similarly it is not possible to give a comprehensive bibliography in the space available here, even for the subjects touched upon. The interested reader should explore the topics further through the selected papers mentioned below and the further work they cite.

We restrict ourselves mainly to tilings of  $d$ -dimensional Euclidean space which are repetitive, aperiodic and of translationally finite local complexity (FLC). For such a tiling  $T \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , the key to the topological approach is the space  $\Omega = \Omega_T$ , variously known as the *tiling space*, or *continuous hull* of  $T$ , the completion of the set of translates of  $T$  under the *tiling metric*. Under the assumptions above  $\Omega$  naturally carries a minimal action of the translation group  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and in many of the most popular classes of tilings, a unique ergodic probability measure.

The structure of  $\Omega$  is fundamental to this work. Most lines of approach start from one or other of the observations that  $\Omega$  can be **(a)** described (up to shape equivalence – see later) as an inverse limit of convenient finite CW complexes (*approximants*), or **(b)** given (up to homeomorphism) the structure of a fibre bundle over a  $d$ -torus with fibre a Cantor set [24]. The space may also be described as the classifying space of the holonomy groupoid associated with  $\Omega$ .

For description **(a)**, there are a number of useful models. For primitive substitution tilings, the first constructions were those of [1, 14]. The desire to produce smaller models for the approximants led to a number of developments, including [2, 3] which implicitly involved working in the *shape category*, a notion formally explored in [8]. Recent work has explored further the use of minimal homotopy models for the approximants. For general tilings, inverse limit descriptions exist via various models [1, 3, 7], but without specific structure these are principally of theoretical use. Similarly, the Cantor bundle structure is computationally practical only in the case of a tangible description of the holonomy action of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  on the Cantor fibre; this can be given explicitly in the case of cut and project tilings [6].

Various results have been established exploring the relationships between the spaces  $\Omega_T$  and  $\Omega_S$  and the possible relationships of the underlying tilings  $T$  and  $S$ . Notable work in this thread includes [10] on deformations of tilings, and most recently [12] characterising homeomorphisms of tiling spaces.

---

*Date:* 2 October 2017. Lecture given at the Oberwolfach Workshop *Spectral Structures and Topological Methods in Mathematical Quasicrystals*, 1-7 October 2017.

*Key words and phrases.* aperiodic patterns, topological invariants, cohomology,  $K$ -theory.

Topological invariants for tilings typically study  $\Omega_T$ , with or without additional structure, through the application of methods from algebraic topology. Typical applications to date have included characterisation results, identification of geometric properties of  $T$ , issues related to questions about pure point diffraction (for example work related to the Pisot conjecture, see [16] Chapter 2 for an overview), labelling of gaps in the spectrum of the Schrödinger operator associated to  $T$  [4, 5, 13], and results on the complexity of  $T$ , [11].

What algebro-topological tools should be employed? Homotopy groups are rich but hard to compute. For tiling spaces, the relevant variant of these are the *shape groups*  $\pi_*^{\text{sh}}(-)$ . The case of  $d = 1$  was studied in [8] where the fundamental shape group  $\pi_1^{\text{sh}}(\Omega)$  was shown to collect information relevant to embedding 1 dimensional tiling spaces in surfaces: the non-abelian nature of  $\pi_1$  registered aspects not picked up by commutative invariants such as cohomology or  $K$ -theory. This is taken further in recent work of Gähler who uses the representation variety of  $\pi_1^{\text{sh}}(\Omega)$  (more readily computable than  $\pi_1^{\text{sh}}(\Omega)$  itself) in his classification of certain classes of 1 dimensional substitutions.

Cohomology is a long standing tool used for tiling spaces, but there are several variants in common use; we mention just three. Čech cohomology was the first, and perhaps most natural choice from its behaviour on inverse limits (in which it differs from singular or simplicial cohomology). The models [1, 2] for substitutions mentioned above make this is a computable and well understood invariant for such tilings, at least in low dimensions [23]. Recent work has explored more general situations, such as mixed substitutions [19, 21]. Cohomology gives some clear characterisations: for example,  $H^*(\Omega; \mathbb{Q})$  is finite rank for an FLC substitution, but infinite for a generic cut and project tiling; the first cohomology  $H^1(\Omega_T, \mathbb{R}^d)$  counts degrees of freedom for deformations of  $T$ , and so on.

Pattern Equivariant cohomology [15, 22] has proved a useful alternative approach, yielding the same algebraic invariant as the Čech theory, but in a way that elements can be realised in terms of geometric patches of  $T$ . A homological variant [25] shows that tiling spaces satisfy a Poincaré duality property analogous to that of manifolds, and has offered computational advantage, for example in the study of spaces remembering the symmetries of  $T$  [26].

The third variant can be thought of as the cohomology of the tiling groupoid, but in the case of an explicit Cantor bundle structure over a  $d$ -torus  $\mathbb{T}^d$ , this is equivalent to the group cohomology of  $\mathbb{Z}^d = \pi_1(\mathbb{T}^d)$  with coefficients the continuous  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued functions on the fibre. This too has its strengths, especially in the case of an explicit description of the bundle, such as for many of the cut and project tilings. See [16] Chapter 4 for a general introduction. Similar methods become natural to apply when studying tilings with rotations, as explored in recent work of the author with Walton.

Cohomology may be enriched with various additional structures, producing finer invariants. Included here are the Ruelle-Sullivan map of [18], the ordered cohomology of [20] and the homology core of [9]. The reader should consult those papers for statements of the advantages gained.

Aperiodic tilings are a fruitful source of examples for noncommutative geometry. Several  $C^*$ -algebras  $A_T$  have been constructed to model  $\Omega_T$  and its paraphernalia, and their  $K$ -groups reflect the space and  $\mathbb{R}^d$  action; in the case of a unique ergodic measure, there is also a trace map  $K_*(A_T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . See [17] for a discussion. Connes' Thom isomorphism

identifies  $K_*(A_T)$  with the topological  $K$ -theory  $K^*(\Omega)$ , and an Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence gives a method of calculating  $K^*(\Omega)$  from the Čech cohomology  $H^*(\Omega)$ . Through these the noncommutative invariants can frequently be computed. A key object of study here has been the image of the tracial state, which is related to Bellissard's Gap labelling [4, 5, 13].

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Anderson and I. Putnam, *Topological invariants for substitution tilings and their  $C^*$ -algebras*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems **18** (1998), 509-537.
- [2] M. Barge and B. Diamond, *Cohomology in one-dimensional substitution tiling spaces*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **136** (2008), 2183-2191.
- [3] M. Barge, B. Diamond, J. Hunton and L. Sadun, *Cohomology of substitution tiling spaces*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems **30** (2010) 1607-1627.
- [4] J. Bellissard, R. Benedetti, and J.-M. Gambaudo, *Spaces of tilings finite telescopic approximations and gap-labelling*, Communications in Mathematical Physics **261** (2006), 1-41.
- [5] M. Benameur and H. Oyono-Oyono, *Calcul du label des gaps pour les quasi-cristaux*, Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences Paris, **334** (2002), 667-670.
- [6] A. Forrest, J. Hunton and J. Kellendonk, *Topological invariants for projection method patterns*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society **758** (2002).
- [7] F. Gähler, Lectures given at workshops *Applications of Topology to Physics and Biology*, Max-Planck-Institut für Physik komplexer Systeme, Dresden, June 2002, & *Aperiodic Order, Dynamical Systems, Operator Algebras and Topology*, Victoria, BC, August 2002.
- [8] A. Clark and J. Hunton, *Tiling spaces, codimension one attractors and shape*, New York Journal of Mathematics **18** (2012) 765-796.
- [9] A. Clark and J. Hunton, *The homology core of matchbox manifolds and invariant measures*, to appear, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society.
- [10] A. Clark and L. Sadun, *When shape matters: deformations of tiling spaces*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, **26** (2006) 69-86.
- [11] A. Julien, *Complexity and cohomology for cut-and-projection tilings*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, **30** (2010) 489-523.
- [12] A. Julien and L. Sadun, *Tiling deformations, cohomology, and orbit equivalence of tiling spaces*, preprint arXiv 1506.02694.
- [13] J. Kaminker and I. Putnam, *A proof of the gap labeling conjecture*, Michigan Mathematics Journal **51** (2003), 537-546.
- [14] J. Kellendonk, *The local structure of tilings and their integer group of coinvariants*, Communications in Mathematical Physics **187** (1997), 115-157.
- [15] J. Kellendonk, *Pattern-equivariant functions & cohomology*, Journal of Physics A, **36** (2003) 5765-5772.
- [16] *Mathematics of Aperiodic Order*, ed. J. Kellendonk, D. Lenz & J. Savinien, Birkhuser 2015.
- [17] J. Kellendonk and I. Putnam, *Tilings,  $C^*$ -algebras and  $K$ -theory* in Directions in Mathematical Quasicrystals, M. Baake and R.V. Moody, editors, CRM Monograph Series, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 2000.
- [18] J. Kellendonk and I. Putnam, *The Ruelle-Sullivan map for actions of  $\mathbb{R}^d$* , Mathematische Annalen **334** (2006), 693-711.
- [19] G. Maloney and D. Rust, *Beyond primitivity for one-dimensional substitution subshifts and tiling spaces*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, published online 2016.
- [20] N. Ormes, C. Radin and L. Sadun, *A homeomorphism invariant for substitution tiling spaces*, Geometriae Dedicata **90** (2002), 153-182.
- [21] D. Rust, *An uncountable set of tiling spaces with distinct cohomology*, Topology and its Applications **205** (2016) 58-81.
- [22] L. Sadun, *Pattern-equivariant cohomology with integer coefficients*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical systems **27** (2007) 1991-1998

- [23] L. Sadun, *Topology of Tiling Spaces*, University Lecture Series, American Mathematical Society 46, (2008).
- [24] L. Sadun and R.F. Williams, *Tiling spaces are Cantor set bundles*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, **23** (2003), 307–316.
- [25] J. Walton, *Pattern-equivariant homology*, Algebraic and Geometric Topology **17** (2017) 1323-1373.
- [26] J. Walton, *Cohomology of rotational tiling spaces*, to appear Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, DURHAM UNIVERSITY, SCIENCE LABORATORIES,  
SOUTH ROAD, DURHAM, DH1 3LE, UNITED KINGDOM.

*E-mail address:* `john.hunton@durham.ac.uk`

*URL:* `http://www.dur.ac.uk/john.hunton`