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Lipid binding attenuates channel closure of the outer membrane protein OmpF
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Strong interactions between lipids and proteins occur primarily through association of charged headgroups and amino acid side chains, rendering the protonation status of both partners important. Here we use native mass spectrometry to explore lipid binding as a function of charge of the outer membrane porin F (OmpF). We find that binding of anionic phosphatidylglycerol (POPG) or zwitterionic phosphatidylcholine (POPC) to OmpF is sensitive to electrospray polarity while the effects of charge are less pronounced for other proteins in outer or mitochondrial membranes: the ferroverdinoreceptor (FpoV) or the voltage-dependent anion channel (VDAC). Only marginal charge-induced differences were observed for inner membrane proteins: the ammonia channel (AmtB) or the mechanosensitive channel. To understand these different sensitivities, we performed an extensive bioinformatics analysis of membrane protein structures and found that OmpF, and to a lesser extent FpoV and VDAC, have atypically high local densities of basic and acidic residues in their lipid headgroup-binding regions. Coarse-grained molecular dynamics simulations, in mixed lipid bilayers, further implicate changes in charge by demonstrating preferential binding of anionic POPG over zwitterionic POPC to protonated OmpF, an effect not observed to the same extent for AmtB. Moreover, electrophysiology and mass-spectrometry–based ligand-binding experiments, at low pH, show that POPG can maintain OmpF channels in open conformations for extended time periods. Since the outer membrane is composed almost entirely of anionic lipopolysaccharide, with similar headgroup properties to POPG, such anionic lipid binding could prevent closure of OmpF channels, thereby increasing access of antibiotics that use porin-mediated pathways.

Significance

Outer-membrane porins are often considered as passive conduits of small molecules across lipid bilayers. Using native mass spectrometry experiments we identify a pH-sensitive lipid-binding mechanism of outer membrane porin F, which enables increased threading of a colicin-derived peptide through open channels. Supported by molecular dynamics simulations and channel recording experiments, we posit that this mechanism attenuates channel opening in response to changes in environmental conditions, specifically pH. These findings have important consequences for mass spectrometry experiments, wherein the role of charge is often overlooked, and they also could help provide understanding of antibiotics that gain access to Gram-negative bacteria through porin-mediated pathways.
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under investigation, the general consensus that emerges is that protein–lipid complexes acquire charge that is proportional to their solvent-accessible surface area (22, 23). During ionization and collisional activation, lipids that could carry a charge in a given polarity (e.g., phosphatidylcholine in positive electrospray polarity) may serve as a charge carrier (24). Effectively, this means that, during the ion generation process, if the protein has sufficient charge, it will relieve Coulombic repulsion through expulsion of charged carriers. This, we postulate, is observed as the reduction in lipid binding in certain combinations of protein, lipid, and electrospray polarity. Since charge underlies the electrospray process, MS is particularly suited to investigating charge-mediated interactions, such as those between the lipid headgroup and the corresponding region of the protein, electrostatic interactions being strengthened in the gas phase (25, 26). Charge-based interactions can be probed by MS through changes in the electrospray polarity under which ions are generated, analogous to changes in pH experienced in solution or in vivo. For example, OmpF, along with other outer membrane proteins, can tolerate pH changes in the range of pH 2–7 (27).

To validate and compare protein lipid-binding properties of OmpF at different pHs, we included additional proteins with different structural features and membrane locations: the ferripyoverdine receptor (FpvA) from Pseudomonas aeruginosa, also an outer membrane protein, and the voltage-dependent anion channel (VDAC) from Homo sapiens located in the mitochondrial membrane. Three inner membrane proteins were selected: the Escherichia coli ammonia channel (AmtB) and the Mycobacterium tuberculosis mechanosensitive channel of large conductance (MscL) and the Magnetococcus sp. (strain MC-1) voltage-gated sodium channel (NavMS).

We compared the lipid-binding properties of all six membrane proteins under different conditions and developed a computational algorithm to define the lipid-binding headgroup regions of all membrane proteins in the Protein Data Bank. We then correlated changes in lipid-binding properties with different charged residue distributions in the critical regions identified. We validated our findings with coarse-grained molecular dynamics simulations and single-channel recording experiments. Together, this integrative approach has allowed us to define a role for specific lipid binding to OmpF at low pH: maintaining open states of an outer membrane porin for increased passage of small molecules including antibiotics.

### Results

#### Lipid Binding to OmpF Is Sensitive to Changes in the Electrospray Polarity

To investigate the sensitivity of lipid binding to OmpF to charge, we first purified the protein to remove remaining endogenous lipids. OmpF was then incubated with the anionic lipid phosphatidylglycerol (POPG) (10-fold excess over protein). Mass spectra in octyl glucoside were recorded in both positive and negative electrospray polarities (Fig. 1A and B). Additional adduct peaks on the charge state series for the OmpF trimer were assigned to binding of POPG. Surprisingly, when the electrospray polarity was switched to the negative-ion mode, using the same protein–lipid solution and nano-electrospray tip, no POPG binding was observed. Selecting next the neutral zwitterionic lipid phosphatidylcholine (POPC), we found that, for negatively charged OmpF, multiple POPC lipids (fewer than four) could be observed bound to OmpF, while no binding was observed when the electrospray polarity was switched back to positive.

To examine if this dramatic sensitivity to charge was a general phenomenon or specific to OmpF, we selected AmtB, incubating it with POPC or POPG. In this case, lipids could be observed bound both to positively or negatively charged protein with approximately equal intensity (Fig. 1C and D). Extending our investigations to MscL, a protein known to sense and respond to membrane tension (28), we found only a moderate difference in the extent of lipid binding in the spectra recorded under the two different polarities (SI Appendix, Fig. S1). Three more membrane proteins were investigated: NavMS, FpvA, and VDAC. For NavMS, a low level of POPG was detected binding to negatively charged protein, while POPC was observed bound only to negatively charged NavMS (SI Appendix, Fig. S1). Intriguingly,
FPvA showed low levels of POPG binding, but high levels of binding to POPC (>3 lipid molecules) when negatively charged (SI Appendix, Fig. S1). MsCl, on the other hand, could be seen bound to POPC in both polarities, while VDAC was observed bound only to POPC when negatively charged. Given that the same lipids were used in all experiments, and therefore subject to the same charging conditions, survival of the differing interactions must arise from the distribution of chargeable residues in the various proteins.

Plotting the extent of lipid binding as a function of the different electrospary polarities under which the six membrane proteins were investigated reveals three distinct categories (Fig. 1F): (i) a category in which lipids were observed bound to an approximately equal extent when positively or negatively charged (e.g., AmtB); (ii) a category in which lipids were observed bound in one electrospary polarity and noticeably less in the other (e.g., NavMS); and (iii) a category in which lipid binding was observed in one electrospary polarity but undetected in the other (e.g., OmpF).

In summary, AmtB is an example of a protein that showed little change in lipid binding as a function of electrospary polarity whereas OmpF showed the greatest sensitivity. Comparing the electrostatic surfaces of the two proteins, generated at artificially low and high pH to mimic the positive and negative ion electrospary polarities of the mass spectrometer, a large shift in the charged residues from acidic to basic residues was observed for OmpF whereas only a modest shift was observed for AmtB. We conclude that the dramatic changes in lipid binding arise as a function of the differing degrees of change and distribution of charges induced by protonation or deprotonation events (Fig. 1G).

**Locating Chargeable Residues Positioned for Lipid Binding.** To see how charge density in the lipid headgroup-binding region varied across a wide range of membrane proteins, we exploited a database that predicts the alignment of all of the transmembrane proteins currently available in the Protein Data Bank of Transmembrane Proteins (PDBTM) with a synthetic lipid bilayer (29). We aligned 2,063 structures according to PDBTM and subsequently studied their surface in regions expected to interact with lipid headgroups. We first simulated the predicted lipid headgroup regions of the membrane as two layers of mesh points. Any mesh point clashing with a protein atom or located in a protein internal cavity (e.g., a channel) was removed (Fig. 2A). We then calculated the solvent-accessible surface area of all protein atoms within 4 Å from each mesh. The percentage of this surface featuring amino acids capable of picking up a positive charge (defined as “basic”) or releasing one (“acidic”) was then calculated.

We first determined the total surface percentage composed of basic or acidic residues for each protein using the two surface regions corresponding to the inside and outside of the protein (Fig. 2B). We found an average total for acidic residues of 27 ± 15% and for basic residues of 39 ± 17%. This wide range of values for both residue types suggests that there is a slight preference for basic residues. Next we determined whether a different secondary structure leads to the presentation of specific lipid-interacting headgroups. We therefore extracted from our dataset subsets of α-helical (1,769 entries) and β-sheet (294) proteins. Interestingly, outer membrane proteins (which comprise almost exclusively the set of β-sheet proteins) typically have more acidic and basic residues than their inner membrane counterparts, which are typically α-helical (Fig. 2B).

We then considered differences in residue composition on either side of the membrane, that is, whether a high relative surface area of either acidic or basic residues on one side of the protein was correlated with a large difference on the opposing side. Within the dataset we found proteins where the distribution of chargeable residues was symmetric and others where it was highly asymmetric (SI Appendix, Figs. S2 and S3). This suggests that the residues interacting with the lipid headgroups are not generally paired with potential bilayer asymmetries or affected by protein topology. Interestingly, some proteins (OmpF, VDAC, and FPvA studied here) have a high contribution of chargeable residues in these surface areas. We hypothesize that these dense regions of highly charged residues lead to the observed lipid-binding sensitivity associated with changes in protonation.

**pH Sensitivity to Lipid-Binding Preferences.** Working on the hypothesis that a high local density of acidic residues in OmpF will lose negative charge to become neutral and thereby exhibit pH-sensitive lipid binding, we compared OmpF with AmtB in silico mimicking these low-pH conditions. We set up a series of coarse-grained molecular dynamics (MD) simulations of both proteins in mixed bilayers composed of equal quantities of POPC and POPG and mimicked both deprotonated and protonated states. To approximate protonation, negatively charged side-chain beads of aspartic and glutamic acid residues and negatively charged lipid phosphate groups were neutralized, and a positive charge was applied to a side-chain bead of each histidine.

Five separate 1-μs simulations were performed for both proteins in both states, and occupancy of POPG molecules as a fraction of total lipid occupancy (POPC and POPG) within 6 Å of the protein (corresponding to the first annular shell, SI Appendix, Fig. S4) was measured for each acidic residue. Both AmtB and OmpF show an increase in POPG fraction in the protonated state; however, POPG binding is substantially more pronounced in the case of OmpF (Fig. 3 and SI Appendix, Fig. S5). To examine more localized changes in patterns of binding, we examined changes in the lipid-binding preferences on a 2D grid over the upper and lower leaflets of each protein. In both cases, the net shift in lipid-binding preferences comprises both local increases and decreases in POPG fraction, as would be...
expected (Fig. 3). In the case of OmpF, shifts occurring upon protonation differ greatly between leaflets, with OmpF in the upper leaflet attracting POPG and the lower leaflet shifting more toward POPC. However, for the latter, apparent changes in bulk lipid regions are less relevant, and there remains a change in POPG in proximity to the acidic residues.

In summary, the simulations suggest that the positioning of acidic residues in the lipid headgroup-binding region of OmpF, particularly the upper leaflet, affect its lipid-binding preference depending on protonation conditions. These MD results corroborate the effects of acidic residues on lipid binding observed in our native MS experiments, suggesting that the high density of chargeable residues in OmpF affect lipid-binding preferences in the context of a membrane bilayer.

**POPG Stabilizes OmpF in an Open Conformation.** Given the results from native MS and MD simulations that suggest that POPG–OmpF interactions persist at low pH, we examined the effect of POPG on the conductance and gating of OmpF. We reconstituted OmpF trimer in a planar lipid bilayer membrane in which each monomer can be observed and lipid composition can be modulated (30, 31). We first used symmetric bilayers of DPhPC, a lipid commonly used in planar lipid bilayer measurements with the same overall neutral, zwitterionic headgroup as POPC, compared with the net negative charge of POPG. The mean unitary conductance of OmpF in a pure DPhPC bilayer was 1.3 ± 0.2 nS (n = 19) per monomer (Fig. 4A), identical to the known value (32). In the presence of 25% POPG at pH 4, the unitary conductance value increased slightly by 7% to 1.4 ± 0.1 nS (n = 15) (Fig. 4A); meanwhile, at pH 8 the conductance value of OmpF remained unchanged in the presence of POPG (SI Appendix, Fig. S6). This provided an initial suggestion that the presence of POPG in DPhPC bilayers may increase ion flow through OmpF in acidic conditions.

To investigate the pore dynamics in more detail, channel gating was examined under the action of an applied potential at 100 mV. Due to the acidic conditions at pH 4, a voltage was applied that was lower than the critical voltage for closure (∼ ±130 mV) (33). The characteristic three-step opening and closing of OmpF was monitored until all three subunits had closed—as indicated by a decrease in the current to almost zero (Fig. 4B and D). In DPhPC bilayers, the mean closure time for OmpF from the fully open state (O3) to the fully closed state (C) was measured as 54.3 s (n = 11) by fitting to an exponential distribution. In the presence of POPG (25%), the mean closure time increased to 175.8 s (n = 10). This threefold increase (P value of 0.023) suggests that POPG helps to stabilize OmpF in an open state (Fig. 4C).

By examining the closure steps in more detail, the presence of POPG was specifically found to influence two closing steps (O2 → O1 and O1 → C) (SI Appendix, Fig. S7 and Table S2). The mean closing time for these two steps also increased to threefold larger in the presence of POPG from 4.9 to 13.1 s and from 17.9 to 48 s, respectively (P values 0.015 and 0.044, respectively), and a higher probability of reopening (O2 → O3 and O1 → O2) was also observed (SI Appendix, Fig. S8). In the presence of POPG, the reopening of the single-subunit closed state of OmpF (O2 → O3) occurred for 70% of the pores analyzed (7/10), compared with only 10% (1/11 pores analyzed) in the absence of POPG. Taken together, this indicates that the presence of POPG lipid in the membrane not only helps maintain an open pore conformation but also promotes the reopening of closed pores. These quantitative analyses reveal that POPG influences the voltage-induced gating of OmpF at low pH and promotes a threefold change in the delay of closing.

**Probing the Open Pores.** Aside from its role in the passive diffusion of small molecules through the outer membrane, OmpF also forms part of a cytotoxic translocon complex, through which the nucleic colicin CoIE9 threads to initiate cell entry and ultimately death (34). The intrinsically unstructured translocation domain of CoIE9 has two OmpF-binding sites in its sequence (OBS1 and OBS2), and these sequences as peptides can be observed to bind to OmpF inside the pores within the trimer. Access to the peptide-binding sites inside the pores may be affected by the extracellular loops, and since the electrophysiology experiments clearly demonstrate a change in gating behavior in the presence of POPG, we hypothesized that the OmpF–OBS1 interaction may also be influenced by POPG binding. Using high-resolution native MS (35), we analyzed the relative binding of the OBS1 peptide to different lipid-bound forms of OmpF. Notably, we observed that POPG-bound OmpF binds OBS1 more than POPG-free OmpF (Fig. 4E and SI Appendix, Fig. S9), suggesting that POPG increases the apparent affinity of the protein for the peptide. This direct evidence supports a scenario where interactions between OmpF and POPG stabilize the open conformation of the pore. This suggests that in vivo OmpF function can be fine-tuned by lipid interactions.
The influence of the negatively charged lipid, POPG, on OmpF porin gating at low pH. (A) OmpF channel conductance values (all three pores open) in 1 M KCl at pH 4.0 at +100 mV were obtained in DPhPC planar bilayers (blue) and in DPhPC/POPG (3:1 ratio) bilayers (purple) with 19 and 15 independent OmpF porins, respectively. The mean conductance value of the fully open OmpF channel was 1.3 ± 0.2 nS (n = 19) per monomer in a DPhPC bilayer and 1.4 ± 0.1 nS (n = 15) in a DPhPC/POPG bilayer. (B) Representative current versus time traces for a single OmpF porin in a DPhPC bilayer (purple) and in a DPhPC/POPG (3:1) bilayer (blue). A trans potential of +100 mV was applied until all of the pores had closed. (C) Box and whisker plot of closure times. The top and bottom lines of a box enclose values in the range encompassing 25–75% of the values. The mean closure times are shown as black lines within the boxes and are significantly different as determined by Mann–Whitney U test (P value = 0.021). (D) Schematic showing stepwise OmpF gating. The resulting states of OmpF are O3 (three pores open), O2 (two pores open), O1 (one pore open), and C (all closed). (E) High-resolution native MS of OmpF in the presence of OBS1 (10 μM) and POPG (100 μM) (Left). A range of bound forms are observed in the spectrum of single- and double-peptide and lipid-binding combinations. (Inset) Expansion of charge state 19+. Bar chart of relative peak intensities indicates that a peptide cobound with POPG is observed to a greater extent than bound alone. The mean relative binding intensities are significantly different in the different lipid-bound forms (P values of 0.0008 and 0.027).

Discussion

Building upon previous investigations (6, 7), we have shown that the headgroup interactions of lipids can play a role in the direct interaction of lipids with membrane proteins. As a corollary, the membrane protein surfaces that interact with lipid headgroups can regulate the selectivity of lipid binding. Interestingly, we found that different classes of membrane proteins have different distributions of acidic and basic residues in these binding areas. Outer membrane proteins tend to possess lipid headgroup-binding surfaces composed of a higher concentration of both acidic and basic residues, suggesting that there may be more pronounced differences in lipid-binding behavior. In line with this, we found that membrane proteins with a large proportion of acidic residues in its lipid headgroup-binding region, can subtly change lipid-binding preferences. This means that this protein could respond to pH changes by recruiting a different cohort of annexin lipids, which in turn could affect their stability or function. Considering the composition of the lipids in the outer leaflet of the outer membrane of E. coli, a high proportion of LPS is anticipated, with recent evidence also suggesting the presence of other anionic lipids (45, 46). Given that the headgroup chemistry of LPS is analogous to POPG under neutral, positive, and negative ion modes (SI Appendix, Fig. S3), the charge effects of POPG binding are likely to be analogous to those of LPS binding. Using the effects of POPG as a mimetic for LPS, we considered binding at low pH and POPG binding in molecular dynamics simulations.
further explored the effects of lipid binding on channel closing by binding of the translocon-derived peptide OBS1. Results showed that the extent of peptide threading through the open channels can be affected by the binding of the lipid POPG under low-pH conditions. The stability and interactions of OmpF thus appear to be influenced by lipid binding. Since both POPG and LPS are without formal charge at low pH, we propose that both lipids would enable channels to remain open for extended time periods, enabling increased peptide threading.

Taken together, these MS, computational, and conductivity measurements have therefore uncovered how lipids may regulate OmpF through the ability of the techniques to highlight and explore the allosteric effects of lipid binding. The ability of OmpF closure to be modulated by lipid binding is likely an important feature of outer membrane permeability (47). The finding that lipids can regulate closure of OmpF is important, therefore, since such regulation may well increase penetration of antibiotics using porin-mediated pathways.

**Methods**

Extended experimental and method details can be found in SI Appendix. Membrane protein structures were sourced from the PDBTM and used to calculate the surface area contributions of chargeable residues in the lipid headgroup-binding region. For native MS analysis, membrane proteins were overexpressed in bacterial cells (17) and purified. Detergent was exchanged to 200 mM ammonium acetate, pH 7.4, supplemented with 2 mM NaCl at pH 4. Lipid interactions with OmpF and AmtB were simulated using coarse-grained molecular dynamics in membranes composed of POPC and POPG in 20 mM sodium acetate and 1 M KCl. Lipid lipid interactions with OmpF and AmtB were simulated using coarse-grained molecular dynamics in membranes composed of POPC and POPG in protonated and deprotonated states with the MemProtMD pipeline.
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