

## Durham Research Online

---

### Deposited in DRO:

02 July 2018

### Version of attached file:

Accepted Version

### Peer-review status of attached file:

Peer-reviewed

### Citation for published item:

Redfern, Rebecca and DeWitte, Sharon and Montgomery, Janet and Gowland, Rebecca (2018) 'A novel investigation into migrant and local health-statuses in the past : a case study from Roman Britain.', *Bioarchaeology international.*, 2 (1). pp. 20-43.

### Further information on publisher's website:

<https://doi.org/10.5744/bi.2018.1014>

### Publisher's copyright statement:

### Additional information:

## Use policy

---

The full-text may be used and/or reproduced, and given to third parties in any format or medium, without prior permission or charge, for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes provided that:

- a full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- a [link](#) is made to the metadata record in DRO
- the full-text is not changed in any way

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

Please consult the [full DRO policy](#) for further details.

---



**A novel investigation into migrant and local health-statuses  
in the past: a case study from Roman Britain**

Journal:	<i>Bioarchaeology International</i>
Manuscript ID	bai-2017-0016.R2
Manuscript Type:	Research Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Redfern, Rebecca; Museum of London, Centre for Human Bioarchaeology DeWitte, Sharon; University of South Carolina, Anthropology Montgomery, Janet; Durham University, Archaeology Gowland, Rebecca; Durham University, Archaeology
Keywords:	Migration, Stable isotope, Disease

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

Only

1  
2  
3 **Title:** A novel investigation into migrant and local health-statuses in the past: a case study  
4  
5 from Roman Britain  
6

7  
8 **Key words:** mobility, cultural change, disease  
9

10  
11 **Authors:** Rebecca Redfern<sup>1\*</sup>, Sharon DeWitte<sup>2</sup>, Janet Montgomery<sup>3</sup>, Rebecca Gowland<sup>3</sup>  
12

13  
14 <sup>1</sup> Centre for Human Bioarchaeology, Museum of London, London, United Kingdom  
15

16  
17 <sup>2</sup> Department of Anthropology, University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina,  
18  
19 United States of America  
20

21  
22 <sup>3</sup> Department of Archaeology, Durham University, Durham, United Kingdom  
23

24  
25 \* Corresponding author  
26

27  
28 E-mail: rredfern@museumoflondon.org.uk (RR)  
29

30  
31 Tel: (+44) 20 7814 5649  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## Abstract

Migration continues to be a central theme in archaeology, and bioarchaeology has made significant contributions towards understanding the disease and demographic consequences of migration in different periods and places. These studies have been enhanced by stable isotope studies of mobility and diet, which have revealed further complexities.

This study integrates osteological, palaeopathological and stable isotope evidence to investigate the interrelationship between migrant and local population disease frequencies in Roman Britain. Previous analyses have identified migrants from across the Roman Empire, along with increases in the prevalence rates of infectious and metabolic diseases, poor dental health and non-specific indicators of stress. This study aims to explore the extent to which migrants and people born in Britain differed in terms of mortality risk and the frequencies of disease variables. Osteological and dental data from 151 individuals excavated from 24 Romano-British cemetery sites with mobility isotope data were statistically analysed. The results reveal significant differences between migrant and local populations for periosteal new bone formation, rib lesions, residual rickets, and dental health variables. When data were pooled for both sexes, a statistically significant difference in mortality between the two groups was also observed.

Overall, the results of this study suggest that migrants transformed patterns of disease in the Romano-British period, and combined with the changes to settlement patterns and environment, created new disease risks for both groups. The results also show that many of the key bioarchaeological indicators of change following the Roman conquest may actually reveal more about disease and health experienced in the wider Empire.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 Migration remains a prominent theme in archaeology, and human skeletal remains have  
7  
8 proven fundamental to such studies (Baker and Tsuda 2015:3; Burmeister 2000; Hakenbeck  
9  
10 2008; Murphy and Klaus 2017). Clinical and epidemiological studies have shown that the  
11  
12 interaction between migrant and local (also known as host) populations can result in profound  
13  
14 and sometimes devastating impacts in terms of disease frequency (Ahonen et al. 2007;  
15  
16 Bhopal 2014; Mascie-Taylor and Krzyżanowska 2017). Recent advances in biomolecular  
17  
18 techniques have revolutionised our understanding of migration in the past (Baker and Tsuda  
19  
20 2015: 4-5; van Dommelen 2014) (e.g. Stantis et al. 2015). Stable isotope data from teeth have  
21  
22 provided important information concerning childhood origin, as well as dietary staples, which  
23  
24 may point to an ‘exotic’ provenance (Eckardt 2010). This study integrates the osteological,  
25  
26 palaeopathological and stable isotope evidence to examine the differences in disease and  
27  
28 mortality risk between incomers and the local population during the Roman occupation of  
29  
30 Britain in AD 43-410.  
31  
32  
33

34  
35 The Roman conquest of Britain resulted in a distinct and transformative shift in the  
36  
37 archaeological record, including new settlement patterns, material culture and funerary rites  
38  
39 (Mattingly 2006). Migration in Roman military and urban populations has been explored  
40  
41 extensively using mobility isotope studies by a number of authors (e.g., Eckardt 2010;  
42  
43 Eckardt et al. 2014), resulting in a substantial published dataset (see also, Martiniano et al.  
44  
45 2016). Bioarchaeological studies have observed disease differences between pre-Roman (late  
46  
47 Iron Age) and Roman populations, and also between urban and rural communities (Bonsall  
48  
49 2013; Redfern and DeWitte 2011a; Redfern et al. 2015; Rohnbogner 2015). Regional and  
50  
51 national datasets have established that mortality risk increases for males and subadults, with  
52  
53 increases in the frequency of infectious and metabolic diseases, dental disease and indicators  
54  
55 of stress post-Conquest (Redfern and DeWitte 2011b; Redfern et al. 2012).  
56  
57  
58

1  
2  
3 Using Roman Britain as a case-study, our research builds on these studies by  
4 investigating the extent to which these patterns of disease (see Temple and Goodman 2014)  
5 and mortality risks were created and shaped by people who had travelled within the region or  
6 country where they died, and those who came to Britain from abroad. It also examines  
7 whether the clinical trend for differences in health patterns, particularly disease frequencies  
8 and mortality rates between migrant and non-migrant (or host) populations can be established  
9 in an archaeologically derived sample of human remains.  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

## 22 **Materials and Methods**

### 23 **Osteological data**

24  
25 The sample comprised a total of 24 cemetery sites from Dorset, Hampshire, Yorkshire, and  
26 the Greater London area (Figure 1) for which isotope values had been published, and a  
27 human bone report or archived data were accessible (Tables 1 and 2); the dataset used in the  
28 study is published as a Supplementary Table 1). These sites were predominantly urban and/or  
29 military in nature, as many sites, such as York and Gloucester were urban settlements  
30 established by the military, who also had a permanent base there (see Wachter 2016). The  
31 archaeological and stable isotope evidence revealed that these settlements had been inhabited  
32 by both migrants and people whose childhoods were spent in Britain. Ancestry evidence for  
33 the Roman period, gleaned from ancient DNA and forensic methods, suggests that, in some  
34 instances, British-born people had non-White European heritage (Leach et al. 2009; Redfern  
35 et al. 2016, 2017). Only a portion of each of the cemetery sites had been sampled for stable  
36 isotope ratios (e.g. Chenery et al. 2011).  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Demographic analyses of these cemeteries revealed a male bias, which is often the  
4 case in Roman cemeteries, particularly those with a military connection (Pearce 2011), and  
5 this has influenced the sex ratio of the overall sample (Table 3). A total of 151 individuals  
6 were included, ranging in age from infancy to older adult. Eighty-one were adult male ( $\geq 15$   
7 years old), 47 adult female, 3 adults of unknown sex, and 20 subadults aged between 10  
8 months and 15 years old (Table 3, see also Supplementary Table 1). The decision to lower  
9 the subadult/adult threshold to 15 years old was undertaken because in a Roman life course  
10 perspective, this was the age when girls and some boys were recognised as being socially  
11 adult (Harlow and Laurence 2002: 54-78).  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

23 Using previously published isotope data, an inventory of context numbers was created  
24 and cross-referenced to the human bone reports. The majority of skeletons had been analysed  
25 after the introduction of recording standards (Brickley and McKinley 2004; Buikstra and  
26 Ubelaker 1994) meaning that, for the most part, the same methods to determine sex, estimate  
27 age-at-death and identify diseases had been used. However, we recognise that inter-observer  
28 error in observations and differences in recording methods presents an inescapable bias (see,  
29 Roberts and Cox 2003: 26-30). For the older reports, individuals were included only when the  
30 methods used to record the human remains were described and considered to be adequate.  
31 Additionally, the osteological recording had to be sufficiently detailed to establish the  
32 presence/absence of bones in order to accurately calculate prevalence rates. Bone  
33 completeness and preservation varied greatly between cemetery sites due to a variety of  
34 taphonomic factors, including differences in soil types across Britain (UK Soil Observatory  
35 2016).  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

51 A re-evaluation of diagnoses of pathological lesions was undertaken for each  
52 individual based on the descriptions of the bone and dental lesions present in the reports. This  
53 was deemed necessary because of recent developments in the diagnostic criteria relating to a  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 range of metabolic and infectious diseases. The selected conditions (Table 4) were only  
4 recorded if the requisite bones or teeth necessary for a diagnosis were present, and were  
5 scored as present (1) or absent (0). If the requisite bones or teeth were not present or  
6 unobservable due to taphonomic damage this was scored as a (9); for example, rickets and  
7 osteomalacia were scored as (9) if long bones were absent due to post-mortem taphonomic  
8 damage or truncation, as these bones are critical to making a diagnosis (Brickley and Ives  
9 2008: 97-100, 109-111) (See Supplementary Table 1). Each individual had been previously  
10 assessed for age and sex, and for age-at-death a mid-point value was produced from the age  
11 range provided in order to facilitate statistical analyses (See Supplementary Table 1); for  
12 those individuals with open-ended age estimates of >45 or >60, the values 50.5 and 60,  
13 respectively, were used.  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

### 30 **Stable and radiogenic isotope evidence for mobility**

31  
32  
33 The study's dataset had been published from 1998 onwards, with lead, oxygen and strontium  
34 isotopes from dental enamel used to explore mobility (Richards and Montgomery 2012).  
35 These isotope systems represent different environmental parameters to which an individual  
36 was exposed, and specifically in the Roman period, anthropogenic pollutants (lead), thus  
37 providing information about childhood residential origins (Evans et al. 2012; Montgomery  
38 2002). By comparing data from an individual with the values prevailing in the burial  
39 location, it is possible to reliably assert that the person had migrated to that locale before  
40 death (Montgomery 2010).  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

51 As the field of stable isotope analysis has witnessed rapid development since the  
52 1990s, it was imperative that the published values were scrutinised and re-evaluated to  
53 establish a person's origin. The isotope values were interrogated by the third author who then  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 assigned the individual to one of three groups: regional local (values consistent with the  
4 region where the person was buried), British (excludes regional locals, values consistent with  
5 the British Isles), and non-British (values not consistent with the British Isles) (Table 2; see  
6 also Supplementary Table 1). Individuals were assigned local status if both their strontium  
7 and oxygen isotope ratios were consistent with origins in the biosphere overlying the geology  
8 of the cemetery according to the strontium isotope tables in Evans et al. (2012) *and* with the  
9 2sd oxygen isotope range of humans from either western (Winchester, Gloucester and  
10 Poundbury Camp) or eastern (London and Yorkshire) England. If one of these isotope ratios  
11 precluded local origins but did not preclude origins in Britain these individuals were deemed  
12 to be consistent with origins in Britain. If *either* the strontium or oxygen isotope ratio fell  
13 outside the ranges for Britain, *or* the individual had previously been convincingly identified  
14 as of non-British origin based on published isotope evidence for exposure to non-British lead  
15 (Montgomery et al. 2010; Shaw et al. 2016), or carbon isotope evidence for the consumption  
16 of a C<sub>4</sub> diet (Müldner et al. 2011; Eckardt et al. 2015), the individual was deemed to be of  
17 non-British origin. This method may return false positives, i.e. some individuals may be  
18 assigned local status when they were not, but should not produce false negatives, i.e. it was  
19 highly unlikely that individuals were incorrectly assigned non-local status. For some  
20 individuals (see Table 2), their borderline/undiagnostic values meant that it was not  
21 absolutely clear-cut which group individuals belonged to, and these were identified as  
22 'possible' locals (regional or British) or 'possible' non-British.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

### 50 **Statistical analyses**

51  
52 Differences between the three groups (regional local, British, and non-British) with respect to  
53 the frequencies of a range of disease variables (cribra orbitalia, porotic hyperostosis,  
54  
55  
56  
57

1  
2  
3 periosteal new bone formation, rib lesions, enamel hypoplastic defects, periodontal disease,  
4 dental caries, and calculus) were assessed using Chi-square analyses. The second author ran  
5 the statistical analyses excluding the ‘possible’ isotope groupings for cribra orbitalia, porotic  
6 hyperostosis, periosteal new bone formation and rib lesions. These results were found to be  
7 similar to those obtained by including ‘possible’ individuals. Therefore, these individuals  
8 were included where appropriate in the three groupings for all statistical analyses (e.g. all  
9 those scored as “possibly not British” were included in the “not British” group). Residual  
10 rickets and tuberculosis were both rarely observed pathologies; thus, we used Fisher's exact  
11 tests to assess differences in the presence of these diseases. Chi-square tests were also used to  
12 assess differences in the sex distributions between the three groups. These statistical tests are  
13 appropriate given that we are assessing the associations among and between categorical  
14 variables (most of which are binary, i.e. the sex and disease variables).

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Differences in survival among the three groups were assessed using Kaplan-Meier survival analysis with a log rank test and using pooled data on age from all three groups. Analysis was performed using SPSS version 23. Kaplan-Meier analyses were performed separately for samples including: individuals of all ages; adults only ( $\geq 15$  years old); female adults; and male adults.

The differences in risks of mortality between all paired combinations of groups (regional local vs. British; regional local vs. non-British; British vs. non-British) were assessed by pooling the adult ( $\geq 15$  years old) data to estimate the Gompertz hazard of adult mortality and by modeling group as a covariate affecting the baseline Gompertz hazard. The Gompertz hazard is a two-parameter, parsimonious model of adult mortality:  $h(a) = \alpha e^{\beta a}$ . For each paired combination of groups, all individuals in one group were assigned a covariate score of 0, and all members of the other group were assigned a score of 1, and the group

1  
2  
3 covariate was modeled using a proportional hazards specification. Table 6 specifies which  
4 group, from each pair, was assigned the covariate value of 1 for analysis. For example, to  
5 evaluate differences in mortality between regional locals and non-British people, the regional  
6 locals were coded as “0” and the non-British individuals were coded as “1”; in this case, a  
7 positive estimate for the parameter representing the effect of the covariate would suggest  
8 non-British people were at an increased risk of death compared to regional locals, while a  
9 negative estimate would suggest that non-British people were at a decreased risk of death.  
10 Hazards models such as this can be applied to relatively small samples, as they smooth  
11 random variation in mortality data (Gage 1988). Parameters were estimated using maximum  
12 likelihood analysis with the program *mle* (Holman 2005). The fit of the full model with the  
13 regional group covariate compared to a reduced model in which the value of the parameter  
14 representing the regional covariate is set equal to 0 was assessed using a likelihood ratio test  
15 (LRT). The LRT tests the null hypothesis that the regional group was not associated with  
16 elevated nor decreased risks of death. The LRT was computed as follows:  $LRT = -$   
17  $2[\ln(L_{reduced}) - \ln(L_{full})]$ , where LRT approximates a  $\chi^2$  distribution with  $df=1$ . Similarly to the  
18 survival analyses described above, the hazards model was applied separately to samples  
19 including: all adults; adult females, and adult males.

20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

Though we are wary of relying too heavily on *p*-values to evaluate our findings, given the problems associated with conventional null hypothesis significance testing (see for example, Lang et al. 1998; Rothman 1998; Goodman 1999; Cohen 2011; Trafimow and Marks 2015) and our relatively small sample sizes, we do consider *p*-values equal to or less than 0.05 to suggest a significant difference and values of 0.1 or less to indicate a trend worthy of discussion and potential future study.

## Results

The results of the survival and hazards analyses are provided in Tables 5 and 6. Kaplan-Meier survival analyses (Table 5) using a sample that includes subadults (<15 years old) does not reveal significant differences among the three groups. However, using a sample that includes just the adults (males, females, and adults of "indeterminate" sex  $\geq 15$  years old), reveals a difference in survivorship between those from Britain and people who had migrated to it ( $P=0.088$ ). The estimated mean survival age is lowest for the non-British people, though there is considerable overlap in the 95% confidence intervals for all groups. When adult males and females are assessed separately, the results are not statistically significant. Mean survival age for males is similar across all three groups. For females, regional locals had the highest mean survival age, and non-Britons had the lowest; however, the 95% confidence intervals for all groups overlap considerably.

For the comparison of regional local vs. non-British using a pooled sex sample (regional local = 0, non-British = 1), the positive value of the group covariate indicates higher risks of mortality for non-British people compared to the regional locals ( $P=0.03$ ). A similar pattern was observed when analyses were restricted to females ( $P=0.06$ ), but no differences in mortality among the groups were found for males (Table 6). No significant differences in any of the samples were observed between regional locals and British, or between British and non-British people for disease variables and indicators of stress. Overall, these results suggest that, at least among females, non-British people fared relatively poorly with respect to risk of mortality compared to regional locals (Table 6). We note that the small sample sizes might be influencing these analyses to an unknown degree.

Statistically significant differences in disease variables among the regional locals, British, or non-British people were observed for periosteal new bone formation, rib lesions,

1  
2  
3 and residual rickets (frequencies are shown in Tables 7 and 8, and the results of statistical  
4 analyses are shown in Table 9). For each of these variables, regional locals have the lowest  
5 frequencies of palaeopathology. For residual rickets, regional and British individuals have  
6 similarly low frequencies. However, for periosteal new bone formation and rib lesions, the  
7 British are similar to non-Britons in having relatively high frequencies compared to regional  
8 locals. No significant differences in tuberculosis frequencies are observed, though we note  
9 that very few people in general had signs of this disease in their skeleton (Table 7 and 8).  
10  
11 However, it is interesting to note that non-British people were more likely to show evidence  
12 for tuberculosis (Table 8).  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

23 Substantial differences among the regional groups are also observed for dental health  
24 pathologies (periodontal disease, carious lesions, and dental calculus) (Table 10). In general,  
25 Britons had the highest frequencies of all dental health pathologies. Periodontal disease  
26 frequencies were lowest among non-Britons, but for both carious lesions and dental calculus,  
27 regional locals and non-Britons had similar relatively low frequencies (compared to British  
28 locals).  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36

37 As expected, the sex distributions varied across the three groups (Tables 3 and 9,  $P=$   
38  $0.075$ ). The non-British sample contains the highest proportion of females and the British  
39 sample has the lowest. As shown in Table 9, the difference in sex distribution between the  
40 British and non-British samples is statistically significant ( $P = 0.03$ ).  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

## 49 Discussion

50  
51  
52 The most important finding of this analysis is that the disparity between late Iron Age  
53 and Romano-British patterns of disease, particularly for periosteal new bone formation, rib  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 lesions and residual rickets appears to be primarily driven by migrants from elsewhere in the  
4  
5 Empire. This explanation has been one of several alternatives posited within the literature  
6  
7 (amongst others, Redfern and DeWitte 2011a; Roberts and Cox 2003), and our research has  
8  
9 demonstrated that this hypothesis should come to the fore in future interpretations. This result  
10  
11 has implications for how living environments (e.g. urban centres) and the consequences of  
12  
13 Roman colonisation are interpreted and understood (Gowland and Redfern 2010), and  
14  
15 prompts us to re-evaluate the conclusion that Roman colonisation saw a general increase in  
16  
17 disease. The result is not a cause-and-effect outcome of conquest and colonisation; instead,  
18  
19 these data reflect greater variation in disease states in correlation with the increasingly  
20  
21 diverse population composition. This is not to say that the urban centres did not negatively  
22  
23 impact their inhabitants, but rather that these centres altered disease patterns bi-directionally  
24  
25 between different communities. The results indicate a mixture of time spent in Britain and  
26  
27 elsewhere in the Empire, with the negative long-term consequences of people's childhood  
28  
29 environments being 'seen' in Britain, because this is where these migrants died.  
30  
31  
32  
33

34 Each new community and settlement created by migrants from within and outside of  
35  
36 Britain, as well as local indigenous people, would have created heterogeneous disease  
37  
38 environments, because each person would have had a unique migration experience and  
39  
40 individual history of disease. The ability for an individual to create changes at the population  
41  
42 level is frequently seen in migrant health studies; for example, it only takes one person  
43  
44 carrying an infectious disease for many thousands to become infected (Ahonen et al. 2007;  
45  
46 Mascie-Taylor and Krzyżanowska 2017; Odone et al. 2015). Such a situation may explain  
47  
48 why patterns of disease in Roman Britain have been found to substantially differ between  
49  
50 settlement types, but also between those supposedly of the 'same' type, such as urban  
51  
52 settlements (Bonsall 2013; Rohnbogner and Lewis 2016; Redfern et al. 2015).  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Differences in disease frequencies between people whose childhoods were spent in  
4 Britain versus those who grew up elsewhere may be evidenced by variations in the frequency  
5 of non-specific indicators of stress (e.g., enamel hypoplastic defects ) (Goodman and Martin  
6 2002). Our finding that non-British migrants had the highest rates of enamel hypoplastic  
7 defects (though not statistically significant in our analyses), is notable as this is one of the  
8 most frequently observed differences between pre- and post-Conquest populations (e.g. Peck  
9 2009; Redfern 2007; Roberts and Cox 2003). It is problematic to interpret this disease  
10 variable as evidence of the detrimental impact of new settlement types and increased  
11 childhood adversity in Britain (Redfern 2007; Redfern and DeWitte 2011a; Redfern et al.  
12 2012, 2015; Redfern and Roberts 2005; Rohnbogner 2015). Instead, as Gowland and  
13 Redfern (2010; Redfern and Gowland 2011) proposed, enamel hypoplastic defects record  
14 childhood insults to health experienced elsewhere in the Empire, compounded by different  
15 living environments and childcare practices, the negative impacts of which are seen in the  
16 high frequencies of enamel hypoplastic defects reported from other locales in the Empire,  
17 especially Italy (amongst others, Caldarini et al. 2006; Henneberg and Henneberg 2005;  
18 Paine et al. 2009; Gowland and Garnsey 2010). Crucially, these findings underline the point  
19 that the Roman Empire encompassed great diversity in terms of economic development,  
20 compounded by stark environmental and sociocultural differences between the Mediterranean  
21 heartland, which had large established urban settlements for many hundreds of years  
22 compared to the peripheral territories (Garnsey and Saller 1987; Laurence and Berry 1998;  
23 Mattingly 2010; Nevett and Perkins 2000; Woolf 1998).

24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49 The higher frequency of periosteal new bone formation in migrants compared to  
50 regional local and British individuals may reflect a response to being confronted with new  
51 environmental conditions. The transformation of Britain's wider and local living  
52 environments, food-ways and population under Roman rule may also explain why a high  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 frequency of periosteal new bone formation was observed in British-locals. For example, the  
4 increase in rib lesions hints at a higher prevalence of specific infectious disease, exposure to  
5 pollution through work, and greater indoor pollution, probably exacerbated by a decreased  
6 local air quality due to people living in larger communities than ever before (Roberts et al.  
7 1994, 1998; Roberts and Lewis 2002).  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12

13  
14 Each disease variable studied provides its own perspective on migrant health and the  
15 impact on the indigenous population through the creation of these new communities and  
16 living environments. One of the key changes post-conquest is the decline in dental health for  
17 both sexes and all age-groups (Redfern 2007; Roberts and Cox 2003). The marked increase  
18 in carious lesions and dental calculus follows a post-Conquest shift in dietary patterns from  
19 the over-whelming terrestrial and low sugar food-ways of the late Iron Age communities,  
20 which display little intra- or inter-community variation, to the post-Conquest diet with  
21 increased levels of marine resources, more sugar (e.g. dried fruits, with honey frequently used  
22 as a sweetener) and greater variation between age, sex and status groups, as well as between  
23 settlement types (e.g. rural-urban diets Cool 2006) (Bonsall 2014; King 1999a, 1999b, 2001;  
24 Locker 2007; Peck 2009; Redfern et al. 2012; van der Veen et al. 2008).  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38

39 Another interesting finding is the relationship between migrant status and residual  
40 rickets. This metabolic disease is caused by a deficiency of vitamin D, usually sustained  
41 because of a lack of exposure to sunlight (Brickley and Ives 2008; Brickley et al. 2010: 78-  
42 81). Research has demonstrated that being vitamin D deficient increases the likelihood of  
43 developing poor general health, as it negatively impacts on a person's immune system  
44 (Brickley et al. 2014; Snoddy et al. 2016). The clinical literature has also associated high  
45 lead exposure with the development of rickets in children (Caffey 1938; Gordon and  
46 Whitehead 1949), and this period saw an increase in the use of lead (e.g. water-pipes and  
47 especially the consumption of bio-available lead compounds), a trend evidenced by a rise in  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 lead levels in human remains (Aufderheide et al. 1992; Budd et al. 2004; Lessler 1983;  
4  
5 Montgomery et al. 2010; Nriuagu 1983; Retief 2005; Scarborough 1984).  
6  
7

8 The limb and spinal deformities caused by vitamin D deficiency were known to the  
9  
10 Romans, being described in two medical texts (e.g. Soranus 1991: Book II) (Rajakumar  
11  
12 2003). Ancient historians have proposed that even sun-rich cities such as Rome were prime  
13  
14 centres for the conditions which create rickets, because of dietary insufficiencies produced by  
15  
16 the population's over-reliance on cereals and cultural practices such as sun avoidance and  
17  
18 clothing (Gowland and Redfern 2010; Minozzi et al. 2012; Molto 2000; Soliman El-Banna et  
19  
20 al. 2014).  
21  
22

23  
24 Prior to this study, the presence of rickets in Roman Britain was understood to be  
25  
26 another indicator of significant post-Conquest change, and the rare cases of this disease  
27  
28 suggest that cultural buffering may have influenced this result (Gowland and Redfern 2010;  
29  
30 Redfern and DeWitte 2011a, 2011b; Redfern and Gowland 2011; Roberts and Cox 2003;  
31  
32 Rohnbogner 2015). However, our results suggest that the increase in prevalence of rickets  
33  
34 reflects childhoods spent elsewhere in the Empire. For example, the burial of a 14 year old  
35  
36 girl from Roman London whose isotope results were consistent with a childhood spent in the  
37  
38 southern Mediterranean, and who had lived in London for at least four years before her death  
39  
40 (Arthur et al. 2016; Redfern et al. 2016), exhibited mild bowing deformities consistent with  
41  
42 rickets in younger childhood (Brickley and Ives 2008: 97-100; Brickley et al. 2010; Redfern  
43  
44 et al. 2017).  
45  
46

47  
48 There is a marked increase in the number of individuals with tuberculosis from the  
49  
50 late Iron Age (Redfern and DeWitte 2011a; Roberts and Cox 2003: 120), but there was no  
51  
52 statistical difference between the groups for tuberculosis, although non-British people  
53  
54 showed a greater prevalence of the disease along with rib lesions (Kelley and Micozzi 1984;  
55  
56  
57

1  
2  
3 Mariotti et al. 2015; Roberts et al. 1994; Santos and Roberts 2001). There are many reported  
4 cases of tuberculosis from across the Roman Empire, including Rome (amongst others, Canci  
5 et al. 2006; Hajdu et al. 2012; Hlavenková et al. 2015; Rubini et al. 2014). The Empire  
6 produced and enabled multiple causes and pathways of infection: urban settlements, many of  
7 which had poor sanitation, with homes densely packed together; long- and short-distance  
8 population movement (e.g. trade), including the forced or free migration of vulnerable people  
9 (e.g. migrants and the enslaved) to new environments, many of which were experiencing  
10 socio-economic stress (i.e. structural violence); and congenital infection due to maternal  
11 infection during pregnancy (Eddy 2015; Farmer 2004a, 2004b; Mittal et al. 2014).  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

23 One of the more surprising findings of our study was that female migrants had a  
24 higher mortality risk, despite having the biological advantage of an enhanced immune system  
25 (Gubbels-Bupp 2015; Jaillon et al. 2017). Earlier work in Dorset, demonstrated that it was  
26 adult males rather than females who had a higher mortality risk (Redfern and DeWitte  
27 2011b), but this work was unable to distinguish between migrants and locals, as only a few  
28 individuals in the region had been analysed for mobility (Richards et al. 1998). We suggest  
29 that our new result provides a more nuanced insight into intra-sex differences in health,  
30 because only female migrants show a higher prevalence of pathologies. There is increasing  
31 evidence for female mobility in the Roman Empire, as part of the military community, as  
32 slaves, or due to family circumstances, occupations, and economic activities (e.g. merchants)  
33 (Allason-Jones 2005; Allison 2006; Becker 2006; Greene 2012, 2013; Hemelrijk 2015;  
34 Kleijwegt 2012; Saller 1998). Within Roman society, females were seen as 'less' than males  
35 and their social, economic and political freedoms were curtailed, resulted in embedded  
36 structural inequalities (Redfern forthcoming). For many females (free or enslaved) their lives  
37 were tied to the domestic sphere (Allason-Jones 2005; Dixon 2001; Evans Grubb 2002;  
38 Hemelrijk 2015; Saller 1998), which increased the likelihood of developing diseases  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 associated with pollution (i.e. rib lesions), because of living and working in homes with poor  
4 ventilation (Roberts and Lewis 2002). Such a life-style could also limit their access to  
5 sunlight, thus increasing their risk of developing vitamin D deficiency (Brickley and Ives  
6 2008: 77-82; Brickley et al. 2014), particularly in Britain where during the autumn and winter  
7 months, the sunlight is not strong enough for the body to metabolise the necessary quantities  
8 of vitamin D to ensure good health (Diffey 2013). This would have been a greater risk for  
9 those individuals from the Mediterranean and the near East with darker skin pigmentation  
10 (Chandler 2001; Eckardt et al. 2014; Leach et al. 2009). Isotopic data from across the Empire  
11 have established that in many locales, including Britain, female diets were less diverse and  
12 more cereal-based than adult males (Powell et al. 2014) (see also, Prowse et al. 2004, 2005).  
13 Such a diet would additionally increase the risk of females developing an iron deficiency,  
14 because of the iron inhibiting chelates found in cereals (Stuart-Macadam and Kent 1992: 83,  
15 137).

16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32 Unfortunately, due to the small sample size and because the majority of these  
33 samples have not been precisely dated (either stratigraphically or using radiocarbon), we are  
34 unable to test whether there are temporal changes throughout the Roman period in Britain.  
35  
36 The predominance of cremation burial during the earlier period of Roman occupation also  
37 creates a bias towards skeletal samples dating to later Roman Britain. Nor were we able to  
38 investigate detailed differences in health between rural and urban settlements due to a current  
39 dearth in isotopically-tested samples from rural sites. It is likely that this situation will  
40 improve in the near future with an increasing research focus on Roman rural settlements  
41 (Smith et al. 2016).  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54

## 55 **Conclusions**

56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 This analysis is the first bioarchaeological study to unite osteological and isotope data to  
4 investigate how migrant and local population influenced each other's patterns of disease. For  
5 Roman Britain, the changes in disease frequencies traditionally used as evidence for the  
6 transformation in living environment and culture instead relate to childhoods and lives spent  
7 outside of the province. Childhoods across the Empire were often compromised by disease,  
8 and in some instances by childcare practices.  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15

16 Although urban centres must have played an important role in changing patterns of  
17 disease in Roman Britain, it was the composition of the people living within these and other  
18 settlements across Britain which really produced a transformation in the bioarchaeological  
19 record. The unique, heterogeneous life-ways of migrants, fundamentally altered disease  
20 transmission and frequencies, changed mortality rates and in conjunction with the  
21 introduction of new food-and life-ways, transformed disease patterns from the late Iron Age.  
22 The development of new settlements, inhabited by internal migrants and those from overseas,  
23 created new environments which posed health challenges for all, despite many growing-up in  
24 some of the most urbanised places in Europe. Therefore, many of the key indicators of  
25 changing disease frequencies associated with the Roman conquest and colonisation of Britain  
26 actually reveal more about the increased heterogeneity of communities in Britain.  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46

#### 47 **Acknowledgements**

48  
49 RR is most grateful to Sally Brooks (MoL), Greg Speed (Northern Archaeological  
50 Associates), Malin Holst and Anwen Caffell (York Osteoarchaeology Ltd), Louise Loe,  
51 Sharon Clough, Ceri Boston, Angela Boyle and Nicholas Márquez-Grant (current and former  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 staff at Oxford Archaeology), and Simon Mays (Historic England) for all their help in  
4  
5 sourcing many of the site reports and osteological data used in this study; Heather Bonney  
6  
7 (NHM) for providing access to the Poundbury Camp and Trentholme Drive populations,  
8  
9 Verity Anthony (Bath and North East Somerset County Council) for sharing the information  
10  
11 from Bath, Emma-Jayne Graham (Open University) for her advice about the swaddling of  
12  
13 infants, and the MoL donors who supported much of the work undertaken on the London  
14  
15 material. Finally, we are very grateful for the constructive comments provided by anonymous  
16  
17 reviewers.  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

## 26 **References cited**

- 27  
28 Ahonen, E. Q., F. G. Benavides and J. Benach. 2007. Immigrant populations, work and  
29 health--a systematic literature review. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 33 (2):96-104.  
30 DOI: 10.5271/sjweh.1112.  
31  
32 Allason-Jones, Lindsay. 2005. *Women in Roman Britain*. 2nd Edition ed. Council for British  
33 Archaeology, York.  
34  
35 Allison, Penelope M. 2006. Mapping for gender. Interpreting artefact distribution inside 1st-  
36 and 2nd-century A.D. forts in Roman Germany. *Archaeological Dialogues* 13 (1):1-  
37 20. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1380203806211851>.  
38  
39 Arthur, Nichola A., Rebecca Gowland and Rebecca C. Redfern. 2016. Coming of age in  
40 Roman Britain: osteological evidence for pubertal timing. *American Journal of*  
41 *Physical Anthropology* 159:698-713. DOI: 10.1002/ajpa.22929.  
42  
43 Aufderheide, A., G. Rapp Jr, L.E. Wittmers Jr, J.E. Wallgren, R. Macchiarelli, G. Fornaciari,  
44 F. Mallengi and R.S. Corruccini. 1992. Lead exposure in Italy: 800BC-700AD.  
45 *International Journal of Anthropology* 7 (2):9-15. DOI: 10.1007/BF02444992.  
46  
47 Baker, Brenda J., and Takeyuki Tsuda. 2015. Introduction: Bridging the past and present in  
48 assessing migration. In *Migration and disruption. Toward a unifying theory of*  
49 *ancient and contemporary migrations*, edited by Brenda J. Baker and Takeyuki  
50 Tsuda. University of Florida Press, Florida. pp. 3-14.  
51  
52 Barber, Bruno, and David Bowsher, eds. 2000. *The eastern cemetery of Roman London.*  
53 *Excavations 1983-1990*. MoLAS Monograph 4. Museum of London, London.  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Becker, T. 2006. Women in Roman forts- lack of knowledge or a social claim?  
4 *Archaeological Dialogues* 13 (1):36-38. DOI:  
5 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1380203806261853>.  
6
- 7 Bhopal, Raj S. 2014. *Migration, ethnicity, race and health in multicultural societies*. 2nd  
8 edition ed. Oxford University Press, Oxford.  
9
- 10 Blakely, Michael L. 2001. Bioarchaeology of the African Diaspora in the Americas: its  
11 origins and scope. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 30:387-422. DOI:  
12 <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.anthro.30.1.387>.  
13
- 14 Bonsall, Laura. 2014. A comparison of female and male oral health in skeletal populations  
15 from late Roman Britain: Implications for diet. *Archives of Oral Biology* 59  
16 (12):1279-1300. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.archoralbio.2014.07.019>.  
17
- 18  
19 Bonsall, Laura. 2013. *Variations in the health status of urban populations in Roman Britain:  
20 a comparison of skeletal samples from major and minor towns*. Ph.D. thesis, The  
21 University of Edinburgh. Edinburgh.  
22
- 23 Booth, Paul, Andrew Simmonds, Angela Boyle, Sharon Clough, Hilary EM Cool and Daniel  
24 Poore. (eds), *The late Roman cemetery at Lankhills, Winchester - excavations 2000-  
25 2005*. Oxford Archaeology, Oxford.  
26
- 27 Brickley, Megan, and Rachel Ives. 2008. *The bioarchaeology of metabolic bone disease*.  
28 Academic Press, London.  
29
- 30  
31 Brickley, Megan, Simon Mays and Rachel Ives. 2010. Evaluation and interpretation of  
32 residual rickets deformities in adults. *International Journal of Osteoarchaeology*  
33 20:54-66. DOI: 10.1002/oa.1007.  
34
- 35 Brickley, Megan, and Jacqueline McKinley, eds. 2004. *Guidance to the standards for  
36 recording human skeletal remains*. Institute of Field Archaeologists, British  
37 Association of Biological Anthropology and Osteoarchaeology, Reading.  
38
- 39  
40 Brickley, Megan B, Tina Moffat and Leila Watamaniuk. 2014. Biocultural perspectives of  
41 vitamin D deficiency in the past. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 36:48-59.  
42 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaa.2014.08.002>.  
43
- 44 Budd, Paul, Janet Montgomery, Jane Evans and Mark Trickett. 2004. Human lead exposure  
45 in England from approximately 5500 BP to the 16th century AD. *Science of The Total  
46 Environment* 318 (1):45-58. DOI: 10.1016/S0048-9697(03)00357-7.  
47
- 48 Buikstra, Jane E, and Douglas H Ubelaker. 1994. *Standards for data collection from human  
49 skeletal remains*, Vol. 44. Arkansas Archaeological Survey Research Series. Arkansas  
50 Archaeological Survey, Arkansas.  
51
- 52 Burmeister, Stefan. 2000. Archaeology and migration. Approaches to an archaeological  
53 proof of migration. *Current Anthropology* 41 (4):539-567. DOI: 10.1086/317383  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Caffey, John. 1938. Lead poisoning associated with active rickets: report of a case with  
4 absence of lead lines in the skeleton. *American Journal of Diseases of Children* 55  
5 (4):798-806. DOI: 10.1001/archpedi.1938.01980100134010  
6
- 7 Caldarini, C., M. Caprara, L. Caboni, F. De Angelis, S. Di Giannantonio, S. Minozzi, W.  
8 Pantano, P. Preziosi and P. Catalano. 2006. Vivere a Roma in età Imperiale: evidenze  
9 antropologiche da recenti scavi nel suburbio. *Medicina nei Secoli* 18 (3):799-814.  
10
- 11 Canci, A., L. Nencioni, S. Minozzi, P. Catalano, D. Caramella and G Fornaciari. 2006. A  
12 case of healing spinal infection from Classical Rome. *Paleopatologia*:16533.  
13
- 14 Chandler, Helen. 2001. *Ancient DNA analysis from two Roman burials (ATT99 sk1, ATT99*  
15 *sk2) at Bath*. Cellular Genetics Group, Institute of Molecular Medicine, University of  
16 Oxford.  
17
- 18  
19 Chenery, Carolyn, Hella Eckardt and Gundula Müldner. 2011. Cosmopolitan Catterick?  
20 Isotopic evidence for population mobility on Rome's Northern frontier. *Journal of*  
21 *Archaeological Science* 38 (7):1525-1536. DOI: 10.1016/j.jas.2011.02.018.  
22
- 23 Clough, Sharon, and Angela Boyle. 2010. Inhumations and disarticulated human bone. In *The*  
24 *late Roman cemetery at Lankhills, Winchester - excavations 2000-2005*, edited by P.  
25 Booth, A. Simmonds, A. Boyle, S. Clough, H.E.M. Cool and D. Poore. Oxford  
26 Archaeology Oxford. pp. 339-399.  
27
- 28  
29 Cohen, H.W. 2011. P Values: Use and Misuse in Medical Literature. *American Journal of*  
30 *Hypertension* 24: 18-23.  
31
- 32 Cool, Hilary EM. 2006. *Eating and drinking in Roman Britain*. Cambridge University Press,  
33 Cambridge.  
34
- 35 Diffey, B.L. 2013. Modelling vitamin D status due to oral intake and sun exposure in an adult  
36 British population. *British Journal of Nutrition* 110 (3):569-577.  
37
- 38 Dixon, Suzanne. 2001. *Reading Roman women: sources, genres and real lives*. Duckworth,  
39 Oxford.  
40
- 41 Eckardt, Hella, ed. 2010. Roman diasporas: archaeological approaches to mobility and  
42 diversity in the Roman Empire. *Journal of Roman Archaeology Supplementary Series*  
43 78.  
44
- 45  
46 Eckardt, Hella, Gundula Müldner and Mary Lewis. 2014. People on the move in Roman  
47 Britain. *World Archaeology* 46 (4):1-17. DOI: 10.1080/00438243.2014.931821.  
48
- 49 Eckardt Hella, Gundula Müldner and Greg Speed. 2015. The late Roman field army in  
50 northern Britain? Mobility, material culture and multi-isotope analysis at Scorton  
51 (N.Yorks). *Britannia* 46: 191-223.  
52
- 53 Eddy, J.J. 2015. The ancient city of Rome, its empire, and the spread of tuberculosis in  
54 Europe. *Tuberculosis* 95 (1):S23-28. DOI: 10.1016/j.tube.2015.02.005.  
55  
56  
57



- 1  
2  
3 Evans Grubb, Judith. 2002. *Women and the law in the Roman Empire: a sourcebook on*  
4 *marriage, divorce and widowhood*. Routledge, London.  
5
- 6 Evans, Jane A., Carolyn A Chenery and Janet Montgomery. 2012. A summary of strontium  
7 and oxygen isotope variation in archaeological human tooth enamel excavated from  
8 Britain. *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry* 27 (5):754-764. DOI:  
9 10.1039/C2JA10362A.  
10
- 11 Farmer, Paul. 2004a. An anthropology of structural violence. *Current Anthropology* 45  
12 (3):305-325. DOI: 10.1086/382250.  
13
- 14 2004b. On suffering and structural violence: a view from below. In *Violence in war and*  
15 *peace an anthology*, edited by Nancy Scheper-Hughes and Paul Bourgois. Blackwell  
16 Publishing, Oxford. pp. 281-289.  
17
- 18 Gage, T.B. 1988. Mathematical hazard models of mortality: an alternative to model life  
19 tables. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 76:429-441. DOI:  
20 10.1002/ajpa.1330760403  
21
- 22 Garnsey, Peter, and Richard Saller. 1987. *The Roman Empire: economy, society and culture*.  
23 University of California Press, California.  
24
- 25 Goodman, Alan H., and Debra L. Martin. 2002. Reconstructing health profiles from skeletal  
26 remains. In *The backbone of history: health and nutrition in the Western hemisphere*,  
27 edited by Richard H. Steckel and Jerome C. Rose. Cambridge University Press,  
28 Cambridge. pp. 11-60.  
29
- 30 Goodman, S.N. 1999. Toward evidence-based medical statistics 1: The P Value Fallacy.  
31 *Annals of Internal Medicine* 130: 995-1004.  
32
- 33 Gordon, I., and T.P. Whitehead. 1949. Lead poisoning in an infant from lead nipple-shields  
34 association with rickets. *The Lancet* 254 (6580):647-650. DOI:  
35 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(49\)91280-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(49)91280-5).  
36
- 37 Gowland, Rebecca L. and Peter Garnsey. 2010. Skeletal evidence for health nutritional status  
38 and malaria in Rome and the empire. *Journal of Roman Archaeology Supplementary*  
39 *Series* 76: 131-156.  
40
- 41 Gowland, Rebecca L., and Rebecca C. Redfern. 2010. Childhood health in the Roman World:  
42 perspectives from the centre and margin of the Empire. *Childhood in the Past: An*  
43 *International Journal* (3):15-42. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1179/cip.2010.3.1.15>.  
44
- 45 Greene, Elizabeth. 2012. Sulpicia Lepidina and Elizabeth Custer: a cross-cultural analogy for  
46 the social roles of women on a military frontier. In *TRAC 2011. Proceedings of the*  
47 *Twenty First Theoretical Roman Archaeology Conference, Newcastle 2011*, edited by  
48 M. Duggan, F. McIntosh and D. Rohl. Oxbow Books, Oxford. pp. 105-114.  
49
- 50 2013. Female networks in the military communities of the Roman West: a view from the  
51 Vindolanda tablets. In *Women and the Roman City in the Latin West*, edited by Emily  
52 A. Hemelrijk and Greg Woolf. Brill, Amsterdam. pp. 369-390.  
53



- 1  
2  
3  
4 Gubbels-Bupp, Melanie, R. 2015. Sex, the aging immune system, and chronic disease. *Cell*  
5 *Immunology* 294.2:102-110.  
6
- 7 Hajdu, T., E. Fóthi, I. Kővári, M. Merczi, A. Molnár, G. Maász, P. Avar, A. Marcsik and L.  
8 Márk. 2012. Bone tuberculosis in Roman period Pannonia (western Hungary).  
9 *Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz* 107 (8):1048-1053. DOI:  
10 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0074-02762012000800014>.  
11
- 12 Hakenbeck, Susanne. 2008. Migration in archaeology: are we nearly there yet?  
13 *Archaeological Review from Cambridge* 23 (2):9-26.  
14
- 15 Harlow, Mary, and Ray Laurence. 2002. *Growing up and growing old in ancient Rome: a life*  
16 *course approach*. Routledge, London.  
17
- 18 Hemelrijk, Emily A. 2015. *Hidden lives, public personae: women and civic life in the Roman*  
19 *West*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.  
20
- 21 Henneberg, Maciej, and Renata J. Henneberg. 2005. Human skeletal material from Pompeii:  
22 a unique source of information about ancient life. *Automata* 1:23-37. DOI:  
23 <http://hdl.handle.net/2440/37252>.  
24
- 25 Hillson, Simon. 2005. *Dental anthropology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.  
26
- 27 Hlavenková, L., M.D. Teasdale, O. Gábor, G. Nagy, R. Beňuš, A. Marcsik, R. Pinhasi and T.  
28 Hajdu. 2015. Childhood bone tuberculosis from Roman Pécs, Hungary. *Homo* 66  
29 (1):27-37. DOI: 10.1016/j.jchb.2014.10.001.  
30
- 31 Humphrey, Louise T., and Tania King. 2000. Childhood stress: a lifetime legacy.  
32 *Anthropologie* 38(1): 33-49.  
33
- 34 Holman, D.J. 2005. A programming language for building likelihood models. Version 2.1.  
35 Seattle, WA.  
36
- 37 Jaillon, Sebastien, Kevin Berthenet and Cecilia Garlanda. 2017. Sexual dimorphism in innate  
38 immunity. *Clinical Reviews in Allergy & Immunology* DOI: 10.1007/s12016-017-  
39 8648-x.  
40
- 41 Kelley, M.A. and M.C. Micozzi. 1984. Rib lesions in chronic pulmonary tuberculosis.  
42 *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 65:381-386. DOI:  
43 [10.1002/ajpa.1330650407](https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.1330650407).  
44
- 45 King, Andrew. 1999a. Diet in the Roman world: a regional inter-site comparison of the  
46 mammal bones. *Journal of Roman Archaeology* 12:168-202. DOI:  
47 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1047759400017979>.  
48
- 49 1999b. Animals and the Roman Army: evidence of animal bones. *Journal of Roman*  
50 *Archaeology Supplementary Series* 34:139-150.  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 2001. The Romanization of diet in the western Empire: comparative archaeozoological  
4 studies. In *Italy and the West: comparative issues in Romanization*, edited by Simon  
5 Keay and Nicola Terrenato. Oxbow Books, Oxford. pp. 210-223.  
6  
7 Kleijwegt, Marc. 2012. Deciphering freedwomen in the Roman Empire. In *Free at last! The*  
8 *impact of freed slaves on the Roman Empire*, edited by Sinclair Bell and Teresa  
9 Ramsby. Bloomsbury, London. pp. 110-129.  
10  
11 Lang, J.M., K.J. Rothman and C.I. Cann. 1998. That confounded P-value. *Epidemiology* 9:7-  
12 8.  
13  
14 Laurence, Ray, and Joanne Berry. 1998. *Cultural identity in the Roman empire*. Routledge,  
15 London.  
16  
17 Leach, Stephanie, Mary Lewis, Carolyn Chenery, Hella Eckardt and Gundula Müldner. 2009.  
18 Migration and diversity in Roman Britain: a multidisciplinary approach to immigrants  
19 in Roman York, England. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 140:546-561  
20 DOI: 10.1002/ajpa.21104.  
21  
22  
23 Lessler, M.A. 1983. *Lead and lead poisoning from antiquity to modern times*. John Wiley-  
24 Liss Ltd., New York.  
25  
26 Lewis, Mary E. 2011. Tuberculosis in the non-adults from Romano-British Poundbury Camp,  
27 Dorset, England. *International Journal of Paleopathology* 1 (1):12-23. DOI:  
28 <https://doi.org/10.1177/10454411000110030601>.  
29  
30  
31 Locker, Alison. 2007. In piscibus diversis: the bone evidence for fish consumption in Roman  
32 Britain. *Britannia* XXXVIII:141-180. DOI:  
33 <https://doi.org/10.3815/000000007784016520> 000000007784016520.  
34  
35 Mariotti, Valentina, Micol Zuppello, Maria Elena Pedrosi, Matteo Bettuzzi, Rosa Brancaccio,  
36 Eva Peccenini, Maria Pia Morigi and Maria Giovanna Belcastro. 2015. Skeletal  
37 evidence of tuberculosis in a modern identified human skeletal collection (Certosa  
38 cemetery, Bologna, Italy). *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 157:389-401.  
39 DOI: 10.1002/ajpa.22727.  
40  
41  
42 Martiniano, R., A. Caffell, M. Holst, K. Hunter-Mann, J. Montgomery, G. Muldner, R.L.  
43 McLaughlin, M.D. Teasdale, W. van Rheezen, J.H. Veldink, L.H. van der Berg, O.  
44 Hardiman, M. Carroll, S. Roskams, J. Oxley, C. Morgan, M.G. Thomas, I. Barnes, C.  
45 McDonnell, M.J. Collins and D.G. Bradley. 2016. Genomic signals of migration and  
46 continuity in Britain before the Anglo-Saxons. *Nature Communications* 7 (10326):1-  
47 8. DOI: doi: 10.1038/ncomms10326.  
48  
49 Mattingly, David. 2006. *An imperial possession: Britain in the Roman Empire*. Penguin  
50 Books Ltd, London.  
51  
52  
53 2010. *Imperialism, power, and identity: experiencing the Roman Empire*. Princeton  
54 University Press, New Jersey.  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Mascie-Taylor, C.G.N., and M. Krzyżanowska. 2017. Biological aspects of human migration  
4 and mobility. *Annals of Human Biology*. DOI: 10.1080/03014460.2017.1313448.  
5
- 6 Minozzi, S., P. Catalano, C. Caldarini and G. Fornaciari. 2012. Palaeopathology of human  
7 remains from the Roman Imperial Age. *Pathobiology* 79 (5):268-283. DOI:  
8 10.1159/000338097.  
9
- 10 Mittal, H., S. Das and M.M.A Faridi. 2014. Management of newborn infant born to mother  
11 suffering from tuberculosis: current recommendations and gaps in knowledge. *The*  
12 *Indian Journal of Medical Research* 140 (1):32-39. DOI: PMC4181157.  
13
- 14 Molto, El. 2000. Humerus varus deformity in Roman period burials from Kellis 2, Dakhleh,  
15 Egypt. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 113:103-109. DOI:  
16 10.1002/1096-8644(200009)113:1<103::AID-AJPA9>3.0.CO;2-A.  
17
- 18 Montgomery, Janet. 2002. *Lead and Strontium Isotope Compositions of Human Dental*  
19 *Tissues as an Indicator of Ancient Exposure and Population Dynamics*. Ph.D. thesis,  
20 University of Bradford. Bradford.  
21
- 22  
23 2010. Passports from the past: investigating human dispersals using strontium isotope  
24 analysis of tooth enamel. *Annals of Human Biology* 37 (3):325-346. DOI:  
25 10.3109/03014461003649297.  
26
- 27 Montgomery, Janet, Jane A. Evans, Simon R. Chenery, Vanessa Pashley and Kristina  
28 Killgrove. 2010. 'Gleaming, white and deadly': the use of lead to track human  
29 exposure and geographic origins in the Roman period in Britain. *Journal of Roman*  
30 *Archaeology Supplementary Series* 76:199-126.  
31
- 32  
33 Montgomery, Janet, Christopher Knüsel and Katie Tucker. 2011. Identifying the origins of  
34 the decapitated male skeletons from 3 Driffield Terrace, York, through the isotope  
35 analysis: reflections on the cosmopolitan nature of Roman York in the time of  
36 Caracalla. In *The bioarchaeology of the human head: decapitation, decoration and*  
37 *deformation*, edited by Michelle Bonogofsky. Florida: University Press of Florida.  
38 pp. 141-178.  
39
- 40 Müldner, Gundula, Carolyn, Chenery and Hella Eckardt. 2011. The 'Headless Romans':  
41 multi-isotope investigations of an usual burial ground from Roman Britain. *Journal*  
42 *of Archaeological Science* 38: 280-290.  
43 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2010.09.003>.  
44
- 45  
46 Murphy, Melissa S., and Hagen D. Klaus, eds. 2017. *Colonized bodies, worlds transformed:*  
47 *toward a global bioarchaeology of contact and colonialism*. University of Florida  
48 Press, Florida.  
49
- 50 Nevelt, Lisa, and Phil Perkins. 2000. Urbanism and urbanization in the Roman world. In  
51 *Experiencing Rome. Culture, identity and power in the Roman Empire*, edited by  
52 Janet Huskinson. Routledge, London. pp. 213-244.  
53
- 54 Nriuagu, J.O. 1983. *Lead and lead poisoning in Antiquity*. John Wiley and Sons, New York.  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Odone, A., T. Tillmann, A. Sandgren, G. Williams, B. Rechel, D. Ingleby, T. Noori, P.  
4 Mladovsky and M. McKee. 2015. Tuberculosis among migrant populations in the  
5 European Union and the European Economic Area *European Journal of Public*  
6 *Health* 25 (3):506-512. DOI: 10.1093/eurpub/cku208.
- 7 Paine, R.R., R. Vargiu, C. Signoretti and A. Coppa. 2009. A health assessment for Imperial  
8 Roman burials recovered from the necropolis of San Donato and Bivio CH, Urbino,  
9 Italy. *Journal of Anthropological Sciences* 87:193-210.
- 10  
11  
12 Pearce, John. 2011. Representations and realities: cemeteries as evidence for women in  
13 Roman Britain. *Medicina nei Secoli* 23 (1):227-254.
- 14  
15 Peck, Joshua J. 2009. *The biological impact of culture contact: a bioarchaeological study of*  
16 *Roman colonialism in Britain*. Ph.D. thesis, Ohio State University. Ohio.
- 17  
18 Powell, Lindsay A., Rebecca C. Redfern and Andrew R. Millard. 2014. Infant feeding  
19 practices in Roman London: the isotopic evidence. *Journal of Roman Archaeology*  
20 *Supplementary Series* 96: 89-110.
- 21  
22 Prowse, Tracy, Henry P. Schwarcz, Shelley Saunders, Roberto Macchiarelli and Luca  
23 Bondioli. 2004. Isotopic paleodiet studies of skeletons from the Imperial Roman-age  
24 cemetery of Isola Sacra, Rome, Italy. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 31 (3):259-  
25 272. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2003.08.008>.
- 26  
27  
28 2005. Isotopic evidence for age-related variation in diet from Isola Sacra, Italy. *American*  
29 *Journal of Physical Anthropology* 128:2-13. DOI: 10.1002/ajpa.20094.
- 30  
31 Rajakumar, K. 2003. Vitamin D, cod-liver oil, sunlight and rickets: a historical perspective.  
32 *Pediatrics* 112 (2):e132.
- 33  
34 Redfern, Rebecca C. 2007. The influence of culture upon childhood: an osteological study of  
35 Iron Age and Romano-British Dorset. *Journal of Roman Archaeology Supplementary*  
36 *Series* 65: 171-194.
- 37  
38  
39 forthcoming. Gendered violence in late Iron Age and Roman Britain. In *The Cambridge*  
40 *World History of Violence*, edited by Linda Fibiger, Philip G. Dwyer and Joy  
41 Damousi. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. pp. unknown at present.
- 42  
43 Redfern, Rebecca C., and Sharon N. DeWitte. 2011a. A new approach to the study of  
44 Romanization in Britain: a regional perspective of cultural change in late Iron Age  
45 and Roman Dorset using the Siler and Gompertz-Makeham models of mortality.  
46 *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 144:269-285. DOI: 10.1002/ajpa.21400.
- 47  
48 2011b. Status and health in Roman Dorset: the effect of status on risk of mortality in post-  
49 Conquest populations. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 146:197-208.  
50 DOI: 10.1002/ajpa.21563.
- 51  
52 Redfern, Rebecca C., Sharon N. DeWitte, John Pearce, Christine Hamlin and Kirsten Egging  
53 Dinwiddy. 2015. Urban-rural differences in Roman Dorset, England: a  
54 bioarchaeological perspective on Roman settlements *American Journal of Physical*  
55 *Anthropology* 157:107-120. DOI: 10.1002/ajpa.22693.
- 56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3  
4 Redfern, Rebecca C. and Rebecca L. Gowland. 2011. A bioarchaeological perspective on the  
5 pre-adult stages of the life course: implications for the care and health of children in  
6 the Roman Empire. In *Families in the Roman and Late Antique Roman World*, edited  
7 by Mary L. Harlow and Lena L. Lovén. Continuum International Publishing Group,  
8 London. pp. 111-140.  
9
- 10 Redfern, Rebecca C., Darren R. Gröcke, Andrew R. Millard, Victoria Ridgeway, Lucie  
11 Johnson and Joseph T. Hefner. 2016. Going south of the river: a multidisciplinary  
12 analysis of ancestry, mobility and diet in a population from Roman Southwark,  
13 London. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 74:11-22. DOI:  
14 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2016.07.016>.  
15
- 16 Redfern, Rebecca C., Christine Hamlin and Andrew Millard. 2012. A regional investigation  
17 of subadult dietary patterns and health in late Iron Age and Roman Dorset, England.  
18 *Journal of Archaeological Science* 39:1249-1259. DOI:  
19 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2011.12.023>.  
20
- 21 Redfern, Rebecca C., Michael Marshall, Katherine Eaton and Hendrik Poinar. 2017. 'Written  
22 in Bone': new discoveries about the lives of Roman Londoners *Britannia* 48: 253-277.  
23
- 24 Redfern, Rebecca C. and Charlotte A. Roberts. 2005. Health in Romano-British urban  
25 communities: reflections from the cemeteries. In *Fertile ground: papers in honour of*  
26 *Susan Limbrey*, edited by David N. Smith, Megan B Brickley and Wendy Smith.  
27 Oxbow Books, Oxford. pp. 115-129.  
28
- 29 Retief, F.P. 2005. Lead poisoning in ancient Rome. *Acta Theologica Supplementum* 7:147-  
30 164.  
31
- 32 Richards, Michael P, Robert E M Hedges, Theya I Molleson and Joseph C Vogel. 1998.  
33 Stable isotope analysis reveals variations in human diet at the Poundbury Camp  
34 Cemetery site. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 25:1247-1252. DOI:  
35 <https://doi.org/10.1006/jasc.1998.0307>.  
36
- 37 Richards, Michael, and Janet Montgomery. 2012. Isotope analysis and palaeopathology: a  
38 short review and future developments. In *The global history of paleopathology:*  
39 *pioneers and prospects*, edited by Jane E. Buikstra and Charlotte A. Roberts. Oxford  
40 University Press, Oxford. pp. 718-731.  
41
- 42 Rivera F, and Marta Mirazón Lahr. 2017. New evidence suggesting a dissociated etiology for  
43 cribra orbitalia and porotic hyperostosis. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*  
44 164: 76-96.  
45
- 46 Roberts, C.A., A. Boylston, L. Buckley, A. T. Chamberlain and E.M. Murphy. 1998. Rib  
47 lesions and tuberculosis: the palaeopathological evidence. *Tubercle and Lung Disease*  
48 79 (1):55-60. DOI: 10.1054/tuld.1998.0005.  
49
- 50 Roberts, Charlotte A., and Jane E. Buikstra. 2008. *The bioarchaeology of tuberculosis. A*  
51 *global view on a reemerging disease*. University Press of Florida Florida.  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



- 1  
2  
3 Roberts, Charlotte A., and Margaret Cox. 2003. *Health and disease in Britain: from*  
4 *prehistory to the present day*. Sutton Publishing Ltd, Stroud.  
5
- 6 Roberts, Charlotte A., and Mary E. Lewis. 2002. Ecology and infectious disease in Britain  
7 from prehistory to the present: the case of respiratory infection. In *Ecological aspects*  
8 *of past human settlements in Europe*, edited by P. Bennike, E. Bodzsar and C.  
9 Susanne. Eotvos University Press, Budapest. pp. 179-192.  
10
- 11 Roberts, Charlotte A., David Lucy and Keith Manchester. 1994. Inflammatory lesions of ribs:  
12 an analysis of the Terry Collection. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*  
13 95:169-182. DOI: 10.1002/ajpa.1330950205.  
14
- 15 Rohnbogner, Anna. 2015. *Exploring concepts of Romanisation and its impact on child health*  
16 *in late Roman Britain*. Ph.D. thesis, University of Reading. Reading.  
17
- 18 Rohnbogner, Anna, and Mary Lewis. 2016. Dental caries as a measure of diet, health, and  
19 difference in non-adults from urban and rural Roman Britain. *Dental Anthropology*  
20 29(1): 16-31.  
21
- 22 Rothman, K.J. 1999. Writing for epidemiology. *Epidemiology* 9: 333-337.  
23
- 24 Rubini, M., P. Zaio and C. Roberts. 2014. Tuberculosis and leprosy in Italy: new skeletal  
25 evidence. *Homo* 65 (1):13-32. DOI: 10.1016/j.jchb.2013.07.006.  
26
- 27 Saller, Richard P. 1998. Symbols of gender and status hierarchies in the Roman household. In  
28 *Women and slaves in Greco-Roman culture: differential equations*, edited by Sandra  
29 R. Joshel and Sheila Murnaghan. Routledge, London. pp. 85-91.  
30
- 31 Sandgren, A., M.S., Schepisis, G. Sotgiu, E. Huitric, G.B. Migliori, D. Manissero, M.J. van  
32 der Werf and E. Girardi. 2014. Tuberculosis transmission between foreign- and  
33 native-born populations in the EU/EEA: a systematic review. *The European*  
34 *Respiratory Journal* 43 (4): 1159-1171. DOI: 10.1183/09031936.00117213.  
35
- 36 Santos, Ana Luísa, and Charlotte A Roberts. 2001. A picture of tuberculosis in young  
37 Portuguese people in the early 20th century: a multidisciplinary study of the skeletal  
38 and historical evidence. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 115:38-49. DOI:  
39 10.1183/09031936.00117213.  
40
- 41 Scarborough, J. 1984. The myth of lead poisoning among the Romans: an essay review.  
42 *Journal of the History of Medicine and Allied Sciences* 39 (4):469-475.  
43
- 44 Shaw, Heidi, Janet Montgomery, Rebecca Redfern, Rebecca Gowland and Jane Evans. 2016.  
45 Identifying migrants in Roman London using lead and strontium stable isotopes.  
46 *Journal of Archaeological Science* 66:57-68. DOI:  
47 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2015.12.001>.  
48
- 49 Simmonds, Andrew, Nicholas Marquéz-Grant and Louise Loe. 2008. *Life and death in a*  
50 *Roman city: excavations of a Roman cemetery with a mass grave at 120-122 London*  
51 *Road, Gloucester*. Oxford: Oxford Archaeology Monograph No 6.  
52

- 1  
2  
3 Smith, Alexander., Martyn Allen, Tom Brindle and Michael Fulford. 2016. The rural  
4 settlement of Roman Britain. *Britannia Monograph volume 29*. London: The Roman  
5 Society.  
6
- 7 Soliman El-Banna, R.A.E., A.M. Sarry El-Din, F. Ahmed Eid and W.Y. Mohamed Ali. 2014.  
8 The prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in Ancient Egyptian population from Baharia  
9 Oasis, the Greco-Roman period. *The Egyptian Journal of Hospital Medicine* 55:251-  
10 256.  
11
- 12 Soranus. 1991. *Gynecology*. edited by Owsei Temkin. The Johns Hopkins Press, Baltimore.  
13
- 14 Stantis, C., R.L. Kinaston, M.P. Richards, J.M. Davidson and H.R. Buckley. 2015. Assessing  
15 human diet and movement in the Tongan maritime chiefdom using isotopic analyses.  
16 *PLoS One* 10 (3):e 0123156. DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0123156.  
17
- 18 Stuart-Macadam, Patricia, and Susan Kent, eds. 1992. *Diet, demography and disease.*  
19 *Changing perspectives on anemia*. Aldine de Gruyter, New York.  
20
- 21 Temple, Daniel H. and Alan H. Goodman. 2014. Bioarchaeology has a ‘health’ problem:  
22 conceptualizing ‘stress’ and ‘health’ in bioarchaeological research. *American Journal*  
23 *of Physical Anthropology* 155: 186-191.  
24
- 25 Trafimow, David and Michael Marks. 2015. Editorial. *Basic and Applied Social Psychology*  
26 37: 1-2.  
27
- 28 UK Soil Observatory. 2016. Soils of England and Wales.  
29 <http://www.ukso.org/SoilsofEnglandandWales/home.html>. Accessed 31st October  
30 2016.  
31
- 32 van der Veen, M., A. Livarda and A. Hill. 2008. New plant foods in Roman Britain: dispersal  
33 and social access. *Environmental Archaeology* 13 (1):11-36. DOI:  
34 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1179/174963108X279193>.  
35
- 36 van Dommelen, P. 2014. Moving on: archaeological perspectives on mobility and migration.  
37 *World Archaeology* 46 (4):477-483. DOI: 10.1080/00438243.2014.933359.  
38
- 39 Wachter, John. 2016. *The towns of Roman Britain*. Abingdon: Routledge.  
40
- 41 Walker, Philip, Rhonda R Bathhurst, Rebecca Richman, Thor Gjerdrum and Valerie A  
42 Andrushko. 2009. The causes of porotic hyperostosis and cribra orbitalia: a  
43 reappraisal of the iron-deficiency-anemia hypothesis. *American Journal of Physical*  
44 *Anthropology* 139: 109-125. DOI: 10.1002/ajpa.21031.  
45
- 46 Weston, Darlene. 2008. Investigating the specificity of periosteal reactions in pathology  
47 museum specimens. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 137:48-59. DOI:  
48 10.1002/ajpa.20839.  
49
- 50 2012. Non-specific infection in palaeopathology: interpreting periosteal reactions. In  
51 *Companion to Paleopathology*, edited by Anne L Grauer. Wiley-Blackwell, New  
52 York. pp. 492-512.  
53

1  
2  
3  
4 Woolf, Greg. 1998. *Becoming Roman: the origins of provincial civilization in Gaul*.  
5 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

25 **Figure captions**  
26

27  
28 Figure 1. Map showing the location of the towns selected for analysis in Roman Britain.  
29 Each site report listed in Table 1 has a detailed location map. Drawn by J. Davis from a base-  
30 map made available at [http://www.d-maps.com/carte.php?num\\_car=5585&lang=en](http://www.d-maps.com/carte.php?num_car=5585&lang=en)  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



Table 1. List of sites used in the study

City/ County	Site name	Sample size	Reference
Dorset	Poundbury Camp, Dorchester	3	Richards et al. (1998)
Gloucestershire	London Road, Gloucester	13	Simmonds et al. (2008)
Hampshire	Winchester	40	Booth et al. (2010); Eckardt et al. (2009)
Lancashire	Hollow Banks, Scorton	10	Eckardt et al. (2015)
London	1-4 Giltspur Street	2	Shaw et al. (2016)
	49-55 Mansell Street	3	Shaw et al. (2016)
	65-73 Mansell Street	1	Shaw et al. (2016)
	60 London Wall	2	Shaw et al. (2016)
	Broadgate	1	Shaw et al. (2016)
	Cotts House	1	Shaw et al. (2016)
	Great Dover Street	2	Shaw et al. (2016)
	Harper Road	1	Shaw et al. (2016)
	Hooper Street	4	Shaw et al. (2016)
	Lant Street	19	Redfern et al. (2016)
Yorkshire	Spitalfields Market	5	Bell, pers comm; Montgomery et al. (2010)
	St Bartholomew's Hospital	1	Shaw et al. (2016)
	Bainesse Farm, Catterick	20	Chenery et al. (2011)
	Dere Street, Catterick	2	Chenery et al. (2011)
	Honeypot Road, Catterick	2	Chenery et al. (2011)
	Trentholme Drive, York	9	Leach et al. (2009)
	3 Driffield Terrace, York	4	
	6 Driffield Terrace, York	18	Leach et al. (2009); Montgomery et al. (2011) Leach et al. (2009)

Table 2. Stable isotope data organised by location, site and individual (data from sources listed in Table 1)

County	Site name and modern city/town	Context (or grave) number	Sex	Local status (9 = no data, 1 = regional local, 1.5 = possible regional local, 2 = British, 3 = inconsistent with Britain, 3.5 = possible inconsistent with Britain)	Regional local (1 = local to region, 2 = British and non- local)	British (1 = local to region or Britain, 2 = non- British)
Dorset	Poundbury Camp, Dorchester	235	Female	3.5	2	2
		862	Female	3.5	2	2
		1255	Subadult	3.5	2	2
Gloucestershire	London Road, Gloucester	1103	Female	1	1	1
		1127	Male	1	1	1
		1131	Female	2	2	1
		1181	Female	1	1	1
		1216	Male	2	2	1
		1238	Male	2	2	1
		1328	Male	1	1	1
		1364	Female	2	2	1
		1518	Male	2	2	1
		1539	Female	1	1	1
		1541	Male	2	2	1
		1544	Male	1	1	1

1			1553	Male	1	1	1
2							
3	Hampshire	Winchester	12	Male	1	1	1
4			84	Female	1	1	1
5			118	Subadult	1	1	1
6			119	Female	3	2	2
7			212	Female	1	1	1
8			271	Female	3	2	2
9			281	Male	2	2	1
10			435	Female	1	1	1
11			489	Male	2	2	1
12			566	Male	2	2	1
13			661	Female	1	1	1
14			683	Male	2	2	1
15			776	Male	2	2	1
16			806	Female	1.5	1	1
17			812	Male	1	1	1
18			861	Male	2	2	1
19			862	Male	1	1	1
20			874	Subadult	1	1	1
21			926	Subadult	1	1	1
22			932	Male	1	1	1
23			1026	Subadult	1	1	1
24			1084	Female	1	1	1
25			1091	Female	1	1	1
26			1094	Female	2	2	1
27			1114	Female	1	1	1
28			1119	Male	3	2	2
29			1133	Subadult	1	1	1
30			1134	Female	1	1	1
31			1197	Female	2	2	1
32			1207	Female	1	1	1
33			1227	Female	1	1	1
34			1244	Subadult	1	1	1
35			1271	Male	1	1	1
36			1277	Male	2	2	1

For Review Only

1			1289	Male	1	1	1
2			1517	Male	1	1	1
3			1697	Male	1	1	1
4			1761	Subadult	1	1	1
5			1870	Subadult	1	1	1
6			1894	Male	2	2	1
7							
8							
9	Lancashire	Hollow Banks, Scorton	502 (grave 1)	Unknown	3	2	2
10			511 (grave 13)	Male	1	1	1
11			523 (grave 2)	Male	3	2	2
12			529 (grave 5)	Unknown	3	2	2
13			535 (grave 6)	Male	3	2	2
14			541 (grave 10)	Unknown	3	2	2
15			565 (grave 11)	Female	3	2	2
16			594 (grave 12)	Male	1	1	1
17			600 (grave 7)	Male	3	2	2
18							
19							
20							
21	London	1-4 Giltspur Street	599	Female	1	1	1
22			709	Female	1	1	1
23		49-55 Mansell Street	163	Female	1	1	1
24			390	Female	2	2	1
25			724	Male	1	1	1
26							
27		65-73 Mansell Street	37	Male	1	1	1
28		60 London Wall	695.5	Male	9		
29			803.6	Male	1	1	1
30		Broadgate	400	Subadult	3	2	2
31		Cotts House	30	Male	1	1	1
32		Great Dover Street	150	Subadult	1	1	1
33		Great Dover Street	325	Female	3	2	2
34		Harper Road	311	Female	1	1	1
35		Hooper Street	518	Female	1	1	1
36			652	Male	1	1	1
37			1407	Female	1	1	1
38			1673	Female	1	1	1
39		Lant Street	13	Female	2	2	1
40			27	Male	2	2	1
41							
42							
43							
44							
45							
46							
47							

1		58	Female	2	2	1
2		103	Subadult	3	2	2
3		128	Male	9		
4		154	Male	3.5	2	2
5		157	Female	3.5	2	2
6		208	Female	3	2	2
7		253	Female	9		
8		321	Male	3.5	2	2
9		339	Subadult	3	2	2
10		358	Male	9		
11		369	Subadult	9		
12		379	Male	2	2	1
13		385	Subadult	3	2	2
14		407	Male	9		
15		434	Female	3.5	2	2
16		440	Male	9		
17		465	Male	3.5	2	2
18		1988	Female	3	2	2
19		15903	Female	3	2	2
20		23873	Subadult	1	1	1
21		34209	Male	1	1	1
22		34245	Male	3	2	2
23	Spitalfields Market	182	Female	1	1	1
24		255	Female	1	1	1
25		277	Male	2	2	1
26		324	Male	2	2	1
27		422	Female	1	1	1
28		475	Male	1	1	1
29		632	Subadult	1	1	1
30		678	Male	1	1	1
31		679	Subadult	2	2	1
32		709	Male	1	1	1
33		746	Male	1	1	1
34		756	Female	1	1	1
35		801	Female	2	2	1
36	Yorkshire	Bainesse Farm, Catterick				

1		812	Subadult	1	1	1
2	Catterick Bridge, Catterick	37	Male	1	1	1
3		77	Female	1	1	1
4		136	Male	1	1	1
5		166	Male	1	1	1
6		389	Subadult	1	1	1
7		484	Subadult	1	1	1
8						
9	Dere Street, Catterick	P IV 9	Male	1	1	1
10	Honeypot Road, Catterick	941	Male	1	1	1
11		942	Male	1	1	1
12						
13	Trentholme Drive, York	4	Male	1	1	1
14		153	Female	1	1	1
15		157	Male	1	1	1
16		173	Male	1	1	1
17		411	Male	2	2	1
18		466	Male	1	1	1
19		513	Female	2	2	1
20		608	Male	2	2	1
21		708	Male	2	2	1
22						
23						
24	3 Driffield Terrace, York	16	Male	1	1	1
25		37	Male	1	1	1
26	6 Driffield Terrace, York	1	Male	1	1	1
27		2	Male	2	2	1
28		4	Male	1	1	1
29		6	Male	1	1	1
30		7	Male	1	1	1
31		8	Male	2	2	1
32		9	Male	3	2	2
33		12	Male	1	1	1
34		14	Male	2	2	1
35		15	Male	2	2	1
36		17	Male	1	1	1
37		18	Male	2	2	1
38		19	Male	2	2	1
39		20	Male	2	2	1
40		21	Male	2	2	1
41						
42						
43						
44						
45						
46						
47						

1	22	Male	2	2	1
2	23	Male	2	2	1
3	24	Male	3	2	2
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
29					
30					
31					
32					
33					
34					
35					
36					
37					
38					
39					
40					
41					
42					
43					
44					
45					
46					
47					

For Review Only

Table 3: Sample sizes for each group. The 'regional local' sample includes 'possible' regional locals, and the 'non-British' sample includes those with values that are possibly inconsistent with Britain.

	<b>Adult Male</b>	<b>Adult Female</b>	<b>Adult Indeterminate Sex</b>	<b>Subadult</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Regional Local</b>	42 (50.6%)	27 (32.53%)	0 (0%)	14 (16.87%)	83
<b>British</b>	29 (74.36%)	9 (23.08%)	0 (0%)	1 (2.56%)	39
<b>Non-British</b>	10 (34.48%)	11 (37.93%)	3 (10.34%)	5 (17.24%)	29



Table 4: The categories of diseases included in the study.

Category	Diseases	Reference
Indicators of Stress	Cribra orbitalia, porotic hyperostosis, enamel hypoplastic defects and periosteal new bone formation	Goodman and Martin (2002); Hillson (2005); Humphrey and King (2000); King et al. (2005); Stuart-Macadam and Kent (1992); Rivera and Mirazón Lahr (2017); Walker et al. (2009); Weston (2008)
Metabolic diseases	Scurvy, osteomalacia, rickets and residual rickets	Brickley and Ives (2008); Brickley et al. (2010, 2014)
Specific infectious disease	Tuberculosis	Lewis (2011); Mariotti et al. (2015); Roberts and Buikstra (2008); Sandgren et al. (2014)
Non-specific infectious disease	Rib lesions	Kelley and Micozzi (1984); Roberts et al. (1994, 1998); Weston (2008, 2012)
Dental health	Periodontal disease, carious lesions, dental calculus	Hillson (2005)

Table 5: Kaplan-Meier survival analysis results.

Samples		Mean survival time	95% CI	Mantel-Cox <i>p</i> -value
All ages	Regional local (n = 67)	30.58	26.77 - 34.40	0.25
	British (n = 31)	34.40	30.17 - 38.63	
	Non-British (n = 28)	29.23	24.89 - 33.57	
Adults (includes "indeterminate")	Regional local (n = 53)	36.93	33.93 - 39.92	0.088
	British (n = 30)	35.1	30.96 - 39.24	
	Non-British (n = 25)	31.46	27.42 - 35.49	
Males	Regional local (n = 33)	36.23	32.47 - 39.98	0.925
	British (n = 24)	35.23	30.78 - 39.68	
	Non-British (n = 9)	35.39	28.07 - 42.71	
Females	Regional local (n = 20)	38.08	33.03 - 43.12	0.435
	British (n = 6)	34.58	23.06 - 46.11	
	Non-British (n = 11)	32.36	27.22 - 37.51	

Table 6: Maximum likelihood estimates (with standard error in parentheses) of the effect of the covariate (individuals in the group indicated with an \* in the first column were assigned a covariate score of 1) on the Gompertz model and the results of the likelihood ratio tests.

	<b>Samples</b>	<b>Covariate Effect</b>	<b>-2LLR</b>	<b><i>p</i></b>
<b>Pooled sexes</b>	Regional local (n = 53) vs. British* (n = 30)	0.105 (0.21)	0.21	0.65
	Regional local (n = 53) vs. non-British* (n = 25)	0.55 (0.25)	4.57	0.03
	British (n = 30) vs. non-British* (n = 25)	0.41 (0.28)	2.10	0.15
<b>Males</b>	Regional local (n = 33) vs. British* (n = 24)	0.066 (0.25)	0.06	0.81
	Regional local (n = 33) vs. non-British* (n = 9)	0.11 (0.41)	0.08	0.78
	Britain local (n = 24) vs. non-British* (n = 9)	0.033 (0.39)	0.007	0.93
<b>Females</b>	Regional local (n = 20) vs. British* (n = 6)	0.097 (0.39)	0.043	0.84
	Regional local (n = 20) vs. non-British* (n = 11)	0.79 (0.41)	3.52	0.06
	British (n = 6) vs. non-British* (n = 11)	0.64 (0.83)	1.31	0.25

Table 7: Disease and dental health frequencies by stable isotope grouping. % = Percentage of regional samples with or without a disease/lesion.

	<b>Cribra orbitalia</b>		<b>Porotic hyperostosis</b>		<b>Periosteal new bone formation</b>		<b>Rib lesions</b>		<b>Enamel hypoplastic defects</b>	
	<b>Absent</b>	<b>Present</b>	<b>Absent</b>	<b>Present</b>	<b>Absent</b>	<b>Present</b>	<b>Absent</b>	<b>Present</b>	<b>Absent</b>	<b>Present</b>
<b>Regional Local</b>	18 (48.6%)	19 (51.4%)	61 (84.7%)	11 (15.3%)	55 (71.4%)	22 (28.6%)	67 (95.7%)	3 (4.3%)	54 (68.4%)	25 (31.6%)
<b>British</b>	15 (57.7%)	11 (42.3%)	30 (85.7%)	5 (14.3%)	19 (51.4%)	18 (48.6%)	24 (72.7%)	9 (27.3%)	26 (68.4%)	12 (31.6%)
<b>Non-British</b>	9 (60%)	6 (40%)	22 (81.5%)	5 (18.5%)	15 (51.7%)	14 (48.3%)	22 (75.9%)	7 (24.1%)	16 (55.2%)	13 (44.8%)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

Table 8: Specific diseases by stable isotope grouping

	Residual Rickets		Tuberculosis	
	Absent	Present	Absent	Present
<b>Regional Local</b>	74 (98.7%)	1 (1.3%)	80 (98.8%)	1 (1.2%)
<b>British</b>	34 (97.1%)	1 (2.9%)	39 (100%)	0 (0%)
<b>Non-British</b>	25 (86.2%)	4 (13.8%)	27 (96.4%)	1 (3.6%)

For Review Only

Table 9: Results of comparisons of diseases and sex distributions. Unless otherwise indicated, p-values for Chi-square tests are shown. The p-values for Fisher's exact tests (for residual rickets and tuberculosis, both of which were rarely observed) are indicated by an asterisk \*.

Variable	Comparison	p-value
Cribra orbitalia	Regional × British × non-British	0.67
Porotic hyperostosis	Regional × British × non-British	0.89
Periosteal new bone formation	Regional × British × non-British	0.05
Rib lesions	Regional × British × non-British	0.002
Enamel hypoplastic defects	Regional × British × non-British	0.41
	Regional/British × non-British	0.18
Residual rickets	Regional × British × non-British	0.017*
Tuberculosis	Regional × non-British	0.45*
Periodontal disease	Regional × British × non-British	0.028
	Regional × British	0.28
	Regional × non-British	0.05
	British × non-British	0.01
Cariious lesions	Regional × British × non-British	0.096
	Regional × British	0.04
Dental calculus	Regional × British × non-British	0.187
	Regional × British	0.08
Sex	Regional × British × non-British	0.075
	Regional × British	0.1
	Regional × non-British	0.28
	British × non-British	0.03

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

Table 10 : Dental health frequencies given by stable isotope groupings

	Periodontal disease		Carious lesions		Dental calculus	
	Absent	Present	Absent	Present	Absent	Present
<b>Regional</b>	15	42	43	36	27	52
<b>Local</b>	(26.3%)	(73.7%)	(54.5%)	(45.6%)	(34.2%)	(65.8%)
<b>British</b>	5	26	13	25	7	31
	(16.1%)	(83.9%)	(34.2%)	(65.8%)	(18.4%)	(81.6%)
<b>Non-British</b>	12	13	16	13	10	19
	(48%)	(52%)	(55.2%)	(44.8%)	(34.5%)	(65.5%)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

For Review Only



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



Map of Britain showing the location of the sites used in the study. Drawn by J. Davis based on <http://d-maps.com> ©Museum of London

189x234mm (300 x 300 DPI)

City/ County	Site name	Context (or grave) number
Dorset	Poundbury Camp, Dorchester	235
		862
		1255
Gloucestershire	London Road, Gloucester	1103
		1127
		1131
		1181
		1216
		1238
		1328
		1364
		1518
		1539
		1541
		1544
		1553
Hampshire	Winchester	12
		84
		118
		119
		212
		271
		281
		435
		489
		566
		661
		683
		776
		806
		812
		861
		862
		874
		926
		932
		1026
		1084
		1091
		1094
		1114
		1119
		1133

1			
2			1134
3			1197
4			1207
5			1227
6			1244
7			1271
8			1277
9			1289
10			1517
11			1697
12			1761
13			1870
14			1894
15			
16			
17			
18	Lancashire	Hollow Banks, Scorton	502 (grave 1)
19			511 (grave 13)
20			523 (grave 2)
21			529 (grave 5)
22			535 (grave 6)
23			541 (grave 10)
24			565 (grave 11)
25			594 (grave 12)
26			600 (grave 7)
27			
28			
29	London	1-4 Giltspur Street	599
30		1-4 Giltspur Street	709
31		49-55 Mansell Street	163
32		49-55 Mansell Street	390
33		49-55 Mansell Street	724
34		60 London Wall	695.5
35		60 London Wall	803.6
36		65-73 Mansell Street	37
37		Broadgate	400
38		Cotts House	30
39		Great Dover Street	150
40		Great Dover Street	325
41		Harper Road	311
42		Hooper Street	518
43		Hooper Street	652
44		Hooper Street	1407
45		Hooper Street	1673
46		Lant Street	13
47		Lant Street	27
48		Lant Street	58
49		Lant Street	103
50		Lant Street	128
51		Lant Street	154
52		Lant Street	157
53			
54			
55			
56			
57			
58			
59			
60			

1			
2		Lant Street	208
3		Lant Street	253
4		Lant Street	321
5		Lant Street	339
6		Lant Street	358
7		Lant Street	369
8		Lant Street	379
9		Lant Street	385
10		Lant Street	407
11		Lant Street	434
12		Lant Street	440
13		Lant Street	465
14		Lant Street	1988
15		Spitalfields Market	15903
16		Spitalfields Market	23873
17		Spitalfields Market	34209
18		Spitalfields Market	34245
19		Spitalfields Market	182
20		St Bartholomew's Hospital	
21			
22			
23			
24	Yorkshire	Bainesse Farm, Catterick	255
25			277
26			324
27			422
28			475
29			632
30			678
31			679
32			709
33			746
34			756
35			801
36			812
37			37
38		Catterick Bridge, Catterick	77
39			136
40			166
41			389
42			484
43			P IV 9
44		Dere Street, Catterick	941
45		Honeypot Road, Catterick	942
46			4
47			153
48			157
49	Yorkshire	Trentholme Drive, York	173
50			411
51			466
52			513
53			
54			
55			
56			
57			
58			
59			
60			

1		
2		608
3		708
4	3 Driffield Terrace, York	16
5		37
6	6 Driffield Terrace, York	1
7		2
8		4
9		6
10		7
11		8
12		9
13		12
14		14
15		15
16		17
17		18
18		19
19		20
20		21
21		22
22		23
23		24
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
29		
30		
31		
32		
33		
34		
35		
36		
37		
38		
39		
40		
41		
42		
43		
44		
45		
46		
47		
48		
49		
50		
51		
52		
53		
54		
55		
56		
57		
58		
59		
60		

For Review Only

	Sex	Age midpoint	Cribra orbitalia
	(F: female; M: Male; S: subadult; U: unknown)		
5	F	40.5	0
6	F	40.5	9
7	S	10	1
8			
9			
10	F	30.5	9
11	M	21.5	0
12	F	21.5	9
13	F	30.5	0
14	M	40.5	1
15	M	40.5	9
16	M	21.5	1
17	F	21.5	0
18	M	30.5	0
19	F	30.5	1
20	M	21.5	1
21	M	30.5	0
22	M	30.5	0
23			
24			
25			
26	M	50.5	0
27	F	adult	1
28	S	0.9	9
29	F	30.5	9
30	F	60	9
31	F	30.5	9
32	M	50.5	9
33	F	50.5	9
34	M	50.5	9
35	M	30.5	9
36	F	50.5	9
37	M	50.5	0
38	M	adult	0
39	F	60	9
40	M	50.5	9
41	M	60	9
42	M	40.5	9
43	S	9	9
44	S	13	9
45	M	21.5	0
46	S	5.5	1
47	F	30.5	9
48	F	21.5	9
49	F	adult	1
50	F	30.5	9
51	M	50.5	9
52	S	6.5	9

1			
2	F	40.5	9
3	F	60	9
4	F	adult	9
5	F	40.5	9
6	S	13	1
7	M	50.5	9
8	M	40.5	9
9	M	40.5	1
10	M	40.5	1
11	M	60	9
12	M	40.5	9
13	S	6	9
14	S	9.5	9
15	M	21.5	9
16			
17			
18	U	21	9
19	M	30	9
20	Male	30	9
21	U	30	9
22	M	30	0
23	U	30	9
24	F	21	9
25	M	30	9
26	M	30	0
27			
28			
29	F	40.5	1
30	F	40.5	9
31	F	adult	0
32	F	40.5	0
33	M	50.5	1
34	M	40.5	1
35	M	30.5	1
36	M	50.5	9
37	S	8	1
38	M	21.5	1
39	S	7	9
40	F	21.5	9
41	F	x	1
42	F	40.5	1
43	M	adult	0
44	F	adult	9
45	F	adult	1
46	F	32	0
47	M	40.5	0
48	F	32	1
49	S	16	1
50	M	37	1
51	M	22	1
52	F	27	0
53			
54			
55			
56			
57			
58			
59			
60			

1			
2	F	42	9
3	F	37	1
4	M	42	0
5	S	15	9
6	M	Adult	0
7	S	15	0
8	M	Adult	0
9	S	14	9
10	M	27	9
11	F	40.5	0
12	M	37	1
13	M	42	1
14	F	40.5	9
15	F	21.5	0
16	S	5	9
17	M	30.5	0
18	M	50.5	1
19	F	21.5	9
20			
21			
22			
23	F	37	9
24	M	40	9
25	M	22.5	9
26	F	50.5	9
27	M	22.5	9
28	S	5	9
29	M	42.5	9
30	S	13.5	9
31	M	45	9
32	M	50.5	9
33	F	27.5	9
34	F	adult	9
35	S	5	9
36	M	Adult	9
37	F	27.5	9
38	M	22.5	1
39	M	25	9
40	S	4.5	9
41	S	2.25	9
42	M	40	9
43	M	22.5	0
44	M	adult	0
45	M	Adult	1
46	F	Adult	1
47	M	Adult	0
48	M	Adult	1
49	M	Adult	1
50	M	Adult	1
51	M	Adult	1
52	M	Adult	1
53	M	Adult	1
54	M	Adult	1
55	F	Adult	1
56			
57			
58			
59			
60			



1			
2	M	Adult	0
3	M	Adult	1
4	M	40.5	0
5	M	30.5	0
6	M	x	9
7	M	40.5	0
8	M	40.5	1
9	M	30.5	0
10	M	40.5	0
11	M	40.5	0
12	M	40.5	0
13	M	Adult	0
14	M	Adult	0
15	M	30.5	1
16	M	21.5	0
17	M	40.5	0
18	M	19	1
19	M	30.5	0
20	M	30.5	0
21	M	40.5	1
22	M	30.5	1
23	M	21.5	0
24	M	21.5	0
25	M	21.5	0
26	M	21.5	0
27			
28			
29			
30			
31			
32			
33			
34			
35			
36			
37			
38			
39			
40			
41			
42			
43			
44			
45			
46			
47			
48			
49			
50			
51			
52			
53			
54			
55			
56			
57			
58			
59			
60			

For Review Only

**Porotic hyperostosis    Periosteal new bone formation    Rib lesions    Scurvy    Osteomalacia**

	Porotic hyperostosis	Periosteal new bone formation	Rib lesions	Scurvy	Osteomalacia
5	9	1	1	0	0
6	0	1	1	0	0
7	0	0	1	0	9
10	0	1	0	0	0
11	0	0	0	0	0
12	0	1	0	0	0
13	0	1	1	0	0
14	0	1	1	0	0
15	0	0	0	0	0
16	0	1	0	0	0
17	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	1	0	0	0
19	0	1	0	0	0
20	0	1	0	0	0
21	0	1	0	0	0
22	0	1	0	0	0
23	0	1	0	0	0
24	0	1	0	0	0
25	0	1	0	0	0
26	0	1	9	0	0
27	0	0	0	0	0
28	0	1	0	0	0
29	0	0	0	0	0
30	0	0	0	0	0
31	0	0	0	0	0
32	0	0	0	0	0
33	0	0	0	0	0
34	0	0	0	0	0
35	0	1	0	0	0
36	0	0	0	0	0
37	0	0	0	0	0
38	0	0	0	0	0
39	0	0	9	0	0
40	0	0	0	0	0
41	0	1	9	0	0
42	0	0	0	0	0
43	0	1	0	0	0
44	9	0	0	0	0
45	0	0	0	0	0
46	0	0	9	0	0
47	0	0	0	0	0
48	0	0	0	0	0
49	0	0	0	0	0
50	0	0	0	0	0
51	0	0	0	0	0
52	0	0	9	0	0
53	0	0	0	0	0
54	0	0	0	0	0
55	0	0	0	0	0
56	0	0	0	0	0

1					
2	1	1	1	0	0
3	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	9	0	0
5	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	0	0
7	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	9	0	0
9	1	0	0	0	0
10	0	1	0	0	0
11	0	0	0	0	0
12	0	0	9	0	0
13	0	0	0	0	0
14	0	0	0	0	0
15	0	0	0	0	0
16					
17					
18	0	0	0	0	0
19	0	0	0	0	0
20	0	1	0	0	0
21	9	0	0	0	9
22	0	1	0	0	0
23	0	0	0	0	0
24	0	0	0	0	0
25	0	0	0	0	0
26	0	1	0	0	0
27	0	1	0	0	0
28					
29	1	1	0	0	0
30	0	0	0	0	0
31	0	0	0	0	0
32	0	0	0	0	0
33	0	1	0	0	0
34	0	0	0	0	0
35	1	0	9	0	9
36	1	0	9	0	9
37	0	0	0	0	0
38	1	0	0	0	0
39	1	1	0	0	0
40	0	0	0	0	0
41	0	0	0	0	0
42	0	0	0	0	0
43	0	0	0	0	0
44	1	0	0	0	0
45	0	0	0	0	0
46	0	0	0	0	0
47	0	0	0	0	0
48	0	0	0	0	0
49	0	1	0	0	0
50	1	1	1	0	0
51	0	1	1	0	0
52	1	1	1	0	9
53	0	0	1	0	0
54	1	1	0	0	0
55	0	0	0	0	0
56					
57					
58					
59					
60					

1					
2	0	0	1	0	0
3	1	1	1	0	0
4	0	1	0	0	0
5	0	0	0	0	9
6	1	1	0	0	0
7	0	0	0	0	9
8	0	0	0	0	0
9	0	1	0	0	9
10	0	0	0	0	0
11	1	1	1	0	0
12	1	1	0	0	0
13	1	1	0	0	0
14	1	1	0	0	0
15	0	0	0	0	0
16	0	0	0	0	0
17	0	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	0
19	0	0	0	0	0
20	0	0	0	0	0
21	0	0	0	0	0
22					
23					
24	9	0	0	0	0
25	9	0	0	0	0
26	9	0	0	0	0
27	9	0	0	0	0
28	9	0	0	0	0
29	9	0	0	0	0
30	9	0	0	0	0
31	9	0	0	0	0
32	9	0	0	0	0
33	9	0	0	0	0
34	9	0	0	0	0
35	9	0	0	0	0
36	9	0	0	0	0
37	9	0	0	0	0
38	0	0	0	0	0
39	0	0	0	0	0
40	0	0	0	0	0
41	0	0	0	0	0
42	0	0	0	0	0
43	0	0	0	0	0
44	0	0	0	0	0
45	0	1	9	0	9
46	0	0	0	0	0
47	0	0	0	0	0
48	0	0	0	0	0
49	1	9	9	9	0
50	1	9	9	9	0
51	1	9	9	9	0
52	1	9	9	9	0
53	1	1	9	9	0
54	1	9	9	9	0
55	1	1	1	9	0
56	1	1	1	9	0
57					
58					
59					
60					

1					
2	1	9	9	9	0
3	1	9	9	9	0
4	0	1	0	0	0
5	0	1	1	0	0
6	9	9	9	9	9
7	0	1	0	0	0
8	0	1	0	0	0
9	0	1	0	0	0
10	0	1	0	0	0
11	0	1	0	0	0
12	0	1	1	0	0
13	0	1	0	0	0
14	0	0	0	0	0
15	0	1	1	0	0
16	0	1	1	0	0
17	0	1	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	0
19	0	1	0	0	0
20	0	0	1	0	0
21	0	1	1	0	0
22	0	1	0	0	0
23	0	0	1	0	0
24	0	1	0	0	0
25	0	0	0	0	0
26	0	1	1	0	0

For Review Only

27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

	<b>Rickets</b>	<b>Residual rickets</b>	<b>Tuberculosis</b>	<b>Periodontal disease</b>	<b>Cariou lesions</b>	<b>Calculus</b>
5	9	0	0	1	0	1
6	9	1	0	9	0	0
7	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	1	1	1
11	0	0	0	0	1	1
12	0	0	0	1	1	1
13	0	0	0	1	1	1
14	0	0	0	1	1	1
15	0	0	0	1	1	1
16	0	0	0	1	1	1
17	0	0	0	1	1	1
18	0	0	0	1	1	1
19	0	0	0	1	1	1
20	0	0	0	0	1	1
21	0	0	0	0	1	1
22	0	0	0	1	1	1
23	0	0	0	1	1	1
24	0	0	0	1	1	1
26	0	0	0	9	1	1
27	0	0	0	1	1	1
28	0	0	0	9	0	0
29	0	0	0	9	0	1
30	0	0	0	1	1	1
31	0	0	0	1	1	1
32	0	0	0	1	1	1
33	0	0	0	1	0	1
34	0	0	0	1	1	1
35	0	0	0	1	1	1
36	0	0	0	9	1	1
37	0	0	0	9	0	1
38	0	0	0	1	1	1
39	0	0	0	0	1	1
40	0	0	0	1	0	1
41	0	0	0	9	0	1
42	0	0	0	1	0	1
43	0	0	0	9	0	0
44	0	0	0	9	0	1
45	0	0	0	9	0	0
46	0	0	0	1	0	1
47	0	0	0	0	0	0
48	0	0	0	1	1	1
49	0	0	0	1	1	1
50	0	0	0	1	1	1
51	0	0	0	1	1	0
52	0	0	0	9	1	0
53	0	0	0	1	1	1
54	0	0	0	1	1	1
55	0	0	0	9	0	0

1							
2	0	0	1	1	1	0	
3	0	0	0	1	1	0	
4	0	0	0	9	0	0	
5	0	0	0	9	1	1	
6	0	0	0	9	1	0	
7	0	0	0	9	1	1	
8	0	0	0	9	0	0	
9	0	0	0	9	0	0	
10	0	0	0	1	0	1	
11	0	0	0	0	1	1	
12	0	0	0	9	0	0	
13	0	0	0	9	0	0	
14	0	0	0	9	0	0	
15	0	0	0	9	0	1	
16							
17							
18	0	0	0	0	1	0	
19	0	0	0	0	0	1	
20	0	0	0	0	1	0	
21	0	0	0	9	0	0	
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	
23	0	0	9	9	0	0	
24	0	0	0	0	0	0	
25	0	0	0	0	0	0	
26	0	0	0	0	1	0	
27	0	0	0	0	0	0	
28							
29	0	0	0	1	0	1	
30	0	0	0	0	0	1	
31	0	0	0	0	1	1	
32	0	0	0	1	1	0	
33	0	0	0	0	0	1	
34	9	9	0	1	1	1	
35	9	9	0	1	0	1	
36	0	0	0	1	1	1	
37	0	0	1	0	0	1	
38	0	0	0	1	1	1	
39	0	0	0	1	1	1	
40	1	0	0	1	0	1	
41	0	0	0	0	1	1	
42	0	0	0	1	0	1	
43	0	0	0	1	0	1	
44	0	0	0	1	0	1	
45	0	0	0	1	1	1	
46	0	0	0	1	1	1	
47	0	0	0	0	1	1	
48	9	0	0	1	1	1	
49	9	0	0	1	1	1	
50	9	0	0	1	0	1	
51	0	0	0	0	0	1	
52	9	0	0	1	1	1	
53	9	0	0	1	0	1	
54	9	0	0	1	0	1	
55	9	0	0	1	1	1	
56							
57							
58							
59							
60							

1						
2	9	1	0	1	0	1
3	9	0	0	1	1	1
4	9	1	0	1	1	1
5	0	0	0	1	0	1
6	9	0	0	1	1	1
7	0	0	0	0	0	1
8	9	0	0	1	1	1
9	9	0	0	1	1	1
10	0	1	0	1	0	1
11	9	0	0	9	1	1
12	9	0	0	1	1	1
13	9	0	0	1	0	1
14	9	0	0	1	1	1
15	0	0	0	0	1	0
16	0	0	0	0	1	1
17	0	0	0	0	1	1
18	0	0	0	9	9	9
19	0	0	0	0	1	1
20	0	0	0	1	1	1
21	0	0	0	1	1	0
22						
23						
24	0	0	0	9	0	0
25	0	0	0	9	1	0
26	0	0	0	9	0	0
27	0	0	0	9	0	0
28	0	0	0	9	0	0
29	0	0	0	9	9	9
30	0	0	0	9	0	0
31	0	0	0	9	9	9
32	0	0	0	9	9	9
33	0	0	0	9	0	0
34	0	0	0	9	0	0
35	0	0	0	9	0	0
36	0	0	0	9	0	0
37	0	0	0	9	9	9
38	0	0	0	1	0	0
39	0	0	0	0	0	0
40	0	0	0	0	0	0
41	0	0	0	1	0	0
42	0	0	0	1	1	0
43	0	0	0	0	0	0
44	0	0	0	0	0	0
45	9	9	9	1	0	1
46	0	0	0	0	0	1
47	0	0	0	0	0	1
48	0	0	0	1	1	1
49	9	9	0	1	0	1
50	9	9	0	1	0	1
51	9	9	0	1	0	1
52	9	9	0	1	0	1
53	9	9	0	1	1	1
54	9	9	0	1	0	1
55	9	9	0	1	0	1
56	0	9	0	1	0	1
57						
58						
59						
60						

For Review Only



1							
2	0	9	0	1	0	1	
3	9	9	0	1	0	1	
4	0	0	0	1	1	1	
5	0	0	0	1	1	1	
6	9	9	9	9	9	9	
7	0	0	0	1	1	1	
8	0	0	0	1	1	1	
9	0	0	0	1	1	1	
10	0	1	0	1	0	1	
11	0	0	0	1	1	1	
12	0	0	0	1	1	1	
13	0	0	0	0	0	1	
14	0	0	0	1	1	1	
15	0	1	0	0	0	1	
16	0	0	0	1	1	1	
17	0	0	0	1	1	1	
18	0	0	0	1	1	1	
19	0	0	0	0	0	1	
20	0	0	0	1	1	1	
21	0	0	0	0	1	1	
22	0	0	0	1	1	1	
23	0	0	0	1	1	1	
24	0	0	0	1	1	1	
25	0	0	0	1	0	1	
26	0	0	0	1	1	1	
27							
28							
29							
30							
31							
32							
33							
34							
35							
36							
37							
38							
39							
40							
41							
42							
43							
44							
45							
46							
47							
48							
49							
50							
51							
52							
53							
54							
55							
56							
57							
58							
59							
60							

For Review Only

**Enamel hypoplastic defects**

---

5	0
6	0
7	0
8	0
9	0
10	0
11	0
12	0
13	0
14	0
15	0
16	0
17	0
18	0
19	0
20	1
21	1
22	1
23	1
24	1
25	0
26	0
27	0
28	0
29	0
30	0
31	1
32	0
33	0
34	0
35	0
36	0
37	0
38	0
39	0
40	0
41	0
42	1
43	0
44	1
45	0
46	0
47	0
48	0
49	0
50	0
51	0
52	0
53	0
54	0
55	0
56	0

For Review Only

1	
2	0
3	0
4	0
5	0
6	0
7	0
8	0
9	0
10	0
11	0
12	0
13	0
14	0
15	0
16	0
17	
18	1
19	1
20	0
21	0
22	1
23	1
24	0
25	0
26	0
27	0
28	
29	1
30	1
31	1
32	0
33	1
34	1
35	0
36	0
37	0
38	0
39	1
40	1
41	1
42	0
43	1
44	1
45	1
46	1
47	1
48	0
49	0
50	1
51	1
52	0
53	1
54	1
55	1
56	1
57	
58	
59	
60	

For Review Only

1	
2	0
3	1
4	1
5	0
6	1
7	0
8	0
9	0
10	1
11	0
12	0
13	0
14	1
15	0
16	1
17	9
18	0
19	0
20	0
21	1
22	
23	0
24	0
25	0
26	0
27	0
28	0
29	9
30	0
31	9
32	0
33	0
34	0
35	0
36	0
37	9
38	0
39	0
40	0
41	0
42	0
43	0
44	0
45	0
46	0
47	0
48	0
49	0
50	1
51	1
52	1
53	1
54	0
55	0
56	1
57	
58	
59	
60	

For Review Only

1	
2	0
3	0
4	1
5	1
6	9
7	1
8	1
9	1
10	0
11	0
12	1
13	1
14	1
15	0
16	1
17	1
18	1
19	1
20	1
21	1
22	1
23	1
24	0
25	0
26	0
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

For Review Only