

Durham Research Online

Deposited in DRO:

06 March 2019

Version of attached file:

Accepted Version

Peer-review status of attached file:

Peer-reviewed

Citation for published item:

Peyerimhoff, Norbert and Samiou, Evangelia (2020) 'A support theorem for the X-ray transform on manifolds with plane covers.', *Mathematical proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society.*, 169 (1). pp. 149-158.

Further information on publisher's website:

<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004119000148>

Publisher's copyright statement:

This article has been published in a revised form in *Mathematical proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society* <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004119000148>. This version is free to view and download for private research and study only. Not for re-distribution, re-sale or use in derivative works. © Cambridge Philosophical Society.

Additional information:

Use policy

The full-text may be used and/or reproduced, and given to third parties in any format or medium, without prior permission or charge, for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes provided that:

- a full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- a [link](#) is made to the metadata record in DRO
- the full-text is not changed in any way

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

Please consult the [full DRO policy](#) for further details.

A SUPPORT THEOREM FOR THE X-RAY TRANSFORM ON MANIFOLDS WITH PLANE COVERS

NORBERT PEYERIMHOFF AND EVANGELIA SAMIOU

ABSTRACT. This article is concerned with support theorems of the X-ray transform on non-compact manifolds with conjugate points. In particular, we prove that all simply connected 2-step nilpotent Lie groups have a support theorem. Important ingredients of the proof are the concept of plane covers and a support theorem for simple manifolds by Krishnan. We also provide examples of non-homogeneous 3-dimensional simply connected manifolds with conjugate points which have support theorems.

1. INTRODUCTION

The classical X-ray transform associates to a continuous, sufficiently rapidly decreasing function on the Euclidean plane the values of its integrals along straight lines. J. Radon [22] proved in 1917 an inversion formula for the X-ray transform and mentioned that, with a small modification, his inversion formula also holds for the hyperbolic plane. The X-ray transform and its variants are fundamental to computed tomography (see, e.g., [2, 3, 15]). The X-ray transform has also been studied in other geometries like Riemannian Symmetric and Damek-Ricci Spaces (see, e.g., [6, 8, 9, 23, 24]) or so-called *simple manifolds*, i.e., bounded manifolds with strictly convex boundary and without conjugate points (see, e.g., [20, 27, 14, 18]). The methods introduced for simple manifolds played also an important role in the boundary rigidity problem, i.e., the question whether the distance function between boundary points of a Riemannian manifold determines the Riemannian metric in the interior. See, e.g., [19], for a survey with a list of open problems. There is also recent work [16, 17] extending X-ray transform methods from simple manifolds to complete, simply connected non-positively curved manifolds (Cartan-Hadamard manifolds). In this paper we will only consider non-compact manifolds, but there are also various results about the X-ray and related transforms for compact homogeneous spaces, see [9, Section IV.1] and references in its bibliographic notes and, e.g., [4, 10] for other recent results.

We are particularly interested in *support theorems* for the X-ray transform on *non-compact spaces with conjugate points*. The classical support theorem in the Euclidean plane states the following for sufficiently decaying continuous functions $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^2)$: if the integrals of f over all geodesics avoiding a given closed ball B vanish then we have $\text{supp} f \subset B$ (see [9, Theorem I.2.6]). Moreover, this fact can be generalized to arbitrary compact sets $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ (instead of balls). In this case the conclusion is $\text{supp} f \subset \text{conv}(K)$, where $\text{conv}(K)$ is the convex hull of K (see [9, Cor.I.2.8]). In particular the support theorem implies injectivity of the X-ray transform. It is natural to ask for analogous results in more general geometries. A local

support theorem for simple manifolds with real-analytic metric was proved by Krishnan in [14]. We will use Krishnan's result for the Heisenberg group, even though the Heisenberg group has conjugate points. Another local injectivity result without requiring analyticity was introduced by Uhlman and Vardy [29]. Their method is based on Melrose's scattering calculus and is relevant for many subsequent papers. Certain results for spherical layers on manifolds with radially symmetric metrics can also be understood as local support theorems. For more detailed information and further references on these topics see, e.g., [11, Chapter 7].

All Riemannian manifolds (M, g) in this paper are assumed to be simply connected, complete and non-compact. Moreover, we only consider functions with compact support to guarantee that the integrals over all *escaping* geodesics are finite. Let us recall the definition of escaping geodesics (see, e. g., [30]):

Definition 1.1. *A unit speed geodesic $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ is called escaping if γ is a proper map, i.e. for every compact set $K \subset M$ there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $\gamma(t) \notin K$ whenever $|t| \geq t_0$. We denote the set of all escaping geodesics of M by $G_e(M)$. For any subset $M' \subset M$, let $G_e(M')$ denote the set of all escaping geodesics of M contained in M' .*

In plain words, an escaping geodesic γ eventually leaves every given compact set. Let $C_c(M)$ be the space of all continuous functions with compact support. For every function $f \in C_c(M)$ the integral of f over an escaping geodesic exists. In simply connected manifolds without conjugate points all geodesics are escaping. By the Cartan-Hadamard Theorem Riemannian manifolds of non-positive curvature do not have conjugate points. On the other hand, the Cartesian product $M = \mathbb{R} \times S^2$ of the real line and the unit sphere has conjugate points and a geodesic γ is escaping if and only if γ' has a non-zero component in the direction of \mathbb{R} .

Definition 1.2. *The X-ray transform $\mathcal{X}f$ of $f \in C_c(M)$ is the function on $G_e(M)$ given by*

$$\mathcal{X}f(\gamma) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\gamma(t)) dt .$$

Note that in the simply connected example $M = \mathbb{R} \times S^2$ the X-ray transform is not injective: Let $f_0 \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$ be any non-zero odd function and define $f: M = \mathbb{R} \times S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to be the function with $f(t, x) = f_0(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \in S^2$. Then we have $\mathcal{X}f(\gamma) = 0$ for all escaping geodesics γ , but f is not zero.

This non-injectivity holds in great generality. In [12] the kernel of the X-ray transform on products $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n$ is determined. In particular a function $f \in C([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n)$ with $\mathcal{X}f = 0$ must be constant in the torus direction. This kernel is inevitable in all similar product geometries, but it is generally not known whether it is the whole kernel.

Definition 1.3. *We say that (M, g) has a support theorem if for every compact set $K \subset M$ there exists a compact set $\widehat{K} \subset M$ with the following properties:*

- (a) *For all functions $f \in C_c(M)$, $\mathcal{X}f|_{G_e(M \setminus K)} = 0$ implies that $\text{supp} f \subset \widehat{K}$.*
- (b) *If $K_n \subset M$ is a sequence of compact subsets of M with $K_{n+1} \subset K_n$ and $\text{diam}(K_n) \rightarrow 0$, then also $\text{diam}(\widehat{K}_n) \rightarrow 0$.*

We will be particularly interested in the concrete construction of a set $\widehat{K} \subset M$ from a given compact set $K \subset M$ satisfying properties (a) and (b) in Definition

1.3. While in the Euclidean case we can choose $\widehat{K} = \text{conv}(K)$ to be the convex hull of K , it is not obvious how to choose \widehat{K} in more general geometries, in particular if there are conjugate points.

Let us discuss the properties (a) and (b) in more detail.

If K is the support of a non-negative function $f \in C_c(M)$, then $\mathcal{X}f(\gamma) > 0$ for every geodesic γ through the interior of K . It follows that \widehat{K} must contain the interior of K for all compact sets K .

Property (b) guarantees that if the set K becomes small then so does \widehat{K} . In particular, if $K = \emptyset$, then $\text{diam } \widehat{K} = 0$, hence \widehat{K} is empty or a singleton and any function f with $\text{supp } f \subset \widehat{K}$ is zero. Thus (b) implies that the X-ray transform is injective.

We aim to extend the strong support theorem in the Euclidean and hyperbolic plane to manifolds exhausted by such planes.

Definition 1.4. *A 2-dimensional totally geodesic closed submanifold Σ of (M, g) is called a plane in M if Σ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^2 and if the induced metric on Σ has constant non-positive curvature. A plane cover of M is a collection \mathcal{P} of planes in M such that*

$$M = \bigcup_{\Sigma \in \mathcal{P}} \Sigma.$$

Since a plane is closed all geodesics of M contained in a plane are escaping. Hence a manifold with a plane cover has escaping geodesics through every point.

A generic Riemannian manifold has no totally geodesic submanifolds of dimension ≥ 2 , in particular no plane covers. There even are homogeneous spaces, for example the Heisenberg group, that do not contain totally geodesic submanifolds of dimension ≥ 2 . Nevertheless, important classes of Riemannian manifolds (M, g) have plane covers. The easiest examples are products of Riemannian manifolds with only escaping geodesics. (Another injectivity result of the X-ray transform for compact domains in product manifolds can be found in [25, Thm 1.3].) Most prominent examples of manifolds with plane covers are symmetric spaces of non-compact type and higher rank. In Damek-Ricci spaces, and therefore in particular in non-compact rank-1-symmetric spaces, every geodesic is contained in a hyperbolic plane, see [24]. Hence these also have a plane cover. More generally homogeneous spaces containing a flat or a hyperbolic plane have a plane cover. In Proposition 2.3 we prove that such homogeneous spaces have a support theorem if this plane is extrinsically homogeneous. Proposition 2.4 implies that all 2-step nilpotent Lie groups with left invariant metric of dimension ≥ 4 have Euclidean plane covers. Nilpotent Lie groups of lower dimensions are abelian or, up to rescaling, isometrically isomorphic to the Heisenberg group H^3 (which coincides with the filiform group L_3 , see [13]). By different techniques we show that H^3 also has a support theorem.

Theorem 1.5. *All simply connected 2-step nilpotent Lie groups with left invariant metrics have a support theorem. In particular, the X-ray transform is injective.*

Finally, we like to point out that plane covers yielding support theorems do not always arise from homogeneity or a product structure. In section 4 we provide examples of a warped product metric on \mathbb{R}^3 which is non-homogeneous and has conjugate points. Nonetheless, the metric has a plane cover yielding a support theorem.

A more quantitative viewpoint on support theorems has been taken in [21]. The results there depend on the more stringent condition of *uniformly* escaping geodesics, i.e. *every* geodesic γ must have left the ball of radius r around $\gamma(0)$ after a finite time $P(r)$ independent of the geodesic. If a manifold has a support theorem in the sense of Definition 1.3 and $\sigma: \mathbb{R}_0^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ is a function so that for all $p \in M$ and all $r \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$ we have $\widehat{B_{\sigma(r)}(p)} \subset B_r(p)$, then the σ -support theorem as defined in [21] holds in the manifold.

2. PLANE COVERS

Planes, i.e. the euclidean plane \mathbb{R}^2 or the hyperbolic plane H^2 , both with their standard metric of constant non-positive curvature, have a simple support theorem (see Cor. I.2.8 and Theorem III.1.6 in [9] or [5, 6, 7]):

Theorem 2.1 (Support Theorem for planes, [9]). *Let $K \subset X$ be a compact subset of the plane $X = \mathbb{R}^2$ or $X = H^2$ and f a compactly supported continuous function on X . If the X -ray transform of f vanishes on all geodesics avoiding K , then the support of f lies in the convex hull of K ,*

$$\mathcal{X}f|_{G_e(X \setminus K)} = 0 \implies f|_{X \setminus \text{conv} K} = 0 .$$

We use this theorem to prove

Proposition 2.2. *Let \mathcal{P} be a plane cover of M and $K \subset M$ (not necessarily compact). Let $f \in C_c(M)$. If $\mathcal{X}f|_{G_e(M \setminus K)} = 0$, then*

$$(1) \quad \text{supp} f \subset \widehat{K} := \{x \in M \mid \forall \Sigma \in \mathcal{P}, x \in \Sigma: x \in \text{conv}_\Sigma(K)\} = \bigcap_{\Sigma \in \mathcal{P}} ((M \setminus \Sigma) \cup \text{conv}_\Sigma(K)) ,$$

where for $A \subset M$, $\text{conv}_\Sigma(A)$ denotes the convex hull of $A \cap \Sigma$ in Σ . In particular, \mathcal{X} is injective.

Proof. Let $f \in C_c(M)$ and $K \subset M$ be a compact subset such that $\mathcal{X}f|_{G_e(M \setminus K)} = 0$. Let $x \in M \setminus \widehat{K}$. By the definition of \widehat{K} there is $\Sigma \in \mathcal{P}$ with $x \in \Sigma \setminus \text{conv}_\Sigma(K \cap \Sigma)$. Let $f_0 = f|_\Sigma$ and $K_0 = \Sigma \cap K$. Then $f_0 \in C_c(\Sigma)$ and $K_0 \subset \Sigma$ is compact. Moreover, $x \in \Sigma$ and $x \notin \text{conv}_\Sigma(K_0)$. After rescaling the metric by a constant factor Σ is isometric to the Euclidean or hyperbolic plane. All geodesics γ in Σ are escaping and also geodesics in M . Therefore

$$\mathcal{X}_\Sigma(f_0)|_{\Sigma \setminus K_0} = 0 .$$

Now we employ the Support Theorem for planes and conclude that

$$f_0|_{\Sigma \setminus \text{conv}_\Sigma(K_0)} \equiv 0 ,$$

and, in particular, $f(x) = f_0(x) = 0$. By (1), if $K = \emptyset$ then $\widehat{K} = \emptyset$, hence \mathcal{X} is injective. \square

This does not automatically yield a support theorem because the set \widehat{K} defined by (1) need not be precompact (i.e. bounded). In a homogeneous space however the existence of a plane implies that the space has a plane cover. We show that a homogeneous space containing an extrinsically homogeneous plane has a support theorem.

Proposition 2.3. *Let M be a homogeneous space, G a closed transitive subgroup of the isometry group of M , and \mathcal{P} be a plane cover of M containing all translates of at least one extrinsically homogeneous plane Σ . Then \widehat{K} , defined in (1), is bounded for every compact subset $K \subset M$ and M has a support theorem.*

It follows that a homogeneous space which contains an extrinsically homogeneous plane has a support theorem.

Proof. Let $\Sigma \subset M$ be an extrinsically homogeneous plane so that $g\Sigma \in \mathcal{P}$ for all $g \in G$. We may also assume that $p \in \Sigma \cap K \neq \emptyset$. Since the projection $G \rightarrow M$, $g \mapsto gp$, is proper, the subset $G^K = \{g \in G \mid gp \in K\} \subset G$ is compact. The set

$$S_K := \{(g, k_1, k_2) \mid g \in G^K, k_1, k_2 \in K, g^{-1}k_1, g^{-1}k_2 \in \Sigma\} \subset G^K \times K \times K$$

is compact and the function

$$\delta_K: S_K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+ \text{ with } \delta_K(g, k_1, k_2) = d^\Sigma(g^{-1}k_1, g^{-1}k_2)$$

is continuous since $\Sigma \subset M$ is closed. Therefore

$$D_K = \max_{g \in G^K} \text{diam } g^\Sigma K \cap g\Sigma = \max\{d^\Sigma(g^{-1}k_1, g^{-1}k_2) \mid (g, k_1, k_2) \in S_K\} = \max \delta_K$$

exists and is finite. The convex hull of a subset of a plane has the same diameter as the subset itself. Hence

$$\text{diam } g^\Sigma \text{conv}_{g\Sigma}(K) = \text{diam } g^\Sigma K \cap g\Sigma \leq D_K \text{ for all } g \in G^K .$$

If for some $g \in G$, $\text{conv}_{g\Sigma}(K) \neq \emptyset$, then $g\Sigma \cap K \neq \emptyset$. Let $q \in \Sigma$ be so that $gq \in K$. Since Σ is extrinsically homogeneous, $q = g'p$ for some $g' \in G$ with $g'\Sigma = \Sigma$. Hence $g\Sigma = gg'\Sigma$ and $gg' \in G^K$. It follows that

$$\widehat{K} \subset \bigcup_{g \in G} \text{conv}_{g\Sigma}(K) = \bigcup_{g \in G^K} \text{conv}_{g\Sigma}(K) .$$

Each slice $\text{conv}_{g\Sigma}(K)$, $g \in G^K$, intersects K . It now follows that

$$(2) \quad \text{diam } \widehat{K} \leq 2D_K + \text{diam } K .$$

Finally, let K_n be a sequence of compact sets as in Definition 1.3 (b). If K_n is empty for some n , then K_m is empty for all $m \geq n$ and we have $D_{K_m} = \text{diam } K_m = 0$ hence $\text{diam } \widehat{K}_m = 0$ for all $m \geq n$, hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam } \widehat{K}_n = 0$. If $K_n \neq \emptyset$ for all n , then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $g_n \in G^{K_n}$, $k_{1,n}, k_{2,n} \in K_n$ so that

$$D_{K_n} = d^\Sigma(g_n^{-1}k_{1,n}, g_n^{-1}k_{2,n}) .$$

The sequence $(D_{K_n})_n$ is monotone non-increasing and by compactness, we can assume that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n = g_\infty$ exists. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam } K_n = 0$, the intersection $\bigcap_n K_n = \{q\}$ is a singleton and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_{1,n} = q = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_{2,n}$. In particular, by continuity of d^Σ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_{K_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d^\Sigma(g_n^{-1}k_{1,n}, g_n^{-1}k_{2,n}) = d^\Sigma(g_\infty^{-1}q, g_\infty^{-1}q) = 0 .$$

By (2),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam } \widehat{K}_n \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (2D_{K_n} + \text{diam } K_n) = 0 .$$

□

We now show that many nilpotent Lie groups with left invariant metric have a Euclidean plane cover and a support theorem.

Proposition 2.4. *Let G be a simply connected k -step nilpotent Lie group with a left invariant metric. Let \mathfrak{g} be its Lie algebra and*

$$0 = \mathfrak{g}_0 \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}_1 \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}_2 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}_k = \mathfrak{g}$$

be the upper central series of \mathfrak{g} . Assume that for some $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ we have

$$(3) \quad \dim \mathfrak{g}_i / \mathfrak{g}_{i-1} > 1 + \dim \mathfrak{g}_{i-1} .$$

Then G has a Euclidean plane cover and has a support theorem. It follows immediately that G has a support theorem if $\dim \mathfrak{g}_i \geq 2^i$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, in particular if $\dim G \geq 2^k$, or if the center of G has at least dimension 2.

Proof. Let i be as in the assumption of the theorem and let $\mathfrak{h}_i = \mathfrak{g}_{i-1}^\perp \cap \mathfrak{g}_i$ be the orthogonal complement of \mathfrak{g}_{i-1} in \mathfrak{g}_i . Then

$$\dim \mathfrak{h}_i = \dim \mathfrak{g}_i / \mathfrak{g}_{i-1} > 1 + \dim \mathfrak{g}_{i-1} .$$

For any $x \in \mathfrak{h}_i$, the kernel of the linear map $\text{ad}_x: \mathfrak{h}_i \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{i-1}$ is therefore at least 2-dimensional. Hence there are $x, y \in \mathfrak{h}_i \setminus \{0\}$ with $[x, y] = 0$. By the Koszul formula for left invariant vector fields we have for any $z \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$(4) \quad 2\langle \nabla_x y, z \rangle = \underbrace{\langle [x, y], z \rangle}_{=0} - \underbrace{\langle x, [y, z] \rangle}_{\in \mathfrak{g}_{i-1}} - \underbrace{\langle y, [x, z] \rangle}_{\in \mathfrak{g}_{i-1}} = 0 .$$

This shows that $\nabla_x x = \nabla_x y = \nabla_y y = 0$.

Hence $F = \{\text{Exp}(rx + sy) \mid r, s \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a 2-dimensional totally geodesic flat abelian subgroup of G . In particular, F is extrinsically homogeneous. Since the exponential map of a nilpotent Lie group is a diffeomorphism, F is a closed submanifold. Its cosets form a Euclidean plane cover $\mathcal{P} = \{gF \mid g \in G\}$. By Proposition 2.3 G has a support theorem. \square

Remark. *A nilpotent group can have a plane cover even if the dimension condition (3) is violated. A k -step nilpotent group must have at least dimension $n = k + 1$, the groups with this minimal dimension are called filiform, [13]. For example (in the notation of [13], p 1592), let L_n be the metric Lie algebra with orthonormal basis $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$, and Lie bracket so that $[X_1, X_i] = X_{i+1}$ and all other brackets trivial. Then if $n > 3$, (4) shows that any pair X_i, X_j with $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$, $i + 1 < j$, spans a plane in L_n .*

3. A SUPPORT THEOREM FOR THE HEISENBERG GROUP

We now show that the Heisenberg group H^3 has a support theorem. In particular, the X-ray transform on H^3 is injective.

Theorem 3.1. *For every compact subset $K \subset H^3$, there is a compact subset $\widehat{K} \subset H^3$ such that if $f \in C_c(H^3)$ is so that $\int_\gamma f = 0$ for all geodesics γ in $H^3 \setminus K$, then $f|_{H^3 \setminus \widehat{K}} = 0$.*

Proof. We will use the Lie group exponential

$$\text{Exp}: \mathbb{R}^3 \cong \mathfrak{h}^3 \cong H^3$$

as coordinates for H^3 , with the last coordinate representing the center and the first two its orthogonal complement. The Campbell-Hausdorff formula for a 2-step

nilpotent Lie group reads

$$\text{Exp}(x)\text{Exp}(a) = \text{Exp}\left(x + a + \frac{1}{2}[x, a]\right)$$

for x, a in the Lie algebra. In the case of the Heisenberg group this becomes

$$\text{Exp}(x, y, z)\text{Exp}(a, b, c) = \text{Exp}\left(x + a, y + b, z + c + \frac{xb - ya}{2}\right).$$

In the sequel we will suppress the exponential map in the notation. For the geodesics in the Heisenberg group see [1], p31. We will only need horizontal geodesics, in particular those of the form

$$\gamma_x(t) = \left(x, t, \frac{xt}{2}\right) = (x, 0, 0)(0, t, 0)$$

and those obtained from γ_x by rotations about the z -axis with an angle α , which we will denote by $\gamma_{x,\alpha}$. These geodesics lie outside the paraboloid

$$P = \left\{ (u, v, w) \mid w \geq \frac{u^2 + v^2}{4} \right\}$$

with exception of one point. The geodesic γ_x touches the boundary of P in the point $\gamma_x(x) = \left(x, x, \frac{x^2}{2}\right)$. Clearly every point on the boundary of P is the unique intersection point of ∂P with a suitable geodesic $\gamma_{x,\alpha}$.

Let f be a continuous function on H^3 , with compact support. Let $L \subset H^3$ be a compact subset so that the integral of f over any geodesic avoiding L vanishes. We can enclose L by two shifted paraboloids,

$$L \subset (P - (0, 0, h_-)) \cap (-P + (0, 0, h_+)) = \left\{ (u, v, w) \mid \frac{u^2 + v^2}{4} - h_- \leq w \leq h_+ - \frac{u^2 + v^2}{4} \right\}$$

for suitable $h_-, h_+ \in \mathbb{R}$. We will show that the support of f then also lies in the intersection of these paraboloids. By symmetry it clearly suffices to do this for one paraboloid only. Shifting f in direction of the center, i. e. the z -axis, if necessary, we may assume that L lies in some shifted paraboloid and that the support of f lies in the paraboloid P ,

$$L \subset P^h := P + (0, 0, h) \subset P \supset \text{supp} f \text{ for some } h > 0,$$


and that the support of f intersects the boundary of P . Rotating about the z -axis if necessary, we can also assume that such an intersection point q lies on a geodesic γ_{x_0} ,

$$\text{supp} f \cap \partial P \ni q = \gamma_{x_0}(x_0)$$

for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ be so that $B_{2\delta}(q) \cap P^h = \emptyset$ and $\overline{B_\rho(q)}$ is simple for all $\rho \leq 2\delta$, i. e. has strictly convex boundary and the Riemannian exponential map is a diffeomorphism where defined. For $z \in (-\infty, 0]$ consider the geodesics

$$\gamma_{x_0}^z(t) = \left(x_0, t, \frac{x_0 t}{2} + z\right).$$

Among these only $\gamma_{x_0} = \gamma_{x_0}^0$ intersects P . There is $z_0 < 0$ so that $\gamma_{x_0}^{z_0}$ intersects $\partial B_{2\delta}(q)$ in one point a .



The geodesics in $\Gamma := \{\gamma_{x_0}^z \mid z \in [z_0, 0]\}$ do not intersect P^h . By Theorem 3.1 from [21], geodesics in 2-step nilpotent Lie groups are uniformly escaping. Thus there is $T \in \mathbb{R}^+$, such that for all geodesics γ with $\gamma(0) \in B_{2\delta}(q)$ and all $t > T$, we have $\gamma(t) \notin L \cup (\text{supp} f \setminus B_\delta(q))$. On the other hand, since $[-T, T]$ is compact, there is $\epsilon > 0$ so that no geodesic in the ϵ -neighbourhood \mathcal{A} of Γ intersects $L \cup (\text{supp} f \setminus B_\delta(q))$. $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{A}$ is a deformation retract.

In particular any geodesic in \mathcal{A} can be deformed to the point a . From Theorem 1, [14], we infer that the function f vanishes on the union of the intersections of the geodesics in \mathcal{A} with $B_\delta(q)$, which is a neighbourhood of q , hence q can not lie in the closure of $f^{-1}(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$. □

4. NON-HOMOGENEOUS EXAMPLES IN DIMENSION 3 WITH CONJUGATE POINTS

The previous examples are all homogeneous. We finish this paper presenting 3-dimensional non-homogeneous examples with conjugate points admitting Euclidean and hyperbolic plane covers so that for every compact set K we have \widehat{K} bounded.

Let g be a Riemannian metric on \mathbb{R}^3 given in cylindrical coordinates $(t, r, \alpha) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty) \times [0, 2\pi)$ by

$$(5) \quad g = dt^2 + dr^2 + f(r, t)^2 d\alpha^2,$$

where $f: \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function satisfying

$$f(r, t) = \begin{cases} \sin(r) & \text{if } 0 \leq r \leq \frac{3\pi}{4} \\ \phi(r) & \text{if } \frac{3\pi}{4} \leq r \leq \pi \\ 2 + r - \pi & \text{if } \pi \leq r \leq 2\pi \\ 2 + r - \pi & \text{if } 2\pi \leq r \text{ and } t \leq 0 \\ > 2 + r - \pi & \text{if } 2\pi \leq r \text{ and } t > 0 \end{cases}$$

where $\phi: [\frac{3\pi}{4}, \pi] \rightarrow (0, 2]$ is so that f becomes smooth.

The submanifold $F = \{(0, r, \alpha) \mid r \in [0, \infty), \alpha \in [0, 2\pi)\}$ is locally isometric to the round unit sphere for $r < \frac{3}{4}\pi$. Inside the cylinder $r \leq 2\pi$, the manifold is isometric to a product, inside the cylinder $r \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ this is the product of the round hemisphere with \mathbb{R} .

For $\alpha \in [0, \pi)$ let $E_\alpha := \{(t, r, \alpha), (t, r, \alpha + \pi) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}, r \in [0, \infty)\}$. Then $\mathcal{P} = \{E_\alpha \mid \alpha \in [0, \pi)\}$ is a plane cover. The geodesics emanating from the origin are the same as those of the standard metric of \mathbb{R}^3 . In particular g is complete. For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $S_t = \{(t, r, \alpha) \mid 0 \leq r \leq \frac{\pi}{2}, 0 \leq \alpha < 2\pi\}$ is a totally geodesic submanifold with boundary, isometric to a standard hemisphere. Antipodal points $(t, \frac{\pi}{2}, \alpha)$ and $(t, \frac{\pi}{2}, \alpha + \pi)$ on the boundary of S_t are conjugate. To see this, observe that the embeddings

$$(6) \quad \{(t, r, \alpha) \mid r \leq \pi\} \cong \mathbb{R} \times S_+^2 \subset \mathbb{R} \times S^2$$

are isometric. The rotations of S^2 about the axis corresponding under (6) to a pair of antipodal points in the boundary of S_+ provide a one parameter family of minimizing geodesics joining two such points. The metric is not homogeneous, in fact g is flat in the region $\{r > \pi, t < 0\}$ and isometric to $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ in the region $\{r \leq \frac{\pi}{2}\}$. In the region $\{t < 0 \text{ or } r < 2\pi\}$, g is isometric to $F \times \mathbb{R}$, but clearly, this isometry does not hold globally.

Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be compact and let $D = \max\{\|k\| \mid k \in K\} = \max\{\sqrt{t^2 + r^2} \mid (t, r, \alpha) \in K\}$, note that the distance of a point from the origin with respect to g is the same as the euclidean distance. Thus $K \subset \overline{B_D(0)}$. Clearly, for all $E_\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$ we have $K \cap E_\alpha \subset \overline{B_D(0)}$, hence

$$\widehat{K} \subset \bigcup_{\alpha} \text{conv}_{E_\alpha}(K) \subset \overline{B_D(0)}.$$

In order to obtain a similar example with a hyperbolic plane cover, we replace the metric (5) with

$$(7) \quad g = dt^2 + e^{2t} (dr^2 + f(r, t)^2 d\alpha^2) .$$

The submanifolds E_α as above are now totally geodesic hyperbolic planes (with curvature -1) and $\mathcal{P} = \{E_\alpha \mid \alpha \in [0, \pi)\}$ is a hyperbolic plane cover. The hemispheres S_t from above are not totally geodesic. As before the points $(t, \frac{\pi}{2}, \alpha)$ and $(t, \frac{\pi}{2}, \alpha + \pi)$ are conjugate. The maps in (6) are still isometric provided $\mathbb{R} \times S^2$ carries the metric $dt^2 + e^{2t} g_{S^2}$ where g_{S^2} is the standard metric on S^2 . As above rotations of S^2 provide a one parameter family of minimizing geodesics joining such two points.

Acknowledgment: This research was supported by the University of Cyprus. We also thank the referee for useful references.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bibliography J. Berndt, F. Tricerri and L. Vanhecke, *Generalized Heisenberg Groups and Damek-Ricci Harmonic Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1598, Springer, 1995.
- [2] Bibliography Ch. L. Epstein, *Introduction to the mathematics of medical imaging*, Second Edition, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 2008.
- [3] Bibliography T. G. Feeman, *The mathematics of medical imaging, A beginner's guide*, Second Edition, Springer Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics and Technology, Springer, Cham, 2015.
- [4] Bibliography E. L. Grinberg and S. G. Jackson, *On the kernel of the maximal flat Radon transform on symmetric spaces of compact type*, J. Lie Theory **27**(3) (2017), 623–636.

- [5] BibliographyS. Helgason, *A duality in integral geometry; some generalizations of the Radon transform*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **70** (1964), 435–446.
- [6] BibliographyS. Helgason, *The Radon transform on Euclidean spaces, compact two-point homogeneous spaces and Grassmann manifolds*, Acta Math. **113** (1965), 153–180.
- [7] BibliographyS. Helgason, *Support of Radon transforms*, Adv. in Math. **38**(1) (1980), 91–100.
- [8] BibliographyS. Helgason, *The X-ray transform on a symmetric space*, in Global differential geometry and global analysis (Berlin, 1979), Lecture Notes in Math. **838**, 145–148, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1981.
- [9] BibliographyS. Helgason, *Integral geometry and Radon transforms*, Springer, New York, 2011.
- [10] BibliographyJ. Ilmavirta, *On Radon transforms on compact Lie groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **144**(2) (2016), 681–691.
- [11] BibliographyJ. Ilmavirta and F. Monard, *Integral geometry on manifolds with boundary and applications*, arXiv:1806.06088.
- [12] BibliographyJ. Ilmavirta and G. Uhlmann, *Tensor tomography in periodic slabs*, J. Funct. Anal. **275**(2) (2018), 288–299.
- [13] BibliographyM. M. Kerr and T. L. Payne, *The geometry of filiform nilpotent Lie groups*, Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics **40**(5) (2010), 1587–1610.
- [14] BibliographyV. P. Krishnan, *A Support Theorem for the Geodesic Ray Transform on Functions*, Fourier Anal Appl **15** (2009), 515–520.
- [15] BibliographyP. Kuchment, *The Radon transform and medical imaging*, CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics 85, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 2014.
- [16] BibliographyJ. Lehtonen, *The geodesic ray transform on two-dimensional Cartan-Hadamard manifolds*, arXiv:1612.04800.
- [17] BibliographyJ. Lehtonen, J. Railo, and M. Salo, *Tensor tomography on Cartan-Hadamard manifolds*, Inverse Problems **34**(4) (2018), 044004, 27pp.
- [18] BibliographyG. P. Paternain, M. Salo, and G. Uhlmann, *Tensor tomography on surfaces*, Invent. Math. **193**(1) (2103), 229–247.
- [19] BibliographyG. P. Paternain, M. Salo, and G. Uhlmann, *Tensor tomography: progress and challenges*, Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B **35**(3) (2014), 399–428.
- [20] BibliographyL. N. Pestov and V. A. Sharafutdinov, *Integral geometry of tensor fields on a manifold of negative curvature*, Siberian Math. J. **29**(3) (1988), 427–441.
- [21] BibliographyN. Peyerimhoff and E. Samiou, *The X-ray transform on 2-step nilpotent Lie groups of higher rank*, arXiv:1601.04614, to appear in Rendiconti Seminario Matematico Univ. Pol. Torino, Workshop for Sergio Console, Vol. 74, 1 (2016), 297–305.
- [22] BibliographyJ. Radon, *Über die Bestimmung von Funktionen durch ihre Integralwerte längs gewisser Mannigfaltigkeiten*, Ber. Verh. Sächs. Akad. Wiss. Leipzig Math. Nat. Kl. **69** (1917), 262–277.
- [23] BibliographyF. Rouvière, *Transformation aux rayons X sur un espace symétrique*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **342**(1) (2006), 1–6.
- [24] BibliographyF. Rouvière, *X-ray transform on Damek-Ricci spaces*, Inverse Probl. Imaging **4**(4) (2010), 713–720.
- [25] BibliographyM. Salo, *The Calderón problem and normal forms*, arXiv:1702.02136.
- [26] BibliographyE. Samiou, *2-step nilpotent Lie groups of higher rank*, Manuscripta Math. **107**(1) (2002), 101–110.
- [27] BibliographyV. A. Sharafutdinov, *Integral geometry of tensor fields*, Inverse and Ill-posed Problems Series, VSP, Utrecht, 1994.
- [28] BibliographyR. Strichartz, *L^p -harmonic analysis and Radon transforms on the Heisenberg group*, J. Funct. Anal. **96** (1991), no. 2, 350–406.
- [29] BibliographyG. Uhlmann and A. Vasy, *The inverse problem for the local geodesic ray transform*, Invent. Math. **205**(1) (2016), 83–120.
- [30] BibliographyM. Wojtkowski, *Geodesics on open surfaces containing horns*, Studia Math. **73**(2) (1982), 115–151.