

Durham Research Online

Deposited in DRO:

24 April 2019

Version of attached file:

Accepted Version

Peer-review status of attached file:

Peer-reviewed

Citation for published item:

Swainson, Michelle Grace and Batterham, Alan Mark and Hind, Karen (2020) 'Age- and sex-specific reference intervals for visceral fat mass in adults.', *International journal of obesity.*, 44 (2). pp. 289-296.

Further information on publisher's website:

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41366-019-0393-1>

Publisher's copyright statement:**Additional information:**

Use policy

The full-text may be used and/or reproduced, and given to third parties in any format or medium, without prior permission or charge, for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes provided that:

- a full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- a [link](#) is made to the metadata record in DRO
- the full-text is not changed in any way

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

Please consult the [full DRO policy](#) for further details.

1 ORIGINAL ARTICLE

2

3 **Age- and Sex-Specific Reference Intervals for Visceral Fat Mass in Adults**

4

5 Michelle Grace Swainson ¹, Alan Mark Batterham ² and Karen Hind ³

6

7 ¹ Lancaster Medical School, Faculty of Health and Medicine, Lancaster University,

8 Lancaster, United Kingdom.

9 ² Centre for Rehabilitation, Exercise and Sports Science (CRESS), Teesside University,

10 Middlesbrough, United Kingdom.

11 ³ Department of Sport and Exercise Sciences, Durham University, Durham, United Kingdom.

12

13

14 **Corresponding Author: Michelle Swainson PhD, Address: Lancaster Medical School,*

15 *Faculty of Health and Medicine, Furness College, Lancaster University, Lancaster, LA1 4YG,*

16 *United Kingdom. Email: m.swainson1@lancaster.ac.uk Telephone: +44 (0)1524 594261*

17

18 **Conflict of Interest statement:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

19

20 **Abstract**

21 *Background/Objectives:* Dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) is becoming a method of
22 choice for the assessment of visceral adipose tissue (VAT) but the lack of robust reference
23 ranges presents a challenge to the interpretation of VAT in clinical practice, research
24 settings, and the athletic environment. The objective of this study was to develop age- and
25 sex-specific reference intervals for DXA-derived VAT mass.

26 *Subjects/Methods:* The reference group comprised 3219 adults (1886 general population,
27 42% women; 1333 athletes, 11% women) in the United Kingdom, aged 18 to 83 years. Total
28 body scans were performed using a GE Lunar iDXA and VAT analyses were enabled
29 through Corescan software (Encore version 15.0). Age-specific reference ranges were
30 derived in samples stratified by sex and general population/ athlete status. We modelled the
31 mean and SD of Box-Cox transformed VAT mass as a function of age with a generalised
32 least squares method using fractional polynomials (Stata® -xrigls- program). Centile values
33 were then back-transformed to provide reference intervals on the original scale.

34 *Results:* In general population samples, average VAT mass increases with age up until
35 around 65-70 years, and then begins to decline at older ages, though data are relatively
36 sparse at the upper end of the age range. In athletes, on average, VAT mass increases with
37 advancing age in men and women. Both 95% and 98% reference ranges are presented in 5-
38 year increments in all samples, and we provide equations to enable the calculation of any
39 centile, for any age within the range.

40 *Conclusion:* These reference data can aid the interpretation of VAT mass specific to an
41 individual's sex, age, and athletic status, increasing the utility and applicability of DXA-
42 derived VAT assessments. Additional research is needed in adults over 65 years and female
43 athletes, with different DXA devices, across different ethnic groups and specific sports.

44

45 **Key words:** DXA; Adiposity; Visceral Fat; Reference Standards

46 **Introduction**

47 Visceral adiposity is implicated in the development of chronic low grade inflammation (1) and
48 with medical conditions including insulin resistance, diabetes, and cardiovascular disease
49 (2,3), and has been associated recently with vertebral fracture in women (4). Visceral
50 adipose tissue (VAT) is also an important predictor of all-cause mortality (5). Magnetic
51 resonance imaging (MRI) and computed tomography (CT) imaging are the gold-standard
52 techniques for the measurement of VAT. However, MRI is time-consuming, CT brings a high
53 dose of radiation, and both are costly. Advances in dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA)
54 technology include the development of VAT assessment capabilities that have been
55 validated using CT (6). The advantages of DXA over CT and MRI are that it provides a more
56 accessible and rapid assessment of abdominal VAT, with good precision (7–9), at a lower
57 cost, and with considerably lower radiation than CT and, as such, is appropriate for
58 longitudinal investigations.

59

60 Over the last six years, DXA-derived VAT using the GE Corescan (GE Healthcare, Madison,
61 WI) software has been associated with cardio-metabolic risk factors, such as insulin
62 resistance, across different body mass index classifications (10–12). Such associations have
63 also been observed using VAT mass measures derived from the Hologic DXA models and
64 their associated software (13,14). However, in practice, the utility of DXA-VAT
65 measurements is currently limited due to the lack of appropriate reference ranges (12,15),
66 presenting a challenge when interpreting results and when providing feedback to patients,
67 research participants, and athletes. The characterisation of individuals based on visceral fat
68 levels has clinical utility for obesity specialists in a variety of fields including endocrinology,
69 epidemiology, sports medicine and dietetics.

70

71 The purpose of this study therefore was to develop age- and sex-specific reference intervals
72 for DXA-derived VAT mass in heterogeneous samples of general population and athletic
73 adults in the United Kingdom.

74

75 **Materials and Methods**

76 *Reference groups*

77 The reference data groups included adults who were residing in the United Kingdom and
78 had taken part in various research studies approved by the Leeds Beckett University ethics
79 committee between 2007 and 2017, providing their signed informed consent prior to their
80 DXA scans. The inclusion criterion was age 18 years or above. Included adults were
81 deemed generally healthy, as there were no specific patient groups recruited. The exclusion
82 criteria applied to women who were pregnant and individuals who were not able to fit within
83 the DXA scan table boundaries, or those who had a body mass greater than 204 kg, due to
84 the DXA table weight limit. The total reference group comprised of 2286 men and 933
85 women, aged 18 to 83 years. The group were sub-divided to a general population sample
86 (n=1886, aged 18-83y, 42% women) and athletes (n=1333, aged 18-61y, 11% women).
87 Individuals in the general population sample did not take part in any competitive sport at the
88 time of their DXA scan. Athletes were individuals who took part in competitive sport at the
89 time of their DXA scan, including athletes from club to world class performance levels across
90 a variety of team and individual sports.

91

92 *Procedures*

93 Participants were asked to refrain from vigorous exercise during the preceding 12 h and, for
94 all physical measurements, were tested in minimal clothing with shoes and jewellery
95 removed. Body mass was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg using calibrated electronic scales
96 (SECA, Birmingham, UK) and standing height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm using a
97 stadiometer (SECA, Birmingham, UK). Body mass index (BMI; kg/m²) was subsequently
98 calculated [weight (kg)/height(m)²]. Narrow fan beam DXA (Lunar iDXA, GE Healthcare,
99 Madison, WI) was used to evaluate total and regional fat and lean mass, and the advanced
100 CoreScan software (EnCore version 15.0) estimated VAT mass (g). For all scans, the

101 correct region of interest placement and analyses were verified by the same clinical
102 densitometrist certified by the International Society for Clinical Densitometry.
103
104 Participants were placed in the supine position on the scanning table, aligning with the
105 central vertical axis. The arms were positioned parallel to - but not touching - the body, with
106 a 1-cm space in between the thigh and the hand to enable the estimation of VAT. The
107 forearms were pronated with the hands face down in accordance with the National Health
108 and Nutrition Examination Survey protocol (16). For broader participants, the hands were
109 placed mid-prone so that the whole body could fit within the scan boundaries. The legs were
110 fully extended, and feet were secured with a canvas and Velcro support to avoid foot
111 movement during the scan acquisition. Scans were conducted using standard (153 mm/sec)
112 or thick (80 mm/sec) mode depending on body stature, and the DXA software automatically
113 determined the mode. The regions of interest (ROI) for the total body cut-offs were manually
114 adjusted according to the manufacturer's instructions. The ROI over the android region for
115 the assessment of VAT mass was automated by the CoreScan software (EnCore version
116 15.0). The iDXA CoreScan application uses a validated model derived from DXA and CT
117 images, which computes VAT by subtracting subcutaneous abdominal fat from total
118 abdominal fat (6). As well as being validated against CT, iDXA VAT is highly correlated with
119 criterion MRI measurements of VAT (17), and robust associations with cardiometabolic risk
120 (11) and glucose intolerance (18) have been demonstrated. Daily calibration and quality
121 control observations were recorded according to manufacturer's guidelines throughout the
122 duration of the data collection and no equipment drifts or faults were reported during the
123 study period. Short-term precision estimates for iDXA measurements on the DXA scanner
124 used in this study are 0.8% CV for total fat mass and 0.5% CV for VAT mass in individuals
125 with a BMI between 25.5 and 42.4 kg/m² (8).

126
127
128

129 *Statistical Analysis*

130 All analyses were conducted using Stata® software (v. 14.2; Stata Corp. College Station,
131 Texas). We derived age-related reference intervals by modelling the mean and standard
132 deviation (SD) for VAT (g) as a function of age (19). Data were first transformed using a Box-
133 Cox power function and then back-transformed to provide reference intervals in the original
134 scale. Appropriate powers for the fractional polynomials to model the mean and SD were
135 determined from the data using the Stata® -xrigls- program (20), from a selection of -2, -1,
136 -0.5, 0, 0.5, 1, 2, 3 (0 = log). In this program, the best model (fractional polynomial, linear,
137 constant fits) for both mean and SD is chosen via likelihood-ratio tests. We specified
138 maximum degrees of freedom for the best-fitting model of 4 for the mean and 3 for the SD
139 and a significance level (alpha) of 5%. The specification of the alpha for comparison of two
140 nested models via a likelihood ratio test is arbitrary to an extent. We chose an alpha of 0.05
141 as it approximates a difference of 2 for Akaike's Information Criterion for models that differ
142 by one parameter (21), and is therefore a sensible default. Sensitivity analyses using model
143 deviance ($-2 \times \text{Log-Likelihood}$) showed that a 5% alpha provided a good relative fit in all
144 samples versus models derived with substantially more stringent or lenient alpha.

145 Outliers in the solution were defined as values that would occur only rarely (<5% of the
146 time), using sample size-dependent model Z-score thresholds (22), and were removed prior
147 to final analysis (one iteration). If any outliers were removed, then the Box-Cox
148 transformation was re-determined on the remaining data prior to modelling. We estimated
149 the 1st, 2.5th, 97.5th and 99th centiles, providing 95% and 98% reference intervals.

150 Confidence intervals (90%) for these centiles across the age range were derived from the
151 obtained standard errors. In this generalised least squares model, the transformed variable
152 is assumed to be normally distributed conditional on age. The distribution of model Z scores
153 was inspected visually to check that the model was adequately specified, with the
154 assumption of $N(0,1)$ in a symmetrical distribution.

155 We derived a rough estimate of the precision of estimation of the reference limits afforded by
156 the sample sizes available to us, using the relative margin of error (23). The relative margin
157 of error is defined as the ratio of the width of the confidence interval of the reference limit
158 (e.g. 2.5th or 97.5th centile) to the width of the reference interval at any value of age,
159 assuming a uniform distribution of the covariate. It is desirable for the width of the confidence
160 interval for the centile limits to be a small proportion of the width of the reference interval.
161 The width of the 90% confidence interval for the 95% reference limits (on the Box-Cox
162 transformed data analysed in the model) was approximately 6% of the 95% reference
163 interval width in both samples of men and 7% for the general population sample of women,
164 representing acceptable precision. For the small sample of female athletes, the relative
165 margin of error was approximately 17%, which is relatively imprecise.

166

167 **Results**

168 Summary data for each of the four samples are presented in Table 1. Tables 2-5 present the
169 age- and sex-specific centiles. In the general population groups, average VAT mass
170 increases with age up until around 65-70 years, and then begins to decline at older ages.
171 However, caution is warranted, as the data are relatively sparse at the upper end of the age
172 range. In athletes, on average, VAT mass increases with advancing age in both men and
173 women across the age range. The distribution of model Z scores was acceptable in all
174 samples, with negligible skewness and kurtosis (Table 6). Table 6 also shows the fractional
175 polynomial powers determined from the data and used to construct the reference intervals.
176 The derived equations, plus a worked example of how the centiles are calculated, are
177 provided in the supplementary information (S1). Readers may use the equations to derive
178 any centile for any age within the distribution; for example, for ages in-between those
179 presented in the Tables. In men, 6 outliers were removed in the general population sample,
180 with one outlier removed for athletes. In women, there were no outliers in either of the two
181 samples.

182

183 It should be noted that some practitioners might prefer to use VAT volume (cm³) rather than
184 mass, so the reference data provided can be easily converted as 1 g = 1.06 cm³ (GE,
185 Europe).

186

187 **Discussion**

188 In this study, we have derived the first age- and sex-specific reference intervals for iDXA-
189 derived VAT mass in UK adults, in both the general population and athletes. The derived
190 centiles show that on average men have greater VAT mass than women across the age
191 range, regardless of athletic status. Also, for both men and women, VAT mass increases
192 with advancing age across the range in athletes, and in the general population sample up to
193 around 65-70 years.

194

195 Neither of these findings are surprising, as men typically accumulate more body fat around
196 the abdomen, hence the “apple” shape phenotype that has been associated with cardio-
197 metabolic diseases (2,3,24). In addition to menopause-related hormonal changes, there is a
198 well-documented age-related shift of fat distribution from the periphery and subcutaneous
199 level to the central abdominal region contributing to higher visceral fat as we age in both
200 sexes (25). This shift is accepted as one of the key physiological changes that increases
201 disease risk over time, so the development of VAT mass reference intervals from 18 years
202 across all groups provides valuable information for disease prevention and management.

203

204 In the general population sample, average VAT mass increases with age up to around 65-70
205 years and then appears to begin to decline. Caution is warranted, however, as data become
206 more sparse at the upper end of the age range. These are, however, the first reference data
207 for DXA-derived VAT in older adults and act as a robust starting point. Future work should
208 focus on enhancing the reference data for men and women ≥65 years, as their use may be
209 of interest to those researching and diagnosing obesity-related conditions including

210 osteosarcopenic obesity. The current diagnostic criteria for this condition utilises obesity cut-
211 points based on percentage of body fat (26) and there is a need for more specific criteria.
212 Visceral fat influences inflammatory processes (1), which contribute to osteoporosis and
213 sarcopenia (27), so these VAT reference intervals could provide a more accurate diagnosis
214 and help identify VAT-reduction targets with patients.

215

216 It is well understood that athlete populations generally have lower levels of total body fat in
217 comparison to their general population counterparts; however, little is known about visceral
218 fat levels in athletes. Anecdotal evidence demonstrated very low, almost undetectable, VAT
219 mass in some athletes, and these are the first robust reference data produced to provide a
220 useful guide for athletes, trainers, and coaches. Although the information was available to
221 categorise the athlete group according to individual sports or sport types that align with the
222 Task Force Classification of Sports (28), this would have created multiple groups of a small
223 size, especially for women. Therefore, we consider the influence of sport type to be outside
224 the scope of the current study, but it could be a focus of future work.

225

226 When DXA can be accessed, it provides a more efficient and less-invasive tool than MRI
227 and CT to determine VAT with high accuracy and precision across a range of ages and body
228 sizes. These new data will provide clinicians, researchers, and practitioners with more
229 confidence when utilising DXA for VAT assessment, by enabling the identification of more or
230 less favourable VAT mass values for an individual. This process will allow links to be made
231 in relation to clinical markers, and potentially help quantify disease risk, but also provide a
232 tool for monitoring and interpreting change during and after lifestyle interventions. In the
233 context of athletic populations, the data will allow coaches and sport scientists to provide
234 comparisons and act as a monitoring tool.

235

236 An example of the application of the reference ranges to individuals is instructive here.
237 Consider a new individual aged 40 years, drawn from the male general population group,

238 presenting with a VAT mass of 1800 g. Note from Table 3 that this value is above the 50th
239 centile and below the 97.5th centile – the upper limit of the 95% reference interval. It is a
240 straightforward matter to derive this individual's centile position using the equations
241 presented in the supplementary file (S1) and the standard normal distribution, after
242 transformation of the 1800 g value using the Box-Cox power applied to the data in this
243 sample. This 40-year old male is at the 85th centile for VAT mass. This result could be
244 presented as him being 85th in a queue of 100 people like him (male general population,
245 aged 40 years) with 15 men behind, where being nearer to the front of the line is more
246 desirable. This type of simple presentation might facilitate discussion of cardio-metabolic
247 disease risk in the context of other clinical data and behavioural risk factors.

248

249 To date, there has only been one prior study that has published VAT reference values, using
250 percentiles in 421 healthy Polish adults aged 20-30 years (15). The reference intervals were
251 not age-specific, and the age-range of 10 years renders comparisons with our age-related
252 reference data essentially irrelevant. Katzmarzyk *et al.* (12) determined DXA-derived VAT for
253 2317 white and African American adults using a Hologic scanner, with a focus on identifying
254 clinical thresholds associated with the presence of cardiovascular risk factors. Although this
255 approach has relevant and important clinical utility, the authors did not specifically identify
256 VAT reference data. In the current study, due to wider age ranges and the derivation of
257 equations to predict VAT mass (S1), practitioners can calculate VAT mass centiles for any
258 age, so our study offers the opportunity for greater generalisability and utility in practice.

259

260 An interesting question is how our derived centiles compare with crude reference ranges that
261 may be derived from published mean (SD) VAT mass data measured using a GE iDXA
262 scanner. Sasai *et al.* (29), for example, presented VAT mass data in 81 men and 113
263 women (general population). In men, the mean (SD) VAT mass (g) was 1440 (1170), with a
264 range of 70 to 4890 g. Note that the fact that the mean is smaller than twice the SD indicates
265 a substantially skewed distribution (30), as is typical with visceral fat measures. We

266 assumed a log-normal distribution and derived the 2.5th and 97.5th centiles to produce a 95%
267 reference range using Parameter Solver software (v3.0, University of Texas MD Anderson
268 Cancer Center, Department of Biostatistics:
269 <http://biostatistics.mdanderson.org/SoftwareDownload/>). The 95% reference range was 277
270 to 4512 g, in a sample with a mean (SD) age of 41.8 (14.1); range 18-67 years. Table 2
271 shows that our derived 95% age-related reference interval for a 40-year old man
272 (approximating the mean age in the Sasai et al. sample) was 107 to 3869 g. The 95%
273 reference intervals for an 18-year old and a 65-year old (approximating the sample range in
274 that study) were 56-772 g, and 430-5960 g, respectively. The men's data from the Sasai et
275 al. study is therefore broadly consistent with our reference intervals. Nevertheless, it is very
276 clear from this example that the method we have applied is superior to this crude approach
277 in that it enables us to derive age-specific reference intervals, rather than a single interval
278 applying to a very wide age range. In as much as VAT mass is substantially age-related, we
279 believe that deriving a single reference interval based on a sample heterogeneous for age
280 would be inappropriate. The sample of women in Sasai et al. had a mean (SD) VAT mass of
281 950 (680) g and a mean (SD) age of 42.4 (12.3), ranging from 19 to 69 years. Again,
282 assuming a log-normal distribution, the 95% reference interval is 219 to 2725 g. Table 4
283 shows that our derived 95% reference interval for a 40-year old was 10 to 1810 g. The 95%
284 reference intervals for an 18-year old and a 70-year old were 0-716 g and 56-2941 g,
285 respectively. The Sasai et al. data are consistent with our reference intervals, though it
286 appears as though the women in their sample had somewhat higher levels of visceral fat
287 across a similar age range. Again, however, the fact that their sample was heterogeneous
288 for age precludes a rigorous comparison, and age-related reference intervals are clearly
289 more informative than a single interval.

290

291 We must acknowledge several limitations. First, although we collected data from different
292 ethnic groups it was only a very small proportion, and we could only use the data from white
293 participants to develop robust reference intervals. Future work should look to develop

294 reference intervals in different ethnic groups. This research is particularly important for
295 Asian, especially South-Asian, populations as they have a greater risk of developing type 2
296 diabetes, and an evidence-based mechanistic link exists between visceral fat accumulation
297 and poor glycaemic control (31,32). There will also be a need for the US and other countries
298 to generate their own reference intervals, but they should find those developed as part of our
299 study useful until country-specific data is available.

300

301 Secondly, in the female general population sample, we were unable to back-transform (Box-
302 Cox) the derived 1st centile for ages 18-25 years, and the 2.5th centile for 18-year-olds,
303 because the values were too small, and the back transformation cannot be negative. This
304 issue can arise because the normal distribution has an infinite lower tail of negative values,
305 so it might be something of a compromise model when dealing with an intrinsically positive
306 outcome variable like VAT mass, especially when combined with the generally low levels of
307 VAT in young women. This issue is of no practical consequence, however, because we have
308 set the values to 'essentially zero' for these centiles for those few instances.

309

310 Thirdly, precision of the centiles was assessed using confidence intervals derived from the
311 model standard errors. This approach relies on a normal distribution, and this assumption
312 was satisfied for all four models. Bootstrap resampling with replacement might provide even
313 more robust confidence bands with respect to accurate coverage (33). However, bootstrap-
314 derived confidence bands for the centiles is impossible within Stata® software. Although a
315 user-written custom program is possible theoretically, the `-xrigls-` program does not store the
316 requisite regression coefficients and fractional polynomial-related quantities defining the
317 mean and SD curves for construction of bootstrap confidence bands. For the male general
318 population sample only, we repeated the analysis in Medcalc® software (version 18.10.2;
319 Ostend, Belgium; <http://www.medcalc.org>; 2018), which permits bootstrapping. (Medcalc®
320 software does not allow a constant SD, so we could not re-run the analyses in the other

321 three samples). The derived confidence bands were not materially different to those
322 presented in Table 3, underscoring the fact that the data are adequately normally distributed.
323 As detailed in the Methods, precision of estimation of the reference limits was inadequate for
324 the small female athlete sample. We urge caution in interpreting these intervals and further
325 research is required with substantially larger samples of female athletes.

326

327 Fourthly, we used a fractional polynomial mean and SD model to derive the reference
328 intervals. Other methods are available, including smooth crude centiles and the lambda-mu-
329 sigma (LMS) method (34). Silverwood and Cole (34) stated that the LMS method is superior,
330 due to its flexibility and applicability, and the production of curves that summarise the
331 distribution of the measurement fully across the age range. However, as Wright and Royston
332 (33) highlighted, the approach we adopted herein allows estimation of the centile rank for
333 any individual, which is impossible with the LMS method (34). The limitation of the fractional
334 polynomial mean and SD method is that the approach is parametric and relies on the
335 assumption that the data at each age are drawn from a normally distributed population. We
336 used a single Box-Cox transformation in each of the four samples to normalise the data.
337 Although this transformation does not address kurtosis, the model Z-scores displayed
338 negligible kurtosis (Table 6). We are confident, therefore, that the reference intervals
339 derived herein are robust.

340

341 Finally, it is important to highlight that VAT mass differences do exist between the two main
342 DXA devices (GE and Hologic), but VAT determined by Hologic has been reported to be
343 highly correlated ($r=0.93$) and cross-calibration equations have been proposed (35). There is
344 also a strong correlation ($r=0.98$) between the GE Lunar Prodigy and the GE Lunar iDXA
345 (36) supporting the wider usability of our reference intervals. Both studies used healthy
346 adults with a similar mean age to our current study, but it is worth noting that device
347 comparison studies are still limited in relation to VAT. The results generated by other devices

348 can still utilise these GE Lunar iDXA based reference data, but it would be worthwhile
349 developing device-specific reference data to ensure greater accuracy.

350

351 In conclusion, this is the first set of comprehensive and robustly determined age- and sex-
352 specific reference intervals for DXA-derived VAT mass in UK adults, using the GE CoreScan
353 software in both the general population and in athletes. These intervals can be used in both
354 clinical and athletic environments to help clinicians, health practitioners, and coaches
355 interpret an individual's VAT mass. Future work should further refine reference data in those
356 over 65 years and in female athletes, in different ethnic groups especially Asian populations,
357 and across specific sports classifications. In addition, these reference intervals can be used
358 to create sex and age-specific targets for fat loss interventions from a stratified medicine
359 perspective.

360

361 **Acknowledgements**

362 Thank you to the current and former DXA technicians, B Oldroyd, Z Rutherford, M Barlow, M
363 Butterworth, M Lees, L Gannon, E Payne, L Gallagher, M Marwood, L Duffield, and E
364 Whatley at, or previously based at Leeds Beckett University who contributed to the data
365 included in this study. Additional thanks to Arnaud Lehnisch (GE Europe) for providing
366 technical information from GE engineering.

367

368 **Conflict of Interest**

369 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

370

371 Supplementary information is available at the *International Journal of Obesity's* website.

372

373 **References**

- 374 1. Fontana L, Eagon JC, Trujillo ME, Scherer PE, Klein S. Visceral Fat Adipokine
375 Secretion Is Associated With Systemic Inflammation in Obese Humans. *Diabetes.*

- 376 2007;56(4):1010–3.
- 377 2. Després J-P. Body fat distribution and risk of cardiovascular disease: an update.
378 Circulation. 2012;126(10):1301–13.
- 379 3. Britton KA, Massaro JM, Murabito JM, Kreger BE, Hoffmann U, Fox CS. Body fat
380 distribution, incident cardiovascular disease, cancer, and all-cause mortality. J Am
381 Coll Cardiol. 2013;62(10):921–5.
- 382 4. Hind K, Pearce M, Birrell F. Total and Visceral Adiposity Are Associated With
383 Prevalent Vertebral Fracture in Women but Not Men at Age 62 Years: The Newcastle
384 Thousand Families Study. J Bone Miner Res. 2017;32(5):1109–15.
- 385 5. Kuk JL, Katzmarzyk PT, Nichaman MZ, Church TS, Blair SN, Ross R. Visceral Fat Is
386 an Independent Predictor of All-cause Mortality in Men. Obesity. 2006;14(2):336–41.
- 387 6. Kaul S, Rothney MP, Peters DM, Wacker WK, Davis CE, Shapiro MD, et al. Dual-
388 energy X-ray absorptiometry for quantification of visceral fat. Obesity.
389 2012;20(6):1313–8.
- 390 7. Rothney MP, Xia Y, Wacker WK, Martin F-P, Beaumont M, Rezzi S, et al. Precision of
391 a new tool to measure visceral adipose tissue (VAT) using dual-energy X-Ray
392 absorptiometry (DXA). Obesity. 2013;21(1):E134–6.
- 393 8. Mellis MG, Oldroyd B, Hind K. In vivo precision of the GE Lunar iDXA for the
394 measurement of visceral adipose tissue in adults: The influence of body mass index.
395 Eur J Clin Nutr. 2014;68(12):1365–7.
- 396 9. Carver TE, Court O, Christou N V., Reid RER, Andersen RE. Precision of the iDXA for
397 Visceral Adipose Tissue Measurement in Severely Obese Patients. Med Sci Sports
398 Exerc. 2014;46(7):1462–5.
- 399 10. Miazgowski T, Krzyżanowska-Świniarska B, Dziwura-Ogonowska J, Widecka K. The
400 associations between cardiometabolic risk factors and visceral fat measured by a new
401 dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry-derived method in lean healthy Caucasian women.
402 Endocrine. 2014;47(2):500–5.
- 403 11. Rothney MP, Catapano AL, Xia J, Wacker WK, Tidone C, Grigore L, et al. Abdominal

- 404 visceral fat measurement using dual-energy X-ray: Association with cardiometabolic
405 risk factors. *Obesity*. 2013;21(9):1798–802.
- 406 12. Katzmarzyk PT, Greenway FL, Heymsfield SB, Bouchard C. Clinical utility and
407 reproducibility of visceral adipose tissue measurements derived from dual-energy X-
408 ray absorptiometry in White and African American adults. *Obesity*. 2013;21(11):2221–
409 4.
- 410 13. Neeland IJ, Grundy SM, Li X, Adams-Huet B, Vega GL. Comparison of visceral fat
411 mass measurement by dual-X-ray absorptiometry and magnetic resonance imaging in
412 a multiethnic cohort: the Dallas Heart Study. *Nutr Diabetes*. 2016;6(7):e221.
- 413 14. Micklesfield LK, Goedecke JH, Punyanitya M, Wilson KE, Kelly TL. Dual-energy X-ray
414 performs as well as clinical computed tomography for the measurement of visceral fat.
415 *Obesity*. 2012;20(5):1109–14.
- 416 15. Miazgowski T, Kucharski R, Sołtysiak M, Tazarek A, Miazgowski B, Widecka K.
417 Visceral fat reference values derived from healthy European men and women aged
418 20-30 years using GE Healthcare dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry. *PLoS One*.
419 2017;12(7):e0180614.
- 420 16. National Center for Health Statistics. NHANES 2015-2016 Body Composition
421 Procedures Manual [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2018 Dec 21]. Available from:
422 [https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhanes/2015-](https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhanes/2015-2016/manuals/2016_Body_Composition_Procedures_Manual.pdf)
423 [2016/manuals/2016_Body_Composition_Procedures_Manual.pdf](https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhanes/2015-2016/manuals/2016_Body_Composition_Procedures_Manual.pdf)
- 424 17. Reinhardt M, Piaggi P, DeMers B, Trinidad C, Krakoff J. Cross calibration of two dual-
425 energy X-ray densitometers and comparison of visceral adipose tissue measurements
426 by iDXA and MRI. *Obesity*. 2017;25(2):332–7.
- 427 18. Bi X, Seabolt L, Shibao C, Buchowski M, Kang H, Keil CD, et al. DXA-measured
428 visceral adipose tissue predicts impaired glucose tolerance and metabolic syndrome
429 in obese Caucasian and African-American women. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2015;69(3):329–
430 36.
- 431 19. Wright EM, Royston P. Simplified estimation of age-specific reference intervals for

- 432 skewed data. *Stat Med*. 1997;16(24):2785–803.
- 433 20. Royston P, Wright E. XRIGLS: Stata module to calculate reference intervals via
434 generalised least squares [Internet]. 2008 [cited 2018 Nov 20]. Available from:
435 <https://econpapers.repec.org/software/bocbocode/s409901.htm>
- 436 21. Murtaugh PA. In defense of P values. *Ecology*. 2014;95(3):611–7.
- 437 22. Hopkins WG, Marshall SW, Batterham AM, Hanin J. Progressive Statistics for Studies
438 in Sports Medicine and Exercise Science. *Med Sci Sport Exerc*. 2009;41(1):3–13.
- 439 23. Bellera CA, Hanley JA. A method is presented to plan the required sample size when
440 estimating regression-based reference limits. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2007;60(6):610–5.
- 441 24. Tchernof A, Després J-P. Pathophysiology of Human Visceral Obesity: An Update.
442 *Physiol Rev*. 2013;93(1):359–404.
- 443 25. Hunter GR, Gower BA, Kane BL. Age Related Shift in Visceral Fat. *Int J Body*
444 *Compos Res*. 2010;8(3):103–8.
- 445 26. Ilich JZ, Kelly OJ, Inglis JE. Osteosarcopenic Obesity Syndrome: What Is It and How
446 Can It Be Identified and Diagnosed? *Curr Gerontol Geriatr Res*. 2016;2016:1–7.
- 447 27. Ilich JZ, Kelly OJ, Inglis JE, Panton LB, Duque G, Ormsbee MJ. Interrelationship
448 among muscle, fat, and bone: Connecting the dots on cellular, hormonal, and whole
449 body levels. *Ageing Res Rev*. 2014;15:51–60.
- 450 28. Mitchell JH, Haskell W, Snell P, Van Camp SP. Task Force 8: Classification of Sports.
451 *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2005;45(8):1364–7.
- 452 29. Sasai H, Brychta RJ, Wood RP, Rothney MP, Zhao X, Skarulis MC, et al. Does
453 visceral fat estimated by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry independently predict
454 cardiometabolic risks in adults? *J Diabetes Sci Technol*. 2015;9(4):917–24.
- 455 30. Altman DG, Bland JM. Statistics Notes: Detecting skewness from summary
456 information. *BMJ* . 1996;313(7066):1200.
- 457 31. Banerji MA, Faridi N, Atluri R, Chaiken RL, Lebovitz HE. Body Composition, Visceral
458 Fat, Leptin, and Insulin Resistance in Asian Indian Men. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*.
459 1999;84(1):137–44.

- 460 32. Lim U, Ernst T, Buchthal SD, Latch M, Albright CL, Wilkens LR, et al. Asian women
461 have greater abdominal and visceral adiposity than Caucasian women with similar
462 body mass index. *Nutr Diabetes*. 2011;1(5):e6.
- 463 33. Wright EM, Royston P. A Comparison of Statistical Methods for Age-related
464 Reference Intervals. *J R Stat Soc*. 1997;160(1):47–69.
- 465 34. Silverwood RJ, Cole TJ. Statistical methods for constructing gestational age-related
466 reference intervals and centile charts for fetal size. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol*.
467 2007;29(1):6–13.
- 468 35. Fan B, Wilson J, Shepherd J, Wu X. How Comparable Are Hologic and GE-Lunar
469 Visceral Fat Analyses? *J Clin Densitom*. 2013;16(3):263.
- 470 36. Ergun DL, Rothney MP, Oates MK, Xia Y, Wacker WK, Binkley NC. Visceral Adipose
471 Tissue Quantification Using Lunar Prodigy. *J Clin Densitom*. 2013;16(1):75–8.
- 472

473 **Tables**

474 **Table 1.** Sample characteristics

475 **Table 2.** Visceral adipose tissue centiles (g) for adult men (N=1090).

476 **Table 3.** Visceral adipose tissue centiles (g) for male athletes (N=1189).

477 **Table 4.** Visceral adipose tissue centiles (g) for adult women (N=790).

478 **Table 5.** Visceral adipose tissue centiles (g) for female athletes (N=143).

479 **Table 6.** Derived powers for regression of visceral adipose tissue mass on age, with

480 distribution of model Z-scores.

481

482

483 **Supplementary Information**

484

485 **S1.** Derived equations from the fractional polynomial models for the mean and SD for the

486 prediction of visceral adipose tissue mass (VAT), plus worked example (precision given to 4

487 decimal places)

Table 1. Sample characteristics

Population sample	General		Athletes	
	Men (n=1096)	Women (n=790)	Men (n=1190)	Women (n=143)
Age (years)	40.6 (17.8)	50.0 (18.0)	24.2 (5.1)	25.8 (7.5)
Body Mass (kg)	85.2 (15.2)	67.9 (13.7)	91.5 (15.2)	64.6 (12.1)
Height (cm)	177.6 (6.8)	162.7 (8.2)	182.3 (7.4)	166.8 (6.4)
BMI (kg/m ²) ^a	26.9 (4.3) (17.8, 44.2)	25.6 (5.0) (15.0, 47.6)	27.4 (3.5) (16.2, 42.9)	23.1 (3.5) (16.7, 34.9)
VAT mass (g) ^b	570 (273 to 1651)	440 (132 to 1006)	337 (212 to 528)	77 (29 to 164)

Key: BMI – Body Mass Index, VAT – Visceral Adipose Tissue

^aBMI is presented as mean (SD) (range)

Data presented as mean (SD) except ^bVAT mass is presented as median (interquartile range)

Table 2. Visceral adipose tissue centiles (g) for adult men (N=1090).

	Centile (90% confidence interval)				
	1st	2.5th	50th	97.5th	99th
Age					
18	43 (35 to 53)	56 (47 to 68)	222	772 (664 to 895)	963 (811 to 1139)
20	40 (34 to 48)	54 (47 to 63)	244	948 (840 to 1070)	1205 (1051 to 1380)
25	39 (34 to 44)	55 (50 to 62)	316	1487 (1363 to 1621)	1950 (1770 to 2146)
30	44 (39 to 50)	64 (57 to 72)	417	2167 (1988 to 2361)	2889 (2623 to 3179)
35	55 (47 to 63)	81 (72 to 91)	552	2976 (2709 to 3267)	3991 (3591 to 4431)
40	73 (63 to 85)	107 (95 to 122)	724	3869 (3504 to 4268)	5181 (4639 to 5781)
45	100 (87 to 116)	146 (129 to 166)	931	4765 (4315 to 5258)	6337 (5678 to 7066)
50	140 (121 to 160)	199 (176 to 225)	1161	5549 (5043 to 6101)	7296 (6570 to 8097)
55	192 (168 to 218)	267 (238 to 299)	1392	6084 (5556 to 6659)	7884 (7138 to 8702)
60	256 (225 to 291)	347 (310 to 387)	1586	6246 (5704 to 6834)	7955 (7196 to 8787)
65	327 (283 to 377)	430 (379 to 486)	1703	5960 (5377 to 6603)	7443 (6629 to 8350)
70	394 (327 to 474)	502 (428 to 587)	1704	5241 (4582 to 5987)	6403 (5502 to 7440)
75	444 (346 to 567)	546 (440 to 675)	1572	4200 (3486 to 5047)	5009 (4065 to 6154)

Age range = 18 to 83 years, but data are sparse >70 years.

The 50th centile is shown as a point estimate only, as a reference point.

Table 4. Visceral adipose tissue centiles (g) for adult women (N=790).

	Centile (90% confidence interval)				
	1st	2.5th	50th	97.5th	99th
Age					
18	0 (0 to 0)	0 (0 to 0)	61	716 (619 to 824)	983 (854 to 1126)
20	0 (0 to 0)	0 (0 to 0)	72	778 (680 to 886)	1061 (931 to 1204)
25	0 (0 to 0)	0 (0 to 0)	109	967 (865 to 1077)	1295 (1160 to 1440)
30	0 (0 to 0)	1 (0 to 2)	162	1205 (1096 to 1321)	1586 (1442 to 1741)
35	1 (0 to 1)	4 (2 to 6)	233	1489 (1365 to 1621)	1930 (1767 to 2104)
40	2 (1 to 4)	10 (6 to 14)	323	1810 (1662 to 1966)	2314 (2123 to 2518)
45	7 (4 to 10)	19 (14 to 26)	425	2148 (1974 to 2333)	2717 (2494 to 2953)
50	13 (9 to 19)	32 (24 to 42)	531	2477 (2281 to 2685)	3104 (2855 to 3368)
55	21 (15 to 28)	46 (36 to 59)	627	2760 (2554 to 2977)	3436 (3175 to 3711)
60	27 (20 to 36)	57 (46 to 71)	696	2956 (2755 to 3167)	3665 (3408 to 3935)
65	29 (22 to 38)	62 (50 to 75)	721	3027 (2830 to 3233)	3747 (3494 to 4013)
70	26 (19 to 36)	56 (44 to 72)	691	2941 (2709 to 3187)	3647 (3357 to 3955)
75	18 (10 to 30)	42 (28 to 62)	602	2686 (2367 to 3036)	3350 (2963 to 3772)
80	9 (3 to 21)	24 (11 to 46)	466	2276 (1854 to 2762)	2867 (2361 to 3447)

Age range = 18 to 80 years.

The 50th centile is shown as a point estimate only, as a reference point.

Table 3. Visceral adipose tissue centiles (g) for male athletes (N=1189).

	Centile (90% confidence interval)				
	1st	2.5th	50th	97.5th	99th
Age					
18	27 (23 to 31)	41 (35 to 47)	227	771 (719 to 825)	936 (871 to 1003)
20	36 (32 to 41)	53 (48 to 59)	273	885 (840 to 932)	1067 (1010 to 1128)
25	58 (52 to 64)	82 (75 to 89)	368	1111 (1059 to 1164)	1327 (1261 to 1396)
30	79 (71 to 88)	109 (100 to 120)	451	1301 (1236 to 1368)	1545 (1464 to 1629)
35	103 (91 to 116)	140 (126 to 156)	540	1497 (1409 to 1590)	1768 (1662 to 1880)
40	135 (114 to 158)	180 (155 to 208)	648	1730 (1585 to 1886)	2032 (1863 to 2213)

Age range = 18 to 61 years, but data are sparse >35 years.

The 50th centile is shown as a point estimate only, as a reference point.

Table 5. Visceral adipose tissue centiles (g) for female athletes (N=143).

	Centile (90% confidence interval)				
	1st	2.5th	50th	97.5th	99th
Age					
18	1 (0 to 2)	2 (1 to 3)	54	501 (381 to 651)	707 (532 to 929)
20	1 (0 to 2)	2 (1 to 4)	59	532 (413 to 680)	749 (573 to 969)
25	1 (1 to 2)	3 (2 to 5)	72	617 (492 to 769)	863 (676 to 1092)
30	2 (1 to 3)	4 (2 to 7)	88	714 (566 to 895)	991 (773 to 1259)
35	3 (1 to 5)	6 (3 to 9)	107	824 (632 to 1064)	1135 (861 to 1480)
40	4 (2 to 8)	7 (4 to 14)	129	947 (691 to 1280)	1297 (942 to 1759)

Age range = 18 to 59 years, but data are sparse >35 years.

The 50th centile is shown as a point estimate only, as a reference point.

Table 6. Derived powers for regression of visceral adipose tissue mass on age, with distribution of model Z-scores.

Sample	Powers for mean	Powers for SD	Model Z-scores*	Z-score Skewness	Z-score Kurtosis
Adult men	2, 3	0.5, 1	0.00 (1.00)	-0.2	0.22
Male athletes	-2, 3	Constant	0.00 (1.02)	-0.11	0.28
Adult women	2, 3	Constant	0.00 (0.98)	-0.02	-0.33
Female athletes	1	Constant	0.00 (0.99)	-0.05	0.05

*Data presented as mean (SD)