

Durham Research Online

Deposited in DRO:

03 February 2021

Version of attached file:

Published Version

Peer-review status of attached file:

Peer-reviewed

Citation for published item:

Powell, Hilary and Saunders, Corinne (2021) 'Visions and voice-hearing in medieval and early modern contexts.', . Palgrave Studies in Literature, Science and Medicine.

Further information on publisher's website:

<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-52659-7>

Publisher's copyright statement:

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2021
Chapters 2 and 5 are licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). For further details see license information in the chapter

Use policy

The full-text may be used and/or reproduced, and given to third parties in any format or medium, without prior permission or charge, for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes provided that:

- a full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- a [link](#) is made to the metadata record in DRO
- the full-text is not changed in any way

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

Please consult the [full DRO policy](#) for further details.

Palgrave Studies in Literature, Science and Medicine

Series Editors
Sharon Ruston
Department of English and Creative Writing
Lancaster University
Lancaster, UK

Alice Jenkins
School of Critical Studies
University of Glasgow
Glasgow, UK

Catherine Belling
Feinberg School of Medicine
Northwestern University
Chicago, IL, USA

Palgrave Studies in Literature, Science and Medicine is an exciting new series that focuses on one of the most vibrant and interdisciplinary areas in literary studies: the intersection of literature, science and medicine. Comprised of academic monographs, essay collections, and Palgrave Pivot books, the series will emphasize a historical approach to its subjects, in conjunction with a range of other theoretical approaches. The series will cover all aspects of this rich and varied field and is open to new and emerging topics as well as established ones.

Editorial Board

Andrew M. Beresford, Professor in the School of Modern Languages and Cultures, Durham University, UK

Steven Connor, Professor of English, University of Cambridge, UK

Lisa Diedrich, Associate Professor in Women's and Gender Studies, Stony Brook University, USA

Kate Hayles, Professor of English, Duke University, USA

Jessica Howell, Associate Professor of English, Texas A&M University, USA

Peter Middleton, Professor of English, University of Southampton, UK

Kirsten Shepherd-Barr, Professor of English and Theatre Studies, University of Oxford, UK

Sally Shuttleworth, Professorial Fellow in English, St Anne's College, University of Oxford, UK

Susan Squier, Professor of Women's Studies and English, Pennsylvania State University, USA

Martin Willis, Professor of English, University of Westminster, UK

Karen A. Winstead, Professor of English, The Ohio State University, USA

More information about this series at

<http://www.palgrave.com/gp/series/14613>

Hilary Powell · Corinne Saunders
Editors

Visions
and Voice-Hearing
in Medieval and Early
Modern Contexts

palgrave
macmillan

Editors

Hilary Powell
University of Durham
Durham, UK

Corinne Saunders
University of Durham
Durham, UK

ISSN 2634-6435 ISSN 2634-6443 (electronic)
Palgrave Studies in Literature, Science and Medicine
ISBN 978-3-030-52658-0 ISBN 978-3-030-52659-7 (eBook)
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-52659-7>

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer
Nature Switzerland AG 2021

Chapters 2 and 5 are licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). For further details see license information in the chapter.

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Cover credit: Trinity College Cambridge MS B.10.6, f.1v, depicting Revelation 1: 1–3 in an Apocalypse MS made in England c. 1270–80: St John on Patmos is awakened by an angel who bids him write. With the permission of the Master and Fellows of Trinity College Cambridge

This Palgrave Macmillan imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG

The registered company address is: Gewerbstrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

PRAISE FOR *VISIONS AND VOICE-HEARING*
IN *MEDIEVAL AND EARLY MODERN*
CONTEXTS

“This invaluable collection brings readings of medieval and early modern textual sources to enrich, even transform, cultural and medical understanding of being human. It opens up the long history of voice-hearing as a range of multisensory experiences, juxtaposing trauma and hallucination with imagination, psychic energy, and religious vision, and challenging boundaries between spiritual and medical, natural and supernatural, inner and outer, waking and dreaming.”

—David Lawton, *Professor of English, Washington University
in St. Louis, USA*

“This ambitious essay-collection challenges current biomedical perspectives whilst benefiting from them. Encompassing pre-modern religious revelations, dream-vision poems, and plays, it engages with contemporary research into auditory verbal hallucinations. Now a phenomenon often seen narrowly as a psychopathological disorder, then voice-hearing could be revered as divine annunciation or powerfully dramatized within fictions of inner experience. *Visions and Voice-Hearing* offers an impressive interdisciplinary and trans-historical model for understanding the many meanings of ‘hearing things’.”

—Alastair Minnis, *Professor Emeritus, Yale University, USA;
University of York, UK*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We have incurred many debts in the writing and preparation of this collection of essays, which has been long in the making. Our first is to our authors, who have so generously contributed their ideas and insights and so patiently borne with their editors and their many requests. The book finds its inspiration in the *Hearing the Voice* project (<http://hearingthevoice.org/>), an interdisciplinary study based at Durham University and generously funded by a Wellcome Trust Strategic Award (WT098455) and a Wellcome Trust Collaborative Award (WT108720). We are very grateful to the Trust for their support of our research over the last eight years. We have been privileged to contribute our work to the project by offering a long cultural perspective on voice-hearing and visions, and by putting past and present into conversation. We have benefitted immensely from the insights of our colleagues, from the diversity and richness of their disciplinary expertise and from the new methodologies and ways of thinking the project has offered. The book originated in a workshop, ‘Visions, Voices and Hallucinatory Experiences in Historical and Literary Contexts’, held at Durham University in April 2014, and we are grateful to *Hearing the Voice* for funding this event, to Mary Robson for facilitating it and to St Chad’s College for hosting it. We owe an immense debt to Michael Baker, for his role as editorial assistant, and his outstanding work in preparing every element of the typescript, including the index. He has been the most painstaking of copy editors. We are grateful too to our editors at Palgrave Macmillan—first to Ben Doyle, for his interest

and enthusiasm for the Medical Humanities and his support over many years, and more recently to Allie Troyanos and Rachel Jacobe. We would also like to thank Brian Halm and Meera Mithran for their care with regard to the production process. The *Hearing the Voice* project and the Department of English Studies have very generously contributed to the costs of preparing the typescript and the illustrations. We are extremely grateful to the Master and Fellows of Trinity College Cambridge for allowing us to use the cover image without cost. Finally, we would like to thank, as well as our colleagues on the *Hearing the Voice* project, the Institute for Medical Humanities and the Department of English Studies for their support of the project over several years, and our friends and families for their unfailing interest in and encouragement of *Visions and Voice-Hearing*—in particular, our husbands, David Fuller and David Grummitt.

CONTENTS

- 1 **Medieval and Early Modern Visions and Voices:
Contexts and Approaches** 1
Hilary Powell and Corinne Saunders
- 2 **Behold! The Voices of Angels: Narrative, Audience
and Affect in Eadmer of Canterbury's *Breviloquium
Vita Sancti Wilfridi*** 15
Hilary Powell
- 3 **Gabriel's Annunciation and the Problems of Angelic
Voice** 45
Jacqueline Tasioulas
- 4 **Hearing, Seeing, Smelling, Tasting and Touching
the Voice: Gender and Multimodal Visions in the *Lives*
of Thomas of Cantimpré** 61
Christine Cooper-Rompato
- 5 **Thinking Fantasies: Visions and Voices in Medieval
English Secular Writing** 91
Corinne Saunders

6	Staging Conversion: Preternatural Voices and Visions in the Medieval Drama	117
	Mark Chambers	
7	Julian of Norwich, the Carrow Psalter and Embodied Cinema	147
	Sarah Salih	
8	Writing and Reading the Word: Patterns of Divine Speech in Julian of Norwich's <i>A Revelation of Love</i>	175
	Darragh Greene	
9	Sounds Like God: The Elephant in <i>The Book of Margery Kempe</i>	199
	Barry Windeatt	
10	Daggers of the Mind: Hallucinations, Mental Fixation and Trauma in Kyd's <i>The Spanish Tragedy</i> and Early Modern Psychology	221
	Lesel Dawson	
11	'Fearful Echoes Thunder in Mine Ears': Hearing Voices in Marlowe's <i>Doctor Faustus</i>	255
	Laurie Maguire and Aleksandra Thostrup	
12	'Under the Operation of a Higher and Exalted Mind': Medicine, Mysticism and Social Reform in Restoration England	281
	Peter Elmer	
	Index	305

NOTES ON CONTRIBUTORS

Mark Chambers is Honorary Fellow and part-time lecturer in the Department of English Studies at Durham University. A member of the *Records of Early English Drama North-East* project (<http://community.dur.ac.uk/reed.ne>), he is co-editing the forthcoming *County Durham* volume for the long-running *Records of Early English Drama* series (with John McKinnell). His particular expertise is in medieval English drama, with further interests in late medieval clothing culture and post-Anglo-Norman language contact.

Christine Cooper-Rompato is an Associate Professor of English at Utah State University, with a focus on medieval literature. She has previously published on the subject of xenoglossia in late medieval English religious and secular literature (*The Gift of Tongues: Women's Xenoglossia in the Later Middle Ages*, Penn State University Press, 2010). More recently (2015) she has explored female experiences of voice-hearing in a collaborative study published in *Frontiers of Psychology*, and she is currently completing a book project on the use of mathematics in medieval sermons.

Lesel Dawson is a Senior Lecturer in English at the University of Bristol. She is the author of *Lovesickness and Gender in Early Modern Literature* (Oxford University Press, 2008) and the co-editor of *Revenge and Gender from Classical to Renaissance Literature* (Edinburgh University Press, 2018; paperback 2019). Her research interests include early modern

psychology and medicine, and she has published articles on Renaissance grief, shame, misogyny, disgust, menstruation and cruentation. She is on the Board of Directors of Shakespeare at the Tobacco Factory and is one of the organisers of Good Grief, Bristol, a city-wide festival about grief.

Peter Elmer is Senior Research Fellow on a five-year Wellcome-funded project at the University of Exeter, which aims to create a comprehensive and interactive database of medical practitioners in early modern England, Wales and Ireland. He was previously Lecturer in History at the Open University. His research is focused on early modern medicine, and its relationship to broader religious and political issues, with a particular emphasis on the role of magic and witchcraft in early modern British society. He is the author of three monographs: *The Healing Arts: Health, Disease and Society in Europe, 1500–1800* (Manchester University Press, 2004), *Miraculous Conformist: Valentine Greatrakes, the Body Politic, and the Politics of Healing in Restoration Britain* (OUP, 2012) and *Witchcraft, Witch-Hunting, and Politics in Early Modern England* (OUP, 2016).

Darragh Greene is Teaching Fellow in Medieval Studies at University College, Dublin. He has published essays on a range of medieval English literary topics and genres, including Chaucer's *Canterbury Tales*, medieval drama and dream vision writing; as well as on early modern writing, including Shakespeare; and contemporary literature and culture. He has particular interests in philosophy of language, ethics, history of emotion and affect studies. He is co-author (with Graham Price) of *Film Directors and Emotion: An Affective Turn in Contemporary American Cinema* (McFarland, 2020).

Laurie Maguire is Professor of Shakespeare at the University of Oxford and Tutorial Fellow at Magdalen College. Her interests include textual studies, Elizabethan and contemporary performance, classical influences on Renaissance writers, history of medicine and the medical humanities. She is the author of fifty articles and ten books, including *The Rhetoric of the Page* (OUP, 2020), *Othello* (Bloomsbury, 2014), *Thirty Great Myths About Shakespeare* (with Emma Smith, Wiley-Blackwell, 2013), *Helen of Troy: From Homer to Hollywood* (Wiley-Blackwell, 2009), *Shakespeare's Names* (OUP, 2007), *Where There's a Will There's a Way* (Penguin, 2006) and *Shakespearean Suspect Texts: The 'Bad Quartos' and Their Contexts* (CUP, 1996). She is currently working on early modern collaboration (with Emma Smith), on John Florio, and on Judith Shakespeare.

Hilary Powell is an Honorary Research Fellow in the Department of English Studies at Durham University, where she specialises in medieval monastic literary culture. She has published essays on a range of historical and literary topics, from the presentation of demons and daydreaming in hagiography to saints' cults in the early medieval landscape. As a researcher in the medical humanities, her recent publications explore themes of voice-hearing, psychosis and mind-wandering from a medieval perspective.

Sarah Salih is Senior Lecturer at King's College, London, where she specialises in medieval English literary culture. Her particular interests are in sexuality, gender and subjectivity and the intersections of literature, practice and the visual arts. She has published widely on medieval virginity, Middle English hagiography and women's mysticism. Her books include *Versions of Virginity in Late Medieval England* (Brewer, 2001), *Imagining the Pagan in Late Medieval England* (Brewer, 2019) and the edited collections *Gender and Holiness: Men, Women and Saints in Late Medieval Europe* (Routledge, 2002); *Medieval Virginites* (University of Wales Press, 2003); and *A Companion to Middle English Hagiography* (Brewer, 2006).

Corinne Saunders is Professor in the Department of English Studies and Co-Director of the Institute for Medical Humanities at Durham University, UK. She specialises in medieval literature and the history of ideas, with a particular emphasis on medicine, emotions, gender and the body. She is Co-Investigator on the *Hearing the Voice* project and Collaborator on the *Life of Breath* project, both funded by the Wellcome Trust and based at Durham University. Her third monograph, *Magic and the Supernatural in Medieval English Romance*, was published in 2010. Her co-edited books include (with Elizabeth Archibald and Megan Leitch) *Romance Re-Written: The Evolution and Reception of Middle English Romance* (2018), (with Jane Macnaughton and David Fuller) *The Recovery of Beauty: Arts, Culture, Medicine* (2015) and (with Carolyne Larrington and Frank Brandsma) *Emotions in Medieval Arthurian Literature: Body, Mind, Voice* (2015). She is Editor for English Literature of the journal *Medium Ævum*.

Jacqueline Tasioulas is University Lecturer in Medieval Literature at the University of Cambridge and a Fellow of Clare College, where she is Senior Tutor and Director of Studies. She is a specialist in Chaucer and

his legacy, in the literature of the early Tudor period and in the medical humanities. Her current research focuses on the interaction between literature and science. Her publications include two major editions, *The Apocryphal Lives of Adam and Eve* (University of Exeter Press, 2002) and *The Makars: The Poetry of Henryson, Dunbar, and Douglas* (Canongate, 1999). She is also the author of *Chaucer: The Basics* (Routledge, 2019).

Aleksandra Thostrup studied under Professor Laurie Maguire at the University of Oxford, where she wrote about linguistic and mental devilry in *Doctor Faustus* and Early Modern theatre. Having studied the history of ideas in Aarhus, Denmark, and book history at University of Antwerp, Belgium, she is now reading for a postgraduate degree in Renaissance Literature at the University of York. Some of her work on dramatic character in Marlowe's plays was published in *Christopher Marlowe in Context*, edited by Emily C. Bartels and Emma Smith (Cambridge University Press: 2013).

Barry Windeatt is Fellow and Keeper of Rare Books at Emmanuel College, Cambridge. His research interests lie in medieval English literature in its European context, in literature and visual culture, and in the literature of mystical experience. His publications most relevant to his essay in the present volume include editions of Julian of Norwich's *Revelations of Divine Love* (OUP, 2016) and of *The Book of Margery Kempe* (Pearson, 2000; repr. Boydell and Brewer, 2004), annotated translations of the *Revelations* (Oxford World's Classics, OUP, 2015) and of *The Book of Margery Kempe* (Penguin Classics, 1985; 2nd edition, 2019), and an anthology, *English Mystics of the Middle Ages* (CUP, 1994).

LIST OF FIGURES

Plate 3.1	The Annunciation, British Library, MS 18850 (The Bedford Hours), f. 32 (Reproduced by kind permission of the British Library. Copyright the British Library Board)	51
Plate 3.2	Angel as Herald, Cambridge University Library, MS Dd.8.2, f. 27v (Reproduced by kind permission of the Syndics of Cambridge University Library)	55
Plate 6.1	The devil appearing to St. John disguised as an angel; woodcut from <i>Die Historie van Jan van Beverley</i> , orig. printed by Thomas van der Noot (Brussels, ca. 1512) (Reproduced with the kind permission of the Digitale Bibliotheek voor de Nederlandse Letteren [dbnl.org])	119
Plate 7.1	British artist, The Crucifixion, about 1395. Promised Gift of the Berger Collection Educational Trust, TL-18011 (Photograph courtesy of the Denver Art Museum)	151
Plate 7.2	Saints Simon and Jude in the Carrow Psalter, MS W.34, f. 9v (Photograph courtesy of the Walters Art Gallery, Baltimore)	154
Plate 7.3	The Carrow Psalter's demons, MS W.34, f. 26v (Photograph courtesy of the Walters Art Gallery, Baltimore)	156
Plate 7.4	The Carrow Psalter's 'second' Annunciation, MS W.34, f. 31v (Photograph courtesy of the Walters Art Gallery, Baltimore)	158

- Plate 9.1 St Bridget of Sweden, from *The Dyetary of Ghostly Helthe*, printed by Wynkyn de Worde (London, 1520); Cambridge University Library, Sel.5.30, frontispiece (Reproduced by kind permission of the Syndics of Cambridge University Library)



CHAPTER 2

Behold! The Voices of Angels: Narrative, Audience and Affect in Eadmer of Canterbury's *Breviloquium* *Vita Sancti Wilfridi*

Hilary Powell

At the end of his second account of St Wilfrid, Eadmer of Canterbury (d. after 1128) appended two miracle stories. The first featured an invisible choir of angels singing Wilfrid's praises, and the second comprised a vision of two monks who prostrated themselves before the saint's relics as a golden light flooded the choir of Canterbury cathedral. Although voice-hearing miracles are rare within Anglo-Latin hagiographical literature, visions are far less so. But these two stories are particularly intriguing because they are significant additions to what is, in all other regards, a carefully truncated *Vita*. Their inclusion is perplexing, turning our attention away from what such stories say about voice-hearing to consider what

H. Powell (✉)
University of Durham, Durham, UK

© The Author(s) 2021
H. Powell and C. Saunders (eds.), *Visions and Voice-Hearing
in Medieval and Early Modern Contexts*, Palgrave Studies
in Literature, Science and Medicine,
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-52659-7_2

stories about voice-hearing bring to the experience of hagiography. The crafted account of angelic voices and the following vision were deemed so essential to the text that all efforts at concision were abandoned. This essay focuses on voice-hearing as a cultural form, as a concept or motif rather than lived experience. Foregrounding questions about the purpose and reception of hagiography, it explores the aesthetic and affective experience afforded by these two miracle narratives.

THE CASE FOR SAINTS

The *Breviloquium Vita Sancti Wilfridi* survives in a single witness, Cambridge, Corpus Christi College, MS 371, where it follows a longer life of St Wilfrid.¹ Containing all of Eadmer's known works, it is thought to have been his personal manuscript, written in Eadmer's own hand.² It is addressed to his 'beloved brothers' [*fratres karissimi* (para. 129, p. 162)] at the cathedral priory of Christ Church, Canterbury, where Wilfrid's remains had resided since the mid-tenth century.³ Eadmer apparently intended the sermon for use on Wilfrid's feast day, although, without any other extant witnesses, we cannot be sure whether the text was ever so used.⁴ In the short prologue which precedes the two additional miracles, Eadmer repeats his address to his 'most beloved brothers' and directs the curious reader to his longer *Vita*. He also gives his reason for including these miracles: 'since I wish to enkindle you for the veneration of his feast' [*quoniam nos ad uenerationem festiuitatis eius accendere cupio* (para. 148, p. 178)]. In his *coda* which followed the second of the additional stories, Eadmer's desire becomes plangent adjuration: 'I beseech and pray you my Lords and most beloved brothers, again I say I pray you, think over how much veneration this day deserves of you' [*Exortantes itaque precamur uos, domini et fratres dilectissimi, precamur, considerate quantam ueneratione debeatis huius dei* (para. 151, p. 182)]. Just what are we to make of Eadmer's impassioned entreaty? Was this merely rhetoric or was he genuinely trying to persuade his Canterbury brethren of Wilfrid's sanctity?

This was Jay Rubenstein's argument in his reassessment of the historiographical debate concerning Archbishop Lanfranc's (d. 1089) treatment of English saints after the Conquest.⁵ Laying aside questions of ethnic identity and national prejudice, Rubenstein reframed the debate as a difference of opinion over the value of the cult of saints. Lanfranc purged the cathedral of minor saints because he preferred a less cluttered,

more Christological liturgy. Eadmer's hagiographical works, written after Lanfranc's death, were an attempt to restore his beloved Anglo-Saxon saints to their proper places at the physical and liturgical heart of the church. He aimed his works, Rubenstein claimed, 'not at a national audience of hostile Norman churchmen and magnates, but rather at an often skeptical Christ Church community'.⁶ Rubenstein credits the inclusion of Wilfrid's and Oda's feast days in a calendar written in the 1120s to Eadmer's *Vitae* which 'would have effectively raised the saints' spectral presence in the monks' collective imaginings'.⁷ We should not, however, overstate Eadmer's agency in effecting liturgical change. His exhortation may sound like an impassioned cry to inaugurate a cult but Eadmer's *Vitae* probably postdate the official sanction of Wilfrid's cult, causing us to rethink his aims and objectives.⁸

Actually, it is our historicist reading of hagiography, which overemphasises the moment of inscription, that requires rethinking. Such readings seek to locate authorship and reception—and thus meaning—in a specific historical context. Yet we can become so caught up in establishing the social logic of the text that we fail to remember that hagiography is a cultural art form, written to be read, treasured and remembered by audiences year upon year.⁹ Hagiographers were undoubtedly attuned to the specific concerns of their immediate audiences but they also imagined themselves writing for future generations. Eadmer's 'beloved brethren' were not only his supposedly sceptical *confrères* but also the monks who would succeed them, whom he hoped would read and use the additional stories about Wilfrid as a means to 'enkindle' [*accendere*] themselves for the feast.

Viewing hagiography not as a historical *act* but a cultural *artefact* encourages us to foreground questions about utility and aesthetic experience. Saints' lives were written to endure and, most importantly, be used. In his *Breviloquium Vita Sancti Wilfridi*, Eadmer was not simply making a case for the veneration of Wilfrid but a far more important claim for hagiography in mediating that veneration. Significantly, he articulated his case through the two additional miracles at the end of the work. Thus, the invisible choir of angels and the vision of the prostrated monks cease to be mere afterthoughts but are critical episodes in Eadmer's conceptualisation and elucidation of the hagiographical enterprise. The voice-hearing miracle is particularly significant because its form and content point towards a centuries-old doctrinal tradition outlining the centrality of saints in the Christian spiritual life.

HEARING *DULCE CARMEN*

The bewitching effect of hearing sweet melodies which draw the hearer towards the source of the sound has a well-known precedent in Augustine's spiritual interpretation of Psalm 41 ('As the deer longs for the water fountains').¹⁰ This homily is widely construed as a commentary on the human soul thirsting for God. Yet within it Augustine also articulated his deep-seated conviction that the church and its members—most especially the saints—were indispensable in helping the soul achieve greater proximity to God.

The key chapters are those expounding the second half of Ps. 41.5 ('for I will cross into the place of the wonderful tabernacle, all the way to the house of God, with a voice of exultation and confession, the sound of feasting' [*quoniam transibo in locum tabernaculi admirabilis, usque ad domum Dei: In voce exultationis, et confessionis: sonus epulantis*]). Augustine explained that God cannot be found in any visible or corporeal thing, nor, indeed, within oneself. Instead the house of God lies 'above my soul' [*super animam meam*]. Access is via the earthly tabernacle, the Church: 'His tabernacle on earth is his church [...and] in his tabernacle is found the way that leads to his house' [*tabernaculum eius in terra, ecclesia eius [...] in tabernaculo inuenitur uia, per quam uenitur ad domum* (9.2–3)].

The tabernacle contains many wonders to which Augustine draws our attention: 'Behold how great are the wonders that I admire in the tabernacle!' [*Ecce quanta admiror in tabernaculo* (9.11)]. Yet our gaze is directed not towards objects but images of people, the 'faithful [who] are God's tabernacle on earth' [*tabernaculum enim dei in terra, homines sunt fideles* (9.12)]. Looking at them through Augustine's eyes, we admire their chastity, obedience, restraint, sagacity, exertion and love (9.13–20). Moreover, in beholding and admiring their deeds we find the way that leads to the house of God.

It was thus that while admiring the members of the tabernacle he [a sudden shift to the psalmist's experience] was led to the house of God, by following a certain sweetness, an unknown interior and hidden delight, as if some instrument sounded sweetly from the house of God; while he was walking in the tabernacle, he heard this inward sound; he was led on by its sweetness and following the guidance of the sound and removing himself from all noise of flesh and blood, he made his way up to the house of God.

[*Tamen dum miratur membra tabernaculi, ita perductus est ad domum dei, quamdam dulcedinem sequendo, interiorem nescio quam et occultam uoluptatem, tamquam de domo dei sonaret suauiter aliquod organum; et cum ille ambularet in tabernaculo, audito quodam interiore sono, ductus dulcedine, sequens quod sonabat, abstrahens se ab omni strepitu carnis et sanguinis, peruenit usque ad domum dei (9.36–41).*]

Admiring God's saints, His faithful living on earth, brought one to the house of God.

Augustine underscores this message by introducing an interrogative voice: 'it was as though we had said to him "You are admiring the tabernacle here on earth; how did you come to the sanctuary of the house of God?"' [*quasi diceremus ei: miraris tabernaculum in hac terra; quomodo peruenisti ad secretum domus dei (9.42)*]. The psalmist responds with Psalm 41.5: 'In the voice of joy and praise, the sound of feasting' (9.45). Augustine adds that it is a feast day without beginning or end: 'the choir of angels make it an eternal feast' [*festum sempiternum chorus angelorum (9.55)*]. Moreover, it is possible for this joyous feast to be perceived by the human soul: 'from that everlasting and perpetual feast there sounds I know not what melody so sweet to the ears of my heart; if only the world were not so noisy' [*de illa aeterna et perpetua festiuitate sonat nescio quid canorum et dulce auribus cordis; sed si non perstrepat mundus (9.57)*]. The tabernacle provides a place of quiet for the soul, space to wander and gaze, pause and reflect and perhaps catch the soothing sound of the heavenly festivities.

The correspondences are sufficiently striking to suggest that Eadmer may well have had this homily in mind when he wrote his voice-hearing miracle for the *Breviloquium* (para. 149, pp. 178–80). Not only do both texts feature sweetly sounding melodies which draw their protagonists to a place where pleasure and admiration give way to astonishment and awe, but they also start from the same point. The miracle begins with Godwin, the recipient of this angelic audition, keeping vigil before Wilfrid's relics, thus demonstrating his admiration for the saint, a member of God's tabernacle. Godwin is drawn to the cathedral choir, the site of the sweet harmonies and like the psalmist, he is pleasantly delighted and soothed by the melodies. Yet on his arrival at the source of the sound, he is left dumbfounded.¹¹ Like the Psalmist, astonishment gives way to understanding. Augustine explains that in the *domus Dei* lies the 'fountain of understanding' [*fons intellectus*], conflating it with the 'sanctuary of

God' found in Psalm 72.16–17 where the Psalmist gained understanding of the last things (9.28–29). From his position in the choir, Godwin could see (*conspiciens*) everything clearly and yet sees (*videns*) that no one was there. The surprising absence of the anticipated sensory phenomena results in Godwin's mental apprehension and wonder. Both of these passages feature the experience of hearing heavenly voices, but what is perhaps of greater interest is their mutual emphasis on the tabernacle—or rather the admiration of its members—which initiates this experience.

PICTURING THE TABERNACLE

The Tabernacle for the Ark built according to the measurements given on the mountain by God to Moses (Ex. 25–31) was a well-known monastic trope used for meditational composition.¹² Inventive meditation and prayer was a learned craft for which the monk required certain cognitive instruments: 'machines which can lift the mind and channel its movements'.¹³ Ancient rhetoricians used elaborate architectural mnemonics as *aide-memoires* to shape and modulate their oratory.¹⁴ The early medieval monastic tradition inherited these mnemotechniques but re-purposed them as tools for cognitive invention. Mental *picturae* were assembled from materials retrieved from the memory in an intentional act of will. Architectural schemes, comprising paths and routeways, provided the monk with a 'map' through which he might imagine himself walking during meditational composition.¹⁵ Occasionally these *picturae* received material expression as representational drawings, which has invited a loose comparison with Buddhist mandalas as schematic frameworks for prayer.¹⁶ More common, however, were verbal ekphrases based on buildings or structures found in the Bible.¹⁷

Ekphrasis is a verbal presentation which works an immediate impact on the mind of the listener through an appeal to the imagination.¹⁸ Ekphrasis operates through its defining quality of *enargeia*, a quality of language which makes absent things seem present due to the vividness of its expression. Yet *enargeia* is more than a linguistic phenomenon or rhetorical trope: it is a psychological process.¹⁹ It works by summoning memory images or *phantasmata* which, in the Peripatetic tradition, were understood to be sense-impressions imprinted on the soul.²⁰ Assemblages of these internal images of absent things retrieved and reconfigured under authorial instruction were called *phantasia*, or alternatively *visiones* in

Latin.²¹ Compiled from images generated from genuine sense perceptions, *phantasiai* appear to bring the subject ‘before the eyes’ (*pro ommaton*).²² The listener thus becomes a spectator, an eyewitness to events and, most significantly, is made to feel the emotions he or she would as if present.²³ Making the audience share the experience and the emotions of the speaker was fundamental to the persuasive success of judicial orators in the ancient world.²⁴ It was equally important in the storytelling context of hagiographical discourse.

Book Three of Goscelin of Saint-Bertin’s *Liber confortatorius* begins with an ekphrasis of the tabernacle built at God’s command and to his specifications. Considerably shorter than the account in Exodus (Bks 25–31 and 35–40), it nevertheless conjures a vivid image in the mind’s eye:

This tent was like a very large temple with purple walls, stretched widely over golden columns and posts. The world had seen nothing more beautiful, nothing more painstakingly made, nothing more artful until that time [...]. As the sky is decorated with stars, the ground with flowers, the world with various kinds of ornaments, thus this mobile palace shone with every splendor. The entire structure consisted of the whitest linen, and was adorned with twice-tinted purple cloth and golden fabric. Superb painting of every colour and every shape added to the decoration [...]. And the golden cheer of the sun would shine its rays through this most translucent structure, like a temple of solid glass in every colour, and with its light would beautify the abundant gold and the most plentiful painted figures [...].

[*Tentorium erat instar amplissimi templi parietibus purpureis, columnis ac postibus late intensum aureis. Nil speciosius, nil operosius, nil artificiosius terrarum gloria cotenus nouerat [...]. Ut celum sideribus, terra floribus, mundus uariis rerum decoratur ornatibus, sic illud mobile palatium omnium radiabat splendoribus. Universa machina ex bisso candidissimo constabat et purpura coccoque bis tincto aurosaque textura florebat. Ut omnium colorum, ita et omnium formarum pictura artificiosa decorum addiderat [...]. Tum aurea solis iocunditas perspicacissimum castrum quasi solidum ex omni colore uitreo templum suis radiis perlustrabat, aurumque copiosissimum cunctarumque figurarum insignia suo lumine decorabat [...].*]²⁵

The *Liber confortatorius* (written ca. 1080) is an extended letter addressed to Eve, a young nun who had recently left her nunnery at Wilton for an anchorage in Angers. Its length, however, belies its personal dedication and intimate tone and it was no doubt typical of medieval epistolaries

in having been intended for a far wider audience than the immediate addressee.²⁶ This passage is a textbook example of a tabernacle ekphrasis to be ‘painted’ and placed ‘before the eyes’ as a mental *pictura* for meditative composition. Receiving detailed guidance regarding the structure (colour: purple/gold; form: cloth/columns; condition: stretched) the listener’s imagination pieces together a vivid *phantasia*. The mental effort and expertise in weaving together this *pictura* is underscored by the comparative forms of the adjectives *operosus* (‘painstaking’) and *artificiosus* (‘artful’). More interesting perhaps, are the terms associated with concepts in medieval aesthetics and, by extension, the mnemotechnical language of rhetorical invention: *uarius*, *color*, *ornatus*, *decor*. Mary Carruthers drew on the concept of rhetorical *ductus* to convey how the mind moves through a mental *pictura*:

we can think of the ornaments in a composition as causing varieties of movement: steady, slow, fast, turn, back up. They not only signal how something is to be “taken” (like a pathway)—whether straight on (literally) or obliquely (metaphorically or ironically)—but can also give an indication of temporal movement, like time signatures in written musical composition. Compositional *ductus*, moving in colors and modes, varies both in direction and in pace [...]. If a thinking human mind can be said to require “machines” made out of memory by imagination, then the ornament and decoration, the “clothing,” of a piece will indicate the ways in which these mental instruments are to be played.²⁷

Selecting the ornaments to decorate the mental tabernacle was a matter of personal choice; they needed only to be plentiful, varied and many-coloured. Yet while the details were left unspecified, the subject matter was not. Goscelin instructed his reader to paint the tabernacle with the ‘ manifold decorations of the examples of the saints’ [*cum sanctorum exemplorum multimodo decore* (27)]. The stories of saints were the ornaments which modulated one’s movement through the tabernacle; places where one paused, looked and admired. The purpose of hagiography was to provide monks and nuns with rich and vivid ekphrases for fashioning into cognitively useful *ornamenta*. The flexible and panoptic powers of the human imagination enkindled by *enargeia* crafted these stories into spectacles played out in the mind. These splendid and irradiant scenes staged in the tabernacle were ‘wayfinders’. Not only did they guide the religious mind as it traversed the routes of meditative composition but

in attending to and admiring these scenes, the mind might even find ‘the way that leads to the house of God’.

Active, affective participation was key. The tabernacle *pictura* was not only a ‘machine’ for cognitive invention, but also it offered emotional ‘practice’. Goscelin recommended it to Eve as a measure *contra taedium* (‘against weariness’):

if you sometimes forget the homeland that you are seeking and are wearied by your solitude, your imprisonment and your enclosure; build yourself a column of faith and a tent of hope, and as a tabernacle painted in every colour with the manifold decorations of the examples of the saints, take pleasure in the law of the Lord, exercising and meditating on it day and night.

[*si oblitam petite patrie tedeat aliquando solitudinis, captiuitatis et clausule, erige tibi columnam fidei, tentorium spei, et quasi inde picto omni colore tabernaculo in lege Domini oblectare, exercitando et meditando in ea die ac nocte, cum sanctorum exemplorum multimodo decore* (24–28).]

In the Christian monastic tradition *taedium* bore decidedly negative overtones. It was a spiritual condition born of the solitary state and particularly perilous for the dangers it posed.²⁸ The devil, it was imagined, watched for the drooping eyelids and fired an arrow of evil thoughts or *phantasmata* into the ‘weary soul’.²⁹ *Taedium* was thus a gateway vice to greater sin.³⁰ It was a spiritual hardship which had to be battled, not a bad mood to be relieved by light entertainment.

Eve was to ‘take pleasure’ (*oblectare*) in re-collecting the *phantasiai* she had crafted through her reading of hagiographical writings about saints who had lived—and had struggled to live—in obedience to God’s law. Moreover, she was required to *exercitando et meditando* on these images. ‘To practise or exercise diligently’ is one meaning of *exercitare*, but a less common meaning is ‘to disquiet or agitate’.³¹ These two meanings are not necessarily incompatible. In a letter addressed to the monks at Canterbury, Anselm explained that through the experience of having been ‘exercised’ by tribulations one advanced towards greater things.³² Practising provoking and, particularly, resolving feelings of disquiet led to spiritual growth.

Enargeia is a trope of persuasion which not only makes listeners see the events as though ‘before their own eyes’ but makes them feel the emotions appropriate to the events described.³³ *Phantasiai* were sites of affective production. As these scenes played out before the mind’s inner

eye, a sequence of emotions was called forth. As Augustine ‘gazed again’ (*respicio*) at the sight of faithful souls obeying God in the tabernacle, he ‘admired’ (*admiror*) their restraint, tenacity and capacity for love. In this state of admiration or pleasurable contemplation a sweet, soothing delight was experienced which drew the soul from the tabernacle ‘unto the house of God’. There, in the sanctuary of God, admiration and pleasure gave way to astonishment and stupefaction. Eve’s mental *pictura* of the tabernacle, furnished and wreathed with *phantasiai* crafted from the lives of saints, promised similar emotional transports, through which she could walk whenever wearied or disquieted. As she paused to admire the examples of the saints, she would feel soothed and refreshed by the pleasure this brought. Enjoyment is an engine which lifts the beholder to wonder and, as the psalmist learned, wondering leads to astonishment, the sense of being dumbstruck (*stupeo*) and uncoupled from the world.³⁴ In this place, the location of the angelic choir is found the face of God [*ultus praesens dei* (9.55)].

Stories about the saints play a crucial role in preparing the soul as it reaches out in search of God. Re-collected *phantasiai* based on saints’ lives and miracles cultivate affective responses conducive to prayer and meditative composition. The more varied and vivid—and hence pleasurable and admirable—the mental sights, the better. While the reader’s mental agility is undoubtedly pivotal to the successful creation of *phantasiai*, the reader can only respond to the instructions supplied by the author. ‘Good’ or well-written hagiography has to possess *enargeia*; it has to direct the audience to paint the scene in such a way that it can both see and feel the events described.

EADMER: A MASTER IN *ENARGEIA*

Foregrounding the cognitive and affective utility of hagiography has significant implications for its interpretation. It forces us to look beyond the immediate social or political contexts which gave rise to its production and instead consider the ongoing use of hagiographical texts as cultural art forms. Rather than concentrating on *what* the texts say, we need to pay greater attention to *how* they say it and to consider the rhetorical techniques the hagiographers used to help their audiences conjure the scenes as though before their eyes.

Eadmer was not particularly feted as a stylist by the medieval authors who succeeded him. William of Malmesbury singled out Osbern,

Eadmer's older *confrère*, for his 'Roman elegance' [*Romana elegantia*] and heaped praise on Goscelin of Saint-Bertin for his elegant rewriting of the lives of English saints.³⁵ William particularly commended Goscelin for his account of the 1091 translations at St Augustine's Abbey, Canterbury, which he 'polished so vividly that he seemed to point the finger at every detail for his contemporaries and make future ages see it with their own eyes' [*expoluit ut eam presentibus monstrasse digito futurorumque uidetur subiecisse oculo* (l. 592)]. Eadmer was less impressed than William with Osbern's style which he felt 'exceeded the balanced style of everyday narrative' [*modum usitatae narrationis excessisse*].³⁶ He clearly felt he could do better. Apologies for his own 'simple style' [*paruitati meae*], 'limited ability' [*ingenioli*] and 'uncultivated and plain language' [*inculto plano [...] sermone*] were probably false modesty and to modern eyes, his simple style is highly commendable.³⁷ Sir Richard Southern praised Eadmer's 'clear and straightforward Latin which is easy to understand', further acknowledging that '[t]o be simple and to be vivid were achievements greater than might appear at first sight [...]. To write naturally came only from discipline and a fine balance of mind [...]'.³⁸ Eadmer certainly expended great effort in perfecting his natural style. He concerned himself, claims Southern, with 'trifling details of phraseology and the order of words'.³⁹ Andrew Turner and Bernard Muir, in their survey of the various manuscript copies of Eadmer's hagiographical works, conclude with the opinion that he showed a meticulous, almost pedantic approach, making 'constantly fussy alterations'.⁴⁰ Word order, however, is not a trifling matter and dismissing Eadmer's attention to detail as fussiness obscures the significant changes that can accrue from the slightest verbal adjustments.⁴¹ Turner and Muir draw attention to Eadmer's interest in extended and convoluted linguistic games and his heavy use of rhetorical figures in his *Vita S. Wilfridi* to adapt and rewrite his literary sources.⁴² They conclude that 'Eadmer was certainly familiar with many of the grammatical structures associated with the high literary style of the classical period and uses them throughout his works'.⁴³ With a thorough training in rhetorical theory, Eadmer would have been acutely aware of just how important it was to use the right word in the right way.⁴⁴

Eadmer uses many of the rhetorical tropes beloved of medieval authors and particularly hagiographers. Too often these are overlooked *because* they are tropes, their ubiquity appearing to preclude the possibility of intentional use. The humility topos, used by Eadmer to self-deprecating

effect, was a particular favourite. A trope of irony, this cued the audience to anticipate and prepare to appreciate the eloquence and sophistication of the text that followed. Claims to *brevitas* similarly instruct the audience to expect the opposite. The prologue to the two miracles appended to the *Breviloquium* plays on themes of abbreviation and expansion. In fact, the very inclusion of these narratives, at some length and with no economy of language, belies the expectations set up through the title. Yet the appeal to brevity also primes the audience for their own role with respect to the text. With brevity came the expectation that the audience would ‘open up’ and ‘expand’ the text at their leisure, and, moreover, that they would take pleasure in so doing.⁴⁵ Eadmer’s intention ‘to recount briefly, if it pleases’ [*brevi, si placet, referre uobis* (para. 148, p. 178)] is another rhetorical commonplace—a *capitatio benevolentiae*—intended to ‘capture’ the ‘goodwill’ of the listener.⁴⁶ The audience’s pleasure, however, is not relief that the recitation will be of short duration but the anticipation of the delight of ‘unpacking’ and savouring a complicated and compressed text.

Indeed, pleasing the audience lay at the heart of hagiographical composition and rhetorical style was crucial in generating pleasure. Eadmer, however, declared another reason for writing. In the prologue to the two miracles he expressed a desire to enkindle the feelings of his audience [*uos ad uenerationem festiuitatis eius accendere cupio* (para. 148, p. 178)]. This was also standard rhetorical practice. Bene of Florence (*fl.* 1218) spoke of ‘warming’ the feelings of the audience as a means of persuasion.⁴⁷ Aesthetic pleasure warms the soul, motivating desire. Pleasure is a sensation which unfolds over time through one’s ongoing engagement with an art form. Pleasure builds as one proceeds or rather is led (*ductus*) through the compositional pathways and movements achieved through its stylistic choices.⁴⁸ Pleasure is also culturally constructed. As Jan Ziolkowski writes: ‘[I]n spite of the pronouncements in favour of stylistic clarity and humility [the medieval aesthetic] was often an aesthetic that favoured difficulty, complicated ornament, artificiality, amplification and periphrasis’.⁴⁹ Pleasure was found in mixture, in multiplicity, variation and complexity combined ‘in due proportion’:

For if many sensations are perceived as a rational mixture, they are made pleasurable; just so in tastes, when a thing is according to due proportion either sharp or sweet or salty; then indeed things are entirely pleasing, and all that is mixed is more pleasing than what is single [...].

[*Sed si plura sensibilia deducuntur ad proportionatam misionem, efficiuntur delectabilia: sicut in saporibus, quando aliquid secundum debitam proportionem est aut acutum, aut dulce, aut salsum; tunc enim sunt omnino delectabilia. Et omne, quod est mistum, est magis delectabile, quam quod est simplex [...].*]⁵⁰

These two principles of pleasure, first being a process and second a complexion of sensations, are squarely in evidence in Eadmer's account of Godwin's experience of angelic voice-hearing. To demonstrate how pleasure builds through the course of the narrative—and how the audience is thus enkindled or warmed—it is helpful to explore the text one stage at a time.

The opening scene is very simple. The audience are directed to picture their *confrère* Godwin, the former sacristan and a man of great simplicity and innocence, in the oratory on the eve of Wilfrid's feast. The Canterbury brethren would have had no trouble in summoning sensory memories of the oratory, brother Godwin, and the sound of Matins starting. With very little prompting, they would have swiftly knitted together a vivid, personal and multisensory *pictura*.

The next sentence, however, makes greater cognitive demands of its audience.

And when the psalm 'Why, O Lord are they multiplied' had been uttered, which comes after the verse 'Lord, you will open my lips' and 'God make speed to save me,' which are usually said at the start of Matins by the monks, behold! two choristers were reciting the invitatory before the altar; and [when] the choir, as is the custom, repeated the same phrase, these two sang 'Come let us praise the Lord' following the melody of the first tone.

[*Et dicto Psalmo, 'Domine quid multiplicati sint,' qui post uersum, 'Domine labia mea aperies,' et, 'Deus in adiutorium meum intende,' a monachis in principio uigiliarum dici solet, ecce duo cantores coram altari inuitatorium pronuntiabant; et choro, uti mos est, hoc ipsum repetente, ipsi 'Uenite,' secundum cantum primi toni, decantabant* (para. 149, p. 178).]⁵¹

Initially, the audience's experience seems not dissimilar to Godwin's: we hear the versicles almost in their entirety, without any visual content. The monk reading this text aloud may well have sung these verses out of habit. Crossing between different modes of performance demands greater attention and readerly involvement, slowing and moderating our *ductus*

through the narrative.⁵² Yet the audience's experience is significantly different because the psalms are not listed in the correct order.⁵³ *Anastrophe*, the inversion of the usual order of words or clauses, is used to great effect here. The inverted psalms are laborious to process, necessitating a high degree of engagement from the monastic listener.

Our perspective shifts in the second half of the sentence. The *asterismos* 'behold!' [*ecce*] invites the audience to exercise their visual imagination. We are instructed to paint in our mind's eye two choristers. Eadmer's brethren would once again have been able to furnish their *picturae* using their own experience. The number of cantors and the place they sang from was significant for the status of the feast and rank of the day. Lanfranc's *Monastic Constitutions* record that feasts of the third rank at Christ Church featured two monks dressed in copes for the invitatory.⁵⁴ Eadmer then instructs the audience to place these monks 'before the altar'. Placing a background behind our figures instantly adds depth to our mental *pictura*. With our mind's eye trained on these two monks, the choir, which takes up the refrain, must be placed behind or in our peripheral vision. Immediately our *pictura* bursts into stereophony.

Located centrally in this vivid scene, the reader then receives a sign that everything is not as it seems: 'However the invitatory was this: "Let us faithfully worship on God in the Trinity, through faith in whom the holy Bishop Wilfrid lives in God"' [*Inuitatorium autem hoc erat: Unum Deum in Trinitate fideliter adoremus, cuius fide Deo uiuit sanctus presul Wilfridus* (para. 149, p. 178)]. But before we have time to process this information, we are snatched out of our *pictura* of Matins proper to watch Godwin's response: 'Upon hearing this, the brother rose immediately' [*Quod ille frater audiens, ilico surrexit* (para. 149, p. 178)]. The emphatic 'this' has turned the audience's attention but the significance of the invitatory has been lost on Godwin. From observing him rise, we suddenly find ourselves privy to his internal thought processes: 'and [after] a stringent self-examination found himself guilty of sloth, which he calculated had detained him and caused him to rise later' [*segnitiem qua se detentum tardius surrexisse estimabat, districta in se examinatione redarguens* (para. 149, p. 178)]. Impersonation (*ethopoeia*) which involves putting oneself into the character of another helps convey the person's thoughts and feelings more vividly. It makes the character more plausible and authentic and thus available for psychological guesswork and empathy.⁵⁵

The text forces the audience to switch back and forth between multiple perspectives, to create and juggle several different *picturae*. Yet instead of

leaving us dazed, this multiperspectival experience works seamlessly; our mind tracks between vantage points with ease. We enjoy watching the multiple, varied and complex sights to which we have omniscient access.

We depart from Godwin's mind to see him arrive at the entrance to the choir, where we observe him pause and, on hearing Psalm 94.8, 'Today if you shall hear his voice', look inside the choir. Immediately, we return to impersonating Godwin, reproducing in our mind the contents of his perception: 'When he saw no one within and yet the sweetness of their singing and charm of their voices remained wondrously soothing, he thought this was because of the cloudiness of his vision, which normally occurs after just awakening from recent sleep' [*Qui cum neminem intus uideret, et tamen suauitas concertuum, necnon dulcedo uocum eum mirifice demulceret, ratus est hoc sibi contigisse ex oculorum obscuratione que fieri solet ex recenti dormitione* (para. 149, p. 178)]. The *tamen* draws our attention to the second part of the clause, so the most salient element of this sentence is not the visual lack of singers but the sweet and soothing quality of the music. Like Godwin, we are 'soothed' into not really noticing. Everything about this clause seeks to give pleasure. The noun *suauitas* and its near-synonym *dulcedo* ('sweetness' or 'charm') refer back to a sensory phenomenon which works to reproduce those effects in the mind and, hence, body of the perceiver.⁵⁶ *Demulceo* similarly recruits and mimetically reproduces tactile sensations of being stroked and physically soothed. A *punctus elevatus* in the manuscript after *demulceret* indicates a suspended sentence requiring an emphatic climax and a rise in pitch, an arrangement that is pleasing to the ears.⁵⁷

The sentence turns from Godwin's sensory perception to his deductive reasoning. Soothed by the sweet music, he explains his experience as a common ocular condition, a cloudiness that comes from sleeping. We instinctively find our mental *pictura* darkening and becoming blurred. Our vision clears as the choir sings the *Gloria Patri* and Godwin takes his space in the stalls: 'standing there, and feeling pleasantly delighted by the melody of those singing, and observing everything clearly, and seeing nobody [there], he was filled with wonder' [*ubi stans, et melodia cantantium suauiter iocundabatur, et clare omnia conspiciens, et neminem uidens, mirabatur* (para. 149, pp. 178–80)]. Unlike earlier, when the audience had direct access to Godwin's perception and thought processes, here we remain on the outside. We do not join Godwin in 'seeing nobody'; instead we are instructed to see Godwin standing by himself. Mental *picturae*

painted under authorial instruction, that is, with *enargeia*, are surprisingly robust. ‘Forgetting’ requires a conscious act of erasure or redaction. As we join Godwin in rubbing the eyes (of our minds) we are erasing our original *pictura* of the two choristers singing before the altar. But the erasing is not effective until the image is replaced by the solitary figure of Godwin *ubi stans*. Even then, it is impossible to delete this image entirely; Godwin stands solid amid the faint, ghostly forms of our earlier *phantasia*. Overlaying in this way produces an interesting mental effect. Although assembled from sensory memories, the spectral effect exceeds sensory perception. Nor is it an intentional act of imaginative creation.⁵⁸ It is an unexpected outcome produced by the rhetorical *ductus* which surprises and delights the audience.

Our earlier *phantasia* lingers in our mind because of the sweet melody which not only continues but grows in volume, complexity and beauty: ‘And as he was thus standing astounded, listening (or rather not listening) to the singers [...] the hymn *Confessor* was begun by one of them, and taken up and sung by a multitude of harmonious voices’ [*Cum itaque sic stupens staret, necne canentes auscultaret [...] ymnus iste, Confessor, ab uno incipitur, atque a multitudine susceptus canoris uocibus decantatur* (para. 149, p. 180)].⁵⁹ The sound grows stronger, but the ghostly figures begin to recede from our sight. Switching back to Godwin’s perspective: ‘[I]t seemed to him that he was not hearing those singing psalms next to him but from above, in the rafters of the church’ [*non iam iuxta se, sed desuper quasi in laquearibus ecclesie psallentes sibi uidebatur audire* (para. 149, p. 180)]. We automatically reorient our gaze upwards, duly conjuring wooden rafters but no more. The polyphony, however, persists, but we track its upwards trajectory: ‘and so, ascending as they sang and escaping as they ascended out of the hearing of the brother listening, these holy angels [...] returned to the heavens’ [*sicque canendo ascendentes, et ascendendo auditum fratris intendentis fugientes ipsi sancti angeli [...] celestia repetebant* (para. 149, p. 180)]. Punning on a word by varying the cases or tenses (*traductio*) was one of Eadmer’s favourite tropes of repetition.⁶⁰ Here ‘ascendentes’/‘ascendendo’ helps the sentence build to a climax, in effect, to mimic the angels’ ascent. It also builds our desire; as the voices move out of earshot, we strain more to hear them.

As the singing leaves Godwin feeling ‘sweetly delighted’ [*suauius iocundabatur*], the audience is left similarly pleased. Pleasure is conjured not only in the choice of words which reproduce those sensations in the listener, but in their deliberate ordering and the creation of

pleasing cadences. The multiperspectival presentation offers variation and complexity which gives the audience time to pause and truly inhabit the scenes they have fashioned. The greatest delight, however, derives from the overlaying of the *phantasii* which surprises, pleases and allows the meaning of the miracle to be fully realised: these are angelic voices. This is a truly ekphrastic miracle story in which, through figures of speech and use of *enargeia*, events are brought ‘before the eyes’ of the audience, working a profound impact on the mind of the listener. Pleasure enkindles desire as we yearn for the sweet song; the angels’ escape is a bittersweet loss. We emerge from the experience full of admiration for God and his saint.

This analysis has led to a second important realisation with significant implications for this volume. Stories about hearing voices rely on visual tropes to make them ‘work’. ‘Seeing nobody there’, the defining feature of the experience of voice-hearing, requires an impossible feat of imagination. It involves not bringing but banishing something from ‘before the eyes’. This can only be achieved through prior visualisation and an equally visual act of creative ‘erasing’. Efforts to categorise miracles by type, for example into visions and auditions, fail to recognise that aesthetic experience in the Middle Ages was both multisensory and whole.⁶¹ They also lose sight of the cognitive purposes undergirding hagiographical composition. The more colourful, varied, complicated, and multisensory the stories, the more powerfully they performed in the mind as sites of affective production and meditational invention.

The second miracle shows considerable overlap with the first, recruiting sensory memories pertaining to the layout of Christ Church, a senior member of the community and the festal form of Matins. It differs, however, in casting the recipient, the sacristan Ælfwine, as the storyteller, who ‘vows that this was seen by him’ [*sibi uisum esse testatur* (para. 150, p. 180)]. The conceit continues: ‘He says that on the very night of the feast he was resting outside the choir in a certain elevated place in this church’ [*Ait enim quia dum ipsa nocte festiuitatis extra chorum in quodam edito ipsius ecclesie loco* (para. 150, p. 180)]. His words take us back to our *pictura* of Godwin in the oratory.⁶² They also transport us into a particular disposition or frame of mind. The *ipsa* is emphatic and reminiscent of the opening lines of a folk or fairy tale.⁶³ It is a verbal formula which invites us to suspend disbelief, to lay aside doubt for the sake of enjoyment.

Our entry into this world that is betwixt and between is further signalled by the use of familiar linguistic forms: Ælfwine ‘lay neither fully awake nor fully asleep’ [*et nec plene uigilans nec plene dormiens iaceret* (para. 150, p. 180)]. The singsong cadence is again evocative of genres that straddle reality and fiction. While Ælfwine hovers on the threshold of consciousness, we have taken up space in the land of the uncanny, awaiting further instruction. It continues: ‘looking up he saw the whole church shining with a wonderful and indescribable brightness’ [*aspiciens uidit totam ecclesiam miro et ineffabili fulgore splendere* (para. 150, p. 180)]. Shrugging off all sense of drowsiness, the audience sets to work assembling a *pictura* of a shining church. Declaring sights to be ‘ineffable’, beyond capacity for description, is a common form of *aporia*, but it is also an effective trope for amplifying readerly involvement. With the author lost for words, the reader has to take greater pains to fill the gap, not only recruiting but creatively enhancing sensory memories of a brightly lit church. Again, it is a device which slows and modulates the pace at which the reader moves through the text.

Instructions for decorating our shining church swiftly follow:

Moreover, the altar itself seemed to be entirely made of gold and to be gleaming in an abundant array of precious stones. Meanwhile, in the choir he heard a great multitude of people pressed together singing the nightly vigils for Saint Wilfrid with festal music.

[*Altare autem ipsum quasi totum aureum eximio preciosorum lapidum nitens ornatu uidebatur. Preterea in choro quendam magne multitudinis cuneum audiuit nocturnas uigilias de sancto Wilfrido festiuis concentibus decantantem* (para. 150, p. 180).]

The *autem* and *preterea* draw our attention in two directions in quick succession, forcing our mind to simultaneously process and produce a *phantasia* of a sparkling altar and another of jubilant singing. This produces a polyfocal *pictura* and a somewhat dazzled sensation. As we saw with the previous story, aural images often possess a strong visual component. A large crowd might be inferred from the volume and complexity of the sound but the word *cuneus* (‘wedge’) is primarily visual. Ælfwine (and the audience) enjoy a panoptic vision of the whole church.

It is the first of these two images, the far more enargeic gleaming altar, which demands our attention. The combination of *uidebatur* and the present participle *nitens* conjure both quality and mode: we mentally

reproduce the perceptual process through which precious stones seem to gleam. Elaine Scarry has termed this effect ‘radiant ignition’; it makes the image more real.⁶⁴ We might say it brings the altar ‘before the eyes’. This impression is intensified by the figures passing before it: ‘And when it came to the lections and responses, he noticed that those whose duty it was to read or sing were mounting up the spiral staircase and bending down in front of the altar and before the blessed man’s body as if to receive a blessing’ [*Cumque ad lectiones et responsoria uentum esset, eos qui uel legere uel cantare debebant, per cocleam ascendere, ac coram altari et corpore beati uiri quasi pro benedictione supplicare contemplatus est* (para. 150, p. 180)]. With our panoptic vision, the stone staircase recedes and we observe the monks’ every step. We continue to watch as they cross in front of the altar. In his discussion of metaphor, Aristotle claims that subjects perceived in action (with *energeia*) are more vivid and that *energeia* was strongly correlated with *enargeia*.⁶⁵ Scarry argues that it is actually the perception of movement, often fleeting, *in front of* another surface or object that brings it ‘before the eyes’.⁶⁶ Imagining the movement of the monks obscuring our view of the gleaming altar lends greater solidity to both the altar and the monastic figures.

The story concludes somewhat perfunctorily: ‘With this done, they turned around and came down, [where] they carried out in a most fitting manner the ritual of reading and singing where it is usually done in this church’ [*Quo facto, mox redeundo descendebant, et officium legendi atque cantandi, ubi mos est in ecclesia ipsa decentissime persoluebant* (para. 150, p. 180)]. We barely register the monks’ departure because our eyes remain fixed on the altar, taking delight in our now uninterrupted view. The altar was one of three distinct images, together with the shining church and joyful singing, that the audience was required simultaneously to conjure. Yet this was the image to which we were directed to return and flesh out under authorial instruction. The gleaming altar is the miracle’s takeaway image which remains long after the miracle has been read.

These miracles appended to the *Breviloquium* are far from brief. They are stories to which readers must return in their minds, recreating and re-enacting their *phantasiai*, to provoke pleasure, produce delight and enkindle a love for Wilfrid and desire for God. Wonderfully *enargeic*, they represent significant additions to the ‘manifold decorations of the examples of the saints’ with which we adorn our personal *pictura* of the tabernacle.

Eadmer's use of auditory and visionary motifs speaks not only of the interest and acceptance of such experiences within monastic circles but also of the aesthetic and affective opportunities they afforded. Writing literary hagiography was an exercise in persuasion. Readers had to be instructed to visualise the scene in such a way that they could both see and, more importantly, feel the events described. Through the right stories, skilfully told, the hagiographer could cultivate in his readers affective responses conducive to prayer and meditational composition. The prevalence of visionary motifs within hagiography suggests this was a device particularly apposite for this task. A fine-grained reading of two such narratives has shown this to be the case. The skilful conjuration of mental imagery gives pleasure, incites admiration and arouses wonder. In short, it reproduces in the reader the preparatory affective transports required for the soul to stretch out towards God.

The comparable outcome, however, should not deflect from the subtle, yet significant differences in the cognitive effort required in apprehending auditory or voice-hearing miracle narratives in contrast to visions. Visions are far more prevalent in saints' lives or miracle collections than auditions.⁶⁷ Yet the label 'vision' is usually a misnomer, since most involve a blend of sensory elements. Ælfwine's 'vision', for example, directed the audience to fashion a polyfocal *pictura*, comprised of *phantasiai* recruited from both visual and aural *phantasmata*. The more complex and varied the ornamentation, the more vivid the visualisation and the stronger the sense of something being 'brought before the eyes'. Each of the *phantasiai* in Ælfwine's 'vision'—the shining church, the joyful singing, the gleaming altar—combines to make the overall *pictura* strikingly energeic. The cognitive process is one of simple addition. Significantly, the reality of these sights (or lack thereof) is never put under pressure.

Voice-hearing miracles, wherein voices are heard in the absence of a speaker, rest on the principle of negation. In Godwin's case, the miracle pivots on the fact he 'sees nobody there'. In order for readers to perform this *apophrasis*, they first need to conjure the sight of somebody in their minds. Not only does this underscore the important visual element in the successful staging of a voice-hearing miracle but also it requires readers to tear down the images they had been encouraged to create. Mental erasure is far harder to achieve than mental embellishment and its success is heavily contingent on the skill of the author in supplying appropriate instruction. The portrayal of voice-hearing not only makes greater cognitive demands on the reader but it also takes great dexterity on the part of

the author. The paucity of voice-hearing miracles is perhaps less surprising when we consider the challenges of bringing voice-hearing ‘before the eyes’.

NOTES

1. The *Breviloquium* is at fols. 39^v–44^r; the *Vita* at fols. 12^f–39^v. For the *Breviloquium* see *Vita Sancti Wilfridi Auctore Edmero: The Life of Saint Wilfrid by Edmer*, ed. and trans. by Bernard J. Muir and Andrew J. Turner (Exeter: University of Exeter Press, 1998), para. 129–51, pp. 163–83. The *Vita* precedes it (para. 1–128, pp. 8–161). Subsequent citations will be by paragraph and page number. My translation, being more literal, occasionally departs from that of Turner and Muir.
2. See R. W. Southern, *Saint Anselm and His Biographer* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1963), 367.
3. Wilfrid was a seventh-century Bishop of York whose long and controversial career is known to us primarily through Bede’s *Historia ecclesiastica*. After his death in 709/10, he was buried in Ripon where he remained until Archbishop Oda (941–58) translated his relics to Canterbury because of the devastation following the Viking occupation. See *Vita*, paras 115–17, pp. 142–46.
4. The prologue addresses the monks ‘coming here together as one today for this feast’ [*bodierna die in unum conuenientes exultatis* (para. 129, p. 162)].
5. Jay Rubenstein, ‘Liturgy against History: The Competing Visions of Lanfranc and Eadmer of Canterbury’, *Speculum* 74, no. 2 (April, 1999): 279–309. For a summary of the early historiographical debate see Susan Ridyard, ‘*Condigna veneratio*: Post-conquest Attitudes to the Saints of the Anglo-Saxons’, *Anglo-Norman Studies* 9 (1987): 179–206.
6. Rubenstein, ‘Liturgy against History’, 301.
7. Rubenstein, ‘Liturgy against History’, 306.
8. The *Breviloquium* postdates the *Vita* wherein Wilfrid’s final resting place is noted as ‘on the northern side of the altar’ [*in aquilonali parte altaris* (para. 117, p. 146)]. This honourable location is surely indicative of his venerable status. Sir Richard Southern speculated that the *Vita*, which refers to Lanfranc retrospectively but not his successor Anselm, may date to the interregnum (1089–93), when a ‘relic hunt’ revealing the bones of St Ouen on a bier in an upstairs gallery suggests there was renewed interest in the community’s relics: see R. W. Southern, *Saint Anselm: A Portrait in a Landscape* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1990), 408. A date after 1104, however, seems far more plausible. The resting place given in the *Vita* corresponds with the site of Wilfrid’s tomb in

- Anselm's church, not begun until after 1096: see Gervase of Canterbury, *Historical Works, the Chronicle of the Reigns of Stephen, Henry II and Richard I*, ed. by W. Stubbs, Rolls Series 73, 2 vols (London, 1879–80), 1. 14. There is also evidence for a fresh wave of interest in the cathedral's relics in this first decade of the twelfth century: see Eadmer, *De reliquiis S. Audoeni*, ed. by André Wilmart, 'Edmeri Cantuariensis cantoris nova opuscula de sanctorum veneration et obsecratione', *Revue des sciences religieuses* 15 (1935): 184–219 and 354–79, at 369 and Felix Liebermann, *Ungedruckte Anglo-Normannische Geschichtsquellen* (Strasbourg: Trübner, 1879), 1–8, at 5. The omission of Anselm from the *Vita* need not establish a date within the interregnum; it could merely point to a date during Anselm's years of exile. A letter from Anselm to Prior Ernulf in August 1104 cedes full authority to the prior to make changes to the community's liturgical observances: see *Sancti Anselmi Cantuariensis archiepiscopi opera omnia*, ed. by F. S. Schmitt, 6 vols (Edinburgh: Thomas Nelson, 1946–1961), 4. ep. 331, p. 41. The translation of saints into the Cathedral's newly completed east end would have necessitated new liturgical materials and hagiography, thus providing a context for the composition of Eadmer's Lives of Wilfrid and Oda.
9. The phrase belongs to Gabriella M. Spiegel, 'History, Historicism, and the Social Logic of the Text in the Middle Ages', *Speculum* 65, no. 1 (January, 1990): 59–86.
 10. *Sancti Aurelii Augustini. Enarrationes in Psalmos i-l*, ed. by D.E. Dekkers and J. Fraipont, Corpus Christianorum series latina (CCSL) 38 (Turnhout: Brepols, 1956) XLI. Subsequent references are to this edition and psalm, cited by part and line number.
 11. Both use the adjective *suauitas* and its synonym *dulcedo* ('sweetness') and the verbs *de/mulceo* ('soothe') and *stupeo* ('be astounded') to describe the melody and its effects. The experience is a 'pleasure' (*voluptas*) for Augustine, while Eadmer uses the verb *iocundo*.
 12. This section draws on the work of Mary Carruthers in *The Craft of Thought: Meditation, Rhetoric and the Making of Images, 400–1200*, Cambridge Studies in Medieval Literature 34 (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1998), in particular ch. 5, 221–76.
 13. Carruthers, *The Craft of Thought*, 221.
 14. For a discussion of architectural mnemonics see Frances A. Yates, *The Art of Memory*, 2nd edn (London: Pimlico, 1992), particularly ch. 1, 17–41. This technique is also discussed by Mary Carruthers in *The Book of Memory: A Study of Memory in Medieval Culture*, Cambridge Studies in Medieval Literature 10, 2nd edn. (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2008), 89–98.
 15. Carruthers, *The Craft of Thought*, 228–31.

16. The plan of St Gall, discussed by Carruthers, is the most well-known example of a rhetorical artefact for mental composition. For parallels with eastern meditational practices see Grover A. Zinn Jr. 'Mandala Symbolism and Use in the Mysticism of Hugh of St. Victor', *History of Religions* 12 (1972): 317–41.
17. Besides the Exodus tabernacle medieval commentators used the temple-citadel of Ezekiel's vision (Ez. 40–42), Noah's Ark (Gen. 6–9), and John's vision of the Heavenly City (Rev. 21) to frame their meditational compositions. See, for example, Gregory the Great's sermons on the first 47 verses of Ezekiel 40 in book two of his *Homiliae in Hiezechihielem prophetam*, ed. by M. Adriaen, CCSL 143–143B (Turnhout: Brepols, 1979–1985) and Hugh of St Victor's mystical treatise based on Noah's ark, *De arca Noe mystica (De pictura Arche)*, ed. by J.-P. Migne, Patrologia Latina 176 (1854): 681–702.
18. Ruth Webb, *Ekphrasis, Imagination and Persuasion in Ancient Rhetorical Theory and Practice* (Burlington, VT: Ashgate, 2009; London and New York: Routledge, 2016), 193.
19. Webb, *Ekphrasis*, 94.
20. Webb, *Ekphrasis*, 111; Richard Sorabji, *Aristotle on Memory* (London: Duckworth, 1972), 11.
21. Quintilian, the first-century Roman educator and orator, saw the capacity to assemble vivid *phantasiai* at will as a distinct advantage in forensic oratory and praised the person who has properly formed 'what the Greeks call *phantasiai* (let us call them "visions"), by which the images of absent things are presented to the mind in such a way that we seem actually to see them with our eyes and have them physically present to us' [*Quas φαντασίας Graeci uocant (nos sane uisiones appellemus), per quas imagines rerum absentium ita repraesentantur animo ut eas cernere oculis ac praesentes habere uideamur*], *The Orator's Education*, ed. and trans. by Donald A. Russell, Loeb Classical Library [LCL] 126 (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2001) 6.2.
22. For Aristotle's use of the term see *The Art of Rhetoric*, ed. and trans. by J. H. Freese, LCL (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1991): esp. 3.11.1. On the importance of being able to bring scenes 'before the eyes' in ancient rhetorical and poetic arts, see Kathy Eden, *Poetic and Legal Fiction in the Aristotelian Tradition* (Princeton NJ: Princeton University Press, 1986), esp. ch. 3, 62–111.
23. Quintilian explained how this works in a legal context: 'Suppose I am complaining that someone has been murdered. Am I not to have before my eyes all the circumstances which one can believe to have happened during the event? [...] will not the blood, the pallor, the groans [...] be imprinted on my mind? The result will be *enargeia*, which Cicero calls *illustratio* and *evidentia*, by which we seem to show what happened

rather than to tell it and this gives rise to the same emotions as if we were present at the event itself [*Occisum queror: non omnia quae in re praesenti accidisse credibile est in oculis habebō? [...] Non animo sanguis et pallor et gemitus [...] insidet? Insequitur ενάργεια, quae a Cicerone inlustratio et evidentia nominatur, quae non tam dicere uidetur quam ostendere, et adfectus non aliter quam si rebus ipsis intersimus sequentur*], *The Orator's Education*, 6.2, trans. Webb, *Ekphrasis*, 94: see also more generally ch. 4, 87–106.

24. See Webb, *Ekphrasis*, 131–65.
25. Goscelin, *Liber confortatorius*, ed. by C. H. Talbot, 'The *Liber confortatorius* of Goscelin of Saint Bertin', *Analecta monastica*, series 3, Studia Anselmiana, 37, ed. by M. M. Lebreton, J. Leclercq, and C. H. Talbot (Rome: Pontifical Institute of St Anselm, 1955), 1–117, at 69, lines 5–16. Subsequent references are to this edition and page, cited by line number. Trans. by M. Otter, *Goscelin of St Bertin: The Book of Encouragement and Consolation (Liber Confortatorius)* (Cambridge: D. S. Brewer, 2004), 80.
26. For the background to the *Liber confortatorius* and its possible readership see Stephanie Hollis, ed., *Writing the Wilton Women: Goscelin's Legend of Edith and Liber confortatorius* (Turnhout: Brepols, 2004) and Otter, *Goscelin of St Bertin*, 1–16.
27. Carruthers, *The Craft of Thought*, 116–17. See also Mary Carruthers, 'The Concept of *Ductus*, or, Journeying through a Work of Art', in *Rhetoric beyond Words: Delight and Persuasion in the Arts of the Middle Ages*, ed. by Mary Carruthers (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2010), 190–213.
28. For a comprehensive discussion of this subject, see Siegfried Wenzel, *The Sin of Sloth: Acedia in Medieval Thought and Literature* (Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 1967).
29. See the advice of Peter Damian to his fellow monks in Kurt Reindel, *Die Briefe des Petrus Damiani*, MGH Die Briefe der deutschen Kaiserzeit, 4 vols (München: Monumenta Germaniae Historica, 1983–1993), 2. letter 50.58.
30. *Tædium* was the Latin gloss for the Greek term 'acedia', the sixth of the eight principal vices listed by John Cassian in his fifth *Conference*: '*sexturn acedia, id est, anxietas, sive tædium cordis*' (5.2), *Collationes patrum in scythica eremo*, PL 49 (1850): 477–1328, at 0611A.
31. '*exercito*', in Charlton T. Lewis, *A Latin Dictionary* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1879; reprint 1998).
32. '*per hanc [tribulationem] exercitati ad maiora proficitis*', *Sancti Anselmi Cantuariensis*, ed. by Schmitt, 5. ep. 332, p. 268.
33. Webb, *Ekphrasis*, 90.

34. Crossing to the house of God, Augustine's psalmist separates himself from all earthly clamour: '*abstrahens se ab omni strepitu carnis et sanguinis*' (9.39).
35. For Osbern, see William of Malmesbury, *Gesta regum Anglorum: The History of the English Kings*, ed. and trans. by R. A. B. Mynors, R. M. Thomson, and M. Winterbottom, 2 vols (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1998), 1.241. He revised his opinion, however, when he came to write his own life of Dunstan.
36. Eadmer of Canterbury, *Lives and Miracles of Saints Oda, Dunstan, and Oswald*, ed. and trans. by Andrew J. Turner and Bernard J. Muir (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 2006), 44–45.
37. Eadmer, *Lives*, 48–49, 216–17 and Eadmer, *The Life of St Anselm, Archbishop of Canterbury*, ed. and trans. by R. W. Southern (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1962), 1.
38. Eadmer, *Life of Anselm*, xxvi–ii.
39. Eadmer, *Life of Anselm*, xi.
40. Eadmer, *Lives*, xxxi.
41. For the significant impact that Eadmer's slight changes brought to his *Vita S. Dunstani*, see Hilary Powell, 'Demonic Daydreams: Mind-Wandering and Mental Imagery in the Medieval Hagiography of St Dunstan', in *New Medieval Literatures* 18, ed. by Laura Ashe, Philip Knox, David Lawton, and Wendy Scase (Cambridge: D. S. Brewer, 2018), 44–74.
42. See Eadmer, *Lives*, xxxii and *Vita Sancti Wilfridi*, xxxvii–xliii.
43. Eadmer, *Lives*, xxx. Eadmer was familiar with works by Cicero, even quoting from *De inventione* (i.2) in his prologue to the *Vita S. Wilfridi* (para. 2, 8).
44. In his *Historia novorum*, Eadmer quotes from a letter of recommendation written on his behalf by Archbishop Ralph to King Alexander stating that Eadmer had been educated from his childhood in both 'divine and where necessary secular literature' [*litteris divinis et, si opus fuerit saecularibus*], *Historia novorum in Anglia*, ed. by M. Rule, Rolls Series 81 (London, 1884), 282.
45. For 'games of obscurity', see Mary Carruthers, *The Experience of Beauty in the Middle Ages* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2013), 61–70.
46. Moving the audience towards a disposition favourable to the orator was a fundamental aspect of classical oratory: see Cicero, *De inventione*, ed. and trans. by H. M. Hubbell, LCL 386 (London, Heinemann, 1976), 1.22, pp. 44–45. See also Carruthers, *Experience of Beauty*, 54.
47. '[U]t concilietur auditor et ad fidem persuasione ducatur, ut animorum motibus incalescat'; for Bene of Florence see Carruthers, *Experience of Beauty*, 85.
48. Carruthers, *Experience of Beauty*, 79.

49. Jan Ziolkowski, 'Theories of Obscurity in the Latin Tradition', *Mediaevalia* 19 (1996): 101–70, at 138.
50. Thomas Aquinas, *Sententia de anima*, 3, lectio 2, n. 15, in *Opera omnia*, Corpus Thomisticum database, accessed 19 August, 2019, <http://www.corpusthomicum.org/can3.html>. On sensory and stylistic complexion, see Carruthers, *Experience of Beauty*, 45–79.
51. Ps. 3.1, Ps. 50.17, and Ps. 69.2 (in the order they appear in the *Breviloquium*).
52. This technique in the modern field of cognitive aesthetics is known for adding 'texture' to a literary work: for details see Peter Stockwell, *Texture: A Cognitive Aesthetics of Reading* (Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 2009), in particular ch. 4, 106–33: for textual 'crossings' see 107.
53. Monastic Matins typically began with Ps. 69.2 (versicle and response), followed by *Gloria Patri*, *Alleluia*, and Ps. 50.17 (versicle and response, sung three times). Ps. 3 (sung without antiphon) would then follow, succeeded by the Invitatory and Ps. 94: for details see John Harper, *The Forms and Orders of Western Liturgy. From the Tenth to the Eighteenth Century* (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1991), 93.
54. *The Monastic Constitutions of Lanfranc*, ed. and trans. by Dom David Knowles and Christopher N. L. Brooke (Oxford, Clarendon Press, 2002), 99.
55. See Stockwell, *Texture*, 115–23.
56. For a discussion of the medieval concepts of *suavis* and *dulcis* and the sensory basis of human persuasion, see Carruthers, *Experience of Beauty*, 80–107.
57. Cambridge, Corpus Christi College, MS 371, fol. 43^v. Online database, accessed 19 August, 2019, <https://parker.stanford.edu>.
58. This is phenomenologically quite different from intentioned acts of imagining the impossible (or unknown). Consider Augustine's willed and deliberate *phantasia* of the black swan he discusses in book 11 of *De trinitate*, ed. by W. J. Mountain, CCSL 50–50A (Turnhout: Brepols, 1968), 11.10.17.
59. *Stupeo* suggests a loss of sensory awareness; he is incapable of hearing. He regains his senses when the hymn begins.
60. Eadmer, *Vita Sancti Wilfridi*, xxxviii.
61. Carruthers, *Experience of Beauty*, 47.
62. The upstairs location recalls the location of St Ouen's relics, see above note 8.
63. For medieval fairy tales see Jan M. Ziolkowski, *Fairy Tales from Before Fairy Tales: The Medieval Latin Past of Wonderful Lies* (Michigan: University of Michigan Press, 2007).
64. Elaine Scarry, *Dreaming by the Book* (New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 1999), 77–78.

65. Aristotle, *Art of Rhetoric*, 3.11.1, see Webb, *Ekphrasis*, 85–86.
 66. Scarry terms this ‘kinetic occlusion’; see *Dreaming*, 12–13.
 67. See Christine Cooper-Rompato’s essay in this volume.

REFERENCES

PRIMARY SOURCES

- Anselm. 1946–1961. *Sancti Anselmi Cantuariensis archiepiscopi opera omnia*. Ed. F. S. Schmitt, 6 vols. Edinburgh: Thomas Nelson.
- Augustine. 1956. *Sancti Aurelii Augustini. Enarrationes in Psalmos i-l*. Eds D. E. Dekkers and J. Fraipont. Corpus Christianorum series latina (CCSL) 38. Turnhout: Brepols.
- . 1968. *De trinitate*. Ed. W. J. Mountain, CCSL 50–50A. Turnhout: Brepols.
- Cicero. 1976. *De inventione*. Trans. H. M. Hubbell, Loeb Classical Library, 386. London: Heinemann.
- Edmer of Canterbury. 1884. *Historia novorum in Anglia*. Ed. M. Rule, Rolls Series 81. London.
- . 1935. *De reliquiis S. Audoeni*. Ed. André Wilmart. ‘Edmeri Cantuariensis cantoris nova opuscula de sanctorum veneration et obsecratione’. *Revue des sciences religieuses* 15: 184–219 and 354–379.
- . 1962. *The Life of St Anselm, Archbishop of Canterbury*. Ed. and trans. R. W. Southern. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- . 1998. *Vita Sancti Wilfridi Auctore Edmero: The Life of Saint Wilfrid by Edmer*. Ed. and trans. Bernard J. Muir and Andrew J. Turner. Exeter: University of Exeter Press.
- . 2006. *Lives and Miracles of Saints Oda, Dunstan, and Oswald*. Ed. and trans. Andrew J. Turner and Bernard J. Muir. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Gervase of Canterbury. 1979–1980. *Historical Works, the Chronicle of the Reigns of Stephen, Henry II and Richard I*. Ed. W. Stubbs, Rolls Series 73, 2 vols. London.
- Goscelin of Saint Bertin. 1955. *Liber confortatorius*. Ed. C. H. Talbot, The Liber confortatorius of Goscelin of Saint Bertin, *Analecta monastica*, series 3, Studia Anselmiana, 37, eds M. M. Lebreton, J. Leclercq, and C. H. Talbot. Rome: Pontifical Institute of St Anselm. 1–117.
- Gregory the Great. 1979–1985. *Homiliae in Hiezechibelem prophetam*. Ed. M. Adriaen, CCSL 143–143B. Turnhout: Brepols.
- Hugh of St Victor. 1854. *De arca Noe mystica (De pictura Arche)*. Ed. J.-P. Migne. Patrologia Latina 176: 681–702.
- John Cassian. 1850. *Collationes patrum in scythica eremo*. Ed. J.-P. Migne. Patrologia Latina 49: 477–1328.

- Lanfranc. 2002. *The Monastic Constitutions of Lanfranc*. Ed. and trans. Dom David Knowles and Christopher N. L. Brooke. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Peter Damian. 1983–1993. *Die Briefe des Petrus Damiani*. Ed. Kurt Reindel. MGH Die Briefe der deutschen Kaiserzeit, 4 vols. München: Monumenta Germaniae Historica.
- Quintilian. 2001. *The Orator's Education*. Trans. Donald A. Russell. Loeb Classical Library, 126. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Thomas Aquinas. 2019. *Opera omnia*, Corpus Thomisticum. Fundación Tomás de Aquino. <http://www.corpusthomicum.org/can3.html>. Accessed 19 August 2019.
- Ungedruckte Anglo-Normannische Geschichtsquellen*. 1879. Ed. Felix Liebermann, 1–8. Strasbourg: Trübner.
- William of Malmesbury. 1998. *Gesta regum Anglorum: The History of the English Kings*. Ed. and trans. R. A. B. Mynors, R. M. Thomson, and M. Winterbottom, 2 vols. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

SECONDARY SOURCES

- Carruthers, Mary. 1998. *The Craft of Thought: Meditation, Rhetoric and the Making of Images, 400–1200*. Cambridge Studies in Medieval Literature 34. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- . 2008. *The Book of Memory: A Study of Memory in Medieval Culture*. Cambridge Studies in Medieval Literature 10, 2nd edn. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- . 2010. The Concept of Ductus, or, Journeying Through a Work of Art. In *Rhetoric Beyond Words: Delight and Persuasion in the Arts of the Middle Ages*, ed. Mary Carruthers, 190–213. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- . 2013. *The Experience of Beauty in the Middle Ages*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Harper, John. 1991. *The Forms and Orders of Western Liturgy. From the Tenth to the Eighteenth Century*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Hollis, Stephanie, ed. 2004. *Writing the Wilton Women: Goscelin's Legend of Edith and Liber confortatorius*. Turnhout: Brepols.
- Lewis, Charlton T., ed. 1998. *A Latin Dictionary*. 1879. Reprint, Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Otter, Monica. 2004. *Goscelin of St Bertin: The Book of Encouragement and Consolation (Liber Confortatorius)*. Cambridge: D. S. Brewer.
- Powell, Hilary. 2018. Demonic Daydreams: Mind-Wandering and Mental Imagery in the Medieval Hagiography of St Dunstan. In *New Medieval Literatures* 18, eds Laura Ashe, Philip Knox, David Lawton, and Wendy Scase, 44–74. Cambridge: D. S. Brewer.

- Ridyard, Susan. 1987. *Condigna veneratio: Post-conquest Attitudes to the Saints of the Anglo-Saxons*. *Anglo-Norman Studies* 9: 179–206.
- Rubenstein, Jay. 1999. Liturgy Against History: The Competing Visions of Lanfranc and Eadmer of Canterbury. *Speculum* 74/2: 279–309.
- Scarry, Elaine. 1999. *Dreaming by the Book*. New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
- Sorabji, Richard. 1972. *Aristotle on Memory*. London: Duckworth.
- Southern, R. W. 1963. *Saint Anselm and his Biographer*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- . 1990. *Saint Anselm: A Portrait in a Landscape*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Spiegel, Gabriella M. 1990. History, Historicism, and the Social Logic of the Text in the Middle Ages. *Speculum* 65/1: 59–86.
- Stockwell, Peter. 2009. *Texture: A Cognitive Aesthetics of Reading*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.
- Webb, Ruth. 2016. *Ekphrasis, Imagination and Persuasion in Ancient Rhetorical Theory and Practice*. Burlington, VT: Ashgate, 2009. Reprint London and New York: Routledge.
- Wenzel, Siegfried. 1967. *The Sin of Sloth: Acedia in Medieval Thought and Literature*. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press.
- Yates, Frances A. 1992. *The Art of Memory*. 2nd edn. London: Pimlico.
- Zinn Jr. Grover A. 1972. Mandala Symbolism and Use in the Mysticism of Hugh of St. Victor. *History of Religions* 12/4: 317–41.
- Ziolkowski, Jan M. 1996. Theories of Obscurity in the Latin Tradition. *Mediaevalia* 19: 101–70.
- . 2007. *Fairy Tales from Before Fairy Tales: The Medieval Latin Past of Wonderful Lies*. Michigan: University of Michigan Press.

Open Access This chapter is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence and indicate if changes were made.

The images or other third party material in this chapter are included in the chapter's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the chapter's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder.



INDEX

A

- aesthetic terms, medieval, 22
Alfonso of Jaén, 201, 215–16
Amis and Amiloun
 voice-hearing in, 96–98
Andrew, Saint (apostle)
 appearance in healing vision, 77
angels, 45–48
 Aquinas on, 52–53
 at celebration of mass, 74
 Augustine on, 52, 123
 choirs of, 17, 19
 Gabriel, 45–58
 Giles of Rome on, 52–53
 guardian (guiding), in miracle plays, 121
 Gustavus Parker’s communication with, 295
 in Digby Magdalen play, 118–122
 in Marlowe’s *Doctor Faustus*, 260–61
 in Trevisa’s *De proprietatibus rerum*, 47
 Lull on, 125–26
 Richard Napier’s communication with, 225
 speech of, 50
Annunciation of Gabriel to Mary, 45–57, 152–53, 157–59. *See also* N-Town plays, Towneley plays
 Christ’s conception, 48–49, 54, 56
 Gospel of Luke account, 45
 Kempe’s vision of, 215
 representations in medieval art, 57
Aquinas
 Aquinas, Thomas, Saint, 92
 on angels. *See* angels
 on Annunciation, 48–49
Augustine, Saint
 interpretation of Psalm 41, 18
 on angels. *See* angels
 on causes of visions. *See* visions
 on Eucharist, 74
 on *fons intellectus* (fountain of understanding), 19

- on influence of good and evil spirits, 125, 129, 135
- on tabernacle, as symbol of the faithful, 18–19
- On the Literal Meaning of Genesis*
on tripartite perception, 178–79
- Awntyrs of Arthure*
speaking ghost in, 98
- B**
- Benedict, Saint
appearance in vision, 75
- Benediktbeuern passion play, 121
- Boehme, Jacob, 286–87, 294
- Boethius, Anicius Manlius Severinus
Consolation of Philosophy, 108
- Bolnest, Edward, 290
- Boreman, William, 282, 289–93
and Society of Chymical Physicians, 290
political associations, 291
work as witchfinder, 290–91
- Breviloquium Vita Sancti Wilfridi*. *See* Eadmer of Canterbury
- Bridget of Sweden, Saint, 201–02
Revelations, 201, 203, 209
- Burton, Robert
Anatomy of Melancholy, 225–28
melancholy and creativity, 225
mental fixation in, 227–28
- C**
- Carrow Psalter, 147–66
and Julian of Norwich, 152, 155
illustrations in, 152–159
- Carruthers, Mary, 22, 93
- Castle of Perseverance* (play), 123
- Chapman, George
Andromeda Liberata, 271
- Chaucer, Geoffrey, 101–10
and *Le Roman de la Rose*, 101
Book of the Duchess, 102–03
Man in Black, psychology of, 102–03
House of Fame, 104
Knight's Tale, 106, 227
Man of Law's Tale, 108
Nun's Priest's Tale, 107
on psychology of love, 109
Parliament of Fowls, 105
Physician's Tale, 108
Second Nun's Tale, 108
Troilus and Criseyde, 108–09
- Chester Painters' and Glaziers' play, 'Shepherds', 132
- Christian art
and mimesis, 164–65
- Christine the Astonishing, 62, 66, 67, 70. *See also* Thomas of Cantimpré (theologian)
- Cicero, Marcus Tullius
Somnium Scipionis, 105
- Cloud of Unknowing*, 163, 209–10
- Coughen, John (Jan), 288
- D**
- Defoe, Daniel
A System of Magick, 290
- De proprietatibus rerum*. *See* Trevisa, John
- devotional art, 147–59
as cinema, 159
Berger Crucifixion, 150
Boxley Rood of Grace, 149
Carrow Psalter. *See* Carrow Psalter
kinetic aspects of, 149
spectatorship of, 150
St Anne trinity, 152
Throne of Grace, 152
Wycliffite view of, 164
- drama, early modern

audience response and authorial anxiety, 270–73
 characters' responses to trauma, 230
 metatextual references in, 271
 drama, medieval
 voice-hearing and visions on stage, 117–37
 Drayton, Michael
 Poly-Olbion, 271
 dreams. *See also* dream theory, medieval
 Alcyone's, 102
 Amiloun's, 97
 Charlemagne's, 95–96
 Jacques de Vitry's, 76
 Joseph's, in English mystery plays, 126
 of bed of goads, 71
 Pilate's wife's, in English mystery plays, 129
 staged, in medieval drama, 124–32
 dream theory, medieval, 124–26
 Calcidius, influence on, 124
 Gregory the Great, contribution to, 125
 Holcot on Dream of Pilate's Wife, 129
 Holcot on Joseph's dream, 126–29
 influence on dramatists, 124
 Macrobius, commentary on
 Somnium Scipionis, 93, 102, 104, 124
 spectaculum, 129
 Duke of Brabant
 appearance in vision, 67

E

Eadmer of Canterbury, 15–20, 24–35
 appraisal of style by contemporaries, 24
Breviloquium Vita Sancti Wilfridi, 16–20, 24–35

stylistic criticism, 25
Vita Sancti Wilfridi, 15, 25
energeia, 20, 30
 persuasive effect of, 23
 relation to *energeia*, 33
Everyman (play), 273

F

Fletcher, John
 Two Noble Kinsmen, 227
 Fox, George, 287

G

Galenic College of Physicians, 284, 290
 Gascoigne, Philip
 Adventures of Master F.J., 271
 Gerard de Berry (physician), 106
 Giles of Rome
 on angels. *See* angels
Golden Legend (Legenda Aurea). *See* Jacobus de Voragine
 Goodall, Charles (physician), 289
 Goscelin of Saint-Bertin
 Liber confortatorius
 tabernacle ekphrasis in, 21–23
 Gregory the Great (pope)
 appearance in vision, 67, 76
 Chastising of God's Children
 on divine communication, 181
 Moralia in Job
 on divine communication, 215
 Guillaume de Lorris
 Roman de la Rose, 101

H

hagiography, 15–35, 61–78
 read as cultural art form, 17
 hallucination
 cinema as, 161

in Kyd's *Spanish Tragedy* and 1602
 Additions, 237–40
 Macbeth's, 222–24
 multimodal (fused), 61
 Hartlib, Samuel, 286–87
Havelok the Dane
 Havelok's dream, 95
Hearing the Voice project, 3
 multisensory thought images, 94
 Hilton, Walter, 209–10
 Holcot, Robert. *See* dream theory, medieval
 Hooker, Edward, 289–90, 293
 humility topos, 25
 humoral theory. *See* medicine, medieval

I

iatrochemistry. *See* medicine, early modern, and spirituality
 image theory, 149
 inexpressibility topos, 187, 206

J

Jacob's Well (sermon cycle), 54
 Jacobus de Voragine
Golden Legend (Legenda aurea), 120
 Jacques de Vitry, 76
Life of Marie d'Oignies, 62
 Jean de Meun
Roman de la Rose, 101
John of Beverley (play), 117
 John of Cantimpré (abbot), 62, 64–65, 69, 75
 John of Trevisa. *See* Trevisa, John
 John the Evangelist, Saint
 appearance as eagle in vision, 75
 Jonson, Ben
Magnetic Lady, 270

Julian of Norwich, 79, 159–66, 175–93
 and Carrow Psalter, 152–53
 and divine speech, 186–88
 appearance of Virgin Mary to, 178
 on *homeliness* of divine speech, 176–78, 188–92
Revelations of Divine Love, 148, 155, 159–60, 162, 165, 175–93
 speech perceived via other faculties, 179
 visionary modes, 176
 vision of Vernicle, 152, 160–61, 164
 visions of, and relationship to art, 150–59

K

katabasis, 4
 Kempe, Margery, 61, 62, 199–16
 and tone of Christ's voice, 199–16
 antisocial behaviour, 205
 apprehension of voices, 210
 consultation with authorities, 211–12
 hearing of marvellous sounds, 210
 multisensory visions of, 61
 role as voice-hearer, 200–01
 verbal devotion, 62
 Kyd, Thomas
Spanish Tragedy and 1602
 Additions, 223–44
 visual errors and hallucinations
 in, 231–44

L

Leade, Jane, 285, 288–90, 292
 Lifton, Robert Jay
 on traumatic memories, 230
 Lull, Raymond, 125

Lutgard of Aywières, 62, 65, 67–69,
72–75

M

Macrobius. *See* dream theory, medieval
Mankind (play), 123, 258–59, 270
demonic dream in, 131

Margaret of Ypres, 62, 65–67, 69, 70,
73

Marie d'Oignies, 62, 76

Marlowe, Christopher

Doctor Faustus, 255–73

inner dialogue in, 264

tutelar angels in, 121, 259–60

versions of, 256–57

Marmion, Shackerley

The Antiquary, 230

Mary Magdalene (play), 118–22,
135–37

medicine, early modern, and

spirituality, 281–97

iatrochemistry, 284, 294

Philadelphian Society, 289, 292–93

Pordage and Leade circle, 285–89

Society of Chymical Physicians, 284,
290

medicine, medieval, 92–95

Galenic influence on, 92

humoural theory

and psychology, 93

memory, 20, 93

and trauma. *See* Post-Traumatic
Stress Disorder (PTSD)

Middleton, Thomas

Revenge's Tragedy, 240, 243

N

Napier, Richard (physician)

and voice-hearing patients, 225

Nashe, Thomas

Pierce Penniless, 271

natural philosophy, early modern
and voice-hearing, 293–95

natural philosophy, medieval

hierarchy of senses, 69

sound and speech, theory of, 56

Norwich Cathedral, 150, 152

N-Town plays (cycle), 49, 126, 129,
134

Gabriel's speech, 49, 54

'Salutation and Conception', 49

P

Parker, Gustavus (physician), 295

phantasmata, 20, 23, 34, 92–93, 222,
226

relation to *enargeia*, 20

Pordage, John (physician), 281,
285–89

and iatrochemistry, 294

professional history, 287–88

*Theologia Mystica, or the Mystic
Divinitie of the Aeternal
Invisibles*, 289

Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder
(PTSD)

and mental fixation, 222, 230–32

psychology, early modern, 221,
224–31

cognition and brain structure, 226

melancholy and creativity, 225

melancholy and visual distortions,
224

mental fixation, 227, 228

and Macbeth, 221–24

revenge, therapeutic effect of, 231

psychology, medieval, 92–95

and demonic influence, 93

Avicenna's model of brain function,
92

inner senses, 93

melancholy humour, 106

- melancholy mania, 106
memorativa, 150
 monastic meditative techniques,
 20–24
- Q**
 Quakers, 283–84
- R**
 Rolle, Richard, 210
 romance, medieval, 91–110
 Chaucer's use of fantasy in, 94
 psychology of, 92–95
 role of vision and revelation in, 95
- S**
 Shakespeare, William
 Macbeth, 221–24, 231
 mental fixation in, 222
 Taming of the Shrew, *The*, 272
 Twelfth Night, 229
 Two Noble Kinsmen, 227
Siege of Milan
 multisensory dream and vision in,
 95
Sir Amadace
 speaking ghost in, 99
Sir Gowther
 voice of God in, 99
Sir Isumbras
 singing bird in, 98
Sir Orfeo, 100
 sound
 and divine speech, 181, 215–16
 and magic, 262–63
 angelic. *See* angels
 classical and medieval theories of,
 46, 104
 divine melodies, 18–19, 211
 Stubbes, Philip

Anatomy of Abuses, 263

T

- Tabernacle for the Ark
 monastic meditation, trope of, 20
taedium
 as spiritual hardship, 23
 Taylor, John (poet), 271
 Tertullian
 on spiritual attack, 130
 Thomas of Cantimpré (theologian),
 62–78
 Life of Cristina mirabilis (Christine
 the Astonishing), 65–67, 70,
 72, 76
 Life of John of Cantimpré, 62, 64,
 65, 71, 74, 76–77
 Life of Lutgard of Aywieres, 67–69,
 72, 74
 Life of Margaret of Ypres, 67,
 69–70, 73, 75
 Supplement to *Life* of Marie
 d'Oignies, 62, 76
 visio, usage of term, 64
 Towneley plays (cycle), 126, 134
 Annunciation of Gabriel to Mary,
 56
 Gabriel's speech, 56
 trauma theory, 244–45
 and mental fixation, 230
 and natural philosophy, early
 modern, 223
- Trevisa, John
 De proprietatibus rerum
 on angels, 47
 on melancholy humour, 106
 on vision and memory, 150
- V**
 van Helmont, Jan Baptist (chemist),
 284

- Vaughan, William
Directions for Health, 229
- Vernicle, 160–61
- Virgin Mary
 appearance in healing vision, 75, 77
- visions
 Ælfwine's vision of praise for St
 Wilfrid, 31
- Augustine on causes of, 125
- difficulty defining, 63, 148
- evidence for gendered reception of,
 62, 66
- Julian of Norwich's. *See* Julian of
 Norwich
- Margery Kempe's. *See* Kempe,
 Margery
- multisensory, 34, 61–78, 96, 100,
 103–05, 109, 148
- of God, Moses', 148
- of shepherds, in medieval drama,
 132–35
- prophetic, 64
- voice-hearing
 and mental fixation, 225
 as creative play, 105
- W**
- Wager, William
The Longer Thou Livest, 258
- Webster, John
Duchess of Malfi, 225, 230, 243
- Wilfrid, Saint
 miracle stories of, 15
- Willis, Thomas (physician), 283, 288
- Wittgenstein, Ludwig
 on God and grammar, 176
- Y**
- York Pewterers' and Founders' Play,
 131