

Durham Research Online

Deposited in DRO:

13 April 2021

Version of attached file:

Accepted Version

Peer-review status of attached file:

Peer-reviewed

Citation for published item:

Sun, Zhongtian and Harit, Anoushka and Yu, Jialin and Cristea, Alexandra I. and Shi, Lei (2021) 'A Brief Survey of Deep Learning Approaches for Learning Analytics on MOOCs.', *Intelligent Tutoring Systems Athens, Greece / Virtual*, 7-11 Jun 2021.

Further information on publisher's website:

https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-80421-3_4

Publisher's copyright statement:

The final authenticated version is available online at https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-80421-3_4

Use policy

The full-text may be used and/or reproduced, and given to third parties in any format or medium, without prior permission or charge, for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes provided that:

- a full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- a [link](#) is made to the metadata record in DRO
- the full-text is not changed in any way

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

Please consult the [full DRO policy](#) for further details.

A Brief Survey of Deep Learning Approaches for Learning Analytics on MOOCs

Zhongtian Sun, Anoushka Harit, Jialin Yu, Alexandra I. Cristea and Lei Shi

Department of Computer Science, Durham University, UK
{zhongtian.sun, anoushka.harit, jialin.yu, alexandra.i.cristea,
lei.shi}@durham.ac.uk

Abstract. Massive Open Online Course (MOOC) systems have become prevalent in recent years and draw more attention, a.o., due to the coronavirus pandemic's impact. However, there is a well-known higher chance of dropout from MOOCs than from conventional off-line courses. Researchers have implemented extensive methods to explore the reasons behind learner attrition or lack of interest to apply timely interventions. The recent success of neural networks has revolutionised extensive Learning Analytics (LA) tasks. More recently, the associated deep learning techniques are increasingly deployed to address the dropout prediction problem. This survey gives a timely and succinct overview of *deep learning techniques for MOOCs' learning analytics*. We mainly analyse the trends of feature processing and the model design in dropout prediction, respectively. Moreover, the recent incremental improvements over existing deep learning techniques and the commonly used public data sets have been presented. Finally, the paper proposes three future research directions in the field: *knowledge graphs with learning analytics, comprehensive social network analysis, composite behavioural analysis*.

Keywords: MOOCs · Deep Learning · Dropout Prediction · Learning Analytics.

1 Introduction

Although Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs) have been deemed as a popular choice of online education [22], the low completion rate (7-10% on average) has become a primary concern [4, 8, 26]. To address the problem, researchers are interested in exploring why students drop out, by applying different approaches.

Concomitantly, deep learning is a major sub-domain of machine learning and has consistently obtained higher accuracy, compared with conventional statistical linear regression, including for student dropout prediction [6, 29].

Several previous papers surveyed the current progress of learning analytics in MOOCs [12, 41, 48], but none of them considered the application of deep learning in the area. In this paper, we specifically focus on analysing deep learning in MOOCs, by differentiating deep learning from classical machine learning. Extensive studies [40, 54, 56, 57, 61, 62] have investigated the dropout problem.

2 Zhongtian Sun, Anoushka Harit, Jialin Yu, Alexandra I. Cristea and Lei Shi

In this paper, a brief, timely overview of deep learning techniques that have been used to tackle the dropout problem, is presented. This study aims to help researchers understand the trends of using deep learning in MOOCs better and gain future research insights. The main contributions of this study are:

1. First presentation of a succinct and timely overview of the application of deep learning in MOOC dropout prediction.
2. Summarising the trends of feature processing and development of applied deep learning models for MOOCs, for informing research and implementation decisions of the community.
3. Identifying the recent improvements of existing deep learning techniques in the area and informing on the publicly available data for experimentation.
4. Discussing and highlighting of three possible future research directions: knowledge graph with learning analytics, comprehensive social network analysis and composite behavioural analysis.

2 Method

This paper surveys recent literature, to outline and clarify the progress of deep learning approaches to learning analytics, mainly regarding student dropout prediction. Extensive databases, including Springer Link, Association for Computing Machinery (ACM) and IEEE Xplore have been searched, by indexing keywords in titles, such as "MOOC dropout prediction", "deep learning in learning analytics", "application of deep learning in MOOC dropout prediction" and "MOOC dropout prediction using deep learning", and we found 570 papers published from 2015 to 2020. We only focused on primary research articles, surveys, evaluations or reviews were excluded. Papers that mainly used conventional machine learning for dropout prediction [19, 36] deep learning for other tasks e.g., grade prediction [17], learner interactions [15] or other purposes [33] rather than dropout prediction were also ruled out. We next examined the whole text of the 41 remaining papers to understand the content and focus of deep learning in MOOC dropout prediction. Furthermore, we analysed and evaluated the trends and improvements of deep learning on the topic of dropout prediction.

3 Deep Learning in Learning Analytics of MOOCs

As explained in Section 1, extensive studies [40, 54, 56, 57, 61, 62] have investigated the dropout problem. However, most of them only adopt the basic Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN) to process the sequential data. However, other advanced deep learning methods, such as Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN), Graph Neural Networks (GNN) and other deep learning models present promising alternatives. They are, however, less applied to dropout prediction in MOOCs, as analysed below.

Considering the temporal activities of students, existing dropout prediction researches in MOOCs mainly use RNN networks to obtain the temporal student

Title Suppressed Due to Excessive Length 3

behavioural information contained in the whole sequence [51]. Driven by the success in other prediction areas addressed before, CNN networks have started to be applied in learning analytics. CNN is a multi-layer neural network to extract features locally and could avoid the complex feature extraction. Currently, the main predictive features of existing dropout prediction studies using deep learning could be divided into *learning activity-based prediction* and *comment analysis on discussion forums*. We also present other *recent improvements* over existing deep learning techniques deployed in MOOC prediction.

3.1 Learning Activity-based Prediction

In the **learning activity-based prediction** field, we categorise the research into the development of the feature process and model selection. Studies [7, 14, 31] treated learning activities as features, which generally include *number of learning sessions, video viewing and clickstream, quiz attempt, forum views and posts, page views* and so on. However, those features generally require manual processing by RNN models that are not flexible and time-consuming.

To address this problem, [51] proposed a ConRec Network model consisting of CNN and RNN, to automatically extract features from raw records, as CNN networks performs well at automatic feature extraction from raw input [16]. Despite the success of feature processing, the ConRec model is not outperforming the conventional feature engineering method. [39] then used a two-dimensional CNN directly, to learn the best features from the raw click-stream data, to reduce the complexity of feature extraction; they reached 86.75% dropout forecast accuracy.

Recently, [26] reported novel progress of applying RNN directly to raw log-line level click-stream data with no feature engineering, which was inspired by the success of one popular variant of RNN models, the Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) Networks, on anomaly detection using raw texts [63]. They combined Gated Recurrent Unit (GRU-RNN) and dropout layers to solve long-term temporal dependencies and over-fitting, which could be further extended to other large-scale data sets.

To conclude, reducing the complexity of feature selection and processing is an important trend in the research area and both CNN and RNN methods could achieve the goal, although the former is more intuitive and robust for raw click-stream data [26].

From the **model perspective**, some researches use fundamental deep learning models, such as Feedforward neural networks (FFNN), which do not consider the connections among nodes within the same layer, and thus represent the simplest type of artificial neural network [43]. For instance, [3] used FFNN in a dropout prediction study, but their results could not identify the dropout students early enough [56].

Due to the temporal nature of attrition in MOOCs, the most popular models used for the dropout prediction are RNNs, as they are based on the temporal prediction mechanism with trace data. However, RNN models generally suffer from long-term dependency on learning behaviours in these studies, leading to

4 Zhongtian Sun, Anoushka Harit, Jialin Yu, Alexandra I. Cristea and Lei Shi

limited prediction performance. Additionally, all these studies [9, 14, 44, 50] stress more temporal characteristics, but neglect the fact that students are likely to exhibit similar learning patterns during a period.

Based on the above findings, [53] proposed a novel CNN model for dropout prediction, to capture the local correlation among the learning features, as a feature matrix. These studies mainly treat all the automatic extracted features equally, but fail in considering the relative influence of different features on the outcome of dropout prediction.

Addressing the problem, [65] developed a FWTS-CNN model to consider both feature weights and learning time series, by ranking the filtered key features. This idea is akin to the widely applied attention mechanism in deep learning introduced by [47], and improved accuracy by 2% compared to using CNN alone. [38] also reported 1.75% AUC (area under the curve) improvement by integrating an attention embedding of learners' behaviours. We refer the reader elsewhere [60] for more details on the attention mechanism.

3.2 Comment Analysis

Apart from the conventional predictors of learning, the analysis of informative comments and posts could provide an understanding of learners' satisfaction and attitudes [13]. This has become a current research hotspot in the area. For example, [59] found a positive correlation between the students' confusion posts in forums and dropout. [7] found a positive relation by applying an FFNN model to examine sentiments of the forum posts data to predict the student attrition in MOOCs in the following week, with an accuracy of 88%. [55] also found a negative correlation between participation in forums and dropout rate.

However, these existing studies simply explore the positive and negative emotions [32], while learners could have more complex achievement emotions, such as confusion, boredom, shame, which could affect the learning outcome [37]. A deeper understanding of the reasons of how students think and feel regarding the course could help to timely identify students at risk and help improve their engagement [21].

3.3 Recent Improvements

Several recent works made incremental improvements over existing deep learning techniques deployed in MOOC prediction. [24] incorporated a graph convolutional network (GCN), to consider more latent features of learners, such as social interaction with others and courses, as well as learners' embeddings (representations) for prediction. GCN is proposed by [25] to capture both global structural and local patterns by treating inputs as a graph. As graphs can be irregular, arbitrary, non-Euclidean in structure and contain rich values, they are arguably capable of representing the knowledge among entities (students and courses) in the real world [46, 64]. Additionally, most existing work focuses on performance prediction based on predefined fixed order of learning activities (videos, readings and assessment) while the conventional sequential models are

Title Suppressed Due to Excessive Length 5

unable to be implemented when there are online question pools where students could select which question to answer [30]. As nodes (entities) in graphs can be order-invariant, the student-interaction-question could be represented by a graph neural network (GNN) model; [30] proposed a novel method, R²GCN, to fully model the knowledge evolution of students and predict their performance in interactive online question pools. The idea of representing the online learning system as a graph to leverage students' relations, activities and courses could be extended further. Additionally, most studies mainly utilise the post-hoc prediction structures, while required features are not entirely knowable for predictive models in incomplete courses [23]. Addressing the problem, [23] proposed a new algorithm based on knowledge distillation, which only requires a few basic features, but still reaches promising forecasting results.

3.4 Dataset Summary

Popular MOOC platforms are EdX, FutureLearn, Udemy, Coursera, Khan Academy, Canvas and other open online study channels. Researchers collected data from those platforms or use public datasets summarised as in Table 1, below.

Table 1. MOOC data set summary

Datasets	Category	Source	Citation
Stanford MOOCPosts	Forum Discussion	[2]	[52]
Act-Mooc	Social Network	[27]	[58]
KDDCup 2015	Learning Analytics	[31]	[5, 51]
OULAD	Learning Analytics	[28]	[20, 24]
HarvardX Person	Learning Analytics	[34]	[23, 45]
Coursera Forums	Forum Discussion	[42]	[18]

4 Future Directions

Though deep learning techniques have proven their power for dropout prediction in MOOCs, there is still room for improvement, due to the complexity of learner behaviours and sentiments. As most studies only focus on prediction based on students' learning behaviors or other interaction activities, respectively, we suggest three future directions including more features using deep learning models, based on our review and the literature gaps it exposed.

- **Knowledge Graphs with Learning Analytics** As aforementioned, graphs can be flexible and expressive. Knowledge graphs (KGs) are graphs that consist of facts and relations among entities [49], and have been applied to MOOCs [11] to represent the online learning resources across several platforms and to represent the relations between students and courses [24]. In fact, these techniques could be implemented to account for more demographic information of learners, such as age, gender, nationality, working

6 Zhongtian Sun, Anoushka Harit, Jialin Yu, Alexandra I. Cristea and Lei Shi

experience, educational background, disability, socio-economics and so on, studied to extend dropout prediction [35]. Advanced models, like GNN, could be deployed, to treat the demographic information as features of learners (nodes) and classify the type (active/neutral/passive) of learners, or cluster them into the same group with a similar background for further analysis. Additionally, by implementing GNN models (property of invariance to node order), the analysis of the knowledge evolution process could be extended to other learning analytics tasks, e.g., adaptive online learning and early intervention, without requiring the predefined learning curriculum.

- **Comprehensive Social Network Analysis** The social interactions of students could reflect their engagement and persistence in MOOCs. However, there is a lack of serious research efforts in analysing the influence of social network structures and other features of academic assessment (e.g., time of video watching, quiz attempts) comprehensively on students' engagement [10]. In addition to student-related factors, MOOC related factors like course design and lacking of isolation are crucial dropout predictors [1, 21]. Researchers could thereby consider how to integrate structural-based social network analysis with other students' learning activities and MOOC related factors like course content using deep learning models in future.
- **Composite Behavioural Analysis** In addition to learning activity-based prediction and comments analysis, multi-modal analysis is a possible future direction. As deep learning techniques push the dropout prediction, one research goal is to understand learners more comprehensively and in-depth, regarding their satisfaction, attitudes, confusion, boredom as well as other possible sentiments, to enhance their learning in MOOCs. To do so, researchers could also incorporate more behavioural observations and analysis, such as face emotion detection and physiological reactions based on CNN and other advanced models, which also allows instructors to consider the information to adapt their course content.

5 Conclusion

This paper presents a succinct survey of deep learning techniques for analysing the student dropout problem. The survey draws several conclusions. First, unlike conventional feature engineering techniques, the current trend is to use end-to-end deep learning models, including the recent RNNs and CNNs to automatically extract features from raw data. Second, despite the nature of gradual attrition in MOOC, CNNs are increasingly deployed to avoid the long-term dependency problem and complex feature processing. Third, many studies focus on providing early prediction based on rough discrete emotions, rather than understanding more in-depth sentiments and problem inducing student dropout, and thus new methods should be developed. Fourth, we identify the recent improved work over existing deep learning techniques applied in the area. Lastly, we summarise the commonly used public datasets and suggest three future directions for the study of deep learning in MOOCs: knowledge graphs with learning analytics, comprehensive social network analysis and composite behavioural analysis.

Title Suppressed Due to Excessive Length 7

References

1. Adamopoulos, P.: What makes a great mooc? an interdisciplinary analysis of student retention in online courses. *International Conference on Information Systems* (2013)
2. Akshay, A., Andreas, P.: The stanford moocposts data set, <https://datastage.stanford.edu/StanfordMoocPosts/>, last accessed 28 Jan 2021
3. Al-Shabandar, R., Hussain, A., Laws, A., Keight, R., Lunn, J., Radi, N.: Machine learning approaches to predict learning outcomes in massive open online courses. In: *2017 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN)*. pp. 713–720. IEEE (2017)
4. Alamri, A., Sun, Z., Cristea, A.I., Senthilnathan, G., Shi, L., Stewart, C.: Is mooc learning different for dropouts? a visually-driven, multi-granularity explanatory ml approach. In: *International Conference on Intelligent Tutoring Systems*. pp. 353–363. Springer (2020)
5. Ardchir, S., Talhaoui, M.A., Jihal, H., Azzouazi, M.: Predicting mooc dropout based on learner’s activity. *International Journal of Engineering & Technology* **7**(4.32), 124–126 (2018)
6. Cazarez, R.L.U., Martin, C.L.: Neural networks for predicting student performance in online education. *IEEE Latin America Transactions* **16**(7), 2053–2060 (2018)
7. Chaplot, D.S., Rhim, E., Kim, J.: Predicting student attrition in moocs using sentiment analysis and neural networks. In: *AIED Workshops*. vol. 53, pp. 54–57 (2015)
8. Cristea, A.I., Alamri, A., Kayama, M., Stewart, C., Alsheri, M., Shi, L.: Earliest predictor of dropout in moocs: a longitudinal study of futurelearn courses. In: *Information Systems Development: Designing Digitalization*. Association for Information Systems (2018)
9. Crossley, S., Paquette, L., Dascalu, M., McNamara, D.S., Baker, R.S.: Combining click-stream data with nlp tools to better understand mooc completion. In: *Proceedings of the sixth international conference on learning analytics & knowledge*. pp. 6–14 (2016)
10. Dalipi, F., Imran, A.S., Kastrati, Z.: Mooc dropout prediction using machine learning techniques: Review and research challenges. In: *2018 IEEE Global Engineering Education Conference (EDUCON)*. pp. 1007–1014. IEEE (2018)
11. Dang, F., Tang, J., Li, S.: Mooc-kg: A mooc knowledge graph for cross-platform online learning resources. In: *2019 IEEE 9th International Conference on Electronics Information and Emergency Communication (ICEIEC)*. pp. 1–8. IEEE (2019)
12. Dietze, S., Taibi, D., d’Aquin, M.: Facilitating scientometrics in learning analytics and educational data mining—the lak dataset. *Semantic Web* **8**(3), 395–403 (2017)
13. Dmoshinskaia, N.: Dropout prediction in MOOCs: using sentiment analysis of users’ comments to predict engagement. Master’s thesis, University of Twente (2016)
14. Fei, M., Yeung, D.Y.: Temporal models for predicting student dropout in massive open online courses. In: *2015 IEEE International Conference on Data Mining Workshop (ICDMW)*. pp. 256–263. IEEE (2015)
15. Fotso, J.E.M., Batchakui, B., Nkambou, R., Okereke, G.: Algorithms for the development of deep learning models for classification and prediction of behaviour in moocs. In: *IEEE Learning With MOOCS (LWMOOCS)*. pp. 180–184. IEEE (2020)
16. Glorot, X., Bordes, A., Bengio, Y.: Deep sparse rectifier neural networks. In: *Proceedings of the fourteenth international conference on artificial intelligence and statistics*. pp. 315–323. *JMLR Workshop and Conference Proceedings* (2011)

8 Zhongtian Sun, Anoushka Harit, Jialin Yu, Alexandra I. Cristea and Lei Shi

17. Guo, B., Zhang, R., Xu, G., Shi, C., Yang, L.: Predicting students performance in educational data mining. In: 2015 International Symposium on Educational Technology (ISET). pp. 125–128. IEEE (2015)
18. Guo, S.X., Sun, X., Wang, S.X., Gao, Y., Feng, J.: Attention-based character-word hybrid neural networks with semantic and structural information for identifying of urgent posts in mooc discussion forums. *IEEE Access* **7**, 120522–120532 (2019)
19. Hanzaki, M.R., Epp, C.D.: The effect of personality and course attributes on academic performance in moocs. In: European conference on technology enhanced learning. pp. 497–509. Springer (2018)
20. He, Y., Chen, R., Li, X., Hao, C., Liu, S., Zhang, G., Jiang, B.: Online at-risk student identification using rnn-gru joint neural networks. *Information* **11**(10), 474 (2020)
21. Hone, K.S., El Said, G.R.: Exploring the factors affecting mooc retention: A survey study. *Computers & Education* **98**, 157–168 (2016)
22. Jordan, K.: Massive open online course completion rates revisited: Assessment, length and attrition. *International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning* **16**(3), 341–358 (2015)
23. Kang, T., Wei, Z., Huang, J., Yao, Z.: Mooc student success prediction using knowledge distillation. In: 2020 International Conference on Computer Information and Big Data Applications (CIBDA). pp. 363–367. IEEE (2020)
24. Karimi, H., Derr, T., Huang, J., Tang, J.: Online academic course performance prediction using relational graph convolutional neural network. In: Proceedings of The 13th International Conference on Educational Data Mining (EDM 2020). pp. 444–450 (2020)
25. Kipf, T.N., Welling, M.: Semi-supervised classification with graph convolutional networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.02907 (2016)
26. Kőrösi, G., Farkas, R.: Mooc performance prediction by deep learning from raw clickstream data. In: International Conference on Advances in Computing and Data Sciences. pp. 474–485. Springer (2020)
27. Kumar, S., Zhang, X., Leskovec, J.: Predicting dynamic embedding trajectory in temporal interaction networks. In: Proceedings of the 25th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining. pp. 1269–1278 (2019)
28. Kuzilek, J., Hlosta, M., Zdrahal, Z.: Open university learning analytics dataset. *Scientific data* **4**(1), 1–8 (2017)
29. Lang, C., Siemens, G., Wise, A., Gasevic, D.: Handbook of learning analytics. SOLAR, Society for Learning Analytics and Research New York, NY, USA (2017)
30. Li, H., Wei, H., Wang, Y., Song, Y., Qu, H.: Peer-inspired student performance prediction in interactive online question pools with graph neural network. In: Proceedings of the 29th ACM International Conference on Information & Knowledge Management. pp. 2589–2596 (2020)
31. Li, W., Gao, M., Li, H., Xiong, Q., Wen, J., Wu, Z.: Dropout prediction in moocs using behavior features and multi-view semi-supervised learning. In: 2016 international joint conference on neural networks (IJCNN). pp. 3130–3137. IEEE (2016)
32. Liu, B., Xing, W., Zeng, Y., Wu, Y.: Quantifying the influence of achievement emotions for student learning in moocs. *Journal of Educational Computing Research* p. 0735633120967318 (2020)
33. Liu, L., Lin, F., Wang, B., Li, K., Xiao, M., Xiahou, J., Wu, P.: Prerequisite relation learning for course concepts based on hyperbolic deep representation. *IEEE Access* **8**, 49079–49089 (2020)

Title Suppressed Due to Excessive Length 9

34. MITx, H.: Harvardx-mitx person-course academic year 2013 de-identified dataset, version 2.0. harvard dataverse (2014)
35. Morris, N.P., Swinnerton, B., Hotchkiss, S.: Can demographic information predict mooc learner outcomes? In: Experience Track: Proceedings of the European MOOC Stakeholder. Leeds (2015)
36. Mulyani, E., Hidayah, I., Fauziati, S.: Dropout prediction optimization through smote and ensemble learning. In: 2019 International Seminar on Research of Information Technology and Intelligent Systems (ISRITI). pp. 516–521. IEEE (2019)
37. Pekrun, R., Lichtenfeld, S., Marsh, H.W., Murayama, K., Goetz, T.: Achievement emotions and academic performance: Longitudinal models of reciprocal effects. *Child development* **88**(5), 1653–1670 (2017)
38. Pulikottil, S.C., Gupta, M.: Onet—a temporal meta embedding network for mooc dropout prediction. In: 2020 IEEE International Conference on Big Data (Big Data). pp. 5209–5217. IEEE (2020)
39. Qiu, L., Liu, Y., Hu, Q., Liu, Y.: Student dropout prediction in massive open online courses by convolutional neural networks. *Soft Computing* **23**(20), 10287–10301 (2019)
40. Raga, R.C., Raga, J.D.: Early prediction of student performance in blended learning courses using deep neural networks. In: 2019 International Symposium on Educational Technology (ISET). pp. 39–43. IEEE (2019)
41. Romero, C., Ventura, S.: Educational data mining and learning analytics: An updated survey. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery* **10**(3), e1355 (2020)
42. Rossi, L.A., Gnawali, O.: Language independent analysis and classification of discussion threads in coursera mooc forums. In: Proceedings of the 2014 IEEE 15th International Conference on Information Reuse and Integration (IEEE IRI 2014). pp. 654–661. IEEE (2014)
43. Schmidhuber, J.: Deep learning in neural networks: An overview. *Neural networks* **61**, 85–117 (2015)
44. Sun, D., Mao, Y., Du, J., Xu, P., Zheng, Q., Sun, H.: Deep learning for dropout prediction in moocs. In: 2019 Eighth International Conference on Educational Innovation through Technology (EITT). pp. 87–90. IEEE (2019)
45. Tang, J.K., Xie, H., Wong, T.L.: A big data framework for early identification of dropout students in mooc. In: International Conference on Technology in Education. pp. 127–132. Springer (2015)
46. Tang, S., Peterson, J., Pardos, Z.: Predictive modeling of student behavior using granular large scale action data from a mooc. *Handbook of Learning Analytics and Educational Data Mining* (2017)
47. Vaswani, A., Shazeer, N., Parmar, N., Uszkoreit, J., Jones, L., Gomez, A.N., Kaiser, L., Polosukhin, I.: Attention is all you need. arXiv preprint arXiv:1706.03762 (2017)
48. Viberg, O., Hatakka, M., Bälter, O., Mavroudi, A.: The current landscape of learning analytics in higher education. *Computers in Human Behavior* **89**, 98–110 (2018)
49. Wang, H., Zhang, F., Wang, J., Zhao, M., Li, W., Xie, X., Guo, M.: Exploring high-order user preference on the knowledge graph for recommender systems. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems (TOIS)* **37**(3), 1–26 (2019)
50. Wang, L., Wang, H.: Learning behavior analysis and dropout rate prediction based on moocs data. In: 2019 10th International Conference on Information Technology in Medicine and Education (ITME). pp. 419–423. IEEE (2019)
51. Wang, W., Yu, H., Miao, C.: Deep model for dropout prediction in moocs. In: Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Crowd Science and Engineering. pp. 26–32 (2017)

- 10 Zhongtian Sun, Anoushka Harit, Jialin Yu, Alexandra I. Cristea and Lei Shi
52. Wei, X., Lin, H., Yang, L., Yu, Y.: A convolution-lstm-based deep neural network for cross-domain mooc forum post classification. *Information* **8**(3), 92 (2017)
53. Wen, Y., Tian, Y., Wen, B., Zhou, Q., Cai, G., Liu, S.: Consideration of the local correlation of learning behaviors to predict dropouts from moocs. *Tsinghua Science and Technology* **25**(3), 336–347 (2019)
54. Whitehill, J., Mohan, K., Seaton, D., Rosen, Y., Tingley, D.: Delving deeper into mooc student dropout prediction. arXiv preprint arXiv:1702.06404 (2017)
55. Xing, W., Chen, X., Stein, J., Marcinkowski, M.: Temporal predication of dropouts in moocs: Reaching the low hanging fruit through stacking generalization. *Computers in human behavior* **58**, 119–129 (2016)
56. Xing, W., Du, D.: Dropout prediction in moocs: Using deep learning for personalized intervention. *Journal of Educational Computing Research* **57**(3), 547–570 (2019)
57. Xiong, F., Zou, K., Liu, Z., Wang, H.: Predicting learning status in moocs using lstm. In: *Proceedings of the ACM Turing Celebration Conference-China*. pp. 1–5 (2019)
58. Xu, Z., Ou, Z., Su, Q., Yu, J., Quan, X., Lin, Z.: Embedding dynamic attributed networks by modeling the evolution processes. arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.14047 (2020)
59. Yang, D., Wen, M., Howley, I., Kraut, R., Rose, C.: Exploring the effect of confusion in discussion forums of massive open online courses. In: *Proceedings of the second (2015) ACM conference on learning@ scale*. pp. 121–130 (2015)
60. Yin, S., Lei, L., Wang, H., Chen, W.: Power of attention in mooc dropout prediction. *IEEE Access* **8**, 202993–203002 (2020)
61. Yu, C.H., Wu, J., Liu, A.C.: Predicting learning outcomes with mooc clickstreams. *Education Sciences* **9**(2), 104 (2019)
62. Zaporozhko, V.V., Parfenov, D.I., Shardakov, V.M.: Development approach of formation of individual educational trajectories based on neural network prediction of student learning outcomes. In: *International Conference of Artificial Intelligence, Medical Engineering, Education*. pp. 305–314. Springer (2019)
63. Zhang, K., Xu, J., Min, M.R., Jiang, G., Pelechris, K., Zhang, H.: Automated it system failure prediction: A deep learning approach. In: *2016 IEEE International Conference on Big Data (Big Data)*. pp. 1291–1300. IEEE (2016)
64. Zhang, Z., Cui, P., Zhu, W.: Deep learning on graphs: A survey. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering* (2020)
65. Zheng, Y., Gao, Z., Wang, Y., Fu, Q.: Mooc dropout prediction using fwts-cnn model based on fused feature weighting and time series. *IEEE Access* **8**, 225324–225335 (2020)