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CHAPTER 1

SPIRITUALITY & PSYCHIATRY

Professor Andrew Sims & Professor Christopher CH Cook

Within British psychiatry, the notion of linking spirituality with psychiatry is largely a 21st century one. What follows is the first attempt of the Spirituality and Psychiatry Special Interest Group of the Royal College of Psychiatrists to put their heads together, metaphorically and constructively, and produce a book representing both their diverse views and shared vision for better psychiatric practice. Is the result a manifesto or a shop-front; a confession of our differences or a statement of our common beliefs? We will leave the reader to answer those questions.

Our first intended readership is the mental health community, including ‘service users’ and carers, voluntary helpers and mental health professionals of all disciplines in the United Kingdom and overseas. Our secondary readership, we would hope, would be all those others who are interested in and concerned with mental illness. We share a belief that an aspiration towards the common good of improved mental health and treatment of mental illness in our communities is a worthy one, and that it is therefore also worth striving to turn this aspiration into a reality.

Spirituality, including its psychological association, is relevant for all psychiatrists, not as an add-on to our already overcrowded curriculum but as an idea ‘at the back of one’s mind’, and sometimes coming further forward! It is not to be forgotten, permeating every part of psychiatry and forming the underlying worldview from which one practises. If the psychiatrist remembers
to incorporate spiritual values into her clinical practice, this will lead to asking
the patient a few pertinent questions, and hence the relevance of a *spiritual
history* that assesses needs in this area.

**Where has spirituality in psychiatry come from?**

Historically, much psychiatric care has been provided within a spiritual
or religious context. In medieval Europe, the shrines of St Mathurin and St
Acairius in France, and St Dymphna in Flanders held a particular reputation
for miraculous cures of the mentally ill. In 1247 the priory of St Mary of
Bethlehem was founded in Kent, England, for the care of the insane.

Bethlehem Hospital, later known as “Bedlam” and now as Bethlem
Hospital, is the oldest hospital for those with mental illness. In the Middle
Ages, what little care there was for physical diseases like leprosy was
provided by religious houses. Thus, the scene was set for the treatment of
mental illness also to develop in co-operation with the Church. The Spanish
Renaissance philosopher, Juan Luis Vives, contemporary with Erasmus and
Thomas More, gave considerable attention to the humane treatment of the
mentally ill, recognising them as suffering from *illness* and treating them with
respect, as human beings. However, Vives, like other Renaissance thinkers in
his own century and Galileo in the next, came into conflict with the monolithic
and inflexible, ecclesiastical establishment despite his being a devout
Christian. This conflict between those regarding madness as illness and
church orthodoxy worsened with the era of witch-hunts in the 15\textsuperscript{th}, 16\textsuperscript{th} and
17\textsuperscript{th} centuries.
Sadly, Bethlehem Hospital later became the notorious Bedlam Hospital, but in response to the inhumanities that arose there and elsewhere, the so-called ‘moral approach’ to the care of the insane was to bring about a revolution in care for the mentally ill. In late 18th Century England, this movement was led by William Tuke, a Quaker, who established the Retreat at York for the humane care of the people suffering from mental disorders.

Psychiatry, as a distinct discipline, starts at the beginning of the 19th century. Philippe Pinel wrote in 1801, ‘As one takes up mental alienation as a separate object of investigation, it would be making a bad choice indeed to start a vague discussion of the seat of reason and on the nature of its diverse aberrations; nothing is more obscure and impenetrable. But if one wisely confines one’s self to the study of the distinctive characteristics which manifest themselves by outward signs and if one adopts as a principle only a consideration of the results of enlightened experience, only then does one enter a path which is generally followed by natural history’.

There were remarkable developments in brain localization and neurohistology during the 19th century in Germany. Wilhelm Griesinger regarded ‘mental illnesses’ as really ‘illnesses of the nerves and brain’. The contribution to psychiatry, neuropathology and especially to classification, was immense, and many of these German pioneers of the 19th century remain household names within medicine. However, at the interface of religion and psychiatry, these discoveries had encouraged an attitude of reductionism.

Meanwhile, French psychiatry had reached reductionism by a different route: complex behaviour was thought to occur as a result of unconscious mechanisms, ultimately influenced by the state of the brain. Jean-Martin
Charcot’s pupil, Pierre Janet, psychologist and neurologist, had established the beginnings of psychotherapy by the end of the 19th century. Religion and faith were not seen as necessary in the equation for explaining human activity.

In Britain, following Charles Darwin’s *Origin of the Species*, the concepts of ‘natural selection’ and ‘survival of the fittest’ had profound consequences for the care of the mentally ill. In part this was due to the subsequent interest in ‘somatology’, which discounted everything about man, including his history and personality that could not be shown to be clearly organic. Another negative influence on treatment arose from the hypothesis of *degeneration*: all psychiatric illness was considered to be inherited, and to become more severe in subsequent generations. This ushered in several decades of therapeutic nihilism in psychiatry in Britain and elsewhere, which inhibited the search for new, effective methods of treatment.

By the middle of the twentieth century, with science dedicated to material realism, and with the arrival of modernism in philosophy, reductionism had come to dominate medicine. Man was ‘nothing but’ an excessively cerebral erect ape; human behaviour was ‘nothing but’ Pavlovian conditional or Skinnerian operant conditioned responses. Sigmund Freud had asserted that belief in a single God was delusional, and all religion to be a mass neurosis. Psycho-analysis was in conflict with traditional religious attitudes and many churches identified Freud, psychoanalysis and, by association, the whole of psychiatry, with atheism, antagonism to religion and a challenge to conventional morality.
By the 1960s, there was no sense that the patient's religious beliefs contributed significantly to the psychiatric history, formulation or planning of treatment, and spiritual aspects of the patient's problem were usually ignored. In the standard British textbook of the time (Mayer-Gross, Slater, Roth; 1954, 1960 and 1969) there are only two references to religion in the index, and religion is assumed to be for ‘the hesitant, the guilt-ridden, the excessively timid, those lacking clear convictions with which to face life’. During the 1970s more practicing Christians began to come into the specialty in the United Kingdom, and there was also a considerable influx into psychiatry of those from other faiths, most of whom having qualified in medicine overseas. The Scientific and Medical Network was set up in 1974, encouraging the exploration of the interface between spirituality, consciousness and mind.

During the 1980s, like-minded people got together and discussed non-material, religious and spiritual issues. Psychiatrists with spiritual interests gained confidence in expressing their faith and working out the consequences for their professional practice. Religious belief was still not regarded as respectable by the rest of the profession but there was less animosity. The quiet progress of the 1970s and 1980s became more public in the 1990s and in 1991, the Patron of the Royal College of Psychiatrists, HRH The Prince of Wales, urged an approach to mental healthcare which encompassed body, mind and spirit. Successive presidents of the College (Professor Andrew Sims, and then Professor John Cox) took up the subject in their addresses at College meetings in 1993 and a series of conferences on religion and psychiatry was held at the Institute of Psychiatry in London. In 1994, the newly published revision of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of the
American Psychiatric Association (DSM-IV) included for the first time a category of ‘religious or spiritual problem’. In 1997, the Archbishop of Canterbury addressed a joint annual meeting of the Royal College of Psychiatrists and the Association of European Psychiatrists.

Attitudes of psychiatrists changed significantly, as a profession, becoming more accepting of the spiritual and religious concerns of patients and more interested in the relationship between psychiatry and religion. Research in the area of mental illness and religious belief developed during the decade of the 1990s from almost zero to an accepted area of enquiry with research funding. Correspondingly, publications on spirituality in the psychological and healthcare literature increased in exponential fashion (Cook 2004). The setting up of the Spirituality and Psychiatry Special Interest Group was the culmination, at the beginning of the new millennium, of a half-century of hard-won progress.

What is spirituality?

Spirituality and religion have overlapping but distinctively different meanings. Spirituality, in particular, and religion to a lesser extent has changed its meaning in recent decades. There are many possible definitions of the word spirituality. For this book, and its specific relevance for psychiatry, we have provided authors with the following working definition of spirituality as a starting point:

*Spirituality is a distinctive, potentially creative and universal dimension of human experience arising both within the inner subjective*
awareness of individuals and within communities, social groups and traditions. It may be experienced as relationship with that which is intimately 'inner', immanent and personal, within the self and others, and/or as relationship with that which is wholly 'other', transcendent and beyond the self. It is experienced as being of fundamental or ultimate importance and is thus concerned with matters of meaning and purpose in life, truth and values. (Cook, 2004)

This definition was developed from a study of the way in which the concept of spirituality is used in the literature on addiction and spirituality but it arguably applies equally well to other areas of psychiatry. It emphasises the universality of spirituality as a subjective dimension of the experience of being human, whilst attempting to recognise that this is still, nonetheless, a socially situated phenomenon.

Religion is also susceptible of widely varying definition. Some definitions emphasise the personal and others the social, some emphasise belief and others behaviour, some emphasise tradition and others function, and so on (Bowker 1997, p xv). The word religion has the same root as ligament, ligature and oblige. It is that grounding of faith and basis of life to which I regard myself as being bound for my survival, a rope that ties me to God\(^1\) and to other believers. For our present purpose, it might be helpful to emphasise that religion is concerned with socially and traditionally shared beliefs and experience, but in placing this emphasis we must not lose sight of its personal and subjective dimension.

---

\(^1\) We are mindful, however, that Buddhism traditionally does not assert the existence of God and that religion therefore does not necessarily entail belief in God.
The word ‘religion’ does not feature in the indices of most psychiatric textbooks. When referred to at all in hospital, it usually alludes to which denomination, if any, is favoured by the patient, like one’s preferred supermarket. In everyday conversation, spirituality might be perceived as more inclusive of the large number of people in our society who profess no traditional religious affiliation. The position, at least in the United Kingdom, is that when discussing such issues within the medical profession, the word *spiritual* may be preferable; the word *religious* carries too much historical baggage.

The problem with religion is that, despite the majority of believers of all creeds living peacefully, it has recently become associated with fanaticism and violence. The disadvantage of spirituality per se is that it does not confer the support of a like-minded faith community that can offer social help and encouragement during life crises. For research purposes, it has not proved possible to separate distinct factors of spirituality from religion in patient populations, and most studies are concerned with religious groups and their particular characteristics.

Before returning to our theme of the relationship between spirituality and psychiatry, it is important to give attention to one further concept, which is of relevance both to spirituality, religion and psychiatry. Mysticism and mystical experience are touched upon in several chapters of this book, and mystical experiences are easily misdiagnosed as psychiatric disorders (Cook 2004). Mysticism might be considered as a particular, perhaps extreme, manifestation of spirituality. However, it is also frequently (although not
always) understood within a religious context. Like spirituality, it is receptive of diverse definitions.

In his Gifford Lectures in 1901-2, William James proposed four “marks” of mystical experience: ineffability, noetic quality, transiency, and passivity (James 1985). In common with others, he understood mystical experience as being concerned with relationship with a transcendent, or “ultimate”, reality. This relationship has sometimes been understood in a very individualistic way, emphasising the personal and subjective nature of the experience, but in fact mysticism is concerned with experiences of the relationship of an individual with both a transcendent reality and a community (often, but not always, a community of faith). Stace (1973) has further suggested that mystical states may be “introvertive” (looking inwards, into the mind) or “extrovertive” (looking outwards), but he concludes that both types of mystical states are expressions of a fundamental experience of the unity of all things.

A coalition of like-minded psychiatrists

The Spirituality and Psychiatry Special Interest Group (SIG) was inaugurated on the cusp of the millennium. Why was the Spirituality and Psychiatry SIG worth instituting and what has it set out to achieve?

The current Home Page of the Spirituality and Psychiatry SIG answers these questions as follows: ‘The Special Interest Group was founded in 1999 to provide a forum for psychiatrists to explore the influence of the major religions, which shape the cultural values and aspirations of psychiatrist and patient alike. The spiritual aspirations of persons not identifying with any one particular faith are held to be of no less importance, as well as the viewpoint
of those who hold that spirituality is independent of religion. The meetings are designed to enable colleagues to investigate and share without fear of censure the relevance of spirituality to clinical practice. The Special Interest Group aims to contribute a framework of ideas of general interest to the College, stimulating discussion and promoting an integrative approach to mental health care. For patients, there is the need to help the service user feel supported in being able to bring spiritual concerns to the fore’ (Royal College of Psychiatrists, 2007).

From its inception, the Spirituality and Psychiatry SIG has been a coalition of like-minded people, coming from different religious, spiritual and cultural backgrounds but with a shared aspiration. We hold in common a conviction that ‘spirituality’, whatever it may precisely mean, is immensely important and requires due consideration for patients and ourselves; it should permeate almost every area of psychiatric practice. It has been important to accept, respect and learn from our differences and never to devalue each other. As Jonathan Sacks has written concerning the ‘great faiths’: ‘Religion can be a source of discord. It can also be a form of conflict resolution…we need to search – each faith in its own way – for a way of living with, and acknowledging the integrity of, those who are not of our faith” (Sacks, 2002).

This diversity of interest and background is shown in the very wide range of topics discussed at the first twenty Spirituality and Psychiatry SIG one-day programmes (see pp??-??).

The spirituality of our patients
The religious profile for the United Kingdom in 2001 is shown in Table 1.

**Table 1: Religious affiliation of United Kingdom population, as reported in 2001 Census**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Thousands</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Christian</td>
<td>42.079</td>
<td>71.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buddhist</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hindu</td>
<td>559</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jewish</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muslim</td>
<td>1.591</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sikh</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other religions</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>All religions</strong></td>
<td><strong>45.163</strong></td>
<td><strong>76.8</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No religion</td>
<td>9.104</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not stated</td>
<td>4.289</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No religion/ not stated</td>
<td>13.626</td>
<td>23.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>58.789</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As 77% of the population have a stated religion, the notion that society is secular is clearly incorrect. UK is, indeed, a multi-faith society but for 93% of those with a stated religion, it is Christianity.

In contrast with the general population, only a minority of psychiatrists in Britain have religious belief. 73% of psychiatrists reported no religious
affiliation as compared with 38% of their patients, and 78% attended religious services less than once a month (Neeleman & King, 1993). Only 39% of female and 19% of male psychiatrists believed in God. However, 92% of psychiatrists in Britain believed that religion and mental illness were connected and that religious issues should be addressed in treatment. 42% considered that religiosity could lead to mental illness, but 58% never made referrals to clergy. There is, therefore, a marked disparity between patients and their psychiatrists in terms of their religious belief and its implications for daily life.

It is unlikely that a psychiatrist will share her culture and religion completely with any individual patient and this can be beneficial to the patient, as the relationship will be less influenced by unconscious pressures. This is true not only where the patient comes from a minority ethnic and religious group and is treated by a white, British born and trained psychiatrist. The majority ‘Christian’ religious group is not a single community but comprises many sub-groups with quite extreme differences, especially in religious expression. Take, for example, the difference between a suburban London Pentecostal church and its members and a rural, village community still clustered around its parish church. It follows that the psychiatrist who aspires to be sensitive about spirituality and religion also needs to be aware of culture.

The next requirement for the psychiatrist is to be sensitive as regards genre. Genre is defined as the way language is organised to achieve social processes (Fine, 2006). ‘Just as the genre of a mystery story has components of introduction of characters, inciting event, search for clues and the villain,
discovery of villain, denouement, etc., so the genre that accomplishes a social process (e.g. a casual conversation that continues and solidifies a friendship) may have elements such as *Greeting, Approach to neutral topics like weather, Approach to a substantive topic (why we are having this meeting), Leave taking.* Such elements establish a schematic structure that speakers are expected to be familiar with.' The importance of genre, in addition to culture, in any exchange between patient and doctor concerning belief, faith and spirituality cannot be overemphasised.

Religion is a protective factor in and from mental illness. The work demonstrating this is drawn together in *The Handbook of Religion and Health* (Koenig et al. 2001). This cites 1200 original research studies and 400 reviews with chapters on both physical and mental health. In most of these studies, ‘religious practice or belief’ was added as an incidental to the main study. This is, methodologically, a strength in that it shows that research was carried out without positive or negative religious bias. Looking at various factors relevant for mental health, religious involvement was found to be significantly correlated with the following:

- Well-being, happiness and life satisfaction;
- Hope and optimism;
- Purpose and meaning in life;
- Higher self-esteem;
- Bereavement adaptation;
- Greater social support and less loneliness;
- Lower rates of depression and faster recovery from depression;
- Lower rates of suicide and fewer positive attitudes towards suicide;
- Less anxiety;
- Less psychosis and fewer psychotic tendencies;
- Lower rates of alcohol and drug use and abuse;
- Less delinquency and criminal activity;
- Greater marital stability.

There are also negative effects of religion on mental health. In their *Handbook*, Koenig et al identify three groups of these (see pp227-228):

- Adverse effects on number and type of stressful experiences: Due, usually, to excessive devotion to religious practices and consequent neglect of other responsibilities, or rigid interpretations of scripture which lead to abusive behaviour of others

- Adverse effects on attitudes and cognitive thought processes: Including, rigid and legalistic thinking, excessive guilt, stigmatisation of those whose religious beliefs differ, judgmentalism, and justification or concealing of pathological or otherwise maladaptive thoughts, attitudes and behaviours

- Impaired coping behaviour: Including, notably, failure to seek appropriate medical help due to inappropriate reliance on religious rituals or counsel.
However, in general, the beneficial effects considerably outweigh the adverse. Explanations for this are given in terms of the bio-psycho-social model of psychiatric illness (Koenig et al, page 222-8).

The conventional wisdom of psychiatry in the past was that the mentally ill were timid, easily influenced and dependent upon others. This has been challenged by recent work on religious belief and locus of control (Jackson & Coursey, 1988). Many of our patients consider that they are incapable of independent action and controlled by outside circumstances; these are said to have an external locus of control. In the research on this topic, a sense of personal control (or degree of perceived choice) is a strong predictor of a subjective feeling of happiness. Whilst believing that God is ‘in control’ might appear to suggest an external locus of control, research studies have shown a significant, positive relationship between religious belief and internal locus of control. Although critics of religion state that the person believes himself to be controlled from outside by God or another force, this is not the case. Those with a religious faith are more independent as individuals, more able to make life decisions, knowing that God is ‘with them’.

**Spirituality of psychiatrists**

There is a need for a psychiatrist to have clear aims and aspirations for the treatment of each individual patient. In order to benefit her patients, the psychiatrist is required to listen empathically; and inevitably, he/she has values and standards that are applied, often unconsciously, in clinical practice. There has been much work on values in psychiatry over recent years, much of it pioneered by the Philosophy SIG of the Royal College of
Psychiatrists and its founding chairman (Fulford, Thornton & Graham, 2006, Part IV).

There has been concern in medicine generally, and psychiatry specifically, about burnout in doctors. Following the inception of the National Health Service (NHS), psychiatrists were able to retire earlier than other consultants through entitlement to Mental Health Officer status. Many took this option and retired from the NHS early, from the age of 55 onwards (this employment option has recently been withdrawn). Many have felt exhausted, feeling jaded, worn out and undervalued and no longer having the zest for their work or able professionally to continue any longer. For some, renewing their interest in spirituality and/ or religious belief in relation to psychiatry at this time has been valuable in approaching their work with fresh vigour.

We now have more information on professional ‘burn-out’ and the health of doctors. Psychiatrists, when compared with other doctors, are more likely to suffer from burn-out (Kumar et al, 2005), they have higher reported rates for depression (Deary et al, 1996) and show higher suicide rates (Hawton et al, 2001). Methods of dealing with this problem have concentrated on career counselling, selection for the specialty, training and continuing professional development, recruitment and having effective systems in place for recognising when things go wrong (Firth-Cozens, 2007). All psychiatrists should be trained to help colleagues, both within psychiatry and in other medical disciplines, with mental health problems.

Some psychiatrists, especially as they become more senior in their work, gradually develop existential or spiritual difficulties concerned with their professional practice. If they cannot resolve these concerns and deal with
their internal doubts, they become more prone to burnout and despondency. An involvement in religious belief and practice or in some type of spirituality is valuable for preventing such existential despair.

At an early meeting of the Spirituality & Psychiatry SIG, an elderly psychiatrist said, with considerable emotion, ‘All my working life I have wanted something like this where I could discuss these issues with my colleagues’. Many of us had felt constrained by the rigid template imposed by the psychiatric establishment, which had excluded spiritual aspects of either the patient or the psychiatrist, from consideration. Previously, such matters had not been discussed either with patients or in any professional forum.

Being able to acknowledge one’s spiritual being gives a sense of fulfilment which facilitates coping with the stresses of professional life. Since its inception, the Spirituality and Psychiatry SIG has clearly met a previously unrecognised need of psychiatrists for in 2007, out of a total membership of the College of 13000 members and fellows there are more than 1500 members, nearly 12% of the membership.

**Spirituality in Psychiatric Treatment**

Spirituality is increasingly being included as a component of psychiatric treatment and also as an independent and dependent variable in treatment research. Furthermore, a variety of Faith Based Organisations (FBOs) are providing care for people with mental health problems (Koenig 2005). Koenig has suggested that there are ten ways in which religion can improve mental health (*Ibid*, pp133-139). He includes within his analysis reference to spiritual
as well as religious beliefs, and we would extend the analysis here in order to explicitly refer to both throughout. Spirituality and religion:

1. promote a positive worldview
2. help to make sense of difficult situations
3. give purpose and meaning
4. discourage maladaptive coping
5. enhance social support
6. promote “other-directedness”
7. help to release the need for control
8. provide and encourage forgiveness
9. encourage thankfulness
10. provide hope

Like Koenig, we recognise that when misused, spirituality and religion can also be deleterious to treatment and such pathological forms of spirituality are the subject of a later chapter of this book. However, psychotherapy and counselling based upon religious frameworks of belief, or else offered within the context of a faith community, undertaken within proper professional and ethical boundaries and when appropriately offered, potentially bring great benefit. It is also possible to explore spirituality in the secular treatment setting, and organisations like Alcoholics Anonymous explicitly adopt a secular spirituality, which is open to people of all faith traditions or none. This will be considered further in the chapter devoted to substance misuse.
Furthering Spirituality in Mental Healthcare in the UK

Historically, and internationally, people with widely different and strongly held beliefs have not always proved to be natural allies! The strength of the Spirituality and Psychiatry SIG is that it is a coalition built on mutual respect for each person’s beliefs and traditions. The problem with coalitions, whether political or ideological, is that those with strong convictions find it hard to collaborate with others coming from a different ideology. To overcome this barrier to progress, we have needed to respect the beliefs of those from different faiths, as well as those not aligned with a faith tradition, recognising that we can make headway together in a way that would not be possible as individuals. It is important that all those who consider religious and spiritual aspects of psychiatry to be important feel able to join and contribute towards the work of the Spirituality and Psychiatry SIG. Tolerance has been very important, while at the same time valuing different perspectives, an ethos that so far the Spirituality and Psychiatry SIG has been able to maintain well.

The Royal College of Psychiatrists needs to produce expert and well-balanced material and opinion from its component parts. In this the Spirituality and Psychiatry SIG has a continuing role and should make comment where appropriate. This book is aimed at being such a contribution. To fulfil what is expected of us, we need to be aware of the existing spiritual and religious dialogue on each issue of concern, ascribing sources where relevant; taking into account scientific evidence, giving rational argument for our statements and, above all, representing a high standard of psychiatric knowledge and practice. We should also avoid evanescent sensationalism! Only if all these criteria are achieved have we any right to expect others to listen to us and act
upon our recommendations; only then can our contribution to professional discourse become acceptable and be a valid position, even if not universally agreed.

The Spirituality and Psychiatry SIG will have to develop intellectual muscle for its continuing existence and influence. It will need to convince psychiatrists that they, as well as their patients, have emotions. It will need to demonstrate the *volitional* nature of humankind; that we are not wholly determined in our thought and behaviour by our biochemistry and our circumstances.

Mental health statutory and voluntary organisations have become much more aware in recent years of the spiritual aspirations of patients and professional staff. The Spirituality and Psychiatry SIG will continue to make a contribution to this debate in various different ways; it is increasingly seen as a source for expertise and advice at the interface between spirituality and psychiatric practice. Members of the Spirituality and Psychiatry SIG have formed useful links with religious organisations such as churches, mosques and synagogues, and with clergy, especially hospital chaplains or equivalent designations (spiritual advisors). In the past, relationships were often strained between the two institutions of psychiatry and the Church, but easier communication in recent years has been immensely beneficial to our patients and their relatives. By drawing on the insights of both institutions, we have aimed to help our patients, to improve training and continuing professional development and to expand the vision of ministers of religion and mental health professionals alike. It is important that this is not just the cosy situation of a psychiatrist of one religious persuasion conferring with a like-minded
religious leader. Our diversity is a positive contribution we can make and necessary for our patients to have confidence in us.

The Spirituality and Psychiatry SIG, then, has a useful role in giving helpful direction and advice to the College, and through the College to other mental health individuals and organizations of users, carers, volunteers and professionals. A specific example of this is in planning the training of psychiatrists and other doctors in psychiatry, and also in the continuing professional development of trained doctors. The Spirituality and Psychiatry SIG has already contributed to this and intends to continue to do so through proposals for the curriculum for professional post-graduate examinations and training, commenting on the required characteristics of trainees and trainers and the interaction between them, and on the accreditation of psychiatric training schemes.

**Training in Spirituality & Psychiatry**

In order to introduce spiritual aspects into the training of psychiatrists, we need to help trainees to overcome common prejudices such as ‘religion is usually harmful for patients’ and ‘religion is for the weak, vacillating and dependent’. In training concerning spirituality and psychiatry, we should consider what is relevant under the recognised headings of *knowledge, skills, attitudes*. In addition it is important to consider clinical judgement, which is itself a somewhat neglected matter associated with the spiritual value of discernment.

We need to look at each level of training for psychiatry: medical undergraduate, post-graduate psychiatrist in training and continuing
professional development for the fully trained psychiatrist. There should be emphasis upon taking a religious or spiritual history, for example, as drawn here from the American College of Physicians (Lo, Quill & Tulsky, 1999):

1. Is faith (religion, spirituality) important to you in this illness?
2. Has faith (religion, spirituality) been important to you at other times in your life?
3. Do you have someone to talk to about religious/spiritual matters?
4. Would you like to explore religious/spiritual matters with someone?

Such questions need take very little time; perhaps only a couple of minutes (Koenig 2004). In more expanded form they might lead on to discussions about whether religious/spiritual beliefs are supportive, anxiety provoking or punitive; whether the patient is a member of any spiritual / religious community; what the patient's relationship with their clergy is like; whether there are any spiritual / religious issues the patient would like to discuss in therapy; whether the patient's spiritual / religious beliefs influence the type of therapy he or she would be most at ease with; and how their beliefs influence their attitude to medication.

Other concerns to be addressed in the curriculum might include the following:

*Awareness and responsiveness of the psychiatrist to:*

- The need to find a sense of meaning and purpose in life
• The personal search for answers to deeper questions concerning birth, life and death
• The difference between spirituality and religion, and their inter-relatedness
• The relationship of spirituality to the development and expression of individual human values
• How spirituality informs concepts of good and evil
• The way in which good medical practices is founded on medical practice is founded on values which include discernment, compassion, generosity, tolerance, patience, honesty, humility and wisdom
• The psychiatrist’s own value systems and the way that these may impact on others
• The value systems of others and the psychiatrist’s own response to these

Knowledge of:
• Spiritual development as part of personal growth
• Spiritual crises, meditation, prayer and altered states of consciousness, including the Near Death Experience
• The spiritual significance of anxiety, doubt, guilt and shame
• The spiritual importance of love, altruism and forgiveness, and their relation to mental health
• The influence of materialistic goals on personal identity and self-esteem
• The reciprocal relationship between culture and spiritual / religious beliefs and practices, and the consequences for psychiatric practice
• How the presence or absence of spiritual/religious beliefs and practices in mental healthcare workers may influence clinical decision-making
• The role in clinical management of spiritual / religious support networks, including chaplaincy and pastoral care departments as well as those in the community
• Quantitative and qualitative research on spirituality and mental health

Skills in:
• Taking a spiritual history
• Being able to stay mentally focused in the present, remaining alert and attentive with equanimity
• Developing the capacity to witness and endure distress while sustaining an attitude of hope
• The recognition of his/her own emotional responses to spiritual disclosures
• Honest self-appraisal, in the interests of continuing personal development
• Maintaining personal well-being in the interests of patient care

Conclusion

As Swinton puts it (2001, p174), psychiatrists and other mental health professionals need to be bilingual, ‘fluent in two languages: the language of psychiatry and psychology... and the language of spirituality that focuses on
issues of meaning, hope, value, connectedness and transcendence’. It is probably fair to say that we have, for too long, neglected one of these languages to our own detriment, and the detriment of our patients. That there is now renewed interest in learning the language of spirituality again is very encouraging, but like all languages this one needs practice. Just as the language of psychiatry needs to be employed at every stage of assessment, diagnose and treatment, as well as in all good research and training in mental healthcare, so the language of spirituality needs to permeate our relationships with our patients, our colleagues, and our whole understanding of the field of psychiatry.

References:


Royal College of Psychiatrists (2007) [www.rcpsych.ac.uk/spirit](http://www.rcpsych.ac.uk/spirit)


